

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354249	<p>Dear Mr Glasgow,</p> <p>With respect I submit my intense objection to the latest obscenity proposed for The Rocks. I assumed that without James Packer to control government now, decisions would be made with integrity and on merit.</p> <p>I have a long association with this area from connections with friends and relatives and as a member of 1 Royal NSW Regiment [Commando], [the City of Sydney's own Regiment]. Our Regimental Church Services - ANZAC Day and on other memorial occasions - are held at The Garrison Church at the rocks, and after we gathered at the Hero of Waterloo Hotel for camaraderie. Years ago I was a consultant to the Rocks Development Corporation - my ;link was through Darren Goodsir.</p> <p>How could any person with any inkling of social planning and architectural integrity approve this monstrosity - the last chance to retain significant parklands for locals, visitors, tourists and others? Not for developers. In view of the continuing disasters, illegalities and other government fiascos, it would be ironic if decisions by members of the civil service led to the ousting of the government next March.</p> <p>As I said, I write with respect, but with massive anger. And the hope this shocking proposal wont succeed. Thank you, Good wishes,</p>
352627	<p>The proposed development will obscure the directly west view of Sydney Harbour from Observatory Hill. The view of Sydney Harbour from Observatory Hill is of profound importance to the life and character of Sydney, linking past, present and future. I strongly object to any proposal that destroys or obscures the view of Sydney Harbour from Observatory Hill.</p>
353453	<p>I strongly object to this proposal and condemn the underhanded and greed driven behaviour of all involved.</p>
353317	<p>I make the following comments in objection to Central Barangaroo Concept Plan Mod 9:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed large increase in GFA from 47,000sqm to 144sqm in Central Barangaroo allows for the building of 6 office blocks and 1 high residential tower (beyond approved height limits) with extensive retail space. This is unacceptable. 2. Mod 9 significantly changes the appearance and predominant intended use of Central Barangaroo and destroys significant heritage view-lines. 3. Central Barangaroo was designated as a public cultural and recreation precinct to balance and support the extensive high-rise office, residential and commercial built environment at Barangaroo South. It was set aside to provide much-needed public amenity for the well-being of residents and workers within Barangaroo South and the surrounding areas and as a reason for tourists and citizens of Sydney to visit the Sydney CBD. 4. As a Harbourfront public cultural and recreation space it would be a valuable drawcard for Sydney. This can occur if the GFA is not increased above the approved 47,000sqm. 5. Lost Opportunity - Mod 9 means a lost opportunity to provide visitors and tourists with a major new public attraction that optimises the value of our famous harbourfront.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>6. Surveys and trends indicate that empty office space in our existing city buildings will increase with the embedding of the hybrid work-from-home/office model. There is already a significant increase in the number of apartments approved or under construction in the CBD and Barangaroo South. Where is the benefit to the citizens of NSW?</p> <p>7. Our historical Heritage Precinct of Observatory Hill, Argyle Place, Kent St and High St terraces, along with The Rocks and the Observatory itself, help define Australia's history. Views to and from this Heritage Precinct and the harbour, Balmain and waterfront walkways are significant and must be preserved. Iconic view-lines between heritage items and our harbour are sacrosanct. I would assert that no other country would devalue its heritage in the way that Mod 9 would, should it be approved.</p> <p>8. My young family and I, and our friends and colleagues, would be appalled if such permanent negative impacts are imposed by our State Government in allowing extensive private development on public harbour foreshore land set aside for public use, and adding insult to injury with destruction of our heritage view-lines.</p> <p>9. Traffic congestion and parking would be problematic should this proposal be approved. There is little to no provision for delivery, residential and service vehicles for the proposed buildings.</p> <p>10. Hickson Park's extension as per Mod 11 was approved last October. Mod 9 overturns this approval and ignores the reasons for needing the park extension and building line setbacks along Barton St. This need is current and will grow as new residential towers adjacent to it are completed and occupied.</p> <p>I request that Mod 9 be rejected and the concept of a majority public use of Central Barangaroo and a maximum GFA of 47,000sqm, as already approved, with preservation of Heritage view-lines, be supported and acted upon.</p>
352806	The proposed height increase will block the existing view and reduce the public amenity for the above parklands.
354283	<p>Dear Mr Glasgow</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the plans for Central Barangaroo as unacceptable, as already stated by the State Government's own heritage advisers who are on record in saying it would compromise the heritage of the Millers Point peninsula and diminish Observatory Hill. There are many reasons why these plans must be rejected, given the increased height and scale of the buildings which will impact views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill, and create significant overshadowing onto public space, blocking tree growth and natural light, and reduce the size of Hickson Park. The proposed plans are in no way sympathetic with the heritage surroundings, and provide poor pedestrian and street connections. The horrendous development colloquially known as "Packer's Pecker" is a shining example of how buildings at Barangaroo have sacrificed aesthetics, heritage concerns, visual and residential amenity and any design principle of merit and value for the ugliness that is delivered by prioritising developers and profit over any other concern - the outcry before it was built was warranted and has been proven by its execution in construction. It is precisely hideous buildings like this that set the standard for what not to repeat. The Government's modified plans for Barangaroo Central have significantly deviated from the approved concept plan and the Independent Planning Commission should be reviewing submissions to this project, not the Minister for Planning. To allow the Minister final say is a gross abdication of due process and denies the voice of many who are more than justified by their concerns for yet more civic obscenity, ruining one of the few remaining invaluable foreshore districts of this city. For a city which</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	prides itself on the beauty of its harbour setting, such plans appear deliberately determined to destroy that forever. The plans, and the Minister's over riding authority are entirely unacceptable and must be rejected. Yours sincerely
352792	I am opposed to the concept modification of Barangaroo because the proposed changes appear to impairably diminish the historical quality of the existing features and we need to preserve these for future generations.
354025	Please do not allow this overdevelopment to proceed. It blatantly ignores Tenacity vs Warringah principles of view sharing and will be regretted by generations to come. Once there it will be impossible to remove and will blight the foreshore for ages to come, and create problems such as can be found in the Cahill Expressway or Blues Point Tower. The precinct is already at capacity. Stop developer greed.
353065	I believe the Barangaroo area has had enough development. That this proposed building is not align with the rest of the harbour's historical and aesthetic significance
353253	Regarding the latest proposals for Barangaroo Central, I note that the original plan for this area showed only 2 low rise apartment buildings to be built at this part of Barangaroo. Now the new plans shows 4 new buildings to be placed here some with much higher structures now and varied in what will be built inside those buildings. I believe that Central will thus become an "over crowded" land with such buildings and people in stark contrast right next door to the nature park at the top of Barangaroo. I think that the park's tranquillity will shortly be lost if this new Central plan will be adopted.
353103	I have lived in the area for almost 20 years, The proposed new development will ruin the views and the community feel of the area. It is an eyesore.
353157	I commend Infrastructure NSW and Aqualand in the vision to increase the open space in the Harbour Park area of Barangaroo Nawi Cove however I am very concerned with the proposed Buildings on this land and the enormous increase in maximum GFA from approximately 47,600 m ² to 144,300m ³ . Whilst the principles of the development are sound and impressive being Carbon Neutral with 6star green buildings it does not take away from the fact the increase in heights of the buildings will have on the visual impact not only the harbour and heritage walks but views from multiple vistas and suburbs within NSW including the suburbs across the bay (eg Balmain). Therefore I strongly object to the increase in height of ALL the proposed buildings. I understand that all these buildings have increased in height and are higher than the current houses on High Street and Kent Street in Millers Point. The blockage of the view and the light and shadows to these already dark houses is not acceptable. The visual impact from Observatory Hill will also be a negative one and the schematics provided have been carefully constructed from certain angles and do not represent the true views for visitors to Observatory Hill. It is not enough to say the telescope facility will not be impacted. Visually, the buildings will not only be seen from Observatory Hill but also from Balmain in the west and the bays on the north shore. There are no concept designs available for viewing even though the architectural firms have been chosen to build these structures. I commend the choice of architects for these buildings but am sceptical that no concept designs have been done based on the fact that the choices have already been made. The visual impact of Building 7 is of particular note with a height increase to 73.7m. Whilst I understand a boutique hotel is planned for this block it makes no sense when looking at the skyline elevation from the tall towers of Barangaroo heading north, where all the buildings decrease in height and then suddenly you are faced with a 73m tall building in comparison to Block 5 and Block 6. The elevation plan is an eyesore. My guess would be that the prestigious architectural firm of David Chipperfield Architects has only agreed to design this space if it becomes a "stand-out" addition to the skyline. In addition, the area is designed to bring in 9000 office workers every day and families on the weekend and this is excellent

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>however ONLY a maximum of 50 new carparks have been provided. This does not add up when it is estimated that the development will bring an increase of 300 cars during weekdays. Again, this is grossly underestimated in numbers as it is known that on rainy days people do not take public transport or ride their bikes. the Bike lanes are commendable however families are more likely to be driving into the area, not catching public transport. Currently Barangaroo Park is well utilised by families (particularly on the weekends) and parking is an issue. Finally, NSW planning has not taken into consideration the arts precinct area of Hickson Road and the traffic flow. It is not realistic to say that cars will drive north along Hickson road and then turn around. Firstly there is no where to turn around and the boulevard-like streets from 21a Hickson Road and running East to the Harbour bridge is already congested on weekends and when the cruise ships are in harbour during the week. Additional planning needs to include this part of Hickson Road and not just the Barangaroo area of Hickson Road. Please take into consideration the Arts Precinct of Walsh Bay and the residents there. With the new metro station, even more people will be accessing this part of the city - the kiss and ride facilities should be big enough to incorporate taxis and ride services so not to disrupt traffic flow on Hickson Road. Whilst there has been huge inroads into the planning of this area, it is not realistic with the increase in Residents to the area (including the Barangaroo towers) and further planning on car numbers and current traffic flow is needed to include the arts precinct of Hickson road and also the casino towers of Barangaroo.</p>
354051	<p>I oppose the proposed concept for development of Central Barangaroo on the following grounds: It is too big and out of scale with the existing environment; It has a harsh veneer that is unsightly and out of context architecturally with the surrounding area; It is unsightly from the harbour; It hogs the waterline; It blocks views of the harbour from existing dwellings and streetscapes; It extends the scar on the foreshore created by the existing Barangaroo tower development; It dwarfs the quaint heritage houses in the area; It undoes all the meticulous work of previous DAs in Millers Point to value and preserve the heritage character of the area; It screams of developer greed and private capitalisation of the public asset of Sydney harbour. Additionally, I endorse the points made by the National Trust that the development - Is not consistent with the approved Concept; Is not consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development; Is not consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views; Is not consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views: Does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does not respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.</p>
352939	<p>I feel the development provides little value to the greater community of Sydney and detracts from the heritage and character of the area. Further, there is a clear deviation from the initial plans. Do we want to be know as the soulless city of steel and glass or retain some natural beauty and historic relevance (all be the history checked given the atrocities committed along the shore lines by my ancestors...another topic). If approved this would be another remarkable atrocity.</p>
354253	<p>Hi, Please accept this letter objecting to the proposal. Regards,</p>
352486	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment. The proposed modifications raise some significant issues that adversely affect the amenity and view of the affected and adjacent areas. The changes to the southern section of block 5 will result in a significant increase in shading in Hickson park in winter in particular. This is brought about by both the south ward move and the increased height. This should be modified to retain full light during the bulk of the day. (See diagram 128 of Appendix F. This southern building height should be lowered and stepped so as to eliminate shadow on the Hickson park boundary. The proposed significant increase on the northern end, coupled with the overall height increase significantly adversely affects the views from Observatory Hill, an area of significant heritage and common value. Noting</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	the comments on the southern buildings, the general height increase may be tolerable if the tall tower at the northern end was not approved.
354219	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I wish to provide my objection to the modification to the existing Barangaroo development. This area of Sydney has so much history which luckily has been left without major development and is a national treasure and popular tourist destination. It is important that developers in key areas of historic Sydney are not allowed to bypass planning controls. Observatory Park is a beautiful part of Sydney and should have its views protected so generations to come can witness the roots of our city and experience our beauty harbour from that vantage point. I trust you will follow due process and reject the modifications which increase the heights or floor space of the proposed development, and have a clear conscious of any modifications approved which do not detract from the original size approvals. The public and press are watching. Yours Faithfully</p>
353373	Just say no to these greedy developers. Politicians, casino operators and real estate developers have made a mess of Barangaroo. This should be a welcoming place for the public on public land. It should not be in perpetual shade. It should not be a cold, wind tunnel. We can see the ugly "Packer's Pecker" from Killarney Heights.
354045	<p>Barangaroo Concept Plan Mod 9 - Objection Submission MP06_0162 MOD 9 I object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan Modification Plan 9 on many many grounds. Millers Point and The Rocks represent early Sydney. They are our most significant heritage assets. The area is a significant Heritage Precinct for the whole city, the state and the country. The most concerning grounds for my objection are listed below:</p> <p>1) The out-of-place view-blocking Northern Residential Tower * This 73.7m building is completely out of context with: o Barangaroo Headland Park o Nawi Cove o Millers Point Heritage Streetscape o Observatory Hill It will remain an ugly blot on the Sydney Harbour landscape on what is essential harbour foreshore public land. It will be compared unfavourably to the much-lamented Blues Point Tower located on the opposite north shore of the Harbour. It will block views from all directions including those current views from Observatory Hill to the horizon. This building must not be higher than the 2007 approved height of 29-34m in the Central Barangaroo Concept Design.</p> <p>2) The devastating effects on the Millers Point Heritage Area views and sightlines * The Mod 9 Plan does not take into account the impact on the Heritage Area of Millers Point (arguably the oldest remaining intact area of Old Sydney Town). Supposedly preserved views to and from the Heritage Area are severely impacted and even completely obscured. * "Protected" views of Millers Point streets, houses and landscape including Observatory Hill from the West (the Western Harbour, Balmain, Pyrmont and other nearby suburbs) have been available to all for over 200 years. They will be completely lost by the proposed Mod 9 development.</p> <p>3) The obliteration of Observatory Hill views and sightlines * Easterly views of Observatory Hill and equally views west from Observatory Hill have been seen by local inhabitants and visitors for thousands of years. They will be blocked forever by an impenetrable concrete wall.</p> <p>4) Loss of a part of Hickson Park * Mod 9 encroaches on Hickson Park, taking back the expanded Hickson Park granted to the people of NSW by the Independent Planning Commission.</p> <p>5) The sheer size and scale of the proposed buildings in Central Barangaroo. * The Mod 9 proposed development is for a tripling of the Gross Floor Area. By anybody's standards, this is an over-expansion of the previously approved GFA. * The proposed buildings along Hickson Rd are too overpowering, with insufficient articulation, setback separation. * The proposed 28000sqm shopping centre will create parking problems which do not appear to have been adequately assessed. There is very little or no parking for shoppers to the new centre. They will park in the already crowded streets of Millers Point and Walsh Bay. * There appears to be an inadequate assessment of the traffic flow problems that will arise from Central Barangaroo traffic pouring into Millers Point and Walsh Bay, especially at peak times.</p> <p>6) In Conclusion I would like to summarize the below consistency failures in the Mod 9 plan: The Mod 9 Plan</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>modification of the Concept Plan fails to be consistent with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The Approved Concept Plan o The statements issued for the Barangaroo Development o The Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views o The policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan for the protection of iconic views. o The heritage significance of Observatory Hill o The heritage significance of Millers Point
353023	<p>I would like to submit my protest to this modification to all an increase in height of development to protect our heritage sites, harbour vista and stop over development of public land</p>
353369	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to share my huge concerns regarding the proposed Central Barangaroo Concept Modification, Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9), via this submission. Sydney is renowned as the 'harbour city' worldwide. Sydney's heritage needs to be valued, preserved and be available to all who live in or visit our beautiful city - with visible access preserved for all. The proposed concept modification will cause the following: - block public views west from Observatory Hill - block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water - block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. From my reading and research I further concur that the proposed concept modification unfortunately is: - not consistent with the approved Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views - not consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views - does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill - does not respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. My concerns listed above are numerous and very worrying and are shared by many others. I have lived in Sydney all my life and am very greatly concerned to see its visible amenity further eroded by inappropriate development. I fully agree with Robert Hughes when he noted in the National Trust Annual Heritage Lecture in 1998, that "...a question of equity as well, since the heritage of the city should belong to everyone, not be reserved for the enjoyment of the wealthy few who can afford the inevitably scarce views from the tallest buildings." This to me, is a key point, as Sydney should be available to all and not have views blocked by inappropriate structures which dominate the landscape and reduce visible access from various points on the harbour, foreshore and vantage points. Thank you for your consideration of my concerns.</p>
354105	<p>Attached</p>
352665	<p>As a resident of Pymont who will be directly affected by the latest modified Barangaroo plans, I strongly object to the currently submitted modifications. While the original plans were seemingly in the better interest of the public and surrounding residents, the newest modifications have grossly negative impacts on the surrounding residents including those around the Rocks and Pymont. Our residence along with hundreds of others will have traffic negatively impacted, negative impacts during the construction and importantly the value of hundreds of apartments in Pymont that currently have unobstructed views of the harbour bridge. This view which greatly determines our properties' values would not have been impacted by the original plans but as those plans have been grossly enlarged to include previously not included higher residential buildings, the view would now be obstructed for residences on the Pymont side as well as historic views from Observatory Hill at The Rocks. Changing the plans drastically and in a way that impacts so many people negatively by skewing them for profit through higher residential and commercial interests, and so far into Barangaroos already established construction is blatantly underhanded and greedy.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353133	Just stop It's already a mess. Views and sight lines have already been messed up That dark tower is a disgrace Whatever you do from now on just makes it worse.
353665	I object to the proposed modification in the strongest possible terms. Modification 9 proposes to increase the approved maximum Gross Floor Area (GFA) for Central Barangaroo from 47,688sqm to 144,355sqm [of which only 28,166 sqm will be below ground] with unsightly and architecturally dubious towers. This NOT a modification but a completely new proposal, which violates the spirit - and in my view the law - of Section 75W, with an attempt to apply the old part 3A provisions. The existing ugly buildings on Barrangaro have already greatly decreased the sight lines and amenity from Prymont, and this proposed "modification" would complete the destruction. It must be opposed by all means possible.
353291	This will impact on the sunlight over Fort St Public School - as someone has one child attending the school and commencing in 2 years, this is unacceptable. How are they supposed to receive any natural light?
353245	I am strongly opposed to the proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9) and I am in agreement with the National Trust's comments in that the proposed concept modification would: * Block public views west from Observatory Hill * Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill * Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point * Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water * Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. I also agree with the National Trust's position that the proposed modification to the Concept: * Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept * Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development * Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views * Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. Additional text from submitter (353243): I am strongly opposed to the proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9), in particular to the impact on Traffic and Parking of the proposed project. The proponent's assessment of traffic and parking impacts is wholly inadequate: there will be significant traffic generation spilling out across Central Barangaroo and into and through the residential communities of Millers Point and Walsh Bay, dominating these residential areas with excess traffic and parking issues. The proposed retail precinct provides minimal shopper parking - this will adversely impact the residential communities of Millers Point and Walsh Bay. Many of these properties do not have off-street parking. Furthermore, the proponent plans to remove over 100 on-street parking spaces in Hickson Road. Additional text from submitter (353241): I am strongly opposed to the proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9), in particular to the impact on Historic views of the proposed project. The views of the low-rise residences of the significant heritage Millers Point streetscape will be blocked from the western harbour and neighbouring suburbs of Darling Island, Pymont, Balmain, etc. The development does nothing to create a sense of "Place". The views from Observatory Hill will be severely and adversely impacted, as will the view from opposite shores and the water. These perspectives are the last remnants of how Sydney developed since the 1800's and should be retained for evermore, not compromised or destroyed for commercial gain. The proposal completely ignores consideration of the impact on the Millers Point Heritage Precinct, Sydney's Old Town. Other major cities around the world respect their Old Towns by maintaining sightlines. Once these are lost to this scale of overdevelopment, that heritage and connection to the early years of Sydney will be lost. Additional text from submitter (353237): I am strongly opposed to the proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9), in particular to the Bulk and Scale of the proposed project. The proposed development along Hickson Rd is too big - the sheer bulk, lack of setback and height all completely disproportional of the site and lacking any empathy fo the historic surroundings. The proponent's visual impact assessment is completely inadequate It does not include visual impact images from High St or Kent St, whether as a streetscape or as individual properties. The Northern Residential

