

Class	From	Date	Content
Anonymous Object		09/11/18	<p>How can an increase of 2846 be proposed without consultation with residents? And on top of that reduce the size of the school??</p> <p>Have no lessons been learnt from Bardia Public School - they have been severely overloaded with kids from new developments with no consideration of where they will go to school. If anything the size of the school should be made to increase in line with the increase of residents.</p> <p>The car parking at Ed Park station is already out of control. See the separate petition being lodged. My son is currently sick and it has taken me 2 days to get in to see a doctor. Don't make this a 3rd world area by just looking at the \$ values - think about the whole picture - supporting services, infrastructure and people's lifestyles.</p>
Anonymous Object		09/11/18	<p>I oppose this proposal on several grounds. Increasing the number of dwellings by 2700 plus, while decreasing the size of the school is silly. Furthermore, the thousands of additional inhabitants that would be a direct result of the increased number of dwellings would significantly increase traffic congestion in an area which is already heavily congested at most parts of the day. There are no parklands in Edmondson Park and this proposed amendment would also likely result in less space for parklands.</p>
Anonymous Object		09/11/18	<p>I oppose to this modification because it is fundamentally undermining three things.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The increase in dwelling numbers will result into increase population management and the current area is not supporting the current population. Retrospective plans are not acceptable and should be criminalised through the high courts.</li> <li>2. Education opportunity for the kids of the existing population.</li> <li>3. Lack of community consultation of these changes as these are done without consideration.</li> </ol> <p>Whoever approved of these changes will be liable including the council at the time and the officers in charge including their managers, direct reports and consultants for the detrimental issues in the future.</p>
Anonymous Object		09/11/18	<p>Increasing the number of dwellings is not in the best interest of the community considering the infrastructure (roads, parking &amp; pedestrian access - especially around the station area) is inadequate. A lot of work needs to be done prior to this submission being approved and executed.</p>
Object	Saroj Nishanka <nksaroj@gmail.com>	11/11/18	<p>I am not in favour of this proposal as It was not originally told during the sales of the land by the Landcom. It important to have some leisure spaces around the community and green environment rather concrete building around you. Please allow the space for the schools/parks/swimming pools/sports centre and any recreational activities instead of dwellings.</p>
Anonymous Object		11/11/18	<p>I am not in favour of this proposal as It was not originally told during the sales of the land by the Landcom. It important to have some leisure spaces around the community and green environment rather concrete building around you. Please allow the space for the schools/parks/swimming pools/sports centre and any recreational activities instead of dwellings.</p>
Anonymous Object		11/11/18	<p>-We can't compromise with school size and also not having secondary school. we need to remember schooling will make good future for our Children and good future for Society.</p> <p>-Increasing density of building and building small houses will lower the quality of life in area. people who already leaving here didn't build house or purchase land for this type of leaving.</p> <p>-To increase retail space they build one story higher Town center.</p>
Anonymous Object		11/11/18	<p>We oppose this development to increase the density to thousands.. think about the traffic and over development please</p>

			<p>I vehemently oppose this request.</p> <p>The infrastructure around Edmondson Park will not cope with the proposed increase in dwelling.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The station parking is already beyond full capacity. Cars have to park along nearby roads which are mostly one lane, in front of residential streets. Some cars even park illegally on no parking area.</li> </ol> <p>The government is opposed to build a multi storey car park, so no one knows when the parking problem will be solved.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. the roads in and out of Edmondson Park is already jammed during peak hours. Camden Valley Way is only two lanes and Campbelltown Road is one lane each way.</li> <li>3. There are no local parks and green scape available yet.</li> <li>4. The schools are already at full capacity</li> </ol> <p>NSW government must realise that for a city to be able to grow, the infrastructure must be established first. You can't just keep clearing land and build suburbs without proper planning. In the end, it's only the developers and builders who profit.</p> <p>I bought a property in Edmondson Park because I don't want to see high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p>
Object	Liliana Samson <>	09/11/18	I hope & pray that this proposal will be rejected
Anonymous Comments		12/11/18	Pls See attached.
