

App A Summary of Submissions

The table in this document indexes all submissions received during the public exhibition of the Consultation Paper. Submissions have been categorised by Agency or Public Submission.

Submissions who requested to be identified as 'Confidential' have not had their name included in this table. Where a submitter has requested their submission to be 'Confidential' and it will not be possible to search for the submission by name. To protect the privacy of individuals, even where submitters have not requested their name to be withheld, any reference to their address has been removed.

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
Agencies				
150	Blue Mountains City Council	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blue Mountains City Council (Council) focused on two elements of the proposed SEPP, the film production precinct and the heliport. Council was supportive of the film production precinct. • Council opposed the helicopter use at the subject site due to potential impacts on residents from aircraft noise and lack of an effective mechanism to enforce flight paths or volume of flights. • The Blue Mountains National Park currently has a Fly Neighbourly Agreement (voluntary policy between aircraft operators and the community) in place and any such proposal that uses this airspace, would breach this agreement. • Raised concern regarding the potential for negative impacts from the heliport operations to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Flora and fauna; ○ The National Park and World Heritage Area due to lack of regulation of airspace over World Heritage Areas; ○ Natural and nature area tourism and local businesses. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
177	Penrith City Council	Planning controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SEPP amendment does not address the need for a comprehensive vision for the broader site and the need for the Department, Penrith City Council and Penrith Lakes Development Corporation to come together to undertake this. • Supports the modernisation of the Penrith Lakes Scheme SEPP Maps, the inclusion provisions regarding solar access and key vistas and view corridors, flood evacuation (in principle) and satisfactory arrangements. • The satisfactory arrangements provision should be expanded to cover local infrastructure contributions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.1 loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes. • See Section 6.2 Altered Amendments regarding the exhibited satisfactory arrangements provision. • Satisfactory arrangements provisions can only deal with regional-scale infrastructure delivered by the State. Local infrastructure delivered by councils can only be required through condition of consent authorised by Section 7.11 or 7.12.
		Flood planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Early Flood Evacuation Guideline (former Flood Response Guideline) for Penrith Lakes needs to be made available, and reviewed by agencies, before being potentially referenced in the Penrith Lakes Scheme SEPP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation of the Report.
		Site specific amendments - Office - Heliport - Landers Inn - Golf course - Film Production Precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site-specific amendments are supported, in principle, though further detail is required to confirm their suitability for development approval. • The bulk and scale of the office site has not been provided (only land area is known). Council officers would need to ensure that the size of permitted office development does not in the future compete, but rather complement the Penrith City Centre. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. • In relation to the office proposal, it is acknowledged that the bulk and scale is not known. The proposed SEPP amendment defines an area which the office premise additional permitted use will apply.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The suitability of the site of the film production precinct will be tested at the DA stage in relation to flood evacuation, urban design, landscaping and local infrastructure requirements. • A golf course is supported however further clarification is needed regarding how public recreational access will be provided. A private recreational land use is inconsistent with the community expectations for a public accessible parkland. 	
146a and b	Transport for NSW	Transport and traffic	<p>Some parts of this submission are summarised within the report. In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The impact of the proposed development on the cumulative evacuation tasks across the Hawkesbury-Nepean Valley should be assessed to ensure that the proposal does not result in increased risk to life during a flood evacuation. • TfNSW considers 'satisfactory arrangements' postpones the consideration of traffic impacts until the DA stage. • Request the 'Flood Response Guideline' for Penrith Lakes that is being drafted by the Department separate to this SEPP amendment be referred to the Hawkesbury-Nepean Flood Risk Management Directorate in Infrastructure NSW, the SES and TfNSW for review, consideration and endorsement. 	See Sections 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation and 4.2.2 Traffic and Transport.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
161	Racing NSW	Site specific amendments Landers Inn	Agrees with the proponent's rezoning request that a tourism land use zone is more appropriate than a heritage listing of Landers Inn. A heritage listing places a far greater burden on the site as the operation of Clause 28(10) will specifically require 'the conservation of the heritage item or Aboriginal place of heritage significance is facilitated by the granting of consent'. In addition, a tourism land use zone would then provide a consistent relationship to the adjoining site (discussed below).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments
		Site specific amendments Other land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The heliport, office and film production precinct total an area of 53.26ha which will 'remove' the potential for the underlying zone 'tourism' to generate future tourist uses. The northern end of the Penrith Lakes provides 16.5ha of land that is clear of the flood zone. This land could be zoned tourism to offset this loss of tourism land. Has requested a site-specific zoning review for zoning Racing NSW's land, which partially sits within the northern extent of Penrith Lakes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments A wider zoning review will be undertaken as part of a broader strategic planning and master plan review for Penrith Lakes. Racing NSW's land that sits within the Penrith Lakes scheme can be considered as part of this broader review.
162	Department of Primary Industries	Waterway access and activity	Advocated further access to the Lakes for recreational fishing. This will enhance economic and tourism opportunities and provide greater useability of this highly popular waterway.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes.
163	Sydney Water	Waterway health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed SEPP should be assessed against Western City District Plan planning priorities, W12 To protect and improve the health and enjoyment of the district's waterways, W18 delivering high quality open space and W20 adapting to climate change. Recommends that proposed site plans, uses and developments include the preparation of integrated water management plans and feasibility plans, to assess servicing requirements, ensure suitable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is more appropriate for the identified planning priorities to be considered in the masterplanning of the site. Integrated water management plans can be considered at the development application stage.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<p>and timely services as well as sustainable water sensitive design outcomes are being achieved.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed development should not affect the wetlands intended to treat water from the Nepean River to the Penrith Lakes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing DCP contains water sensitive urban design, stormwater management, water conservation and reuse controls. It is appropriate for the servicing requirements of individual proposals to be considered at the development application stage.
		Planning controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that the existing Clause 5.35 under the Precincts – Western Parkland City SEPP is retained. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No amendment to Clause 5.35 is proposed.
170	Tafe NSW	Site specific amendments - Film Production Precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tafe NSW acknowledged the significant education and employment benefits for a new film studio within Western Sydney and expressed a strong desire for collaboration and partnership with the proponent, to maximise education and training benefits. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tafe NSW's comments are noted.
175	State Emergency Service	Flood planning	This submission is summarised and responded to within the report (Section 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation)	
178	Office of Sport	Planning controls	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Place-based Infrastructure Compact (PIC) program is essential to ensure that the funding necessary for community infrastructure is collected and utilised to build the required infrastructure. Contributions identified in the PIC be fully funded. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The PIC program does not include this site. The report recommends a concurrence of the Planning Secretary is required for development applications in which the impact of development on existing and designated State public infrastructure is considered (Section 6.2 Altered Amendments).