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>Tower is totally out of context to, Nawi Cove, Millers Point heritage streetscape, Observatory Hill and the Barangaroo Headland Park. It is without merit as a standalone building. It will be a permanent eyesore on the Sydney landscape on what is critical harbour foreshore public land. Its comparison to Blues Point Tower is wholly warranted. The proposed reduction of Hickson Park is a shameful commercial "grab" of public space - for such a significant commercial development to be allowed to encroach even further on public parkland is not in the public interest. Additional text from submitter (353235): I am strongly opposed to the proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9) * the proposed increase of the maximum GFA from 47,688 sqm to 144,355 sqm is severe overdevelopment. * the proposed increase of the area of Block 5 by relocating the boundary to the south and reducing the size of Hickson Park can only be seen as a shameless, commercially driven land grab of public space * the proposed the road network modifications, including the removal of vehicular traffic from Barangaroo Avenue north of Barton Street adjacent to Blocks 5 and 6 with controlled service vehicle access only, and converting Barton Street to a permanent street connecting Barangaroo Avenue with Hickson Road, servicing the wider Barangaroo precinct will have far reaching adverse impacts across the residential communities of Millers Point. * The proposed modifications of building envelopes of Blocks 5, 6 and 7 including additional height, block alignments, additional GFA and flexible allocation of GFA across the blocks are unnecessary, commercially driven, do nothing for the sense of Place, and significantly and adversely impact the historic sightlines of Millers Point and Observatory Hill to and from the water and other shorelines. I strongly object to any amendment of State Significant Precincts SEPP to support these proposed modifications to Central Barangaroo. Additional text from submitter (352659): The lack of community infrastructure is shocking. The local community should be provided with facilities to engage with visitors and tourists to help with telling the story mod Old Sydney Town. Additional text from submitter (352641): Open public space that is not overshadowed by buildings is not provided for. The foreshore is a public good that should not be sold off to private ownership.</p>
352783	<p>It seems that the whole Barangaroo site from North to South has absolutely no governance and is being developed by a bunch of money-hungry cowboys, as is typical with every development down there, this proposal shows an utter disregard for our iconic harbour, the history and heritage of the neighbourhood, public green open spaces and any of the original planning principles. Modification 9 will see Central Barangaroo swell even further with a number of additional residential towers (on top of the 3 additional towers being built, and 3 others existing to the south... how many multimillion-dollar units do we need down there) on the waterfront and a wall of development blocking heritage Millers Point and most disgracefully historic views from Observatory Hill to the west will be destroyed. Buildings will no doubt creep through variation, as all the others have (our wonderful 270m casino that was meant to be half the height, case in point) higher than agreed and also onto public open space, which in this proposal have REDUCED AGAIN to only 30% and overshadowing will affect the rest. Also, we have 3 towers of some 1000 units nearing completion, and now a proposal for 1000 more. The amount of traffic congestion, on top of poor infrastructure along Hickson road, into the Rocks, also south then up and towards the harbour bridge entrance on Kent street is going to be an absolute disaster. And what for? For the short-term windfall of squeezing more apartments and offices onto what should be a majority of public space and opening the waterfront to be enjoyed by everyone. Barangaroo could be a world-class unique attraction enjoyed and celebrated by locals and visitors alike. A continuation of the park, something that celebrates the uniqueness and heritage of the area. Instead, if this proposal is approved, it will leave a second-rate legacy for future generations and an infrastructure disaster.</p>
353255	<p>I refer to application MP06_0162 MOD 9. Like many in the Millers Point community, I vehemently object to the Section 75W modification application that seeks approval to increase the total permissible gross floor area within Barangaroo from 602,354 sqm to 708,041 sqm and for the proposed 8 changes to Central Barangaroo (Blocks 5, 6 and 7) for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="383 1398 2121 1450">1. The increase in GFA and building heights, bulk, and scale are utterly inconsistent within the context of Millers Point, a suburb that is mainly residential and non-commercial. The proposal to have modern commercial and residential buildings in close proximity to a low-

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>density heritage area is clearly incompatible with surrounding development. Barangaroo will stick out like a sore thumb that blocks out the sky while its occupants will have unfettered voyeuristic views of Millers Points' residents going about their daily lives.</p> <p>2. The proposal disregards the Millers Point heritage conservation area. If approved, the proposal would bring into question the need for a conservation area since the entire area's outlook and character would drastically change. Contributory items to the area's heritage would be reduced to immaterial monuments compared to the bulk, scale, and flash of new development. Millers Point's reputation as a harbourside enclave with historically significant 19th century terrace houses will become a mere afterthought.</p> <p>3. The proposal would remove a significant amount of local communal space that is important and used not only by local residents and those who work at Barangaroo for physical and mental health, but also by all Sydneysiders and visitors who make it a point to enjoy Hickson Park as a sanctuary.</p> <p>4. The properties in Millers Point that face west, in particular those on High Street and Kent Street, would lose valuable unobstructed views of Sydney's harbour that they have had since time immemorial and have undoubtedly paid for when acquiring their property. Obstructing such views with soulless glass monstrosities, even if designed by internationally acclaimed architects, is unjust. Did we really need Lendlease to build a second-rate Shard for One Sydney Harbour? Similarly, does anyone actually enjoy the wind tunnels between Towers 1, 2 & 3?</p> <p>5. Many residents would also have their access to sunlight considerably reduced with the proposed development overshadowing from the west in the afternoon.</p> <p>6. Barangaroo's existing level of development has already caused intense densification of the area, with it now common on Friday evenings and the weekends for heavy bumper to bumper traffic on Hickson Road to spill over to Millers Point. The proposal would see even more vehicular traffic clogging up Millers Point's roads and on-street parking for local residents will almost be impossible. Since construction work at Barangaroo first started, the residents of Millers Point have had to put up with tradies working in Barangaroo parking their utes on Kent Street and High Street from 8am to 4pm on weekdays. Despite the 2 hour parking maximum, they are happy to pay the parking fine since it is still cheaper than parking in a car park operated by a private operator.</p> <p>Overall, it is ludicrous that this proposal is even being entertained - just how far is the government prepared to sideline local residents to maximise monetary gains? With any increase in GFA above what was already approved to be shared equally between developers and the government, I suppose we should not be surprised that overdevelopment is on the cards since more GFA means more profit created out of thin air. In particular, the audacity to propose that Hickson Road be reduced is most disappointing. Have we as a community and as a country not learned from the pains of the pandemic and realised the importance of public open space for our well being? Are we actually content to live and have our future generations live in a concrete jungle?</p>
353171	<p>I strongly object to this development. The proposed concept modification will significantly impact the the heritage significance of the Observatory Hill and Millers Point area. It will affect the public iconic views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point and the Observatory Hill. At a personal level it will compromise the view from my apartment and devalue my investment. The proposed concept modification would: -Block public views west from Observatory Hill -Block public views of White Bay Power Station from - Observatory Hill -Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point -Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water -Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and The proposed modification to</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>the Concept: -Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept -Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development -Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views -Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views -Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill -Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers and Point.Pirrama Park. The proposed development and modification is an urban planning disaster, The buildings along Hickson Rd are too big, with insufficient articulation, setback, separation, etc. The views from the west of the Millers Point streetscape have been seen ever since they were built in the 1800's to 1910's. They will be completely obscured by the new buildings. I fear that the proposed development is another disaster that we will regret over time and will be an imposition on the future generations to live with. A classic example of this the "Toaster" buildings next to the Opera House... have we learnt anything?</p>
354279	<p>Mr Glasgow I am writing to convey my opposition to the proposed development for Barangaroo. The visual impact on this historic and meaningful place is abhorrent. The harbour belongs to all of us and to put forward a plan that is detrimental to so many for so few is shameful. I fully support the City of Sydney's submission outlining it's concerns regarding the following; - the increased height and scale of the buildings - the impacts on views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill - overshadowing from the buildings onto public space, blocking tree growth and natural light - heritage impacts - reduction in the size of Hickson Park - poor pedestrian and street connections. Please reconsider the current proposal and address the above concerns as a priority.</p>
353305	<p>I OBJECT to the proposed modification as it would block public views of Sydney Harbour and would not be consistent with the requirements of the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan.</p>
353619	<p>The harbour foreshore, in particular Hickson Park, its beauty, iconic views and unfettered access was given to, and belongs to the people of NSW. There is a moral obligation to preserve this beautiful part of the world for the people of NSW and not to trade access to these iconic views away for narrow political gains. Allowing this proposal to proceed creates private rights which create huge profits for large corporations and denies all the people of NSW of these iconic views and accessibility in perpetuity. No one has the moral right to do this! It is inequitable because it appropriates this beautiful part of the world for the very wealthy few who can afford the increasingly and inevitably scarce views. To approve this proposal would be morally wrong on this basis alone. The incredibly 73.7m tower on the northern end is clear evidence of complete disrespect for citizens and future generations by appropriating these iconic views for private gain. It's position and height ensures that everyone else's views and amenity are blocked by the huge tower `on the end'. Access to these iconic views are public assets and public rights and should not be made private for any cost or any reason. Planning and heritage experts agree that this development will irreparably damage the Millers Point Heritage area. This area has been acknowledged (1988) as being of state significance. Again, there is a moral obligation to maintain all heritage for future generations. Tourists will not get to see our beautiful iconic views. Our world famous landmarks will lose their appeal as they are overshadowed by gross overdevelopment. - public views west of Observatory Hill will be BLOCKED - public views fromWhite Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill will be BLOCKED -public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point will be BLOCKED - public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsular and Pirrama Park will be BLOCKED -ferry or tourist harbour cruises will see more gross development and less of our beauty. It is a moral obligation to retain Central Barangaroo as a public space for all citizens and for future generations. In addition, the proposed modification : -is NOT consistent with the approved Concept -is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development -is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views -is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views - does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill - does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	This proposal benefits no one except the developer. It is not in the best interests of the citizens of NSW, future generations or even our tourist industry.
354125	Good afternoon David I understand that submissions in respect of Barangaroo Central Mod 9 should be sent to you. Please find attached my submission. I would appreciate confirmation of receipt. Regards
352723	It is discouraging to see how corrupt our politicians are.
353517	This proposal, along with the current proposed modifications, for the over-development of Barangaroo Central is an abomination. The proposed modification to the Concept: Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. The NSW Government would do well to remember that they are custodians of these public lands that should be freely accessible for enjoyment by the public and serve as a reminder of our heritage. The Government has been told previously and frequently that this proposal and its modifications are not acceptable. Please stop gifting public space to private interests.
353391	I object to the proposal, particularly additional private development including much higher building heights and hugely increased GFA at the expense of public amenity.
353141	The proposed concept modification would: Block public views west from Observatory Hill Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposed modification to the Concept: Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
353261	I consider the modification 9 totally out of character with the Barangaroo development and a misuse of public asset for Aqualand development. This takes a large proportion of land that was reserved for public open space and recreation away and blocks views to and from Millers Point. It will turn Hickson Rd into a canyon. I cannot understand why the NSW government is even considering this huge modification to the plans for central Barangaroo. I strongly submit that this plan is rejected outright.
353289	I wish to object most strenuously to the development proposed in Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9).p, primarily for the following reasons: - Obliteration of wonderful views from Observatory Hill. This is a public space, the vistas & atmospherics of which Sydneysiders have enjoyed for many generations it is a true Classic - Immensity of bulk & scale of project - its claustrophobic closeness to peoples' homes. - the very peculiar 20 story apartment building that rises above main development at the northern end. I really find this an odd, unjustifiable and ugly addition. Sydney is truly one of the World's most visually spectacular cities. As a life-long Sydneysider, I am immensely proud of its many positives. I am not opposed to progress per se, but it MUST be done in a manner that BEAUTIFIES and positively enhances our city,