Object	Satbir Singh <satbirkahlon@yahoo.com.au>	12/11/18	<p>I strongly object this because increasing dwelling by 3000 will create lot pressure on suburb's roads and lifestyle. And also reducing size of school is very bad idea, we need need a big public school in our suburb so it can cope up with supply of kids.</p>
Object	Sokun Tait <sokun.tait@gmail.com>	13/11/18	<p>I strongly disagree to the proposed amendment to the Edmondson park town centre. This increase in density will only increase traffic congestion and parking issues in an already busy area. Majority of residents have not even moved in, and yet the parking at the train station is grossly inadequate. Furthermore the highrises is unsightly and could cause shadowing and possible wind funnels due to all the tall buildings. Condensed living with cheap apartments will increase more residents with low income, would contribute to higher crime rates and additional noise.</p>
Object	Julienne Duka <juliennerd@hotmail.com>	13/11/18	<p>I object to it, we do not have enough infrastructure.</p>
Object	Jai Deep Singh <jaideep.ku@gmail.com>	13/11/18	<p>Opposition to the proposed modification (Mod 5). Please refer to attached document.</p>
Object	John Andrews <jahalim2000@yahoo.com>	14/11/18	<p>I purchased my house in Edmondson Park thinking that it will be a perfect community to raise my two young children . What you are proposing will bring in not only more pollution, traffic and general road congestion but it will also bring in individuals who are not community minded but those who just want cheaper accommodation in tiny units. I think I speak for many when I say that Edmondson Park is not designed to withstand so many individuals in one place making it look like overpopulated Rhodes or Wolli Creek.</p> <p>Just look at what is happening with the parking at Edmondson Park Station. If I wanted to live in a shoe box environment I would have moved closer to the city in a 50sqm apartment. Your pretty words can't change the fact that you are ruining a suburb that hasn't even begun to flourish.</p>
Object	Caroline Takla <Caroline_takla@hotmail.com>	14/11/18	<p>I purchased a House in Edmondson Park thinking that it will be a perfect community to raise my two young children . What you are proposing will bring in not only more pollution, traffic and general road congestion but it will also bring in individuals who are not community minded but those who just want cheaper accommodation in tiny units. I think I speak for many when I say that Edmondson Park is not designed to withstand so many individuals in one place making it look like overpopulated Rhodes or Wolli Creek.</p> <p>Just look at what is happening with the parking at Edmondson Park Station. If I wanted to live in a shoe box environment I would have moved closer to the city in a 50sqm apartment. Your pretty words can't change the fact that you are ruining a suburb that hasn't even begun to flourish.</p>
Object	Vishal Nalwa <vishalnawa@gmail.com>	14/11/18	<p>Given the area of Edmondson Park suburb, the increase in number of dwellings by almost 6 times from 440 to &gt;3400 will increase density of the suburb.</p> <p>Also I am not happy with fact that school land is being decreased.</p> <p>There is already not enough parks and more dwellings means big chaos.</p>

			<p>The suburb is already struggling with basic infrastructure and needs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. School - There is no public school in the suburb. Instead of prioritising the school building, this proposal suggests to reduce the area of the proposed school to 2-6 ha.</li> <li>2. Suburb Density - Edmondson Park is already suffering from Density to requirements ratio. Every facility in the suburb is less as compared to current population including Parking, school, Library, community centre, road infrastructure, medical centre. Please note all these are expected within suburb NOT in adjacent suburbs. However this proposal is to increase the density multifold which is highly unthoughtful towards existing population.</li> <li>3. Transport - The connectivity of the suburb has issues. The trains in the morning are not frequent. Most of the residents are taking trains. More than 50% of the cars cannot be accommodated in the car park. On top of that the development work is done during peak office/ school hours completely slowing down the commuting on roads. high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</li> </ol> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejected addition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson Park which lacks basic amenities. It is overcrowded and kids are queueing up with long waits just to take a turn on slide during peak hours.</li> </ol>
Object	Puneet Mehta <puneetmehta@gmail.com>	14/11/18	Given all the current issues we have in suburb the current proposal
Object	Hemanth Kamasamudram <KAARYA_HEMAN>	14/11/18	Overpopulation, more houses less parks ,public transportation is no good, no spots, community and healthcare. Strongly objectionable to over growth for this petition
Object	Danish Kumar hotta <danish.hotta@gmail.com>	14/11/18	I am against the increase in density for Edmondson Park South. Scarcity of public facilities like station parking, parks, local roads, schools are few of many reasons for which the suburb cannot support more population. Once addressed and realized, i reckon we can review the proposals again. Thanks.
Object	Ruma Abhinav <Cooluma@gmail.com>	14/11/18	Not enough infrastructure to support
Object	Ashok Behera <ashok.behera@gmail.com>	14/11/18	Not enough infrastructure to support rise in population
Anonymous Object		14/11/18	qqw
Anonymous Object		14/11/18	I highly oppose this new planning for Edmondson park. With the current population in Edmondson, people are already struggling with daily commute, parking shortage, lack of schools, engagement centres. Liverpool council is approving more and more housing and profitable businesses for big organisations but has not provided any relief for the locals. There is not even a decent park in Edmondson park. I struggle with parking every day at the station, how will we cope with more dwellings and how about the schools? Not enough and not fair! We all moved here with lots of hope and dreams but all Fraser and Liverpool council are doing is taking more and more tax but not doing anything for the community. I seriously oppose to this and hopefully it will never happen, thanks!