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
		Site specific amendments - Other land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested realigning existing environmental zoned areas in the south of the precinct, around the existing tourism and employment zones due to isolated pockets that are too small to be sustainable ecosystems. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This request may be considered in the masterplanning exercise however further technical justification would be required.
		Site specific amendments - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental, tourism and infrastructure lands should not be included in the area for the proposed private golf course. If the golf course is to be a private golf course, then RE2 private recreation is more appropriate. However, a private golf course would go against the original intent of the SEPP (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989. The Office of Sport recommends this area is designated as a sports field for the community. The Office of Sport queries the need for another golf course within the Western City District. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments, the area for the golf course amendment has been refined.
179	Western Sydney Airport	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The heliport proposal should not proceed until evidence of consultation with DITRDC, CASA and Western Sydney Airport has been undertaken and matters raised by those organisations/Departments have been adequately addressed by the proponent. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CASA, DITRDC and Western Sydney Airport were consulted on the proposed amendments and their comments have been considered through this Submissions Report.
180	Department of Primary Industries (Fisheries)	Fish species and habitat	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is satisfied that it will not adversely affect critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, as listed under the Fisheries Management Act 1994. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted
181	Environment, Energy and Science	Ecological impact and protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is significant amounts of the endangered ecological community River Flat Eucalypt Forest within the private golf course. This land should be protected with an Environmental Conservation zone consistent with the adjoining sites to the east. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments, the area for the golf course has been refined and excludes land identified as containing the endangered ecological community.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EES recommends that a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) be undertaken specific to this proposal to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • understand the biodiversity values present on the subject land • assess the impacts on those values, and • ensure that appropriate planning provisions to protect biodiversity are implemented through the Amending SEPP 2021. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Utilising the environmental conservation land use zone for the area identified is more appropriate to be considered within the masterplanning exercise for the whole site.
		Flood planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommended that a flood impact and risk assessment (FIRA) be undertaken that considers the new site-specific proposals in relation to the flood constraints and risks for Penrith Lakes. • EES sought that these assessment reports, along with the Early Flood Evacuation Guideline, should be made available for review before the Amending SEPP 2021 is finalised. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As a result of the Flood Advisory Panel Report, only low intensity uses are proceeding at this time that have minimal impact on evacuation capacity. Higher intensity uses will require additional work including flood impact and risk assessment to determine their suitability on the site. • The Department is not proceeding with an Early Flood Evacuation Guideline at this time. The Department has proposed some additional flood evacuation provisions to be included within the SEPP (Section 6.2 Altered Amendments)
183	CASA	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CASA does not regulate helicopter landing sites outside an aerodrome. • CASA provided comment on the SEARS for the helipad proposal and seeks to review the EIS. The EIS must address airspace operations and impacts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
184a and b	Department of Infrastructure Transport, Regional Development and Communication	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The heliport proposal is outside of the declared Obstacle Limitation Surface (OLS) for Western Sydney International Airport (WSIA), and while flight paths of the WSIA are not yet finalised, flight paths from the WISA will not prevent the operation of the heliport. Recommended that the heliport proponent engage with DITRDC regarding airspace operation and compatibility with the WISA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
Public Submission				
1	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Film production precinct - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contends the site-specific proposals are not creating the right jobs for Penrith Raises concern regarding the compatibility with the environment and flood risks 	Post exhibition amendments have been made following advice from the Flood Advisory Panel and advice on significant vegetation.
2	R Rigby	General submission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal will increase traffic and flooding in nearby suburbs Does not reflect community expectations for Penrith Lakes as an area for public recreation. 	See Sections 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes, 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation, 4.2.2 Traffic and Transport.
3	D Davidson	Site specific amendments - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the golf course proposal and recognises the unique job and recreational opportunities it will provide to the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
4	L Hallett	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the film production precinct proposal, recognising the ongoing job opportunities in the creative arts industries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
5	P Mayhew	General submission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
6	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise on residents and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
7	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the film production precinct proposal, recognising the ongoing job opportunities in the creative arts industries Seeking for public access to the site Seeking the provision of a mountain bike facility at the site 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. New opportunities such as a mountain bike facility can be considered through the wider master planning review.
8	J Cass	Site specific amendments - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The delivery of a golf course will require careful environmental planning. The land is better served as an environmental area for managing ecological values, passive recreational and educational opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
9	J Jenner	Site specific amendments - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to golf course, leave the natural landscape in its current form. Attention should be focused on improving existing infrastructure. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
10	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Landers inn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the heritage listing of Landers Inn and facilitation of new commercial activity that will contribute to the restoration and reuse of this item 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. The Department is not proceeding with this amendment.
11	I Minato	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeks Penrith Lakes to include recreation areas that are 'family friendly'. Suggests barbeque areas, playgrounds, opportunities for kayaking, canoeing, fishing, swimming, toilet facilities, small food and beverage take away shops 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The suggestions for embellishment of recreation areas can be considered within the masterplanning exercise for Penrith Lakes.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
12	G Prabhakar	Protection of wildlife areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking details of how wildlife areas will be maintained, protected, supported and enhanced. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes The land subject to amendments proceeding are generally clear of vegetation. Any areas that contain vegetation or have biodiversity significance will be reviewed as part of the broader masterplanning exercise.
13	L Pisani	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking confirmation as to when the Lakes will be open for public recreational opportunities for activities such as fishing, picnics, barbeque areas and walking. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes.
14	Confidential	Flood planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned the area is already subject to flooding. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation and Section 6.2 Altered amendments
		Transport and Traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would like to see the site remain free of residential housing. Concerned that new development will exacerbate existing traffic issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The exhibited proposal did not include residential housing. See Section 4.2.2 Traffic and Transport and Section 6.2 Altered amendments
		Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking delivery of recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.
15	S & T Harris	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has requested tree planting, additional seating, play areas and other recreational opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing Development Control Plan for the site includes tree