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	and allows ALL to enjoy its best features, in a balanced and HARMONIOUS manner. This oversized, poorly conceived, soulless and downright ugly proposal would deface our city, and FAILS basic tests of taste, balance and harmony. It would stand as an international embarrassment were it to proceed. Please do NOT allow this to proceed. Change must be for the positive; your duty as a government is to adhere to that basic principle.
353041	I strongly oppose the modification 9 to the Barangaroo Concept Plan. The erosion of Sydney vistas such as Observatory Hill is a disgrace and insult to Sydney residents. Rather than mitigating the impacts of the earlier concept, this Modification instead seeks to amplify the negative impacts, proposing increases in height from 38m to 47m across most buildings, a 140% increase in gross floor area (from 47,688sqm to 144,355sqm) and shockingly, proposes a 73.7m tower at the northern end that would block the historic community views from The Rocks. This development does a great disservice and disrespect to Sydney residents.
352482	The insensitivity of defacing the area in question with more buildings is appalling to all citizens who know the natural history that is trying to be preserved. The indigenous heritage of the settlements in and around the shores of Sydney have been obliterated so heavily. There is limited spaces that honour the natural environment that sustained Aboriginals long before white settlement. The increased GFA area is totally contrary to environmental protection of the historical site.
352908	I oppose the Modification to the Concept Design for Central Barangaroo. Already in 2007 three towers of 29 metres to 34 metres high were approved for the Barangaroo precinct. After 15 years the new proposed development would increase the negative impacts if the heights were increased to 38 metres and 47 metres across most buildings. This is an increase of 140% in gross floor space area (from 47,688 sqm to 144,355 sqm and even worse, a proposed 73.7 metre tower at the northern end would block the historic community views from the rocks. The current proposal is inconsistent with the Statement of Commitments issued under the Barangaroo Development, inconsistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environment Plan requirements for the protection of public views As a frequent visitor to the Observatory Hill area including the historic telescope, the National Trust building with the S H Ervin Gallery, I am devastated to think that such a significant heritage precinct would be subject to degrading treatment. This area has international, national and local visitors and they should be afforded the best possible impression and views of the few remaining historically significant sites that remain of the early days of the first European settlement and more recent significant sites and views such as the Harbour Bridge. The heritage of the city should belong to everyone, not reserved for the enjoyment of the wealthy few who can afford the inevitably scarce views from the tallest buildings (Robert Hughes, 1998) This is a question of equity. The proposed concept is not consistent with equity, not consistent with the Sydney Harbour regional Environment Plan and not consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for Barangaroo Development. It is not consistent with the Sydney Harbour Conservation Management Plan for the protection of public iconic views. It does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill. It does not respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. The proposed concept modification would: block public views west from Observatory Hill, block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill, block public views of water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point, Sever maritime relationships of historic Millers Point with the water, block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. This proposed development should not proceed, as to do so would violate too many aspects as discussed above. The city needs more open space not less.
353285	The proposed modification would block public views: * from Observatory Hill * of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill * of harbour from key places & streets in historic Millers Point * Sever the maritime relationship of Millers Point with the water * of Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula & Pirrama Park. The proposed modification IS NOT consistent with: * the approved Concept * the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development * with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	for protection of public views * policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for protection of public iconic views * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
354145	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I'm writing to advise of my strenuous and heartfelt objection to the new plan for Barangaroo Central (Mod 9). I am long-time resident of Kent Street Millers Point. My apartment faces east and so my view is not directly impacted by the proposed development and therefore I'm genuinely not writing out of self-interest to protect my view. I'm writing out of enormous concern for my community at Millers Point and the wider Sydney community. I urge the NSW Planning Minister, Anthony Roberts and members of the Independent Planning Commission, to physically stand on Observatory Hill and see first-hand what will be lost if this plan proceeds. Millers Point and Observatory Hill are iconic and historic parts of early Sydney. The original Barangaroo Central Concept Plan guaranteed that the priceless and historic views from Observatory Hill to the water and to the horizon would be preserved. The Mod 9 plan is a disgraceful and shocking change to the original plan which, if approved, would result in the continuation of the unlimited expansion and over development of Barangaroo South. The priceless and historic views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill would be enormously impacted and lost forever. The proposed 20 story residential tower near Nawi Cove is particularly offensive given its intrusion on the site lines. Under Mod 9 the gross floor area is tripled compared to the previously approved Concept Plan. It appears that consideration has been given to the views of the Crown Casino Complex and Lend Lease's developments but not to the existing residents of the Millers Point Community. Mod 9 further encroaches on adjacent public parkland, following the public parkland sacrificed in the Crown Casino development. The proposed development will further overshadow and enclose Hickson Road (the Hungry Mile). Mod 9 is hardly a modification at all. It's an offensive and greedy overdevelopment which jeopardises the amenity of Millers Point and Observatory Hill for all Sydney residents for ever more. I urge that this plan be rejected in totality.</p>
353081	<p>The difficult circumstances of the past few years have reinforced how important placemaking is. Sightlines are an important and under-recognised part of what makes a place. Being out in nature and seeing beautiful views promotes a healthy populace and thus a sound economy. The proposed modifications to the development of Barangaroo South will deny thousands of Sydneysiders (and most particularly those who live in or close to Millers Point) opportunities to enjoy amazing unique views from land that we, the people of NSW, own. The loss and damage to our health and happiness more than outweighs the profits that will flow to a small few. It also risks being another chip away from what makes Sydney a globally unique city. This has real knock on effects to our economy in terms of tourism and our ability to attract global talent. Our tourism industry alone is worth \$30.2bn to the NSW economy and this is built on having a green, open beautiful city as our premier international gateway. If we computed the cost in lost publicity from the photos that are shared from places that will be blocked over the life of the building it would not make economic sense to make this change. This change is a variation to existing planning agreements. These agreements were put in place to balance the needs of a modern economy and developers with those of a community and other industries who use this space. At a time when city usage patterns are dramatically changing, this change provides only incremental benefits to the few at a cost of significant benefits to so many more. I urge NSW Planning to reject this modification. The original proposal is more than adequate for the needs of developers and future tenants.</p>
353145	<p>I object to: - the views from Observatory Hill should not be blocked by the proposed buildings - the immense bulk & scale of the proposal degrades the historic Rocks and also overshadows peoples homes. Some are within 50m. - Any building should be low rise and have a public purpose. Not more luxury apartments.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353085	Changes to height of buildings compromises Observatory Hill's views and reduces its amenity to the public.
352963	The planning is not sympathetic with the surrounding area. It will completely ruin our historic area. People come from all over the world to see our world famous bridge and opera house and take lots of photos. This is too close and will forever be an eyesore on a historical low rise area.
353015	I 100% suppose this development.
354117	Submission on Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9) Successive governments have bastardised the original winning development plan for Barangaroo which would have been wonderful. The government has wasted what was public land. Massive overdevelopment by greedy builders and the government's willingness to let these people run the plans for Barangaroo has created the mish mash we have today. Buildings are too tall. There is overshadowing and wind tunnels. The original Plan of 2007 has been modified 10 times, I suspect at the behest of the developers. We do not require the additional 100,000 meters of floor space, especially as the covid crisis has created a huge work from home economy. Hickson Park should not be touched especially as huge increases in floor space have already been granted. The 2 story building proposed alongside Harbour Park and Nawi Cove will create shadows and make the area less appealing to visitors, Millers Point residents will be badly affected As a resident of Pymont we have affected by buildings such as Packers Pecker and its associated buildings. I ask that this development be overturned and rejected. Regards,
354147	The proposal is substantially inconsistent with the approved concept plan. The whole area is already overdeveloped. Traffic in Kent street at peak times has become a nightmare. The recently installed lights cause even further delay because they are so slow and favour traffic other than the local residents'. The local roads have been damaged by the heavy industrial traffic and not maintained. The heritage features of the area are being unnecessarily devalued. Happy to discuss:
352965	This is so obviously a land grab without any consideration of our historic site. Putting a high building right next to Nawi cove is crazy. There is no consideration for the future of our beautiful Sydney. Views will forever be destroyed.
353007	I wish to oppose application MP06-0162MOD9. The original application allows adequate GFA however this proposal seeks to triple the GFA. This will reduce public space and views from historic area west and from the water to historic areas which is really not acceptable especially given the fact that Barangaroo South is much more heavily developed than the original plans were submitted.
353161	The increased height of the northern most building is not appropriate for this site. The original approved concept is appropriate ie similar low rise buildings of correct scale for headland. Proposed tall building will be out of context to headland and have significant vista impacts on headland, millers point and observatory hill.
353093	it is absolutelly inappropriate to have this project
353417	I am first generation Australian of Italian heritage. I don't understand why in Australia there is no respect for our heritage. This is my reason for lodging an objection to the Central Barangaroo development - The Barangaroo Concept Plan Modification 9. The pockets of developers take priority over preserving our heritage for future generations. The heritage of this land belongs to the people not the developers. Once lost it can never be restored. This is a vandalisation of Barangaroo Millers Point precinct. Each modification has given the developer more floor space & height. There is a 140% increase in the GFA and increase in height from 38m to 47m. This will block the

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>historic views from Observatory Hill, Millers Point heritage housing and the Rocks. There will also be overshadowing of the houses as well as the child care centre. The original development height controls and design guidelines are now ignored. Why have guide lines if they are not adhered to. This Barangaroo precinct was meant to be the "civic heart" not an overdevelopment with buildings. Tower B7 is just sitting there on the point as an eye sore with a proposed new height of 73.7m. Why so the developer can sell the view while taking away the view sold to people who purchased the heritage houses in Kent St. This tower will be like Blues Point Towers. Ask your Premier what he thinks of Blues Point Tower. " a building he would bulldoze" The views from Observatory Hill to Millers point are obstructed. Who is benefiting from this? Hickson Park should be for the people to enjoy. Hickson Park was granted to the people of NSW by the Independent Planning Commission. What is the purpose of these Commissions costing the tax payers millions if their ruling is so easily cast aside. Hickson Park is proposed to be reduced by half to put in more retail & office space to line the developers pockets. The development is planning 28,000 sqm retail while at the same time removing over 100 on street parking spaces in Hickson Rd. Sydney Harbour and the foreshore are a Public Asset but what we are seeing is stealing from the public to give to the private. Modification 9 is a gross overdevelopment of the area. There needs to be a balance between public good and private interest. This modification 9 does not that balance as it is skewed to suit the developer. Please please listen to the peoples objections to this monstrosity that if allowed will go down in history as another blunder by this current Liberal Government. It is a brave man who ignores the level of protests this development has created.</p>
354111	<p>Dear Mr Glasgow</p> <p>I am an ordinary citizen of Sydney who cares for its environment and public facilities. I have only very recently become aware of this proposal and appreciate that submissions have closed (last night). So, briefly, I put on record that I agree with sentiments expressed by Alex Greenwich about the project and generally concur with the adverse views reported in the Sydney Morning Herald last Sunday. My long-held personal view of the Barangaroo development is that it went far too far with the construction of that ugly, intrusive, incongruous, too tall Crown building. Any more high rise and intrusion upon the available space for public facility in the area will be an affront to the already offended Sydney (and State) community. Please have the responsible persons for decision making consider that Barangaroo development has gone too far and apparently more in the interests of developers than the people. In short, please have this proposal thrown out and get designers for public amenity onto the job.</p>
353809	<p>I strongly object to the proposal, and believe that it will greatly diminish one of the great green spaces of Sydney - Observatory Hill. The construction will provide minimal utility to local inhabitants of the area, but will cause them great detriment through the impact it will have on infrastructure use, and the fact that it will deprive them of much of the amenity that comes from the use of Observatory Hill as a recreational site. Sydney's unique skyline will be further and irreversibly diminished. Construction of the scale proposed would be not so much a 'vibrant public place' as a monument to urban myopia, both figuratively and literally.</p>
353033	<p>Please refer to the uploaded document</p>
353217	<p>I am truly saddened by the suggested modifications to the previously approved plans. How can Infrastructure NSW think this is a reasonable proposal? It destroys iconic views from Observatory Hill, from the water back towards the beautiful sandstone wall along Hickson Road and from the residents above Hickson Road. The proposal is a monstrosity. It should be denied. Once again another example of why the community cannot trust the government. We supported a plan in 2007 and now 14 years later a modification is porposed which completely ignores the values and principals expressed in the 2007 plan. Shame.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353091	<p>I would like to object to the proposed changes such as GFA and height increases. I do not believe you should develop and overshadow Observatory Hill. The bulk and scale of the buildings along Hickson Road are not appropriate for this area of low level dwellings. The commercialisation is destroying a historic area of Sydney. I would support a low rise development like what was built for King Street Wharf. Even the Macquarie Bank building on Shelley St compliments the area then you have the overdevelopment of Barangaroo. Northern Residential Tower This building is an example of poor urban planning for the Millers Point heritage streetscape and Observatory Hill. It will be a permanent blight on the area. These proposed modifications do not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill or the heritage significance of Millers Point. I would not want to see this area destroyed by over development. If we are imposing heritage restrictions to the homes in this area we should carefully consider how to commercially develop the area without wiping out a historical part of Sydney that so many people over the world come to visit and enjoy the views from the public spaces. Thank you</p>
353135	<p>I object to the increase in building height for Barangaroo Central. No justification is given to support this height increase. It does not relate to the cliff height, which is an important physical and geographical datum point that should be honoured and respected. Furthermore the tower sits ahead of the predominant tower building line of the city so will be entirely out of context. Nor is there a legitimate commercial determinant for the building height increase. The developers have known the context and building envelopes since they signed on to develop the precinct. To upzone this as significantly as proposed here is merely for the developers gain to the community's detriment. Have we not learnt from this folly in Barangaroo South where the significant increase in building bulk has resulted in detrimental environmental impacts to the surrounding street network.</p>
353043	<p>I'm writing as a concerned Sydney resident to object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9). This modification will block the views from Observatory Hill across the harbour and surrounds as well as an eyesore for any residents in the area and around the harbour. Observatory Hill is a very significant heritage area and this modification will completely destroy the look and ambience of this much loved site. It's outrageous that development companies are being given permission to make such modifications purely to increase their profit margins. Save our Sydney from over-development!!</p>
353921	<p>I object to the concept plan as the amenity of all local residents as well as visitors will be impacted significantly because of: 1. Greedy over reach by the planning of the proposed buildings on Hickson Rd by lack of setback and separation. 2. Additionally these buildings will significantly impact the views of the low rise residential setting of Millers Point (a significant heritage area) from the western harbour and neighbouring suburbs. The bulk and scale of these buildings will also be detrimental to the vistas from Observatory Hill that include views of the western harbour, horizon and roof scape of Millers Point. 3. As significant cities make considerable efforts to protect and enhance its historical areas, it is embarrassing to see that this government seeks to ignore the area's importance and sacrifice history and heritage in favour of greed and chaos.</p>
353821	<p>I object to this plan, as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. it's a gross misuse and over use of public land 2. it will block public views from and to multiple place that I frequent and pass though regularly - like Pirrama, Millers Point, Observatory Hill and the Harbor Bridge 3. it ignores the cultural and heritage values

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission												
	4. it over populates an area that it already busting at the seems with new developments												
353447	I was born in Sydney in 1968 and have lived here my entire life. I read about this proposal today and my reaction was very strong and negative. This will protect and promote the interests of a wealthy few, at the expense of everyone else who lives in Sydney - permanently. Sydney will be the poorer for it. Please stop it.												
354155	<p>I refer to the modification application submitted to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) to support an amendment to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9) to make amendments within Central Barangaroo and Barangaroo Reserve (Proposed Development). I strongly object to the Proposed Development. I do not support the significant changes now being pursued by the proponent under s75W of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EPA Act). In summary, the impact of the Proposed Development gives rise to matters which relevantly should be considered prior to the determination of the Proposed Development. Key issues of concern include:</p> <p>a. Mod 9 is not in the public interest. The modification application highlights the prejudicial legacy of the former Part 3A of the EPA Act which allows, through transitional provisions, broad ranging modifications with significantly less rigor than the approvals process that would have applied if the Proposed Development was being assessed under Part 4 of the EPA Act.</p> <p>b. The Proposed Development is of excessive bulk and scale. The amendments to Blocks 5, 6, and 7 include additional height, changes to the block alignments, and additional GFA across the blocks.</p> <p>c. The additional development height of MOD 9 would be to the detriment of the local community, cause significant view loss, and is contrary to the intention of the original Concept Plan. Planning process is not in the public interest: I accept that the modification is a 'transitional Part 3A project' under clause 2(1) Schedule 2 to the Environmental Planning and Assessment (Savings, Transitional and Other Provisions) Regulation 2017 which came into effect on 1 March 2018. However, I submit that the process of modifying the Concept Plan under s75W of the EPA Act unfairly benefits the proponent and could lead to a lack of public confidence in the decisionmaking process. Mod 9 commenced in March 2014, with Director General's Requirements (DGRs) issued in April 2014. The proponent is now taking the benefit of s75W (some 8 years after the DGRs were issued) despite that avenue otherwise closed off to other applicants lodging development of this scale since 2018. If Mod 9 had not been categorised as a transitional Part 3A project, then Part 4 of the EPA Act would have required a more rigorous set of processes and Mod 9 may otherwise fail relevant considerations under Part 4. There is no requirement in s75W to consider whether the Proposed Development is "substantially the same" as that originally approved, and the proponent's attempt to do so in the Environmental Assessment Report should not be supported. The very fact that Tower 7 would be increased by a total of 38.7 metres, or 110% of the original approved height indicates the very significant departure from the approved development heights. Excessive height: MOD 9 proposes amended building envelopes for three development blocks along Hickson Road (5, 6 and 7). The amended building envelopes increase the overall GFA and height of the approved concept building envelope. The significant difference in heights is summarised in the table below:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="383 1125 2123 1189"> <thead> <tr> <th>Development Block</th> <th>Approved Height (Mod 10/11)</th> <th>Proposed Height (Mod 9)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Block 5</td> <td>RL 34</td> <td>Varying, but up to RL 44.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Block 6</td> <td>RL 29</td> <td>Varying, but up to RL 38.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Block 7</td> <td>RL 35</td> <td>Varying, but up to RL 73.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>As indicated above, tower 7 in the northern portion of Central Barangaroo is now proposed to have an increased height of RL 73.7 - this is a significant 110% increase from the approved height of RL 35. Mod 9 is a further example of the proponent incrementally increasing building heights via modification applications pursuant to s75W, and therefore with less checks and balances.</p> <p>Adverse community impacts: I have serious concerns about the proposed built form, mass and scale of the three towers, and the concomitant impacts on harbour and horizon views. The modification application is supported by a View and Visual Impact Assessment that assesses the visual impact of MOD 9 on views from different representative observer locations (OLs) but omits consideration of view impacts from my affected property. I will no longer have views over and through Central Barangaroo. I am very concerned that the increased development height will have impacts on views from surrounding areas and may overshadow public spaces and nearby buildings</p>	Development Block	Approved Height (Mod 10/11)	Proposed Height (Mod 9)	Block 5	RL 34	Varying, but up to RL 44.5	Block 6	RL 29	Varying, but up to RL 38.7	Block 7	RL 35	Varying, but up to RL 73.7
Development Block	Approved Height (Mod 10/11)	Proposed Height (Mod 9)											
Block 5	RL 34	Varying, but up to RL 44.5											
Block 6	RL 29	Varying, but up to RL 38.7											
Block 7	RL 35	Varying, but up to RL 73.7											