Anonymous Object		14/11/18	Not enough infrastructure to support the rise in population in Edmondson Park. The focus should be more of building parks and schools for children.
Anonymous Object		15/11/18	<p>I object to this overdevelopment for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The streets surrounding the area are very small to cope up with the increase from 400 to approximately 3200 residential mix. With increased in population, and subsequent increase in motor vehicles, the street will become unsafe.</li> <li>2. There are not enough recreational facilities and parks close by, the well being of the residents would be impacted with further crowded facilities.</li> <li>3. The Edmondson Park train station does not provide enough commuter car parks even for the current residents. Commuters are seen parking in streets, dangerously in curbs, or sometimes illegally, thus coping up fines. Increase in population will further make it worse.</li> <li>4. There are no public schools in Edmondson Park. The private ones are already overcrowded. Increase in population without increase in school facilities will make it worse.</li> </ol>

			<p>I , and my family, strongly oppose the proposed plan modifications due to the following reasons:</p> <p>1- the adverse impact on the population density in the areas which reflects on the health and wellbeing of the residents in the area.</p> <p>2 - no proper infrastructure planning as proposed to accommodate for the increased number of dwellings, the suggested road upgrades term is vague and not satisfactory , details of road and infrastructure planning should be advertised and traffic study impact study's to be completed.</p> <p>3- the massive car parking crisis that's currently in place needs to be addressed first before proposing any further plans to increase the number of residents in the area.</p> <p>4- the parks , nature, open areas, community centred plans need to be addressed and planned before any approvals for increased dwellings to be granted.</p> <p>5- decreasing the area of the proposed school will negatively affect our kids health and well-being .</p>
Object	Daniel Wahba <daniel.wahba@gmail.com>	17/11/18	due to the above reasons, i totally disagree with the proposed plan modification high
Object	Anoulack Chanthivong <macquariefields@pari	19/11/18	Please see submission attached.
Object	Moahamd Fozan <mfozan2@gmail.com>	19/11/18	<p>I strongly believe Edmondson park's green open space is vital for its suburban charm, and the increase in high rise buildings will take this away. Furthermore increase in apartments and dwellings without the transport infrastructure to back it will just make the overcrowded roads and public transport worse.</p> <p>I object to any plan that is fundamentally made to increase the profits of big corporations only, and not meet the requirements of the local community.</p> <p>My concerns are centred around the infrastructure required to cater for all these additional residents and how it appears that this is a second priority based on this proposal.</p> <p>It is proposed the increase of dwellings by a factor of 7, this is a very large amount. How will the existing traffic, parking, schools cope with this influx of people, especially considering the area allocated for the schools are also being reduced from 8ha to potentially 2ha. If anything this should be increased to make up the requirements for a larger population??</p> <p>I request a scaling back of the residential yields and for any increase in residential yields to lead to increased land for schools. All high rise building shall have adequate parking spaces to avoid cars parking onto streets and other adequate parking for train stations etc should be addressed. Address the need for public high rise buildings and terrace h</p>
Anonymous Object		19/11/18	I do not want this proposal to be passed.
Anonymous Object		19/11/18	I do not want this proposal to be passed.
Object	Sirajum Munira <sirajummunira777@gmail.com>	19/11/18	I object to this plan
Object	Shaikh Shanawaz <nawaz_dhk2@yahoo.com>	19/11/18	I object to this plan
Object	Moniratun Nesha <muniranbiswas@gmail.com>	19/11/18	I have serious issues with the review plan for Edmondson Park Town Centre development. Increasing dwellings from 440 to 3286 is quite unbelievable. The open green spaces will be destroyed by these horrendous monstrosities. What about parking issues which are already rife, absence of schools and infrastructure to cope with the already steadily increasing population within the community? Please, stop this madness of over development.