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
				<p>planting provisions to support new developments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.
16	D Boyd	Job opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking that the development is delivered to realise the job opportunities / benefits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
17	C Stofmeel	Commercialisation of Penrith Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers the proposal is commercialising land that should be avoided. The majority of Penrith Lakes should remain as parkland. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. See Section 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes.
18	M Armour	Recreational opportunity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking for the lakes to be given back to the community for various forms of recreation such as fishing. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review. See Section 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes.
19	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking delivery of recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.
20	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Raises concern that a heliport will generate detrimental noise to a community that is already to be impacted by flight paths associated with the Western Sydney Airport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
21	Confidential	Recreational opportunity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking for the lakes to be given back to the community and to be supported by recreational opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review. See Section 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes.
22	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking delivery of recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	
23	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking delivery of recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	
		Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that the film production precinct will lead to road closures, causing traffic implications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
24	I Tipuric	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking delivery of recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.
25	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal, recognising the site suitability and job, tourism, property valuation, education and skill based opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
26	B Brown	General submission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposals. Will increase traffic issues, and detrimentally impact on the local community, character and operations. Is seeking delivery of recreational infrastructure in and surrounding the lakes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport and 6 Post-exhibition amendments Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
27	P Neurohr	Job creation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal and the associated job creation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
28	R Clark	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking protection and embellishment of public recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.
29	R Rigby	Recreational opportunity and embellishment / Commercialisation of Penrith Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers the proposal is commercialising land that should be avoided and remain as recreational areas Current road networks are congested. Current management of the lakes needs to be upgraded as the lakes are suffering from an algae outbreak. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes, 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport and 6 Post-exhibition amendments The management of the lakes is in accordance with the endorsed Penrith lakes Water Management Plan.
30	S Zarlenga	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise, views and loss of privacy for residents and the environment Does not consider that a heliport is consistent with the intended current and future land uses of Penrith Lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
31	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path traffic Is seeking protection and embellishment of public recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1
32	Confidential	Site specific amendments, in particular heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to the development of the site as shown in these proposals. Concerned about flight path noise, views and loss of privacy for residents and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking consideration of another site for a heliport, such as Western Sydney Airport Considers the proposal is commercialising land that should be avoided and should become a public recreation area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
33	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise and pollution for residents and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
34	J Buller	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
35	S Hanman	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking protection and embellishment of public recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
36	E Hackett	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
37	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise for residents and how it will impact property values. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
38	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise for residents and how it will impact property values 	
39	J Victoria	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise Can see no benefit or need for the proposal 	