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	particularly along High Street and Kent Street. The increased height of tower 7 will almost certainly block views to and from Observatory Hill. Way forward : I kindly request that the matters raised in this submission be considered by the DPIE's Assessing Officer and that the Assessing Officer contact the writer to discuss DPIE's position. In the event that additional information is requested from the applicant, I respectfully request that I am notified and I be provided the opportunity to make further submissions. Regards
353817	I object to this proposal on the basis of loss of publicly owned and accessible land and the affects of increasing the density of buildings in this area which will diminish the amenity, obstruct views of the harbour from public areas, increase overshadowing and loss of natural sunlight.
353361	Please refer to uploaded document attached
352910	This proposed plan will obstruct the historical view both from Observatory Hill and to Observatory Hill from other vantage points within the City of Sydney. Millers Point has such a rich history that deserves preservation for future generations to come. I strongly object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9).
352673	I wish to oppose the over development of Barangaroo Central, as proposed in the latest Modification 9 proposal. This is public land and should allow for the access by all Australians, not just the wealthy who will have privileges that are not available to ordinary Australians. The proposal will block views throughout Miller's Point and especially the historic landmark of Observatory Hill which many citizens here and overseas visit each year to take in the glory of our unique harbour. The proposal ignores the natural topography of the historical significant land in which this proposal would stand, and will disrupt sight-lines across Miller's Point. It also does not allow equitable access to views. The website in which this proposal is explained is deceptive. There is a complete lack of consideration for the public good, and insufficient parking for a large shopping centre that would be built on the site. It also ignores independent planning commission advice and will be a very poor reflection on what should be one of the greatest public parks and access areas in the world. We will lose a significant opportunity to make this a spectacular area for future Australians and international visitors if the latest proposal is accepted. I strongly oppose this over development of public land and the lack of vision it represents.
353757	I strongly object to the current amendment to the Central Barangaroo Development. In particular the views of of the old Sydney Town streetscape from both the water and neighbouring suburbs is a rare insight into our early settlement and should not be lost forever. The amendment alienates even more public access to harbour foreshore land. And will add further to traffic/parking issues in the area. The increase in scale and bulk of buildings disrupts even more the connection of Millers Point to the harbour which such a strong part of its history and heritage. For these and the many other reasons raised through public submissions already made I ask that you act on our behalf and deny this amendment
353335	This Modification 9 is completely unacceptable. It goes against the initial plans which already included over development. The moderate to high impact on the views from Sydney Observatory and multiple parks in the adjacent areas like Hill Park but also those in Pyrmont is in appropriate. The height increases of Blocks 3 & 4 are so totally unacceptable and will destroy so much of the feel of the surrounding areas. This revised development for central Barangaroo needs to be refused base dont he damage it will do to surrounding areas including fantastic parts fo the Barangaroo development. Of note also is that this whole process lacks adequate consultation and is not that acceptable to the broad population who will be impacted. This is over development being snuck through.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
352573	<p>1. Sightlines from all areas in The Rocks to the western reaches of the harbour will be impacted by the proposed multi-storey buildings, especially by the taller tower. This will certainly affect views from apartments on the western and north western side of our building. Not to mention other buildings, the Langham Hotel and other apartment buildings included, and the historic Observatory Park in The Rocks. It will detract from the heritage environment of The Rocks.</p> <p>2.It is an unwarranted overdevelopment of and out of scale to the site. In an area that has restricted road access, the new Metro Station notwithstanding, the additional apartments and commercial spaces in the proposed new buildings, added to the already high-density apartment and commercial buildings, will create significant traffic congestion.</p> <p>3.The proposal appears to reduce the area of public space and parkland, a valued commodity in our part of the city.</p>
354167	<p>I am a resident on the eastern harbour side of Darling Island for the past 17 years and I have uninterrupted views along King Street Wharf towards the Barangaroo Reserve/Park and also the Harbour Bridge. Within the past years, a lot has changed and I feel this is the time for me to speak out. With every new tall tower/office block or gambling house(Crown) being completed , I have lost something, both tangible and intangible that I value, the very same value that motivated me moving into Darling Island. I am of the opinion with the new proposal, more of the goodness in the area will be further eroded. I am now writing to object to the development on the following grounds:</p> <p>1. Sunlight The buildings are too bulky and too tall to allow sunlight to pass through from the east. I only get intermittent sunlight or no sunlight in the morning making it both colder, darker in the winter mornings. This aggravates my arthritis and also causing disease/death of the plants installed in my balcony.</p> <p>2. Shadow The tall buildings cast long shadows into the harbour and blocks sunlight onto the north and east of Darling Island. This is a public recreational area for local residents and visitors alike. Very few people would enjoy exercising in the shade thus limiting the available hours of activity we usually enjoy. 3. Blocking of the Harbour Bridge view Over the years of development, my views had been blocked gradually and with this new proposal, the jewel in the Crown ie my harbour bridge and firework views will be GONE. This affects not just me, but also in Pymont Peninsular and Pirrama Park. It will also impact views from Balmain, White Bay Power Station</p> <p>4. Visual Clutter The proposed development is big, bulky, squat, thick and unattractive. It is not adding aesthetic value to the precinct which is of significant value in relation to our early history and towards the future reputation of our city.</p> <p>4. Depreciation of property value and its saleability With the blocking of the Harbor Bridge view and the visual clutter, the value of my property will be significantly compromised and the value that I bought it for would not be returned proportionately in line with other properties in the area that still has the open view preserved. It will also affect the saleability of my property in a very competitive market.</p> <p>5. Uncertainty of the future I am a self funded retiree and relying on the value of my family home to fund my future expenditure when I have to sell my property and move into retirement/nursing home setting. My savings is fast depreciating due to the low interest rate and inflation. I definitely will rely on every cent that I can get from the future sale of my current property on Darling Island to fund my various expenses: health and hygiene, medical, entertainment, travel, books, further education on areas of personal interest.....in another words, quality of life.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>6. Loss of gardens, green areas, parks and oxygen The new proposal will compromise the original design of open space and visual green that gives fresh air and oxygen. This is important because it will compromise the physical and mental health of both residents and the tower workers. The "lung" of the Barangaroo area which generates oxygen is compromised.</p> <p>7. Traffic and Parking Where can all the shoppers park with no additional parking and better planned traffic access? It will be a disaster in the making for local residents having to navigate with both the increased traffic and lack of car parking space for their own vehicle.</p> <p>6. Overdevelopment In broader terms, do we need such a big increase in the floor space in the area? What will happen to those offices already available or vacant in other parts of the CBD? Do we need to block the open views of historic Observatory Hill?</p> <p>7. Fairness Are we being fair to those who had purchased in good faith the terrace houses in Millers Point. e.g. High Street ? They will lose the view and the value of their very recent purchases. Big development does not equate good development. We need to be thinking of design, architectural merit, pedestrian and vehicular traffic, compatibility with the environment, health of the immediate stake holders. What sort of legacy are we leaving to future generations? One that deserves the envy and inspiration of other cities or one that is soulless, bland, dreary, dull and lack lustre. A good development is a development that will benefit everyone NOT just the privileged few at the expense of a big majority of other stake holders. I hope Australia will still remain a fair and proud country, proud of their heritage and setting an inspirational blueprint for future generations. Above all, gives everyone a fair go. Thank you for reading my submission</p>
353541	<p>This application for amendments to the original commitments is another example of the dissolution of community process in favour of direct developer demands to senior government ministers. The amendment is not consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development in the approved concept. There is no consideration to the original development proposal, with yet more significant creep of building size and the removal of public access in favour of development size for maximum financial gain. The Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views has been ignored.</p>
354077	<p>Mailed submission attached</p>
352629	<p>This proposal destroys views of The Rocks - the origin of colonial Australia.</p>
354101	<p>Hi David I wish to object to the extra heights, extra ground area taken and extra car parking with this modification 9. Could you please register this, or give me a link to submit? I am unable to find this project on the new DPE website and have been searching for some time. Thanks and regards</p>
353777	<p>I strongly protest this modification to the Barangaroo development. I was raised, and continue to live, in Sydney. Some of my fondest childhood memories are of days out with my family on Observatory Hill. This is a city landmark which the public has a right to access and has for generations been one of the most accessible ways to view the Sydney harbour. It would be devastating to lose this skyline in any form. I believe the proposed modification is unacceptable.</p>
354027	<p>Please do not approve the proposed plan. I can not think of one single reason to justify approval. I can think of multiple reasons to refuse it, chiefly the destruction of public iconic views, and the loss of Millers Point's and Observatory Hill's historic connection to the harbour. The bulk and scale are utterly inappropriate and there is no consideration for the heritage of the local area.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354021	SAVE OUR STUNNING HARBOUR FORESHORE. You will destroy one of the world's great harbours. Have the courage to return this area to its Warrane look. We need green space and we need to return our harbour to its stunning original sate.
352796	This development is going to take place on land that was supposed to be kept for public use. There is little enough of that in the city and it is wrong to take more of it for private use. It is too big for the area and will substantially block views across from Balmain towards the Observatory and also the line of terrace houses. There is already an oversupply of office space in the city, so it makes dubious economic sense. It is time that open space, which is getting scarcer, it not taken by huge developments, but left for the people of Sydney to enjoy.
353991	Sydney urgently needs more green and public spaces and selling or giving public harbourside land into private ownership for development is the last thing that should happen. Development on this space does not pass the pub test.
354255	Dear sir, Today I crossed the Harbour Bridge in the train from Milsons Point direction. When I looked at the area from Millers Point to the Barangaroo it filled me with horror than any development here would be approved. These are the things that I noticed Trees and parkland near the Observatory. The wharves of Walsh Bay heritage that is wrapped up them. Also the cottages at Millers Point. The Garrison Church These are a few outstanding features and buildings to replace existing ones would be criminal.sight lines could be blocked as would views of the harbour On the Rocks side the old houses and buildings are part of our historical record. My children read Playing Beattie Bow by Ruth Park at school which is a story set in this very area. They went on excursion to relive the story. A wonderful experience! You might be too young to remember the Green Bans organised by Jack Munday and the BLF. Their action saved the QVB and the Rocks area. Can you imagine contemplating destroying the QVB? I am so thankful that those people had the vision to save demolition. Of course they were demonised at the time. Sydney is a beautiful city enhanced by the harbour and it's history. Please do your best to preserve the foreshores that John Howard's government had the wisdom to put aside for the future of our city. Be the Government that leaves a worthwhile legacy! a lifelong resident of Sydney
353753	I strongly object to the modification 9 plan for Barangaroo. It does not comply with the existing approved planning controls . Observatory Hill is a significant site of State Heritage and views to/ from all surrounding areas should be retained . Open space is critical and should not be compromised to serve a new Metro rail Station. Please ! Save our heritage and and save our beautiful city from private development on public land .
353985	I object to the changes made to the original approved plans. I am a boat owner and I am on the harbor with my family most weekends. It would be exceptionally disappointing to have the beautiful rows of heritage terraces obstructed by private buildings that will been erected on reclaimed harbour foreshore (what I view as a public asset) . In a post COVID world, we need these heritage assets to remain untainted and secured for tourism and future Australians. Please hold the developers to the originally approved plans. Text duplicate submission (353985)
352945	See Attachment Below
354079	Mailed submission attached
354247	Dear Mr Glasgow