Object	Paul Mastronardi <mastro23@bigpond.com>	19/11/18	

Anonymous Object		19/11/18	<p>Edmondson Park south DCP was already amended by Frazer Property for the development of South Town Centre and they already increased the number of dwellings and now this proposal by Landcom will further increase the number of dwellings.</p> <p>I strongly oppose to this proposal because it's a suburb and we need green spaces and kids need green space to play in schools , it's not the lidstyle we want for kids to live in a concrete jungle. It's not city centre so why make it into one?</p> <p>We already have lack of green spaces, there is hardly any infrastructure to support current population of the area, There is lack of parking at station, there is no school, no community places. And adding more dwellings mean more stress on nearby infrastructure which is already under stress.</p> <p>Traffic is already a big problem near station and current road network doesn't support the traffic demand.</p> <p>Liverpool council is hopeless in maintaining roads and its in their best interest to add more dwellings because they get more revenue but th high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejecteddition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.</p> <p>4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson Park which lacks basic amenities. It is overcrowded and kids are queueing up with long waits just to take a turn on slide during peak hours.</p>
Object	Amrita Singh <singh_a1@hotmail.com>	19/11/18	<p>I strongly object to the recent development proposal in Edmondson Park Town centre of increasing dwellings, inclusions of high rise apartments, increasing the height limits and the reduction of the size of the land for the primary and high school.</p>
Object	Murali Mohan Rath <mmrath@gmail.com>	19/11/18	<p>I am disappointed that the council and government have approved the concept plan. I live 1.5 km away from train station and start to work at 7:30 am even then I don't get parking at station. I walk that distance because of that. Many neighbours do the same or catch a bus to station spending another \$5 a day and extra 30-45 mins in travel. Roads are already congested, even medical centres near by have long waiting. Child cares running at full capacity. Schools are pretty full. Increasing the dwellings from 440 to 3286 will bring chaos to traffic and other infrastructure. And specifically it is insane to reduce the size land allocated to school.</p> <p>Back yard size of recent houses here are so small that families like mine rely on Parks for playing and council is not taking any steps to build more parks.</p> <p>With all this I am trying to convey strong opposition to this development plan.</p>
Anonymous Object		19/11/18	I strongly object to the recent development proposal in Edmondson Park Town centre of increasing dwellings, inclusions of high rise apartments, increasing the height limits and the reduction of the size of the land for the primary and high school.
Anonymous Object		19/11/18	Over development in our area
Anonymous Object		19/11/18	Over Development in our area
Object	Tae Kim <taekimoz@gmail.com>	20/11/18	<p>Adding more dwelling will cause serious infrastructure issues in Edmondson Park which already too many dwellings and it's infra structure is really limited and causing issues already. All main roads within the suburb is one lane each and near the train station is too crowded and lack of parking space.</p> <p>Also, Edmond park doesn't really have enough park/ green space/sports facility like soccer field or oval which is shame.</p> <p>It's all about small developments around the suburb and it doesn't seem to focus on overall structure of the suburb but adding more dwelling for profit.</p> <p>For the reasons above I oppose the suggestions from landcom.(</p>

Anonymous Object		20/11/18	<p>I strongly object the proposal to reduce the school size as the area have many new family and children. Education need is the most important and currently lacking. Every family in the area require all the space possible to builds the school as large as it can to accommodate all the children in the area and no reduce the size. No one want to paid extra to the education if they have a choice.</p> <p>I strongly object the proposal to increase the minimum residential yields from 440 to 3,030 - 3,286. It is close to 8 times the original proposed. The infrastructure in the area already cannot adapt to the amount of resident in the area. Increase the residential yields will only make the area overcrowded and cause more problem such as lack of parking, traffic congestion, overcrowded train, overcrowded leisure area.</p>
Anonymous Object		21/11/18	<p>I object to this application because infrastructure is not is not keeping pace with the developments. The lack of commuter parking, unreliable train services, absence of new schools, overcrowded classrooms and traffic congestion is making life worse for people in our community.</p> <p>I am writing to object to the proposed changes to the town plan.</p> <p>The amount of proposed new residents (new dwellings) in relation to the infrastructure is bad planning.</p> <p>The government should invest in changes to schools and parklands not adding more dwellings. This will cause overcrowding and lead to crime. In future years where are all the children going to be educated?</p> <p>The government needs to take into account the future of the current residents i.e building schools ASAP. There is a strong demand for current residents to have adequate infrastructure (which is lacking at the moment).</p>