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
40	Confidential	Site specific amendments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers the proposal is commercialising land that should be avoided and dedicated as environmental / recreational landscape Concerned about flight path noise 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.1 Loss of recreational land and commercialisation of Penrith Lakes, 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment, 6 Post-exhibition amendments
41	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
42	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise and air space movements 	
43	E Parfitt	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of a universal studio or Disneyland type proposal 	
44	Confidential	Job Opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the amendments and associated job opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
45	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the proposal and associated job opportunities 	
46	D Barbara	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking the lakes to be open for the public for recreational fishing. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
47	K Peterson	Commercialisation of Penrith Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to proposals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers the proposals are commercialising land that should be developed as a high quality community resource 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
48	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of proposal apart from the heliport. Concerned about flight path noise and pollution for residents and how it will impact property values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
49	E Cox	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to proposal, particularly the heliport. Considers the proposal is commercialising land that was originally planned and would have more benefit if avoided and dedicated as environmental / recreational landscape Concerned about flight path noise for residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
50	A Slack	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise for residents Would prefer the land as parkland 	
51	R Watkins	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In favour of golf course, opposes heliport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted
52	J Sodbinow-beeken	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not oppose the proposal 	
53	D Knight	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposed to amendments as they do not serve the community Concerned heliport flights will disturb residents and the environment Opposed to more housing estates as it will increase the urban heat island effect and add to existing traffic congestion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment, 6 Post-exhibition amendments.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeking public park lands and lakes with recreational opportunities. • Seeking to retain wetland areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1. • The wetlands are not affected by this proposal.
54	E Kennett	Site specific amendments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considers the proposal seeks to use land for private profit, inconsistent with lifestyle needs of residents. • Supports the job opportunities created by the proposal. • Infrastructure within Penrith should be a priority for Penrith to realise its vision. • The proposal should be revised to include recreation areas/facilities such as walking trails, cycling tracks, BBQ areas, playgrounds, cafes and restaurants. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Sections 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment, 6 Post-exhibition amendments. • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
55	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeks restrictions on development to manage impacts on neighbouring residential land during construction and operational phases, such as noise, vibration and pollution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. • As part of a development approval, conditions of consent will be inserted to manage impact of construction and operation of the development.
56	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supportive of the film production precinct and associated job opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted.
57	S Bass	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supportive of the amendments • Seeking management of flight paths to address potential noise impacts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
58	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about land use conflict with neighbouring residential areas (waterside and Cranebrook) Proposals are drastically different to the original plan for Penrith Lakes being parks and waterways. Consider the proposals will exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to Heliport amendment, 4.2.2 traffic & transport, 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
59	Confidential	Site specific amendments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal and associated job creation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
60	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about land use conflict with neighbouring residential areas and adverse amenity impacts of the heliport Consider the proposal will exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment, Section 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport.
61	R Pearson	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong objection. Concerned about noise impacts on neighbouring residential areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
62	T Crawley	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking the delivery of a series of lakes to be used for recreation and surrounding lands to be developed as parklands, as previously promised. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
63	J Rozema	Site specific amendments - Not specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is angered by the proposal Does not consider enough greenspace dedication is being provided Concerned regarding the timing of the exhibition period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1. The concerns regarding the timing of the exhibition of the proposed amendments are noted. Sufficient opportunity to review and comment