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>I wish to strongly object to the proposed development on this PUBLIC LAND. Being public land, the public of Sydney should have been consulted. The proposal is gross - much too high, much too large and destroying the view of Observatory Hill and its surrounding area. This is an HISTORIC part of Sydney. Why can't you leave a worthwhile legacy for this city from your time in this job? You are allowing a monstrosity to be built, that Sydneysiders and visitors will have to endure for a hundred years. Yours sincerely A very concerned citizen of Sydney Duplicate submission text from submitter (353105): I wish to STRONGLY OBJECT to the Concept Plan Modification 9 as the planned height of the buildings in MUCH TOO HIGH. This would result in obstructing the view from many historical parts of the city such as Observatory Hill, Balmain, the Rocks. The plans need to be put on hold so that the public can become much more informed about this intrusion into their lovely city. New plans should be drawn up with consideration to this historical area - not maximising profit for developers. Why should they be able to make profits while destroying the ambience for the vast populace?</p>
352890	<p>The proposed development is objectionable on almost every front. The size and scale of the buildings goes far, far beyond the original plan for the site. A historic opportunity to use this land for public space will be irretrievably lost, given way to another cold, windy, cold, concrete wilderness like Southern Barangaroo and the appalling darling harbour. This is an historic opportunity to develop the barangaroo waterfront so that it can be enjoyed for generations to come. The barangaroo reserve is a small space but currently serves as the only green space for an increasingly dense western CBD. As it is the reserve is often used for private events, meaning there is no open space for the community. This will only get worse if the current proposal is approved, and more residents are using this space. There is of course the patch of grass behind the casino - originally planned to be in front of it (another example of the public interest giving way to private) but it is cold and dark and with the casino towering over the top of it, and barely a glimpse of the harbour, is very unappealing. The encroachment on the views from the observatory should also be reason enough for this proposal to fail. It would also shroud the oldest daycare centre in Sydney - KU Lance - in permanent darkness and create a wind tunnel down high street making it very unpleasant for the children to play outside. KU Lance has been serving the community for 120 years and is the only local centre that provides a nursery and preschool environment where children can move freely inside and outside, taking advantage of the sunlight and natural breeze from the harbour. This is a critical and long standing space that has served the youngest members of the local community for generations. That these public spaces and amenities are being destroyed to serve private developer interests (and to fund a station which could otherwise be provisioned for) is so very disappointing and upsetting.</p>
353411	<p>I object to the proposal. This is my personal submission. It supports the National Trust's position. The proposal will: Block public views west from Observatory Hill Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pyrmont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposal: Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.</p>
353383	<p>The current Barangaroo site deviates substantially from the original agreed design. The continued creep of new buildings with excessive heights and shadow lines have created a wind tunnel and concrete jungle. I have worked on the site for 10 years. The Crown Towers is far higher than ever initially agreed and casts long shadows over the harbour to Pyrmont and Balmain. The new modifications are not only poor design but also have no reference to the existing historical Millers Point precinct. Any built structure on along shorelines should be low and set-back to allow vistas and human scale in design. The harbour and shoreline belong to the people, the open space around</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>Barangaroo headland should not be overshadowed and crowded by the proposed modifications. The Rocks and Millers Point precinct have historical importance to Sydney and are a major tourist attraction. The spectacular views and pleasant environment of Observatory Hill be destroyed with new high-rise buildings blocking all western harbour views. The western view of Millers Point is a spectacular and unique vista in Sydney. It reflects the city's history, the sandstone base of our city and a low-lying scale in proportion to the topography. There is a proposed retail area in the proposal. Even before Covid hit, the retail areas in Barangaroo were not successful when there were 10,000 people in the towers daily. David Jones and other retailers have now totally closed. Who are the people the retail site service? The scale and location of the proposed buildings are entirely inappropriate for the site and removes amenity and an open harbourside environment for all Sydneysiders and tourists to Sydney.</p>
354153	<p>Dear Minister,</p> <p>I object strongly to the proposed high rise building to be stuck on top of the planned Metro Station (as proposed in Concept Plan for Barangaroo (MP06_0162 Mod 9). In the first place this is an enormous breach of trust in line with the people of NSW - Barangaroo Central was promised to be 5.2ha reserved as cultural and recreation space. If you start by putting up this tower you may as well paper Barangaroo Central and the headland with skyscrapers. What kind of a monument to greed to you want to leave behind - what other city in Australia would even consider this monstrosity. Sydney - the "a city without a soul" - bristling with high rise towers. Secondly - the development will impact on me (as a member of Sydney Skywatchers and a frequent visitor to the Observatory and the many thousands of people who visit Sydney observatory and use the parklands nearby - the report on the impact of shading and lack of reduced visibility seems to have been written with the end purpose in mind as people more expert than I have pointed out. Thirdly the building is ugly and inappropriately placed - it will be another ugly blues point tower and the sooner blues point tower is demolished the better - Sydney has enough ugly symbols of real estate excesses without creating another one in the front door. Please stop this ridiculous proposal to put a high rise tower in Central Barangaroo - hardly the sort of thing the government want to be reminded about at the next election. yours respectfully</p>
353511	<p>As a resident of Millers Point and a community member of the city, I object to the proposal. The nature and character of the historic Millers Point Community will be lost forever. It will be over developed. Too many residents and office space will lead to overcrowding. As a resident, I find it almost impossible to travel out of the city. In emergencies, critical fire, police and medical services will be delayed. The area of the building is a corner surrounded by water and the only way in/out is via Hickson Road and Sussex St. Moreover, Kent St, where many residents already live will become more overcrowded and unlivable, The huge hotel being proposed and the additional heights of the building will block important and historic site lines of Observatory Hill and iconic Sydney Harbour Bridge views from public spaces such as Balmain and Pyrmont. Importantly, public site lines along corridors such as Kent St down through Gas Lane and also down from Kent St down through High Street. Sydney does not have enough green space. The Sydney City Council has proposed that Sydney is a livable city with plenty of green space. The number of residents and the public using this area will increase dramatically. The proposed reduction in the size of Hickson Park is not acceptable. Residents need to have green space close by for exercise and walking their pets. With so many more residents living here, this is important. The reduction in the size of the park also means that the public views from Gas Lane looking west will be lost forever. Lost sunsets for people walking by the streets to enjoy can never be regained. It will mean that more noise will be generated and more traffic and pollution generated with the increased building space and sizes. There is no need for more buildings or larger buildings in the city of Sydney as most people work from home and do not live in the city. If they return in hybrid, it will only put more pressure on overcapacity and overstretched public infrastructure. Creating more buildings and larger buildings and hotels will make it a wasteland of empty and unleased and unleaseable buildings. It will be unused and under utilised, wasting important space for the city. This could be better used such as for green space. I urge you strongly to reject the current proposal and support the public and community who</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	wish to enjoy this beautiful and historic area. Retain the heritage of this historic focal point of Sydney before it is lost forever. What will your legacy be and how will you contribute to the beauty of this country?
353483	I object to Modification Nine as it is an insult to the global city and its vision for protecting the foreshore and precinct of public spaces and skyline. It is also a precinct that holds historic significance of the past which will be overlooked with the modification. Give the area the respect it deserves.
353403	I strenuously object to this massive change in this development proposal. It makes a mockery of the heritage requirements you insisted upon when you sold us these heritage terraces to now block Sydney's view of its heritage. The adjacent office space and Crown Casino were enough of a concession to cram into this waterfront without continuing your grasping assault by blocking public views from Observatory Hill, the inner west, and even to the Harbour Bridge. Have you learnt nothing from allowing the ugly Toaster at Circular Quay, already showing a shabby facade? Do you not feel enough disgrace with your jobs for the boys? Now we're supposed to trust another Minister while he reneges on previous undertakings as to the development limits of this site. Shame. What sort of government is this!!
352501	I am owner of a residential property in Millers Point where I intend to move in during the next three years. The proposed development proposal, Mod 9, impinge on the heritage areas of Millers Point and Observatory Hill by being built out. Whilst the existing approved Concept Plan guarantees views from Observatory Hill to the water and to the horizon. However, Mod 9 will go against that guarantee. The proposal also triples the floor area of the existing Concept Plan. The 73.7 Meter residential tower near Nawi Cove will blockout Observatory Hills uninterrupted sight lines to the horizon. The proposed Mod 9, however appears to have taken due care as not block the extensive harbour bridge and opera house views from Crown and Lend Lease developments. Millers Point and The Rocks represent early Sydney. Therefore, all efforts must take not to impact these heritage assets by overzealous development proposed by Mod 9.
353931	This gross overdevelopment proposal of Central Barangaroo runs roughshod over the citizens of NSW. This is a blatant transfer of public rights to the private sector. There can be no justification to transfer land that belongs to the people of NSW to simply line the pockets of developers. This proposal steals what rightfully belongs to all citizens. It simply increases the value of these iconic views from these developers properties by making them inaccessible to anyone else. It creates greater inequality. It is clear to anyone who walks around the city or travels on the harbour by ferry that this proposed concept would: -BLOCK public views from Observatory Hill -BLOCK public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - BLOCK public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - DESTROY the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water -BLOCK public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Prirrama Park -this gross overdevelopment will simply be another 'eyesore' or environmental pollution from which no one can escape The result does NOT support the people of NSW either socially or economically. It destroys our valuable heritage for present and future generations. It limits the benefits of any tourism industry to the already wealthy. In addition to its blatant 'grab for super profits' at the expense of the people, the proposed modification to the concept: -is NOT consistent with the approved concept -is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development -is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views -is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views - does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill - does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point The people of NSW deserve to have the world famous and iconic views around the harbour from both the land and the water preserved for future generations and available to everyone, not just a privileged few.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
352493	<p>I am appalled that the most recent proposal for Barangaroo Central is being given serious consideration. I regularly go to Observatory Hill and see people from across Australia and internationally visiting the hill to look at our amazing harbour. Blocking this historic view for Australians and tourists will impact significantly on the viability and attraction of Observatory Hill. Most importantly, we have a chance to create something meaningful and of long-term value for all Australians. Barangaroo should be for all Australians not just a tiny minority who have the wealth to buy into an apartment that blocks views from the historic part of our city or a developer who will obviously create something for the rich. I note that the most recent floor plans almost triple what has been proposed in the past, that there will be a 73.7 metre residential tower near Nawi Cove that will destroy this area for evermore, and that will block views from Observatory Hill. It is noteworthy that the views from Crown and Lend Lease's are guaranteed but views from Observatory Hill and other parts of our suburb will disappear or be seriously eroded. It makes many voters cynical of how money can buy virtually anything in Australia. Crown took foreshore parkland and now this latest proposal encroaches on adjacent parkland. As a genealogist, I am upset that our most significant heritage assets are being eroded significantly in this proposal. It will look slick but synthetic and forevermore be lost to ordinary Australians. We have the chance to create one of the most interesting and beautiful areas in the world. An area that all Australians could enjoy as well as international tourists. The weddings I see on Observatory Hill and the picnics and gatherings of young and old Australians who regularly go to the hill is proof of how much these views means to ordinary Australians. To obliterate heritage views in the way proposed is a national disgrace. Haven't we learned anything from past efforts to save the Rocks? This latest proposal is offensive in every way.</p>
354233	<p>Hi there, I just wanted to write in a submission in support of City of Sydney submission in regards to Barangaroo comment, which can be summarised as per below: - the increased height and scale of the buildings - the impacts on views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill (this being my biggest objection) - overshadowing from the buildings onto public space, blocking tree growth and natural light - heritage impacts - reduction in the size of Hickson Park - poor pedestrian and street connections Regards</p>
353259	<p>* The existing approved Concept Plan guaranteed views from Observatory Hill to the water and horizon. Mod 9 will totally obstruct almost all heritage views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill. * The proposed Mod 9 building heights prevent clear westward sightlines from Observatory Hill to the harbour. * The current Concept Plan Gross Floor Area is being tripled in size. * The plan is a repetition of Barangaroo South's is progressively expanding and being over developed. * The 73.7 metre residential tower near Nawi Cove blocks Observatory Hill's required uninterrupted sight lines to the horizon. * Crown and Lend Lease's views (to the harbour, Bridge, Opera House etc) will be maintained but views from Observatory Hill, Millers Point's Office and Residential Buildings will be lost. * View sharing is an important Mod 9 consideration. Photographs used in the Mod 9 documentation are misleading. The angles and heights used diminish the plan's impact on view sharing and are not true perspective. * Hickson Park is further surrounded by unacceptably high structures, diminishing the parkland and open areas. * View corridors to and from the High Street terraces are significantly reduced. * Crown took foreshore parkland and now Mod 9 encroaches on adjacent public parkland. * Millers Point and The Rocks are significant heritage assets, which will be lost if the proposed Mod 9 development proceeds.</p>
353763	<p>I object to the proposal on the following grounds. The proposal would: -Block public views west from Observatory Hill -Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill -Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water -Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. Recommend the proposal is rejected as it is: -NOT consistent with the approved Concept -NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development -NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views -NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views -Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill -Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
353977	<p>Barangaroo Concept Plan Mod 9 - Objection Submission MP06_0162 MOD 9 I object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan Modification Plan 9 on many many grounds. Millers Point and The Rocks represent early Sydney. They are our most significant heritage assets. The area is a significant Heritage Precinct for the whole city, the state and the country. The most concerning grounds for my objection are laid out below: 1) The out-of-place view-blocking Northern Residential Tower * This 73.7m building is completely out of context with: o Barangaroo Headland Park o Nawi Cove o Millers Point Heritage Streetscape o Observatory Hill It will remain an ugly blot on the Sydney Harbour landscape on what is essential harbour foreshore public land. It will be compared unfavourably to the much-lamented Blues Point Tower located on the opposite north shore of the Harbour. It is not a positive contribution to the precinct's urban planning and does not belong right next to the public areas of Nawi Cove and Barangaroo Headland Park. It will block views from all directions including those current views from Observatory Hill to the horizon. There is already a significant number of residential apartments in Millers Point. This building must not be higher than the 2007 approved height of 29-34m in the Central Barangaroo Concept Design. 2) The devastating effects on the Millers Point Heritage Area views and sightlines * The Mod 9 Plan does not take into account the impact on the Heritage Area of Millers Point (arguably the oldest remaining intact area of Old Sydney Town). Supposedly preserved views to and from the Heritage Area are severely impacted and even completely obscured. The houses on High St and the western side of Kent St (plus many lower levels of apartment buildings on the same side of Kent St) along with the five-star Langham Hotel will also totally lose these views with the Mod 9 plan. * "Protected" views of Millers Point streets, houses and landscape including Observatory Hill from the West (the Western Harbour, Balmain, Pyrmont and other nearby suburbs) have been available to all for over 200 years. They will be completely lost by the proposed Mod 9 development. Such sightlines are expressly required in the original Central Barangaroo Concept Plan. * In addition, public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pyrmont Peninsula and Pirrama Park will be obscured. 3) The obliteration of Observatory Hill views and sightlines 1) Easterly views of Observatory Hill and equally views west from Observatory Hill have been seen by local inhabitants and visitors for thousands of years. The State Government has no right to just block them out forever. This cannot be allowed to happen. 4) Minimal "view corridors" 2) Minimal "view corridors" high-up between Mod 9 Blocks 5 and 6 only provide minor Harbour glimpses and not a panoramic western view of the harbour as the Mod 9 document suggests. In particular, the view corridors to and from High St terraces are significantly diminished. A significant proportion of the State Government sale price of these terraces to the public was for the water views across the western harbour to Balmain and beyond. Now, with this proposal the view is an impenetrable concrete wall. 5) Loss of a part of Hickson Park 3) Mod 9 encroaches on Hickson Park, taking back the expanded Hickson Park granted to the people of NSW by the Independent Planning Commission. This leaves Hickson Park even more enclosed by massive, tall buildings which will place even more of the park in unacceptable shadow in certain seasons of the year. 6) The sheer size and scale of the proposed buildings in Central Barangaroo. 4) The Mod 9 proposed development is for a tripling of the Gross Floor Area. By anybody's standards, this is an over-expansion of the previously approved GFA. 5) The proposed buildings along Hickson Rd are too overpowering, with insufficient articulation, setback separation. They are just a Great Wall that follows no urban planning principles. 6) There is already an over-supply of retail and office space in Sydney CBD. 7) The proposed 28000sqm shopping centre will create parking problems which do not appear to have been adequately assessed. There is very little or no parking for shoppers to the new centre. They will park in the already crowded streets of Millers Point and Walsh Bay. 8) There appears to be an inadequate assessment of the traffic flow problems that will arise from Central Barangaroo traffic pouring into Millers Point and Walsh Bay, especially at peak times. 7) Inadequacy of Mod 9 Visual Impact Report. 9) The visual impact photos in the Mod 9 plan are insufficient and often misleading. The report does not include, for example, visual impact photos from High St or Kent St as a streetscape or from individual properties. The use of wide-angle photos reduces the view impact of</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>building development in the centre of images. The angle and view of visual impact images from Observatory Hill have been chosen carefully and could be construed as misleading. The actual loss of views of the western Harbour is very dramatic (almost total) from many significant locations. 8) In Conclusion I would like to summarize the below consistency failures in the Mod 9 plan: The Mod 9 Plan modification of the Concept Plan fails to be consistent with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The Approved Concept Plan o The statements issued for the Barangaroo Development o The Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views o The policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan for the protection of iconic views. o The heritage significance of Observatory Hill o The heritage significance of Millers Point
352617	<p>My wife Wendy and I own and live at the above address. We are dismayed at this latest proposal for Barangaroo and we object to it. Community and individual interest are yet again being sacrificed by this government on the altar of commercial interests and property development. This government and this proposal completely disregards the amenity of suburbs and communities in the West. We moved to our property in part because of the wonderful views we have of the Sydney Harbour Bridge. Slowly and surreptitiously our view through progressive approvals is being blocked. This latest proposal justifies the increase in size by saying well your view has already been severely affected so what is a little more. It's outrageous. The Harbour Bridge is a world renowned icon symbol of Sydney. It should be apparent from all over Sydney. This building as planned and as proposed to be amended is nothing but a blight on the Bridge. It is up there with the Cahill Expressway and Blues Point Tower. This government will forever be associated with the monstrosity it is. It is difficult to understand why the taller building has to be at the northern part of the site directly in front of the bridge. A little bit of thought could see it placed at the southern end and away from directly in front of the Bridge. In any event this entire proposal is a betrayal of the promises made to the community about open public spaces. Whilst it may be accepted that state coffers need replenishment this proposal is the worst possible outcome advanced with little thought or care to the interests of individuals, communities or Sydney generally. Why should our interests be sacrificed to those of the developers and quite bluntly to maximising profits Act now and stop this madness. Redraw it relocate it and save our views and the blight on the bridge.</p>
353437	<p>Dear David Glasgow,</p> <p>I oppose the proposed modification for the development as a frequent visitor of the area. The proposed modification would essentially cut off residents and visitors of the Observatory Hill and surrounding area from Darling harbour. This development will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Block public views west from Observatory Hill - Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water - Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. <p>This development modification will discourage people from discovering and visiting Barangaroo area because looking at modern buildings is not that exciting compared to the panorama of Sydney. Any existing business visited by people enjoying current views will be significantly impacted because current historical views will cease to exist. To sum up, this modification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views - Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views - Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill - Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. - Will diminish the value of the rest of Barangaroo precinct and surrounding area.
352999	<p>I refer to the subject proposed development that the Minister for Planning to wanting to proceed with. This proposal is n absolute shameful plan to keep destroying the Barangaroo precinct of which is already over developed. There will be no winners here for the taxpayer ex pet for the greedy and corrupt members of the State Government. One has to have the power to stop this destruction to the city of Sydney and</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	for the Barangaroo and The Rocks area before it is too late. What happened to the people's voice ? Consider the future of this beautiful area and of Sydney that you are planning to damage and destroy. I strongly object to this ugly development and the killing of once a public area which the public were supposed to enjoy. No further buildings please!,,,,,!
354151	Sydney harbour foreshore for open public space, More parklands, less shops/hotels/casinos. Thankyou
353359	I object to Modification 9 of the Barangaroo Concept Plan on the following basis: 1) The proposal is an overdevelopment of the site which was intended to have a lower GFA than other densely developed parts of Barangaroo. 2) The proposal has elements that are too tall and act as a visual wall across the site - from Observation Hill and looking to the site from the West. The destruction of the visual connection to the harbor and into the historic areas of the Rocks is a travesty - degrading the heritage of the city. 3) The reduction of the public space and parklands is simply poor planning and inappropriate given the density of existing surrounding development. 4) The urban design of the precinct , height and density controls are poor and lack variation in scale - degrading the area. 5) The precinct presents as a regional shopping centre with buildings over the top - something that is inappropriate given its location - and more fitting to a suburban location 6) The proposed modifications lack human scale and any creative merit - sitting poorly next to adjacent areas of high development and historic areas. A developers dream at the profound cost to Sydney's harbor side heritage and public open space . A planning travesty !
353459	Originally from Sydney, I always go to Observatory Hill to view the the city for its natural environmental connection to the water with the low-set built environment. The aspect and it's importance to connect with Balmain will be lost. The large walls planned will detract significantly from the heritage-listed houses your State Government sold to local people caring about the national significance your planning scheme and sale requirements imposed. Apart from the loss of amenity, the property values will fall significantly, as views are blocked, air-flow restrictions occur, and will result in horrendous graffiti being imposed on those people who spent so much money and time restoring these homes in good faith. As a consequence, sales of properties will occur at significant discount as these good characters leave the area. Your effect will reduce property values and affect applicable land rates for property/land taxes and the City Council will of course be impacted. Court cases could occur. The original plan you now propose to change for Barangaroo is not what was promised by politicians Carr and Keating. You will now have them engaged in the loss of green space and amenity effect.
353175	I was very distressed to read of the new plan for Barangaroo Central, obstructing harbour views from Observatory Hill and the inclusion of a high-rise tower at the northern end of the development, One of the delights of life in Sydney, at least for my wife and myself, has been a walk across the Harbour Bridge, then up to Observatory Hill to sit and quietly contemplate the view of the western harbour. That this view will be lost forever is a tragedy.
352997	Please please leave our foreshore to open space for our future generations to enjoy. As our population expands we need even bigger open spaces to enable us to move freely along our public foreshores and thus enabling more tourists to come and enjoy our city along with our magnificent harbour and foreshores. Filling it with high rise only benefits a few and it is so short sighted of us to think only of the immediate future and it's people now. Additional text from submitter (353929): Please spare a thought for future generations and allow us to keep the foreshore free of high rise buildings. We need parks and open spaces in our city and where else but by our beautiful harbour. Think of the Rocks area and the millions of tourist that flock to it. We almost lost that if the government of the time had their way. We need open spaces which our locals and tourists will come to and let's not satisfy the few including our government to make money from in the short term

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354223	Has anyone considered the view from the Opera House ? If this horrible building is allowed, the view from the iconic part of Sydney, seen on international photos/screens/ tourism photos would be SERIOUSLY compromised. With the new Metro building masking the Harbour Bridge's pylon, ruining the views from historical Sydney's Observatory Park, the only way that this government will be remembered is as the ruination of Sydney.
353857	I object to the proposed modification 9 to Central Barangaroo. Social Impacts are required to be outlined by the Modification Application as stated by 'Key Issue' 11 of the Director General's Requirements (DGRs) MP06_0162 (MoD 9). The social impacts of the proposed modification have not been sufficiently outlined nor assessed by the applicant, the proposal should therefore be refused having not met the DGRs for MP06_0162 (MoD 9). The "Social Impact Assessment" (SIA) provided as part of the modification application does not meet industry standards or applicable NSW Guidelines on the preparation of SIAs. The SIA departs from industry standards and state government guidelines in the following ways: - The SIA has not been conducted in accordance with the applicable 2021 NSW Social Impact Assessment Guidelines and instead refers to literature and the 2017 NSW Social Impact Assessment Guidelines for resource projects, which this is not. - There is no evidence that would suggest the SIA has been conducted by a suitably qualified practitioner with relevant qualifications in social science or proven experience as stipulated by the NSW SIA Guidelines. - No consultation was conducted to directly inform the SIA as required by the 2021 NSW SIA Guidelines. - The SIA does not define what social impacts are nor does it lay out a methodology to how the assessment of social impacts was approached. - The SIA does not define the social locality, i.e. the people and or communities likely to be impacted by the proposal. - The SIA takes a very one-sided approach and fails particularly to appropriately scope negative social impacts. The executive summary neglects any mention of potential negative social impacts and instead focuses on "social benefits". - The SIA does not provide clear recommendations on how positive social impacts can be enhanced or how negative social impacts can be managed or mitigated. Kind regards, NAME WITHHELD
354263	we need to keep the harbour foreshore green Do NOT allow buildings to impose on it
352685	I wish to object to the proposed alterations to the development plans for Barangaroo Central. The massive increase in heights and floor space ensures that the other Barangaroo developments such as Crown Casino and One Sydney Harbour have their views protected but obliterates views for other non Barangaroo sites, despite the approved concept plan promising to protect these. The massively conspicuous tower at the northern end of the site is an ugly and intrusive lump on the end of the peninsula. More land has been taken and the development overshadows the historic elements of an important part of Sydneys' past. This alteration is yet another step in the creeping and gross overdevelopment of the entire Barangaroo project and continued theft of public property to deliver maximum benefit to developers.
353367	I object to this development. The proposed building is an overdevelopment with the tripling of the gross floor space compared to the existing concept plan. The 73 metre residential tower will obstruct the views looking at and from Observatory Hill and Millers Point but provide uninterrupted views from their development. This plan is a repetition of the continual expansion by stealth over the original concept. The photos used in Mod 9 documents uses angles to reduce this project's impact on sharing the views with its neighbours. This overdevelopment overwhelms the heritage character of Millers Point and The Rocks.
354261	Dear Mr Glasgow,

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	We object to the Mod 9 proposal for Central Barangaroo. Please note that we have not made any political donations and that we agree with the Department of Planning's privacy policy. Please do not publish our names. Thank you,
352781	I am writing this as an objection to Modification 9. It seems that the whole Barangaroo site from North to South has absolutely no governance and is being overdeveloped, as is typical with every development down there, this proposal shows an utter disregard for our iconic harbour, the history and heritage of the neighbourhood, public green open spaces and any of the original planning principles. Modification 9 will see Central Barangaroo swell even further with a number of additional residential towers on the waterfront and a wall of development blocking heritage Millers Point and most disgracefully historic views from Observatory Hill to the west will be destroyed. Buildings will no doubt creep through variation, as all the others have higher than agreed and also onto public open space, which in this proposal have been reduced to only 30% and overshadowing will affect the rest. The amount of traffic congestion, on top of poor infrastructure along Hickson road, into the Rocks, also south then up and towards the harbour bridge entrance on Kent street is going to be an absolute disaster. Barangaroo could be a world-class unique attraction enjoyed and celebrated by locals and visitors alike. A continuation of the park, something that celebrates the uniqueness and heritage of the area. Instead, if this proposal is approved, it will leave a second-rate legacy for future generations and an infrastructure disaster.
353429	This amendment to the proposal is not in the public interest and will irreparably harm the lands, environment and public enjoyment of the location and surrounds through continued over development of the larger CBD.
354121	Dear David, I would like to lodge my objection to the plans on Barangaroo Central Modification 9. Due to the proposed excessive additional 144,000sqm gross floor area the developer wishes to build on this Crown Land site, the new height, scale and bulk of the project (particularly the 20 storey resident tower) will adversely impact Sydney's topography. Connections to the harbour, Observatory Hill and Sydney Harbour Bridge will be severed for thousands of Sydneysiders, negatively impacting their lives. Sydney is a harbour city and Sydneysiders and visitors alike have a visceral love of our harbour, our beloved 'coat hanger' and our heritage. We're a city synonymous with our relationship with the harbour. What form of enjoyment is more accessible and democratic than sitting along the harbour or on a park bench on Observatory Hill and admiring the view. You shouldn't need money to access the best spots this city has to offer. If this proposal was approved, Sydneysiders's view of both Observatory Hill and the Sydney Harbour Bridge would be blocked from areas of Pyrmont, Blackwattle Bay and Balmain; those sitting on Observatory Hill looking west would lose a panoramic view of the harbour that's been enjoyed for thousands of years and people catching the ferry to work from Blackwattle Bay wouldn't be able to see the Bridge anymore on their journey. The proposal takes so much away Rather than enhance people's experience of Sydney. Sydney deserves a more sensitive solution. Sydney deserves better than this. Sydney deserves more. Kind regards
352906	.
353019	I am concerned the public value of the Millers Point and views around Observatory Hill will be ruined by this project. please reconsider.
352844	Please see attached