Object	Patricia Begic <Patricia-z@hotmail.com>	21/11/18	<p>I strongly object to the town plan changes.</p> <p>Hi,</p> <p>With reference to this proposed change, I strongly express my grave concerns about this revised plan. This revised plan shows how Government is putting the developer's interests ahead of community interests.</p> <p>I am living in this area which is already had enough developments approved and there is no need to approve more dwellings.</p> <p>While reading this letter I was feeling that someone is punching in my stomach, because on one side you are increasing approve dwellings and other the other side you are reducing the size of land for schools and trying to justify that it is fulfilling the minimum requirement of the Education department... how disgusting it is and my state Government should be ashamed of.</p> <p>I feel that department of planning is planning for the developers and not for the community.</p> <p>Did anyone ever visited this area and try to understand the problems. This area hallmark of No commuter parking, Traffic congestion, No direct train to city through AirPort line, no Government High School, Government redu high</p> <p>rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejecteddition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues,</p> <p>rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.</p> <p>4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson Park which lacks basic amenities. It is overcrowded and kids are queueing up with long waits just to take a turn on slide during peak hours.</p> <p>Given all the current issues we have in suburb the current proposal will make the situation worse. Hence I strongly oppose it. is ACCC to enforce</p>
Object	Athar Hayat <atharhayat@yahoo.com>	21/11/18	
Object	Chanty Tait <chantyktait@gmail.com>	21/11/18	<p>I am writing to object on the proposed plans on all counts to amend the current zoning of Edmondson Park. I am currently in the process of building my home, and i based my purchase on the current plans. Not based on the money grab by the developers and council to build ugly monstrosities of buildings. These taller buildings serve no purpose but to cause gentrification of the suburb. Furthermore this is a only shows councils lack of foresight and urban planning for its residents.</p> <p>I do not support the reduction in the public school, only to add more commercial space. We should be looking for more green space and car parks, now that would be a novel idea wouldn't it!</p>

			<p>To whom this may concern.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the proposed changes proposed within the MP10_ 0118 MOD 5 Edmondson Park Concept Plan.</p> <p>Firstly I would like to point out that residents and investors who bought property in Edmondson Park, could reasonably expect the development to be carried out in line with the previously approved master plan.</p> <p>A few of the things that attracted me to the area is the original Master Plan as it contains a good mix of open space, large areas earmarked for both high and primary schools and very few large apartment dwellings.</p> <p>Residents of Edmondson Park are generally young couples/ families. There is current and future demand for both Primary &amp; High schools in the immediate area. Should the proposal be allowed to go ahead, the proposal should include both Primary &amp; High schools (6ha, option 1). The preferred option is to maintain the current 8 ha allocated (i.e. no change).</p> <p>I strongly oppose to any plan which does not include both primary &amp; high schools.</p> <p>I also oppose to an incre high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejecteddition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.</p>
Object	George Houridis <georgehouridis@hotmail.com>	21/11/18	
Anonymous Object		21/11/18	<p>I object to the reduction in size allocated to schools and the increase in the number of houses in the area. The local schools are already hugely overstretched and there is no local high school for children to attend. The provision of educational opportunities for all children in the area should be far more important than the increase in the housing allocation. To increase the number of houses but decrease the size of the school is just stupidity and shows no foresight. The area is already overcrowded and lacking necessary infrastructure. Increasing the number of houses would severely impact the current residents.</p> <p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p>
Anonymous Object		21/11/18	<p>I honestly believe that there are already too many houses being built and by 2020 there will be too many people living in Edmondson Park. I strongly believe we need a town centre that can accommodate for all of us, and to increase minimum residential dwelling from 440 to 3286 is a massive jump; our suburb will definitely become overpopulated.</p> <p>I urge you to please reconsider your decision and think about the standard of living you will make in this great suburb.</p> <p>Kind regards, Aaron.</p>
Object	Md Hasib Muyen <hasib.muyen@gmail.com>	22/11/18	<p>I storngly oppose the idea of increasing residencia dewellings from 440 to more than 3000. It is ridiculous. There are already sgortage of parking at the station. There is no way I would support this. Also decreasing the land area of the school is unacceptable. They want to increase the number of people but decrease the size of the school! If these changes are allowed then it will have very negative outcome in the community.</p>

Object	Miriam Marmolejo <miriammarmolejo@gmail.c	22/11/18	<p>I am writing in relation to the new extra development proposal in Edmondson Park I have great concerns about this revised plan., and I would like to opposed this new changes this are my concerns..</p> <p>The infrastructure is not keeping up with the development as it is at this moment. The lack of commuter parking, unreliable public service, no new schools and high school with not sufficient areas for this to be develop is very concerning, we need to make sure that schools , parks and hospitals are the first priorities for this area, As with the amount of population this new development will bring and to make this much construction is crazy and very disturbing .</p> <p>High rises will bring over population and distress to the area that is already struggling and it won't look good at all. and overcrowded area, and traffic congestion is making life difficult for the people in this area already, and this is not even fully develop yet, is only 1.4 of the first proposal already in place. What would the rest of this proposal high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air. I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejecteddition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings. 4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson Park which lacks basic amenities. It is overcrowded and kids are queueing up with long waits just to take a turn on slide during peak hours.</p>