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
				on the proposal has been made through the notification period.
64	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking the delivery of the artificial beach, fishing, recreational sailing and parkland with BBQ and walking/cycling trails as promised. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
65	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to the proposal Concerned about noise and traffic impacts for neighbouring residential areas Concerned about flights will disturb the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment, 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport.
66	I Bryce	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the heliport proposal Seeking public access and use of Penrith Lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
67	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking protection and embellishment of public recreational opportunities in and surrounding the lakes Seeking cafes/restaurants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
68	J Garland	Transport and traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposals will exacerbate existing traffic congestion in the area Parklands should be for recreation only, expansive lakes is unique in Sydney. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
69	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of the promised recreational area with embellishments such as playgrounds, BBQ, swimming. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
70	A Heffeman	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposal Is seeking confirmation regarding previously planned recreation facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
71	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of public recreation areas, not housing / development 	
72	D Caven	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of beach and public recreation areas as previously promised. 	
73	Z Martin	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers the proposal is wasting the lakes, which should be made public and available for fishing 	
74	S Debono	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the film production precinct. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
75	D Duic	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the film production precinct, heliport or golf course proposals Seeking public recreation areas be established supported by bike/walking trails, BBQ facilities and fishing opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
76	L Simpson	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Film production precinct - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposals provided recreational areas are also provided and the road network is not congested 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1. See Section 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
77	C Jay	Site specific amendments - Not specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leave the site as is. Does not support more people, buildings or recreation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. See Section 7.1 Greater Sydney Region Plan and Western City District Plan
78	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of public parklands with recreational opportunities such as bike tracks, walking trails supported by some restaurants/entertainment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities/ food and drink premises/sport infrastructure can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
79	L Roberts	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking the delivery of public parklands supported by recreational opportunities as previously promised. 	
80	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Film production precinct - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The community doesn't need this proposal, it is seeking the delivery of recreational areas with swimming, bike riding and BBQ infrastructure. 	
81	M Byrne	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking a family orientated recreational area with inclusive infrastructure such as a sensory park. 	
82	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking the delivery of a synthetic sports track within Penrith Lakes 	
83	L Belotti	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
84	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposal The proposal should focus on improving quality of life for community and be developed into parklands and recreational areas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
85	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the heliport proposal, due to potential noise impacts, lack of need and traffic implications Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as greenspace and publicly accessible lakes as promised 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment, 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
86	T Lindsay	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land is currently underutilised Seeking further consideration of Penrith Lakes to be developed into parkland and recreational areas for community use and preserving the environment, as previously planned. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
87	B Adamson	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the heliport proposal, due to potential flight noise impacts Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as parklands and wildlife areas as previously promised 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
88	G Adamson	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the heliport proposal, due to potential noise impacts and air traffic near residential communities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
89	E Adamson	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise on residents and the environment 	

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
90	K Cousins	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise on residents and the environment 	
91	W Stien	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking recreational embellishment of lakes and parklands. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
92	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path traffic on residents and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
93	R Wehrle	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise on nearby residents Seeking detail regarding sound barriers and operational management to reduce potential noise and nuisance impacts 	
94	N Mullen	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking Penrith Lakes to be developed as public parkland. Suggested a mountain bike facility. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
95	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands. 	
		Site specific amendments - Heliport - Landers Inn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A heliport is not warranted. Supports retaining heritage buildings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
96	L T Hooker	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
97	R Ware	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the proposal and associated job opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
98	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly opposed to the heliport proposal due to adverse amenity impacts on nearby residential areas. Does not support the film production precinct unless sufficient acoustic mitigation measures are provided. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment, 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
99	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment / Environmental degradation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
		Site specific amendments - Landers Inn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers the proposal will impact surrounding land uses from excessive noise 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments
100	S Zarlenga	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Indicated that helicopters are already using the site Concerned regarding flight noise disturbance on surrounding residential areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Independent Planning Commission approved the construction and use of a helipad on the site on 3 August 2022. See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
101	E Roberts	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands. 	