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
352995	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>HOW DARE YOU!!!!</p> <p>As a very concerned resident of the Rocks, my address being Apartment 703, Observatory Tower, 168 Kent Street, Millers Point. Sydney 2000, I am horrified by the "modification 9" to the previously approved plan for The Rocks. I am not talking about stealing harbour views from considered, wealthy residents, of this area. I am talking about STEALING public space, public property, green space, given to the whole of Australia to enjoy around our beautiful foreshores. Mr. Paul Keating worked tirelessly to regain this land for the enjoyment of the public for beauty, recreation and solace and the park is magnificent. Enjoyed by millions of people. In fact this area saved the mental health of God knows how many citizens during the pandemic, as we were able to leave our homes and walk in peace, tranquility and beauty for a couple of hours a day. As M. Keating said....."in the cities that are dense, it is the PUBLIC SPACE that needs to be fought for"... How true that is. HOW DARE YOU desecrate what is ours. Think again Mr. Robert's before you approve this rotten modification. PLEASE. HOW DARE YOU come in, in such a sneaky way and think you can give to the PRIVATE and steal from the PUBLIC in a reverse Robin Hood, it is robbing the neighbourhood. We have left previous areas to particularly come in to the City to enjoy the beauty of what was once, amazing Barangaroo, now to watch, as it is slowly made hideous by oversized buildings and railway construction. We were promised GREEN SPACE, it is being STOLEN . HOW DARE YOU not consider our right to amenity, heritage protection and enjoyment in favour of a project which will provide limited public good and dubious economic impact given the significant oversupply of retail and office space in Sydney CBD and rapidly deteriorating residential real estate market. HOW DARE YOU not consider the heritage of the beauty of, and views from, Observatory Hill . This has been there from the year dot and never vandalised and it is ours to enjoy, the public, not to have its beautiful views and peaceful surrounds, enjoyed by millions, vandalised by greedy private enterprise. The shadows that will be cast from such high rise will affect so many of our residents who just enjoy the mental health benefits of a beautiful harbour walk in an area that was given to us, not private enterprise. I urge you to listen to the thousands of residents, and people of Australia, who come here to holiday and enjoy what our harbour foreshore and park offers. Peace and tranquility, not the hustle and bustle of the city they have just walked away from. Please Minister Anthony Roberts.....DON'T BLOCK THE ROCKS.</p>
353273	Mod 9 will result in gross overdevelopment with a near tripling of GFA and an unacceptable increase in building height. This modification should be denied
352697	I strongly object to Modification 9 to the Barangaroo development as I believe that it will bring only negative outcomes for the area and to allow it to be approved would be measured as a poor decision in any long-term thinking. The negative impact this proposal will have on the heritage value of the area, both in the 'look' it would create & the further loss of open public space, not to mention the impacted views from Observatory Hill alone should halt this plan. Add in the impact of the increase in traffic with the loss of amenity and I can see no benefit to the area or those living nearby. I ask that this proposal be rejected.
353271	I object to the proposed changes to the new developments at Barangaroo. They are too big and too high. This is public land and should not be over developed
353431	Please reject these proposed developments at Barangaroo. One of the loveliest things about Sydney is its heritage, so tied to the beautiful harbour. This new concept modification is not in keeping with what was proposed for Barangaroo and instead would be yet another

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	eyesore on the skyline, another monument to wealthy men that already own so much. Please protect the views and the history of these places for everyone, and take the opportunity to keep them for everyone instead of prioritising money-making ventures.
352987	Hi, I strongly object to this proposed development modification. The proposed huge increase in the size and scale of this development is outrageous, and would create an eyesore in a beautiful, central and iconic part of our beloved city. This proposed monstrosity would be a horrible addition to Sydney and the historic Barangaroo, and a dismal proposal given its proximity to the centre of the city and such world-renowned icons as the Sydney Harbour Bridge, Sydney Harbour and the Sydney Opera House. The proposal to triple the size of the Concept Plan Gross Floor Area is crazy. This continues the over-development of the Barangaroo area. We've already got an enormous casino, hotel and residential tower perched so close to the water's edge, dominating everything around it, and that is unsightly enough already. To add even more developments to this area would be deplorable. Crown already took over some of the foreshore parkland and this modification would take even more of this valuable green space from Sydneysiders. Green spaces such as these need to be preserved and available to all people, whether they're a Sydneysider, someone visiting from anywhere else in Australia, or a tourist. They certainly shouldn't be handed over to developers to throw up beastly monoliths for the benefit of few. The Millers Point and Rocks areas are of great historic and cultural significance to Sydney and Australia, and they should be cherished. Ensuring their preservation is of the utmost importance, and governments at all levels should, as key guardians, make it a priority that they're not encroached upon by large-scale developments such as this one proposed. Observatory Hill is another well-known and revered Sydney site. The current approved Concept Plan means the stunning views from Observatory Hill looking west to the harbour and beyond are maintained. Why would anyone, who is charged with the responsibility of helping to maintain heritage locations like this and ensuring our beautiful city isn't overrun by huge developments, allow these lovely views to be blocked by this ludicrous modification? The proposed modification is mind-blowingly arrogant by the developers, aiming to shamelessly dominate one of Australia's most historic areas and an iconic Sydney destination renowned around the world. I very strongly object to this proposed development modification and trust that it will summarily be dismissed.
353279	The proposals within the Barangaroo Concept Plan 9 are alarming and very disappointing. They contradict the original concept. I had understood the area between Crown Tower and Barangaroo Park land on the northern end of Hickson Road was intended as a park because it was PUBLIC LAND! That seems to have evaporated. The proposed increased height on buildings planned for the site OBSTRUCTS PUBLIC VIEWS from Observatory Hill and Millers Point. SITE LINES are blocked but should be PROTECTED. Already too many buildings on the site have been 'approved'. Looking from the water at this area, the buildings are UGLY. So much of our city has been vandalised by construction - all unnecessarily tall towers and architecturally unsightly buildings - many creating wind tunnels and dark corridors at street level. The Rocks area of Sydney is a significant part of Australia's history. Archeologists have recorded First Nation's People having lived in this area, and many buildings reflect Sydney's colonial history. It is a heritage area of Sydney. The proposed buildings do not contribute positively to the beauty of this area but rather reflect the greed and what seems to be the double standards of Sydney. It is devastating that there is such disregard for the beauty of an area, even when it is its beauty and the history it displays that has attracted visitors to Sydney. Please consider the legacy to the heritage value of this area by NOT approving increased height to the proposed buildings and the other proposals within the Barangaroo Concept Plan 9
353927	I object to the proposed development
353499	I wish to object to the proposed concept modification of the Central Barangaroo Concept. I feel strongly our city's heritage should belong to everyone and this modification does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill or Miller's Point. The company developing this land do not appear to have any historical connection with this land as a heritage site and I am concerned at their lack of respect for the

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	significance these views have to the public of NSW. The modifications, with the much taller buildings proposed, will mean that these iconic views will be a privilege for just a wealthy few. This modification is not consistent with the approved concept nor with the plans and policies originally agreed upon.
354035	I'm devastated at the proposed over development of Barangaroo. I moved into my apartment in Miller's Point 20 years ago. I have been delighted to see the area progress. To walk around the headland and see how beautiful it is. To observe how the trees and native plants have grown over the years. Unfortunately it is now very distressing to see the proposed plans. I walk High Street most days, I can't imagine what I will be seeing when I look out to the West.. I feel so much of our heritage will be lost. This general over development is not what we were lead to believe would be happening.
354067	This submission is brief because of the truncated time available to provide the information. - Observatory Hill is an iconic, historic Sydney location and views to and from the hill should be protected as originally included in the planning requirements - the bulk and scale of the buildings proposed for Central Barangaroo are well in excess of the original proposals and will block views to and from the historic low-rise residential streetscape in Millers Point - the proposed Northern residential tower is completely out of place and context in the setting of Nawi Cove, the Millers Point heritage streetscape and Observatory Hill and shows no architectural merit - the proposal shows no consideration of the Historic Precinct of Millers Point and will overlook and overshadow its heritage values - the proposal is inadequate in assessing the visual impact on existing properties in Millers Point which will have their views obscured or obliterated - the traffic assessment is inadequate in relation to the impact on surrounding areas of Millers Point and Walsh Bay, particularly in relation to the impact of the proposed retail precinct - there is no parking included within the proposal and in fact 100 street parking places will be removed, which makes no allowance for the potential shoppers in the proposed retail precinct Summing up, the proposed modification to the Concept: - is NOT consistent with the approved Concept - is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development - is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views - is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views - does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill - does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. I object to the proposed modifications and request that these be rejected.
353449	- The Modification should be reviewed independently given the potential conflict of interest, given the applicant is Investment NSW. - The massing and scale and changes are significant. As such, the application should not be considered under a Section 75W - The proposed tower block at the northern end spoils the vistas north and south, as it does similarly to Observatory Hill. - The massing and scale along Hickson Road are totally out of scale and will spoil the whole concept of the interface of Barangaroo with Millers Point. Moreover, the suggestion some greening will assist to deflect the impact which is mentioned in AECOM's Visual Impact Assessment is a pile of 'gobbledygook'. In short, the report needs an urgent review by independent experts. - The previous massing was out of context and needed to be reduced. This only makes a bad problem far worse. - The introduction of the Barangaroo Metro Station should not justify the means. It's false economy. This is a once-off opportunity that needs the political will to fix what is a scheme that will have devastating implications for a very special part of the city, Millers Point. Please go back to the drawing board, and fix what is an awful mess!
354099	Don't stuff it up like everything else in Sydney. The only thing they've got right is Berangaroo.
353017	The use of public space, views and Sydney heritage will be affected. These are some of the wonderful things about Sydney and this area in particular . To consider approval of a proposal such as this for Private use (other than necessary public social housing or hospital) is