Object	Martin Stephens <mstephens@tmha.com.au>	22/11/18	<p>I am against the increase in the number of dwellings from 440 to 3286. The infrastructure of the area will not handle this number of dwellings. I am also against the reduction in the area of the school site, we need as much space as we can get for schools.</p>
Anonymous Object		22/11/18	<p>Fully oppose this! On the other side we have frasers development with thousands of dwellings and how many times they have proposed for increase in dwelling and approved. This time another 3000 more i just cant fathom how camden valley way or campbeltown road regardless of widening can cope this?</p>
Object	Monsur Ahmed <ahmed.monsur@yahoo.com>	22/11/18	<p>Edmondson park is already crowded and station parking is already over the capacity. Planned school is not yet finalised, in this situation i am against this proposal to increase the number dwelling. Its a huge increase and its not feasible. Please stick to the original plan and dont increase of dwelling. Instead build more park and school and extend buchan road to station.</p>
Anonymous Object		23/11/18	<p>G'day, Increasing the number of dwellings from 440 to 3286 is way too high and I am not sure why would there be such a drastic change. Already at Edmondson park there is car park crisis and yes planning commission could argue that all of these residents in 3286 apartment would walk to station. Schools - Residents in Faulkner way and other streets would need to send their kids to Preston Public school and it takes 45 minutes one way in the morning adding more stress on the young kids, due to over development and poorly planned school zoning and delay of building new schools. The master plan had a school in place, but there is never any progress on that. There are no parks and the amenities are very less and increasing the capacity / population without providing any facilities. So the area is already over developed and I support the "Stop the Squeeze" program and object this proposal. As a local resident I would want this proposal to be stopped as this suburb is already well developed.</p>
Anonymous Object		23/11/18	<p>Over developed Less schools ED to Preston is already conjusted and kids spend 45 min one way travel.</p> <p>Planning commission first approved by 440 why would you now increase it to 8X ..</p> <p>No real reason to increase ..</p> <p>Is there a high rental demand - answer is no.</p>



Anonymous Object		<p>I greatly object to this submission. Edmondson Park is very quickly becoming over populated with not enough infrastructure and services (shops, schools, parking especially around the train station) and you are looking to further reduce this infrastructure and services to continue to over populate the area.</p> <p>I purchased in the area under the originally planning and submissions believing the infrastructure and development would continue to grow at a steady pace. However, this has already not happened the infrastructure has stalled while development has continued at a rapid pace.</p> <p>The roads in and around my home and stations etc are already unsafe for both myself and my family and I continue to struggle to drop my daughter off in the mornings and be able to find a parking space on the roads around the station to make it to work on time (the carparks are over full).</p> <p>You are continuing to make life worse for the people in the community and all I hear from my neighbours is complaints about this.</p> <p>I believe it is e high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejecteddition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues,</p>	23/11/18
Anonymous Comments		<p>We had enough of houses and constructions in our suburb. Our suburb is very disorderly and dirty now, we had enough noises from constructions and workers. We want more playgrounds and libraries to build so that we can take our kids for entertaining. The new playground is so small and simple that it always full of people and its very crowd. We want our shopping town, cinema and more parks to complete rather than building more and more house dwellings.</p> <p>We want enjoy life here in Edmondson Park, please give us peace and stop the squeeze!</p>	23/11/18
Anonymous Object		<p>It came to our attention the major and drastic development proposal changes to our suburb, Edmondson Park. In particular, the current Town Centre being built.</p> <p>As a young family, we particularly moved to this suburb as we saw the potential for where we can established our family.</p> <p>As the suburb grew, we understood that there'll be inconveniences that we have to accept as the government prepare and build infrastructures.</p> <p>Currently, our children had to remain at a school out of the local area. The absence of new schools means that most of the children in the suburbs had to remain in their current school and and has affected the building of community spirit and connection in the neighbourhood. We plan to enrol them at the public school closer to home promised by the government. But the plan of reducing the land size set aside for this school brings concern to my family and I.</p> <p>We do not agree with this proposal as we already can anticipate overcrowding in classes and limited facilities for where our children and high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejecteddition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues,</p> <p>rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.</p> <p>4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson Park which lacks basic amenities. It is overcrowded and kids are queueing up with long waits just to take a turn on slide during peak hours.</p> <p>Given all the current issues we have in suburb the current proposal will make the situation worse. Hence I strongly oppose it. is ACCC to enforce Australian Consumer Law....</p>	23/11/18
Anonymous Object		<p>I would like to submit my objection in further developing Edmondson park for residential or high-rise apartments.</p> <p>Edmondson Park is already over developed and lacks proper infrastructure to support the ever increasing population. Traffic congestion, road work, lack of parking and public transport including lack of commuter parking for trains adds to our frustration. Also, the area's green open space and trees are getting affected which is detrimental to the environment and clean and fresh air. I strongly object for any high-rise apartments and reducing the block size for residential properties. I hope that our voices are heard by the council and people making decisions for our future in Edmondson park.</p>	23/11/18