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
102	G Panaioli	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
103	J Westwood	Site specific amendments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heliport Film production precinct Golf course 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to the proposals. Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised 	
104	C Bowdige	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands. 	
105	Confidential	Site specific amendments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heliport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heliport operators already on site. Concerned about the potential noise impacts from the heliport on surrounding areas and the wind and noise impacts on the operations of the International Regatta Centre. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Independent Planning Commission approved the construction and use of a helipad on the site on 3 August 2022. See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
106	S Gillon	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking free camping sites at Penrith Lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
107	K Seychell	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking confirmation regarding recreational opportunities of the lakes including fishing 	
108	A Thompson	Site specific amendments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heliport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support heliport or golf course. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Recreational opportunities and food and drink premises can be

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Golf course 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeking public recreational opportunities within Penrith Lakes including walking/bike paths, BBQs, picnic areas, access to water activities (kayaking/canoeing/swimming), areas for fishing • Seeking additional tree planting and a café/dining area 	<p>considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.</p>
109	E Richardson	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides suggestion for embellishing and utilising the lakes for public recreation, environmental, cultural and education purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These uses for the parklands can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
110	J Giles	Penrith Lakes environmental education centre (EEC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeking confirmation the Penrith Lakes environmental education centre would have access to these sites. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Penrith Lakes educational centre is located just inside Gate A at 153 – 233 Old Castlereagh Road near the Sydney International Regatta Centre. • Sydney International Regatta Centre and Penrith Whitewater Stadium are open to the public. If the Education Centre wishes to access other areas of Penrith Lakes, that is a matter for the centre to arrange with the appropriate land owner.
111	A Brown	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeking delivery of Penrith Lakes as public parklands as previously promised and suggests recreational opportunities within the parklands such as bike, walking, horse trails, inland beach, BBQ areas, water sports. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
112	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal doesn't include any community benefit. • Proposal is being fast tracked over other environmental and community concerns. • The submission queries the site selection process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Department has commenced a wider master planning review of Penrith Lakes. Public recreational opportunities will be considered as

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that the amendment would enable heliports on any land zoned tourism in NSW, or other unintended development at the site Concerned regarding noise, vibration and fuel storage, traffic on residents, the lakes and environment 	<p>part of that process, see Section 4.1.1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The heliport amendment would only apply to the property address identified. It is not a broad amendment to other land use zones. See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
113	A Miller	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned regarding fuel, noise and environmental impacts Does not recognise a need for the heliport in proximity to the Western Sydney Airport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
114	Anonymous	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
115	M Blair	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposes the hours of operation of a heliport near residents of Waterside 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
116	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
117	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposal 	
118	A Jade	Flood planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned more development will increase flooding issues in the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation
119	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about being in the flight path of the heliport and potential pollution risks to waterways 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
120	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not want to see Penrith Lakes developed. • Provides suggestions for embellishing and utilising the lakes for public recreation, including walking and bike tracks and tourism purposes. • Questions the need for the development proposals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
121	J Nolan	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the hours of operation of a heliport and associated amenity impacts on nearby residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
122	William Kennedy	Commercialisation of Penrith Lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considers the proposal is commercialising land that should be dedicated for recreation purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
		Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the proposal and concerned about noise impacts 	
123	H Aldous	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the proposal due to noise impacts on nearby residential areas 	
124	Tomasy Planning	Site specific amendments Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owns land at 39-45 Old Castlereagh Road, Penrith, which sits approximately 400m east to the proposed Heliport site within Penrith Lakes • Landowners envisage developing their site for serviced apartments. • Considers the proposed amendments are driven by economic viability as opposed to the protection of this unique environment by the introduction of a heliport on land that immediately abuts an international sporting facility. • A heliport conflicts with the existing DCP aims and objectives for this precinct. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a lack of evidence in the Consultation Report regarding environmental and land use conflict impacts. • Questions conclusions drawn in the consultation report regarding noise impacts on residential areas without the submission of an environmental impact statement. • The proposal is not compatible with the adjoining land use zones, Parkland and Waterway zones and the Penrith Lakes scheme. Future development should enhance the world-class sporting and recreation identity. 	
125a 125b	C Kotera	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the proposal due to potential noise impacts on residents and the environment • If Sydney Helicopters takes over the land zoned tourism, the land won't be made available for public access. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment. • Areas that will become publicly accessible will be considered as part of the broader master plan review, see Section 4.1.1.
126	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the proposal due to potential noise impacts on residents and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment.
127	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not support the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted.
128	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the proposal due to potential noise impacts on residents and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment.
129	N Chahroura	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not support the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
130	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Not specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the proposals impact on the natural habitat, animals and insects in the area Is seeking native plants to be utilised in all landscaping works 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The land subject to amendments proceeding are clear of vegetation. Any areas that contain vegetation or have biodiversity significance will be reviewed as part of the broader masterplanning exercise. Landscaping details to support new developments would be considered through the DA process and guided by relevant Sections of the SEPP and DCP.
131	K Mulry	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking designated areas for public use and recreational opportunities within the parklands and lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
132	B Farrell	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the amendment and associated job opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
133	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposal Concerned about potential noise impacts to surrounding residents and waterways Does not see the broad public benefit for the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
134 and 166	Waterside Estate	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking rejection of the proposal and raised concern regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> noise to adjoining residents increased traffic congestion flood risk 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - airspace and flight routes - impacts on birds and other wildlife - pollution from industrial chemicals and fuel - bushfire risk - lack of economic benefits 	
135	Confidential	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not support proposed development within Penrith Lakes other than public recreational parkland 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
136	L Ora	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the film production proposal and associated job creation. • Considers the golf course will have little community benefit • Provides suggestion for embellishing and utilising the lakes and site for public recreation purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
137	Sydney Helicopters	Site specific amendments Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sydney Helicopters was founded in 1985 and is the longest running commercial helicopter operator in Sydney. Since commencing operation, Sydney Helicopters have functioned out of a Heliport facility in Granville. The Granville site is being compulsorily acquired by the NSW State Government under the Sydney Metro West project. • Operations includes private tourism flights, charter flights, film and photography, assisting emergency services including RFS and SES. • Sydney helicopters is pursuing a Development Application at the site based on the current permissibility for a helipad. However, 'helipad' imposes some restrictions on public access to the site. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted.
138	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport - Landers Inn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledged that the heliport will provide rapid response to bushfire and hazard reduction, though indicated that noise pollution and flight path reviews need to be undertaken to confirm suitability with local residential areas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment • There are existing DCP provisions apply that encourage consultation,