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	outrageous. an unnecessary extension for Sydney at the expense of what is for all to enjoy. This proposal should be rejected by our government, who are paid and should listen to the public.
354157	See submission attached
353997	I am wanting to express my distaste for the land grab that has taken place in Barrangaroo since work was commenced. The vision put forward originally was to provide an open space for public use and harbour access. This looked wonderful in the initial designs, however this new design is awful with the new tower most certainly casting morning shadow on the public amenity and just generally looking over-developed. This is so disappointing and I'm hopeful the minister will listen to the people of NSW that share this opinion.
354227	Please do not allow any more high-rise building at Barangaroo. The view from Observatory Hill is one I and many others have enjoyed for years. Since European settlement, and perhaps since time immemorial, it has been the place to enjoy the sight of so much of Sydney's waterways. We hope to continue to enjoy it forever! Thank you for your attention. Regards,
353573	This submission is to object to the application. As outlined by National Trust. I also object to The proposed concept modification as it would: -Block public views west from Observatory Hill -Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill -Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point -Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water -Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. I object to the negative impact on sydney harbour icon views, negative impact on public open spaces and negative impact on heritage value.
353127	I have serious concerns about the Barangaroo Concept Plan Modification 9, in particular with regard to potential overshadowing, view blocking and the reduction to the size of Hickson Park. In my opinion, the proposed height increases, particularly to buildings 5, 6 and 7, will have extremely adverse effects on the immediate environment to the east of the site. Affected buildings include the heritage terraces along Hickson Road whose residents will be deprived of the rare and extremely valuable water views which I understand they were promised when they purchased their properties from the NSW Government, as well as losing their enjoyment of afternoon sunshine. Occupants of the hotel above the terraces will also experience loss of views and sun as will the residents of the lower levels of several nearby residential tower buildings. There are presently very attractive water glimpses from the streets to the east, which will be lost to residents and visitors to the area if this amendment is approved. Users of Hickson Park and the lower levels of the Crown Barangaroo building to the south of the site will also lose views and sun due to the increased heights of the proposal. This situation is compounded by the reduction in the area of Hickson Park. In my opinion, the proposed taller building on block 7 is completely out of scale and should not proceed. Its bulk is visually unattractive and exacerbates the overshadowing and view blocking. Please refuse this proposed amendment.
353679	My concern with the proposed development is the height of the buildings which will obscure views of the harbour from Millers Point and of the heritage homes in Millers Point from Darling Island. Of particular concern is that under the proposal High street in Millers Point will become an alleyway, rather than the lovely streetb that it is, because of the height of the proposed buildings.
354133	Central Barangaroo Proposed Modification #9 - submission 1. I am writing to object to the Proposed Modification #9. 2. I request that my name not be published in regard to this submission.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>3. Would you please confirm receipt of this submission? LOSS OF AMENITY BY A THOUSAND CUTS I am a resident of Darling Island at Pymont.</p> <p>Since moving to this residence, I have carefully monitored the various Barangaroo Concept Plans and the way in which 'scope creep' has progressively diminished the design principles of the entire Barangaroo development and negatively impacted surrounding residents. I noted that the original South Barangaroo plan was the prime location for high rise commercial and residential development. I watched the videos that demonstrated the shade impact of the proposed buildings on Darling Island and surrounds and accepted some impact as inevitable. Residents of Darling Island 'took it on the chin', primarily because of the greater public benefit that arose from the balance that existed with the intended development plans for Central and Northern Barangaroo. In particular, the original concept plan for Central Barangaroo showed mid-height development, combined with open public space, and a pleasing skyline that sloped from the heights of South Barangaroo to the green space of North Barangaroo. What has actually occurred, through scope creep, is that Darling Island is now plunged into shade for most of the morning, throughout every season except summer. Many of the residences now receive no sun at all in these seasons, driving up energy consumption for lighting and heating, and associated costs. This is because three new high rise developments, again NOT REVEALED IN THE ORIGINAL PLAN, have essentially blocked out the eastern sky.</p> <p>My point is that every incremental departure from the original concept plan diminishes the amenity for local residents and visitors. The latest proposed modification is another example of sneaky scope creep. Another proposed high rise, completely unsympathetic to its surroundings, ugly from every direction, obstructive of Harbour Bridge views, and destructive of the harbour's heritage values. Further diminishment of public space. For Barangaroo, for Sydney Harbour, for Sydney, and for the public, it is a further example of DEATH BY A THOUSAND CUTS for the city's public amenity. The proposed modification should be rejected. Thank you for considering this submission. Yours faithfully</p>
353183	<p>I wish to object to the proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod9) The height of Block 7 NW is more than twice the height in the approved concept plan.This would result in the loss of the significant and historic panoramic views from Observatory hill - a view that MUSTbe protected. A tower in the NW corner is the antithesis of a "visual transition between the natural setting and scale of Barangaroo Reserve in the north to the high rise CBDscale of Barangaroo South". The view from the Hill should be preserved for its heritage significance and for future generations.</p>
352777	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed Modification 9 to the Proposed Barangaroo Concept Plan. Like many residents of Greater Sydney, I wholeheartedly supported the original vision for East Darling Harbour which, by prioritising public amenity, particularly physical and visual access to the foreshore, retaining existing sightlines and creating new views to the eastern harbour from the site, and opportunities for sympathetic and site-sensitive architecture, envisioned the site as a new jewel in the Sydney Harbour crown. The proposed modifications continue the theme of privileging private and commercial interests above public good, incrementally compromising the original vision. Overall they have the effect of further reducing public space, particularly at Hickson Park, reducing amenity by increased bulk and scale of the built environment, eg by overshadowing public space and abandoning original plans for the precinct whereby building height reduces step-wise towards the northern headland, and unacceptably impacting state and national heritage including irreplaceable views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill. This 'death by a thousand cuts' of our public estate has to stop. No further encroachment onto public space or amenity, or impact on heritage values, however small, is acceptable. The modifications should NOT be accepted.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353425	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>Please do not allow this modification to be built: - it is out of keeping with the surrounding buildings; - its design is not worthy of holding a prime position - it looks suburban residential not international foreshore; - we need to try to move away from crowding the city with high rises; and - it will forever be a blight on the skyline! Surely we can do better than this. Don't let developer greed win AGAIN!! Let common sense and good design hold weight and start a new precedence...</p>
353409	Please see attached submission (File attachment 1)
352565	I object to the intrusion of the Northern tower into the view corridor from Observatory Hill and the blocking of the views from High St I am a resident of Millers Point and had generally agreed with the current Master Plan approval. This should not be modified. The Review Panel's decision on Mod 8 had recommendations on Central Barangaroo. These have been ignored in the current proposal. Calling the proposal a modification is incorrect and an insult to the people of Sydney. A new DA application is required
354169	Hi David, I agree wholeheartedly with my wife's email and would like to add that I believe that planning decision-making should always be made favouring the greater good, not the few. Much of what is being applied for only benefits the developers the short term. Worst still, the community is left with an ongoing mass of infrastructure depriving them of waterfront open-space and blocking views from all directions. To me this is Blue Point Towers all over again but on a horrifically wider scale and I strongly object.
353075	<p>I object to the application for MP06-0162(MOD 9) being determined solely by Planning Minister Roberts and not referred to the Independent Planning Commission. My objection is that INSW on behalf of the NSW Government has conspired with the Aqualand consortium for four years to plan and design the facilities in excess of the allowable GFA without consulting with the public or those affected by the project. There has been a clear presumption by the NSW Government from the Premier down, that the increased GFA would be rubber stamped. History of MOD 9</p> <p>In March 2014 the Barangaroo Development Authority (BDA) submitted an application for DG requirements to increase the GFA from 59,225m2 to 120,000m2 The DGR's were issued in 2014. No application was made by the BDA to satisfy the DGR's. In October 2014, the Premier of NSW announced a tender process for Central Barangaroo that allowed development of 150,000 m2 of above ground gross floor space and explore below ground opportunities.</p> <p>The NSW Government entered into a contract (around 2016 and confirmed in 2018) with a consortium to develop buildings with a GFA nearly three times the approved GFA https://www.grocon.com/grocon-aqualand-scentre-group-awarded-central-barangaroo-precinct/ The tender was issued by the BDA and a contract let for a development significantly in excess of approved GFA. The BDA was administered by the Dept of Planning, the government agency that has the obligation to impartially receive and consider any application to extend GFA.</p> <p>There was a clear presumption that the increased GFA would be approved despite no application to satisfy the 2014 DGR's. INSW on behalf of the NSW Government has conspired with the Aqualand consortium for four years to plan and design the facilities in excess of the allowable GFA without consulting with the public or those affected by the project.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>There was a clear presumption that the increased GFA would be approved. INSW has now applied to increase the GFA by a factor of three and satisfy the DGR's issued in 2014. Summary The Aqualand consortium has a contract with the NSW Government to develop Central Barangaroo to a GFA significantly in excess of that approved. Who bears the risk of the DGR's not being satisfied and the GFA not being increased? Given INSW is the applicant, one has to assume the NSW Government bears the financial risk if Aqualand cannot develop the plans that have been developed with INSW's overview. There is a clear conflict of interest.</p> <p>The NSW Government is acting as a property developer, whilst an agency of the Government is the approving authority. Mod 9 must be referred to the Independent Planning Commission to determine whether the application satisfies the requirements issued by the Director General. Additional text from submitter (352993):</p> <p>My objection is that the application does not satisfy the DG's requirement to provide a detailed justification for the increased 162% of GFA from the approved 59,225m2 The applicant's justification is no more than a glib statement than any developer might provide in an attempt to justify any commercial development in excess of the approved GFA. It is not a justification and contains a number of false statements.</p> <p>The DG requirements are clear ... a detailed justification is required. The justification by the applicant is not detailed nor valid. The design intent of the currently approved concept plan "establishes an integrated plan for Central Barangaroo for development of a vibrant and diverse waterfront destination.... Creating a diversity of spaces and experiences from active to restful.... Integrating connections around a new gathering and celebrating space to ensure an accessible and lively place" The increased GFA and heights of buildings is in total conflict with this design intent and no justification other than glib and incorrect statements has been provided as to why this excellent design intent should be overridden.</p> <p>Further, Master Planning work by SOM+AHH in 2014, whilst identifying a potential increase to the density of the Central Barangaroo Concept Plan, stated that any increase in GFA should be within the confines of the approved development blocks. This potential to increased GFA should be used to increase civic and cultural uses within the precinct. The current application to increase the GFA is totally contrary to the findings and suggestions of the work undertaken by SOM+AHH. Please refer the PDF attachment.</p>
354049	The proposed buildings will block historic public views to and from Observatory Hill, and to parts of the Harbour Bridge.
353479	This proposal is not in accordance with the 2005 Sydney Regional Environmental Plan, which set out its aims to prioritise public interest and public space. The proposal should be upholding this policy Instead of being rubber stamped for financial benefit to developer and major detriment to the heritage precinct, views to and from Sydney Harbour and the quantity and quality of public open spaces.
352866	<p>I strongly object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9).</p> <p>There have been numerous modifications to the original plan which have deprived residents, the public and tourists of views, sunlight, connection to the harbour and heritage streetscapes. This modification will destroy much of the panoramic view from Observatory Hill and undermines it's historical significance. From the water, the unique historical streetscape of High Street, Observatory Hill and the Harbour Bridge can no longer be admired. Views from Balmain East and Pymont will also be severely impacted.</p> <p>The overdevelopment of the site have already had a tragic impact on the once grand and broad Hickson Road.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353071	<p>I wish to lodge an objection to the Central Barangaroo development - The Barangaroo Concept Plan Modification 9.</p> <p>As a resident of the Highgate this modification 9 is a cash grab by the developer. Each amendment has taken away from the people. This development does not benefit the citizens of Sydney or the inhabitants of the Millers Point. There is loss of park land and the endless buildings building crammed into the area.</p> <p>The buildings along Hickson Road are far too big with too little separation between them The heritage of our foundation is being destroyed. Do we not value our history? Why not preserve this beautiful historic part of Sydney. The planned tower residential Block 7B on the foreshore on what is public land will be a monstrosity like Blues Point Towers.</p> <p>The Premier Perrottet listed Blue Point Towers as one of the buildings he would Bulldoze. How can we repeat the same mistake? This is not what was originally planned for Barangaroo when agree to by Premier O'Farrell. The building is total out of context to the Millers Point heritage landscape and the Barangaroo Headland Park. Hickson Park is reduced, taken from the people. Not everyone wants to shop or can afford the restaurants. Let people have parkland to enjoy.</p> <p>There is overshadowing of family homes in the area as well as the childcare centre. Traffic will spill over into the surrounding streets Kent St being just one. There is inadequate parking provided for the proposed retail shops. Why the rush to push this monstrosity through. Am I a cynic to say it's the looming State Election? Please for once put the best interests of your people first.</p> <p>The community should come first not the pocket of one developer. Additional text from submitter (353257): Application Number 06-0162MOD9 I wish to object to the above proposal. My grounds for the objection are: The existing concept plan GFA is being tripled and this will reduce public space and turn the area into a concrete jungle. Public space was something that was a key factor in the original proposal.</p> <p>Barangaroo South was allowed to be overdeveloped and this project is seeking to repeat history in an area of significant cultural significance. Views from Heritage areas (Millers Point and Observatory Hill) will be significantly depleted however views from Crown and Lend Lease properties are protected which seems to imply that residents and culture are less important than big businesses.</p> <p>Land along side Hickson Park and Barton Street was to remain but this proposal seeks to remove this and as well as losing public space ,any road widening required in the future would be precluded.</p>
353163	<p>Please stop approving these endless increases and modifications. The original concept plan for a gradual decrease in mass down to the waterfront park was accepted for a reason - it is graceful and architecturally enlightened, contributing to Sydney's image as a beautiful city with unique natural gifts. The suggested road network in the modification is reasonable, and increases in GFA underground (for appropriate uses, not parking) are also not at odds with the urban design of the area. But the reduction in park areas and massive increases to building sizes are ugly and unreasonable, particularly the placement of the tallest building at the northern edge. It would perhaps be ok at the southern end of the site with a step down towards the north as in the original concept. There is no public interest justification in placing this large building here instead of at the other end of the site. The station does not need a 'marker', it will be easy to navigate to by heading towards the headland park. The recently released station design is light, elegant and sensitive to the site, but that effort will be entirely wasted with this out of scale building next to it.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354081	Mailed submission attached
353835	I wish to register my strongest objection to the Modification Plan for the Development of Central Barangaroo. I have grown up in this area and the loss of sightlines and vistas are a treasure for the City of Sydney. To destroy them with increased heights and developments is not within the international norms for the retaining the integrity of our Heritage. I submit my Objection and want my voice to be heard. I OBJECT
354213	It is important to keep the Sydney Harbour foreshores free from any development to maintain an open aspect of the harbour from all vantage points. In the 1960's the Builders Labourers' Union gallantly and tirelessly fought to keep The Rocks and Victoria Street Potts Point from development therefore maintaining the charm of these areas and making them desirable places to explore and enjoy for everyone. There is a limited amount of foreshores and they should be parkland open and free for all Sydneysiders and visitors. Yours faithfully,
353471	The proposed concept modification would: * Block public views west from Observatory Hill * Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill * Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point * Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water * Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposed modification to the Concept: * Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept * Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development * Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views * Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
353435	Regarding MP 06_0162 MOD 11 - Modifications to Barangaroo Concept Plan (Part3AMod) I notice that the proposed concept modification would: Block several public views - west from Observatory Hill - of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. It would also sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water. This modification plan Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept, or with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development, or with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views, or with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge, Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views.It does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill or respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. It should not be implemented!
352810	There is nothing visually attractive about the proposed project, rather it could be best described as an eyesore. On the other hand, the iconic views that are afforded from all perspectives around the harbour foreshores will be spoiled beyond redemption if this unfortunate development proceeds. Sydney needs to retain its identity as a beautiful city more than it needs to have opportunistic commercial overdevelopment impinging on its areas of unique cultural and historic interest.
353055	All public land (including Central Barangaroo) should be preserved as a green space to encourage biodiversity within urban Sydney. The current high density proposal threatens available green space, would encroach on the sight lines of existing historical buildings and significant landmarks, and change the urban landscape of our foreshores.

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353365	<p>Barangaroo from the Headland Park to its southern corners is an opportunity for Sydney to showcase world leading urban design and place making, and live by its reputation as a Harbour City connected to its water. This modification does not fully to the extent that it can positively contribute to the urban fabric of Sydney for a number of reasons. I strongly object to the superfluous and destructive protrusion of the unnecessarily tall residential tower on the northern edge of the subject site. That it was referred to as a marker to help define the precinct and signal the location of the Metro Station is an insult. This is a function of signs and wayfinding infrastructure that can and surely will be installed around the precinct. In any case, if the building is tall enough that it is visible from anywhere in Central Barangaroo or beyond and act as a beacon then that is a fundamental problem with the scale of the building. The absence of any tall buildings would work just as well to signal the location of the station entrance in the north of the site given the clear demarcation between built wall and park. And again - signs. The other two planned Metro Station entrances will surely not rely on an obtrusive building to mark their location and will surely rely on wayfinding signage. It's a bit of a stretch really to try to justify the inclusion of a tall residential building offering primarily multi-million dollar apartments as a positive contributor to place making and to helping define the identity of the precinct. Yes, residential uses are great to bring people in, but this is not a solution. An exclusive use (any affordable housing provisions fall some ways from making this building justified) for a public space. And of Nawi Terrace, overshadowed as it will be by an unnecessary monolith. That too goes for the general increase in height and scale of all buildings in Central Barangaroo. What fundamentally is the justification for this? Can this precinct be developed and built without this modification? Surely it can be given previous plans have been approved time and time again without this latest increase in scale. I note that a large portion of this floor space is commercial, including retail space part of which is underground linking to the Metro Station. That part of this space is underground over two levels calls into question why there must be even further increase in the height of buildings. Whilst I recognise commercial space as being a significant contributor to the local economy, on land sitting directly on Sydney Harbour and in the shadow of the Sydney CBD (which reports suggest is seeing smaller than historical increases in demand for commercial space in the wake of the pandemic and reduced occupancy - and I should add supported by unprecedented investment in commercial space across the inner City and beyond with Tech Central, Eveleigh, North Sydney, Parramatta, and Macquarie Park growing, and Barangaroo South on its doorstep), is there a pressing need for significant increases in the provision of commercial space in this particular location? Is there demand that cannot be met elsewhere to justify the increase in height of these buildings? Shouldn't the large balance of this space be available for the community, beyond the miniscule addition of perhaps 1,000sqm if the already existing Cutaway is included in the final figure presented in the Report? A fine balance for this precinct is needed to help activate it during the week, on weekends, and at night. Commercial space is fine for the weekdays, but what of the weekends? What other cultural and community facilities are possible? I acknowledge that retail and hospitality spaces contribute to a vibrant precinct, but these uses do not require building of 10 to 20 levels. The overall proposed heights are highly questionable and I wish to voice my objection to the modifications to the height and scale of the buildings. Though some amendments have been made to ensure slivers of views are available from High Street and surrounding areas, these are significant compromises designed to appease objections but offer no more than a tokenistic solution. The otherwise total obstruction of views is offensive. And not just the obstruction of views towards the Harbour from High Street, Millers Point, and Observatory Hill, which alone are significant to warrant complete opposition to this modification. It is the obstruction of views TOWARDS Miller Points, the cottages and urban landscape of High Street and Kent Street, and Observatory Park from areas to the west of Barangaroo that is also offensive and warranting of complete opposition to this modification. These urban landscapes are integral to the history and maritime heritage of Sydney Harbour, and what a shame it would be for these to be cut off from the Harbour and waterways which they once served. They are an intrinsic part of Sydney's maritime heritage and views of them from and from those streets should be preserved as much as possible. Aside from the totally unsympathetic scale and height of the proposals, there are strong and worthy elements of the design of Central Barangaroo. Credit should be given to the bridge connecting High Street to the Central Barangaroo over Hickson Road. What an important connector to link Millers Point and Observatory Park to the</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>precinct. The bridge however is one element that can benefit from expansion (unlike the planned buildings which could do with a lot of scaling down). This narrow walkway is made even more narrow being surrounded by the upper levels of the proposed buildings once it lands in Central Barangaroo. There is no reason why the bridge couldn't connect High Street to a large landscaped rooftop public space atop the buildings in Barangaroo that sits level with the bridge's point of origin on High Street, and without the obtrusive buildings rising on either side of the bridge. At the VERY least, the bridge shouldn't land in a canyon. If not a landscaped roof top terrace, then at least the buildings around the bridge could be pared and stepped back. Credit also to the steps and 'laneways' through the buildings that create intimate spaces and places for discovery, but the planned 'Barangaroo Steps' in this scenario seem very anaemic. Perhaps the original vision of the grand 'Sydney Steps' has been scuttled by the unnecessary and perceived need to create even more building space that has encroached on the space that could have been the grand steps. What's been left, per the Modification Reports seems far less an urban theatre and nothing more than a cluttered space and a simple means of getting from one level to the next, having to avoid planter boxes, random seats, and potentially a crush of people. The new Sydney Fish Markets are creating the grand Sydney Steps that Barangaroo wishes it had. What a missed opportunity. The impressive urban parks planned seems great and should not be overshadowed unnecessarily by unnecessary buildings. Let's make the trees, parks, laneways, impressive architecture, cultural spaces, landscaped urban pockets, and great accessible public spaces for all Sydneysiders the heroes of Central Barangaroo.</p>
352707	<p>Top 5 Issues</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sydney Harbour and Foreshore: A Public Asset - Public v Private Good 2. State and National Heritage (View and Visual Impact, Heritage Impact) 3. Views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill 4. Urban Design Principles (Bulk and Scale of Development) and impact on amenity 5. Hickson Park reduced in size
353319	<p>Dear Sir/ Madam,</p> <p>I write to raise my concerns regarding the proposed modified plans. I live locally and for years have cycled across the bridge to enjoy the green sanctuary of Observatory Hill and the vistas provided from this high point of land. The State govt is building a new and improved cycle ramp at the Milsons Point end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge which will increase cycle traffic and visitors to Observatory Hill as this is where the cycle path ends. I understand these proposed modifications will block public views west from Observatory Hill. I feel this is public land and these views should be preserved for all to enjoy. There are limited green spaces within the Sydney CBD area and I urge you to protect the existing vistas at Observatory Hill and not to allow this new modified development that will impact this unique city green haven. This modification is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views</p>
352563	<p>Dear Madam/Sir</p> <p>RE: Application No: MP06_0162 MOD 9</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>I am writing to you to strongly object to this proposal. Our community at King Street Wharf has been suffering from overdevelopment of our neighbour Barangaroo in the last couple of decades. As a result we now are suffering from terribly heavy traffic jam especially during the peak hours and festive seasons. There is inadequate open space for residents. Our beautiful harbour view which we paid millions for are being taken away by ugly new buildings. This is not on. Please STOP!</p> <p>Regards</p>
352788	<p>I oppose the concept modification for the following reasons: The proposed concept modification would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Block public views west from Observatory Hill - Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water - Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposed modification to the Concept: - Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept - Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development - Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views - Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views - Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill - Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
353765	<p>I object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (mod 9) for the following reasons: - it will sever the centuries old connection between Observatory Hill and surrounding areas forever by blocking public views west towards Balmain and White Bay from Observatory Hill; blocking views from those areas of Observatory Hill; and sever the historic maritime relationship of Millers Point with the water - the bulk and scale does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill or Millers Point or their connection with the Balmain Peninsula - the proponent's visual impact assessment is highly selective, thereby giving a misleading view - the modification is not consistent with the approved concept</p>
353475	<p>This proposed modification is a travesty further contradicting the original vision for the site, using precious public land. It is ugly, purposeless and destructive to public enjoyment of this space. It will block public views west from Observatory Hill, block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill, block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point, sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water, and block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. It is a further punch in the guts to the people of NSW and degradation of the vision of the original award-winning design for this space. It has no merit whatsoever!</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353495	As a visitor to Sydney at least twice a year for holidays, the highlight of every visit is to walk around the Rocks and Barangaroo. There is already enough buildings on the Barangaroo site, please do not allow any more, people come for the open space. Private business has already been given too much public land for their profit only. ENOUGH! Thank you for listening to my view.
353109	The proposal destroys the heritage feel and views from many aspects of Millers Point and surrounds, including from Observatory Hill and removes the water glimpses currently sighted as one walks around the neighbourhood. Whilst care has been taken to maintain the external feel for heritage properties around Millers Point, as properties are renovated internally, the removal of the views and bland modern aspect of the proposed development removes all feeling of heritage and history.
352647	Our home is heritage and should be treated as such. Our views will be taken and our children will live under the shadows of EXCESSIVE over development on PUBLIC land. Our parking will be grossly impacted and our community green space (Observatory Park) destroyed. In the original design our views were NOT impacted as they are now. How can Lend Lease win extra height on sight lines and not us, the people of Sydney and Millers Point. Our city needs to save our history.
352925	The city area needs more open spaces and parks for residents, workers of the area, for the health of their pets and children, we do not need more buildings. Make use of the ones already standing. Make use of the space by building a fenced dog park so all your CBD dog owners and other visitors could come and safely exercise their dogs instead. There is a suggestion?
354245	This proposal to develop is totally in conflict with the concept for the precinct. Worse, persons as residents or visitors will be cheated forever from a vantage point with some of the world's nearly daily best sunset vistas
352915	This change to the development of Barangaroo should not be allowed. It has already significantly negatively impacted the historic Sydney Observatory and it cannot be allowed to further damage this National Heritage property. The observatory serves an important purpose as an educational and scientific outreach tool to teach people about Astronomy, Space and Science.
353505	As a science communication student, I'm concerned about the lack of facilities for science and education in Australia. The loss of this site will be tragic in terms of not only those factors, but also for the historic significance of the observatory. There's a tremendous amount of buildings that are already catering to and limited to the financially advantaged, and this should be considered when threatening to remove what are historic facilities and educational outreach, as well as ongoing contributors to science in this country. I hope that this development is reconsidered.
354171	re: Application Number: MP06_0162 MOD 9 Barangaroo Concept Plan (mod 9) Good morning, I am writing to lodge my objection to the proposed plan for Barangaroo Central (Application Number: MP06_0162 MOD 9). In particular I oppose the 20 story residential building proposed for the Northern end of the site (right next to Nawi Cove) as well as the height of the buildings that are proposed to be built along Hickson road. I strongly oppose the 20 story residential building being proposed for the Barangaroo Central site. It is totally out of keeping with the historic neighbourhood of Millers Point that it will tower above. This area is of significant historic value to Australia. A large modern building like this is totally unsuitable in this location. It will be visible throughout the Millers Point neighbourhood, changing the skyline forever. This neighbourhood is protected from things like this occurring within it and a building of this size so close has a similar impact to having a modern building constructed right in the middle of Millers Point. It will stick out like 'dogs balls'. The historic integrity of Millers point is an asset to Sydney. Globally it is a rare example of a colonial maritime neighbourhood, intact for all to visit. It is a major attraction for tourists to Sydney - showing how beautiful our city is. By building this residential tower right next to it, the nature of area