			<p>After reviewing the the new proposed plan, we believe this will make Edmondson Park an overcrowded busy suburb, with more traffic on the roads due to lack of proper infrastructure. We currently have issues finding parking at Edmondson Park station and council is not providing more parking spots in the imidiate future (I.e. this should have been considered before the opening of the train station as there are no walk ways for residents to get there without ongoing construction hazards and council has already fined commuters).</p> <p>The plan on reducing school area is unacceptable, we do not want overcrowded class rooms and smaller playgrounds, cramped parks and streets which make it unsafe for children. Edmondson Park was supposed to be a quiet suburb away from the busy cities, if this proposal is approved we will just be another city with high rises.</p> <p>I sincerely think the council and government should consider their current residents and prioritise building schools, parks/nature reserves and medical centres/shops high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p>
Object	Komal Kumar <Komal30.kumar@gmail.com>	23/11/18	
Object	Katherine Arde <kdlimfueco36@yahoo.com.au>	24/11/18	<p>Too much dwellings are proposed. No adequate public transport, public parking, schools and security are planned.</p> <p>I object to the development plans and changes it will affect the community and way life is due to extra congestion and increase if people in the area.</p> <p>We moved to Edmondson Park for more quieter living.</p>
Anonymous Object		25/11/18	<p>Regards</p> <p>I wish to put forward my objection to increasing the dwelling amounts from 440 to 3286.. Reasons for this include:</p> <p>traffic congestion over supply of housing over congestion of M5 and M7 entry and exit points, air pollution low income housing is not desirable not enough schools roads are not big enough over supply of housing and small streets, nowhere for kids to play.</p>
Anonymous Object		26/11/18	<p>There were a number of new development in my area since I moved in.</p> <p>The promise from the developer was there will be a new college built opposite to where I am living now. However instead of college, all we got are the new properties.</p> <p>The development has ruined the environment badly. Our house, windows, front yards, cars are full of dusts every single day.</p>
Object	Mengxiong Li <myonlybee@gmail.com>	26/11/18	<p>This is totally unacceptable and not an Australian life style.</p> <p>I am really unhappy about the proposal of increasing dwellings from 440 to 3286 and high rise apartments as we are already experiencing parking and massive traffic and road infrastructure issues around Edmondson park with existing population. I am aware that still 50% of approved dwellings are yet to be constructed.</p> <p>Secondly, reducing the land size of proposed school is unacceptable and unreasonable planning. Being a father of 2 young children, I have to send my 5 year old girl to 6 km away daily for Kindergarten as my house comes under Prestons school catchment area which is more than 6 km from my home. As and when I planned to move, I got to know that the school will be built and ready by 2018 but this is unreal now. On top of this this proposal hurts us future plans and left us to make decision of moving away from this suburb if you planning to reduce the land size then Education department will take more time or derail of construction a school in this visinity.</p>
Object	Veera Addanki <veeru.addanki@gmail.com>	28/11/18	<p>I am requesting you to kindly reject this p high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and</p> <p>I strongly oppose the proposed amendment.</p> <p>Landcom and council should FIRST provide all the following amenities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Public Schools (Primary &amp; High) both within Edmondson Park boundary.</li> <li>- More public park with good and ample facilities for all age groups.</li> <li>- Better Road, connectivity and ample parking at Edmondson Park train station.</li> </ul> <p>Edmondson Park due to greed developers, council, Landcom and politicians has already been bursting on the seam. In every street half of house have dual occuppies. This suburb is soon losing it character and becoming a high rise ghetto.</p> <p>More over it is shameful for promising the residents who moved in and then none of the promises being fulfilled. Landcom only wants to milk money and "affordable housing" and "middle tier" housing is a load of crap. Only interest is making maximum profit.</p>
Anonymous Object		30/11/18	

Object	Paraskevi Messimeris <vivianpm@inet.net.au>	01/12/18	File uploaded Submission on Edmondson Park Concept Plan 1. Increase residential yields from 440 to 3,030 - 3,286 The proposed increase will place enormous stress on the public infrastructure and community facilities. A review of the Social Infrastructure Report states: The revised concept plan seeks to increase the number of dwellings to 3,286 dwellings and will generate a projected population of 7,065 people It is very hard to fathom that a projected population of 7,065 people would not require additional facilities. The Demand Assessment has failed to take into consideration the forecasted incoming / existing population for Edmondson Park outside of the proposed increase area within the centre. The Benchmark for a Library requires one library per 33,000 population. While the increase of 7,065 people may not necessitate a library by itself, adding the increase population to the existing and planned population to Edmondson Park, a library is required. A library must form part of the planning community facility to be built high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air. I hope & pray that this proposal will be rejected addition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings. 4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson Park which lacks basic amenities. It is overcrowded and kids are queueing up with long waits just to take a turn on slide during peak hours.  Given all the current issues we have in suburb the current proposal will make the situation worse. Hence I strongly oppose it. is ACCC to enforce Australian Consumer Law....