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Golf course - Film Production Precinct 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supported the repurpose of European heritage (Landers Inn), encourages consultation with local Aboriginal Elders around cultural and environmental impacts. • Supports the golf club proposal, which aligns with broader sustainable development goals • Supports the film studio proposal, as a job creating industry • Suggests cultural educational walks around the rezoned areas with Indigenous native eatable plants be included. Highly recommended heat stress mitigation strategies for this precinct be implemented. 	<p>preservation and enhancement of Aboriginal cultural and archaeological significance. The DCP also includes provisions that relate to noise, vibration and heat stress mitigation (through tree planting).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural educational walks are more appropriate to be considered in the masterplanning of the site, see Section 4.1.1.
140	C Chobdzynski	Site specific amendments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Heliport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about flight path noise and air space movements over nearby residents • Questions why the proposal cannot be accommodated at the Western Sydney Airport 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment
141	Confidential	Transport and traffic / Flood planning Site specific amendments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Heliport - Film production precinct - Golf course 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raises concern regarding existing traffic issues and that new development will increase the issue • Contests the suitability for allowing new development in the floodplain • Questions the need for the proposals, and highlights other potential sites for the heliport • Raises concern regarding air traffic movements and noise and the strain on infrastructure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Sections 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation, 4.2.2 Traffic & Transport, • See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. • See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment.
142	A Wati	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides suggestion for embellishing and utilising the lakes for public recreation purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
143	Christian Conference Centre	Solar access and key vistas and view corridors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests mitigation measures to prevent further obscuring of views 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A development proposal will need to demonstrate how it complies with SEPP provisions and the DCP.
		Site specific amendments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports all the proposals Requests the development of the film production precinct provide a water and sewer connection for their site and the access road is upgraded. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
144	Penrith Valley Chamber of Commerce	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal as it creates new local industries, increases commercial activity and provides new jobs. Seeking to review a masterplan for the whole Penrith Lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The comments regarding the benefits of the proposal are noted. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
145	Confidential	Flood planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any provision relating to the Early Flood Evacuation Guideline should be deferred until this Guideline is made available for review and comment Flood evacuation and satisfactory arrangement provisions should be appropriate to the region and site, and not unduly constrain development. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Sections 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation, 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
		Site specific amendments Landers Inn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only the stables at the Landers Inn should be heritage listed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
147	Royal Life Saving Australia	Drowning prevention planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides suggestion that the provisions under the Penrith Lakes Scheme be expanded further to consider drowning prevention. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities within and surrounding the Lakes can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1. The recommendations around water safety and drowning prevention can

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
				be considered as part of the broader review and operational requirements.
148	B Stewart	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides suggestion for embellishing and utilising the lakes for public recreation purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities within and surrounding the Lakes can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
149a 149b	G Brown	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposals not supported by rigorous studies or impact statements. No employment benefits from making a heliport permissible. Sydney Helicopters has existing employees that will relocate to the Penrith Lakes site from Granville. Only jobs that will be created will be a small number of temporary construction jobs. Concerned about potential noise impacts on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> nearby residents people attending the regatta centre the future use of the remainder of Penrith Lakes as passive recreation destination 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. The operation of the heliport will also support tourism in the area. See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to the heliport amendment.
151	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the proposal as it can assist with providing assistance in the event of a bushfire. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
152	A Maity	Site specific amendments - Not specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal as it will provide an economic boost to Penrith. 	
153	T Rowe	Site specific amendments - Film production precinct	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeks that the Great River Walk extension is not jeopardised by the golf course and will be opened to the public. Seeking protection of certain historic buildings and opened to the public 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Heritage items on the site and recreational opportunities will be

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
		- Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Doesn't want the proposal to jeopardise the plans for the public recreational parklands. 	reviewed as part of the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
154	B Douglas	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the proposal as it will provide new job opportunities and bushfire management services to the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
155	D Douglas	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the proposal as it will provide new job opportunities and bushfire management services to the area 	
156	Confidential	Site specific amendments (all)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal broadly, reinforcing Penrith as a destination for tourism and creating long term employment opportunities Supports the heliport proposal, assisting emergency responses in the area 	
157	Confidential	Satisfactory arrangements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested clarity around development contributions for the precinct. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post exhibition amendments
		Site specific amendments Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expressed concern regarding acoustic impacts of heliport. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity Impacts relating to the Heliport amendment.
		Site specific amendments Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted Educational Establishments are permitted with consent within the Tourism zone. Suggested centre-based child care facilities be permitted with consent. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is more appropriate for additional land use permissibility to be reviewed as part of the broader master planning review.
158	C Matthews	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking the land remain open for public recreation and not used for development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
159	Urban Taskforce Australia	General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While supportive of the amendments, it's noted the additional uses are a consequence of developer/investor led requests for amendments to the SEPP. Seeking the SEPP amendment be reconsidered to identify employment land uses to realise the economic and social potential of the Lakes and environs while ensuring resilience to flood events. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These comments are noted, and it is reaffirmed, that a wider zoning review is being undertaken as part of a broader strategic planning and master plan review for Penrith Lakes, see Section 4.1.1.
160	K McColl	Site specific proposals - General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Raises concern regarding development impacts on scenic views, amenity and the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment
		Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides suggestion for embellishing and utilising the lakes for public recreation purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
164	M Edwards (with 8 other signatures)	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Raised specific concern regarding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise Fuel / exhaust pollution Lack of need for the proposal Air traffic / airspace operations Impacts on the regatta Flood and stormwater management Impact on residents and the wider environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment.
165	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned regarding noise and impact on character of area for residents Provides suggestion for embellishing and utilising Penrith Lakes for other public recreation purposes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
167	D Bowen	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider the proposal has little community benefit Will cause noise and aviation pollution issues, and waste land that should be developed as public recreation land 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
168	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider the proposal has little community benefit Will cause noise, pollution and environmental degradation Suggests the land should be developed as public recreation land 	
169	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
171	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposes the proposal Seeks the land is zoned for recreation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review.
172	K L Malfa	Recreational opportunity and embellishment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposes the site-specific proposals Seeks Penrith Lakes to be used for public recreation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted. Recreational opportunities can be considered through the wider master planning review, see Section 4.1.1.
173	Confidential	Flood planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned regarding more development being at risk of flood 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.2.1 Flooding and Evacuation.
		Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Raised specific concern regarding wind and noise impacts to activities and events that utilise and access the lakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment
		Site specific amendments - Golf course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highlights how a golf course is hazardous to the environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.

App A Summary of Submissions

No.	Name	Key Issue	Summary	Relevant Section(s) in the Finalisation Report or DPE response
		Site specific amendments - Film production precinct - Offices	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is seeking protection of sensitive environments near these sites through a controlled footprint area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments. While a maximum footprint for the amendments proceeding hasn't been identified, a certain area has been mapped for the proposals as part of the SEPP amendment.
		Site specific amendments - Landers Inn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposed adaptive reuse of existing infrastructure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 6 Post-exhibition amendments.
174	G Ohlsson	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supportive of the proposal for its ability to provide emergency management services to the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted.
176	Confidential	Site specific amendments - Heliport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about flight path noise on residents and the environment Raises concern regarding the need for the proposal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Section 4.1.2 Amenity impacts relating to heliport amendment.