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	I have two comments.  Firstly, I strongly support the comment from the engagement outcomes report that "One resident suggested that although they supported increased building heights near the train station, they did not support the proposed change in building heights extending to the boundaries of existing houses, on Learoyd Road, Somme Avenue and McFarlane Road. It was felt that a buffer should be introduced to reduce the impact on surrounding homes."  This buffer is critical to retaining the house value, acceptable shadow and general utility of those residents who purchased their property based on the previous concept plan.  Secondly, there seems to be a significant disconnect between the extra number of dwellings Landcom are seeking and the reduction in school size. Surely the increase in proposed dwellings requires more space for schools, not less. The school area should not be downsized as once this is developed, the decision cannot be unwound. Other areas previously reserved for schools have already been high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air. I hope & pray that this proposal will be rejected addition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	4. Parks - After long wait there is only one park in Edmondson I strongly support the comment from the engagement outcomes report that "One resident suggested that although they supported increased building heights near the train station, they did not support the proposed change in building heights extending to the boundaries of existing houses, on Learoyd Road, Somme Avenue and McFarlane Road. It was felt that a buffer should be introduced to reduce the impact on surrounding homes."  This buffer is critical to retaining the house value, acceptable shadows and general utility of those residents who purchased their property based on the previous concept plan.  The increase in dwellings needs to be sufficiently matched by increases to parks, road widths, off-street parking and community facilities. At the moment it appears that there are more dwellings for no additional community benefits.
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	Thanks

Anonymous Object		04/12/18	<p>Firstly, I strongly support the comment from the engagement outcomes report that "One resident suggested that although they supported increased building heights near the train station, they did not support the proposed change in building heights extending to the boundaries of existing houses, on Learoyd Road, Somme Avenue and McFarlane Road. It was felt that a buffer should be introduced to reduce the impact on surrounding homes."</p> <p>This buffer is critical to retaining the house value, acceptable shadows and general utility of those residents who purchased their property based on the previous concept plan.</p> <p>Secondly, there seems to be a significant disconnect between the extra number of dwellings Landcom are seeking and the reduction in school size. Surely the increase in proposed dwellings requires more space for schools, not less. The school area should not be downsized as once this is developed, the decision cannot be unwound. Other areas previously reserved for schools have already been provided to develop high rise buildings and terrace house, I wanted to enjoy the space and clear air.</p> <p>I hope &amp; pray that this proposal will be rejected addition worse given there is no work done to resolve these issues, rather looks like reducing the land allocated for required development to build dwellings.</p>
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p> <p>I am writing against new plans to change approved dwellings from 440 to 3286, reducing the size of land allocated for public schools amongst others. I do the daily run of picking up and dropping up my kids to school everyday and I believe this change will mean more traffic jam on the our already busy roads. I do have many concerns over the future safety and quality of life for existing and future residents of Edmondson Park. I do not know exactly where to address those issues and suggestions. Please upload surveys for all residents of Edmondson Park to have our feedback on how our area is doing so far and possible suggestions.</p> <p>I know that our local MP has put his input against the planned changes and I am writing to agree with his concerns and against the new changes.</p> <p>Apologies if this page is not the right place to write our concerns.</p> <p>Many thanks for your kind consideration,</p> <p>kind regards,</p>
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	<p>I OBJECT to the new proposed amended to the Edmondson Park concept plan.</p> <p>Increasing the proposed dwellings will overcrowd an already over crowded suburb that the current road and infrastructure cannot currently cater for.</p> <p>In addition reducing the size of the proposed school grounds is short sighted and just another typical call by council to increase its revenue by increasing dwelling numbers and grabbing at land rates. With the new suburbs being developed around Edmondson Park it doesn't make sense to reduce the capacity of the proposed school grounds.</p> <p>With increased dwellings, smaller land sizes and overpopulation brings the potential for more crime/breaking and entering with a flow-on effect to current land holders insurance premiums etc, which would</p>
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	I OBJECT to the new proposed amended to the Edmondson Park concept
Anonymous Object		04/12/18	See attachment