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>will be tarnished forever. We need to protect Millers Point's integrity and not build this tower. Barangaroo Central offers such a rare opportunity to build something very special for this city. The size of the other buildings proposed at Barangaroo Central fit in with the scale of the headland park, Nawi Cove and Barangaroo South. The proposed 20 story residential tower is totally out of scale with it's surroundings. It looks like it's been shoved in there. It detracts from the design for the whole precinct. I also object to the height of the buildings proposed to be built along Hickson Rd. They are too high. They effectively form a wall, blocking the neighbourhood of Millers Point from Sydney harbour. Millers Point is a historic maritime neighbourhood that has always been linked to the harbour. Its maritime nature is intricate to its historic value. The buildings proposed along Hickson road break Millers Point's connection to the harbour, destroying the neighbourhoods historic integrity. I am a resident of Millers Point. I bought my home here 15 years ago because I value the its historic importance and of the area, of which I have a family connection. My great grandparents lived 100 metres away from my home and my grandmother went to school across the road from where I live. My great grandfather, Con Wallace, was a seaman and set up the mission to seafarers in the neighbourhood and was the local federal member of parliament. I don't have a harbour view from my home but I value being able to see the harbour from the streets and parks of Millers Point. The harbour is an important part of my family heritage in this neighbourhood. The proposed 20 story residential tower and the height of the buildings along Hickson Rd will block important views of the harbour from the streets of Millers Point and Observatory Hill. I strongly oppose them being built and ask that they not go ahead as proposed. regards,</p>
353323	<p>Having lived for almost 3 years now in the Millers Point area, I feel strongly against the development proposal modification for Central Barangaroo, especially in terms of height and GFA. The view from my apartment looks out directly over The Langham and this space, giving us far private views of the bay and forested horizon and helping us feel connected to nature, as opposed to the skyscraper buildings that line the rest of our terrace outlook. This little pocket of sky and light is one of my favourite vistas - our bay window looks directly in that direction to take advantage of this view. My concerns are that the proposed height of the structure would impact our private views, the light we can see, checking the weather (for example, we know it's foggy if we can't see the forest on the bay, and can see thunderstorms roll in and prepare our apartment for safety), and our New Years' Eve fireworks. I'm also concerned about the wind tunnels that will occur with yet another high building - it's already awful in main Barangaroo between the skyscrapers and building more high rise will only make this worse. It's been frustrating to have to take detours around the current metro station construction to get to the waterfront and headland and I fear this will get worse if the land is handed over to private enterprise, driving tourist parking and traffic congestion onto Kent and High Streets. More than any of these, Millers Point is an area of massive heritage and historical significance which should not be blocked from view and light. This development will impact the feel of the area, the beautiful line of sight west from Observatory Hill to the water (especially for the magnificent sunsets enjoyed by locals and tourists alike), and destroy the view of heritage and history in the buildings and terraces on High Street for boats and ferries going past on the harbour. These views are iconic and must be maintained for the public right of access, light and history, not private interests. In my opinion, the height of any part of these new buildings should not extend above pavement level of High Street. Thank you for your consideration of my submission.</p>
353703	<p>I object to the proposal, please see the attached document.</p>
353013	<p>Millers Point was sold off in an obscene manner - ethically, morally and devoid of humanity and with zero regard for its priceless historical significance. Any further development is another obscene attempt to deprive all Australians of public land use and further erosion of the history and integrity of the Millers Point area.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
352559	<p>Dear Madam/Sir</p> <p>RE: Application No: MP06_0162 MOD 9</p> <p>I am writing to you to strongly object to this proposal. Our community at Millers Point has been suffering from overdevelopment in the last couple of decades. As a result we now are suffering from terribly heavy traffic jam especially during the peak hours and festive seasons. There is inadequate open space for residents. Our beautiful harbour view which we paid millions for are being taken away by ugly new buildings. This is not on. Please STOP! Regards</p>
354259	<p>We imagine you are being overwhelmed by objections pointing out the many reasons why a mid-to-high rise development is unacceptable to the community. If, despite all the valid objections, such a component is still included, surely it needs to be at the southern end, as close as possible to the Crown and adjoining towers.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p>
353695	<p>I am utterly devastated with further plans to develop buildings on what should be public space at Barangaroo. Why can't we have less development and more space for families to enjoy. Apart from anything else it makes the site look bulky and ugly and is a reckless land grab to support developers.</p>
353363	<p>We have been members of the Millers Point Community since 2005. We are proud to call this magnificent area our home and are raising three young children here. This historic area, abundance of green space and local community are important reasons we have chosen to stay in this region. All of our children have benefited from the local parks and the local pre school KU Lance. We write to express our opposition to the proposed modification to the development of central Barangaroo. The proposed concept modification would: * Block public views west from Observatory Hill * Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill * Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point * Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water * Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. * Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept * Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development The proposed modification to the Concept: * Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views * Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. We oppose the proposed modifications as it does not benefit the local community. It will put the local pre school, Ku Lance in permanent shade. Ku Lance provides early childhood education to the local community and is such an asset to this areas youngest members as it uniquely allows them to learn and develop in an indoor outdoor setting. Gross overdevelopment of the area will result in this institution being shadowed for most of the day. We hope that the voices of our youngest residents can be heard for the benefit of this great community and historic area. Kind Regards,</p>
352846	<p>Having lived in Millers Point for many years & experienced the increase in traffic congestion, noise & the removal of parking from both the construction & completed works; on these grounds alone I wholly object to the proposed new plans.</p>
352804	<p>I oppose to Central Barangaroo concept midification, this modification amplifies negative impacts such as:- Blocks public view from Observatory Hill Blocks public views of water from key place and streets in historic Millers Point Blocks public views of the Harbour Bridge</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	from Pymont, where I live near Pirrama Park. This does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill or historic Millers Point. Not consistent with Sydney Harbour Regional environmental plans for protection of public views. Yours sincerely Lillian Hamilton
352860	I feel strongly that this new project proposal will significantly effect the history of the area and that saddens me deeply. Millers point is one of the oldest heritage areas in Sydney visible by so many sydneysiders and tourists. The initial plans that were meant to be for central Barangaroo took into account the history of the area and were making sure that it wasn't blocked from the Sydney harbour. The new plan however blocks a lot of it from view of many areas around the harbour, from those who live in Pymont, in Balmain, to people cruising by on the ferry. Its such a shame that this can be approved when the area is so rich with history and it would be a great lose to Sydney to change it. Also blocking the views from such a beautiful heritage vista like observatory hill will be devastating to all the community, visitors to the area and the numbers people who go up there for sunset picnics or wedding photos. As a resident in the area along Kent street it will also effect us personally. When we bought the property in 2015 the Barangaroo plans that were agreed on were not going to affect any of the views from the houses on our road. With the approved information we were given at the time we were confident in buying knowing that we would keep the same views. When we first heard of this new plan it felt totally unfair and wrong that this could even happen, what a massive change from what we were presented back then. This will effect the views from our house now with a tall resident tower blocking a portion of it. I also feel so sad for the people on high street who's houses it will now completely block. The daycare along high street is the oldest school in Sydney and has such rich history that has been preserved for many generations, that will also be completely blocked from view. From an aesthetic point of view, having one tall building stick out randomly where there are no others will also make the area look horrible. There is a distinct skyline in Sydney which starts around the Highgate apartments and Crown building and goes all the way across to the botanical gardens. Having one building outside of that will stick out like a sore thumb. We live in one of the most beautiful cities in the world and wouldn't that ruin its look. Similar to that random big tower over at the end of blues point road, it just doesn't fit. There are many reasons why this project should not get approved listed above, however preserving the areas beauty and history should be the top priority.
353077	I write to object in the strongest possible way to the proposed modification plans for Central Barangaroo. This most precious area, which was designed and developed to create an open space, to be used freely by all, and to preserve the heritage significance. Barangaroo is a place for people to visit, to enjoy the views across the harbour and surrounds. This modification would block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill, and views west from Observatory Hill. Public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park would disappear. Other views from the historic area of Millers Point would likewise disappear. The proposed modifications are not consistent with the approved Concept, or with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Neither are they consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views, or with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views They do NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill, or the heritage significance of Millers Point. This area should remain accessible to the general public, not to mention the thousands of tourists who visit the area every year. An area to see and enjoy the beautiful aspects of Sydney, without an out of place, over sized monument blocking some of our most iconic views.
354195	Post submission attached
352765	The proposal is an overdevelopment of the space. This is overcrowding of a public space for the use of the people of Sydney as well as visitors. There will be traffic congestion and forms a bottle neck for the residents if Millers Point and Walsh Bay. We do not need this

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>impediment to our ease of travel. This development will be an eyesore view from Observatory Hill. We, Sydneysiders pride ourselves on a beautiful vista from vintage points for all, and not just residents of the new development. I totally object to the development.</p>
354135	<p>Dear Mr Glasgow,</p> <p>Please do not proceed with this ghastly design and ruin our heritage-rich foreshore. As a resident, I am particularly concerned by several aspects of Barangaroo Central's Mod 9. I understand that revenue generated by the Barangaroo Central development is essential to a future NSW Labor Government, but where is our government's Duty of Care? I and the rest of the community and nation are struggling to recover from the economic, social, and emotional detrimental effects of the pandemic, which remain ongoing. Our harbour, our history, our unique vistas, and our ambience are being sacrificed for the financial benefit of the developers and their corporate interests! Why are you doubling the density of the community to a culturally significant and tourist aesthetic heritage area? Lack of supporting infrastructure, insufficient parking allowances within proposed residences and increased traffic to narrow and historical roadways. 30% of Hickson Park will be lost when public space is vital to everyone expected to live in the area... And at least 1600 more to come. Highlights our lack of respect for our Aboriginal and indigenous forefathers to the rest of the world. I am hoping to gain your support towards reducing the tower's height to equal that of the rest of the development and considering the impact of the over-development of this small, unique area. The legacy you leave behind by continuing this horrendous attitude of preserving the rights of the few and the public be damned will be a stain on our nation!!!</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Additional text from submitter (352771): Please do not proceed with this ghastly design and ruin our heritage-rich foreshore. As a resident, I am particularly concerned by several aspects of Barangaroo Central's Mod 9. I understand that revenue generated by the Barangaroo Central development is essential to a future NSW Labor Government, but where is our government's Duty of Care? I and the rest of the community and nation are struggling to recover from the economic, social, and emotional detrimental effects of the pandemic, which remain ongoing. Our harbour, our history, our unique vistas, and our ambience are being sacrificed for the financial benefit of the developers and their corporate interests! Why are you doubling the density of the community to a culturally significant and tourist aesthetic heritage area? Lack of supporting infrastructure, insufficient parking allowances within proposed residences and increased traffic to narrow and historical roadways. 30% of Hickson Park will be lost when public space is vital to everyone expected to live in the area... And at least 1600 more to come. Highlights our lack of respect for our Aboriginal and indigenous forefathers to the rest of the world. I am hoping to gain your support towards reducing the tower's height to equal that of the rest of the development and considering the impact of the over-development of this small, unique area. The legacy you leave behind by continuing this horrendous attitude of preserving the rights of the few and the public be damned will be a stain on our nation!!!</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>[Redacted]</p>
354061	<p>Strongly oppose any further building development on the site at Barangaroo. Disgusted at the lack of respect for the Observatory Hill Site and Observatory and the blatant destruction of the original uninterrupted view from Observatory Hill. Any destruction of this view fails to acknowledge the history of the First Nations people and our colonial history in this area.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354161	<p>Subject: Strong objection to Modification 9, Barangaroo Central</p> <p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>My wife and I strongly object to Modification 9 relating to Barangaroo Central. There should be no structure within 30meters of any part of Sydney Harbour in Barangaroo Central. Also, no buildings in Barangaroo Central should be higher than 30m above sea level. Please reject this Modification 9. Your consideration of our views is appreciated.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p>
354215	<p>Hi David,</p> <p>Please see our objection to MP06_0162 MOD 9 prepared on behalf of the owner of 135 Point Street, Pymont attached for your consideration.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>
354243	<p>Blocking The Rocks would be a travesty, that in years to come will be looked on the same as the Cahill expressway. Don't let it happen.</p>
353251	<p>I object to this proposal on the following grounds: 1. The proposed concept modification will - block views west from Observatory Hill - block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - block public views west from key streets in the Millers Point heritage area - sever the maritime relationship between Millers Point and the water - block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park The proposed modification to the concept - is not consistent with the approved concept - is not consistent with the Statement of Commitments for Barangaroo Development - is not consistent with the requirements for protection of public views - does not respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill or Millers Point</p>
353129	<p>I object to all changes. The 2005 Sydney Regional Environmental Plan set out its aims to prioritise public interest & public space. These changes do not</p>
353775	<p>I strenuously object to the proposed transfer of public foreshore land at Barangaroo from public into private hands. This land should be retained for the people of NSW to enjoy. I also object to the proposed building Heights and their impact on the views and amenity of residents of Millers Point.</p>
353443	<p>To whom it may concern;</p> <p>I am writing to object to the increase in height of the proposed buildings at Barangaroo Central. I am beyond surprised at the NSW Government's capacity to work hand-in-hand with developers and commit to enriching them at the expense of the public. The modified proposal is yet another project that is just used to squeeze out a tiny bit more private profit for developers. It claims to deliver "world class" amenities - but will simply be bought out by foreign investors and sit there as blocks of money for excess private capital. I object to the immense bulk and scale of the modified proposal and believe it should be constructed according to the original proposal (without the 20 storey tower). The iconic vistas from Observatory Hill will be trashed under this modified proposal, all so developers can eek out a few</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>extra dollars. Disgusting. Bring on March 2023. I hope these words, like those of my fellow constituents, as well as the National Trust, City of Sydney, and others are met with actual change to the plans, not simply an apology but a green light to the modification.</p> <p>Regards</p>
354165	Please see the attachment
352870	<p>Central Barangaroo Concept Modification I am with the National Trust on this proposal, and strongly oppose the proposed modification to the Concept. The proposed plan is a blight on the landscape. It increases building heights and will block historic public views to and from Observatory Hill and to parts of the Harbour Bridge. The proposed plan is NOT consistent with the approved Concept, nor is it consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development or consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views, neither is it consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views and finally, it Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill. The heritage of the city should belong to everyone, not reserved for the enjoyment of the wealthy who can afford views from the tallest buildings.</p>
353069	<p>I object very strongly against this development.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is ugly and destroys the perfect vision of our greatest asset our amazing harbour. 2. It destroys the beauty of our city. 3. I destroys the history of my people, my convict ancestors who were banished from their homeland and dumped here, treated cruelly and had it not been for the leadership of Arthur Philip they would have died. 4. This monstrosity destroys the legacy of their will to survive and take the second chance at life they had to build this city and pass on their tenacity to survive to their descendants. 5 This last point makes this development an offence to my people; to my history; to the history of my children, grandchildren and great grandchildren and everyone moving into our future. 6. It is an eyesore!!!!!! 7 It is unwanted!!!!!! 8 It is NOT NEEDED 9 It is also an insult to our First Nation People. It is on their land that we pay respect to at every function, at every day in our schools; we pay homage to them so why now are you doing the best to offend them and the thousands of descendants of our First Fleet convicts or as I like to refer to myself our Second Nation People.. 10. Stop this and put the money into building housing for our thousands of homeless people. 11. Greed is the strongest liability to our society. It is one of the 7 deadly sins. Why feed human greed. Why not feed human compassion.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353677	As a public resident of Sydney, I object to this proposal.
354149	Dear Madam, Dear Sir, My objections to the proposed development in the Barangaroo are extremely strong. We the citizens of Sydney love our foreshores just as they are, and having them continually encroached upon by greedy billionaires is sickening. That's all there is to say, really. Apart from that, all that remains is the obvious question as to who is accepting bribes. Sincerely,
352653	It's public land. Over development of the area. The land, foreshore, should be respected and not sold out for the financial benefit of the few. I live in the area and feel that it will impact with parking congestion and reducing my outdoor recreational space for my own health and mental well-being.
353905	This development will destroy Millers Point and surrounds history as well as views impacted, traffic and transport increased, shading due to increased buildings. All the changes are a breach of trust.
353761	The vandalism inherent in this project is horrifying. This is an assault not only on heritage but also on aesthetics. It is shameful that this site is not being protected.
354265	I strongly object to further development of The Rocks area, particularly as this area again being threatened with further high rise development, when what we need is to ensure as much open space as possible is maintained and available for everyone to enjoy. Our current Minister Roberts, is only interested in pleasing developers, not the wishes of the public.
352989	I Submit that this new development not be built. It is a gross over development. Sydney Harbour is precious. Lets make it for the people to enjoy,
352657	I object on the following grounds: Loss of community access to foreshore Lack of parking for proposed development Detrimental impact on Millers Point parking for residents Loss of view from Observatory Hill
354163	Dear Minister I am writing to object to Central Barangaroo. We are very disappointed to learn that the application will now be determined solely by the Planning Minister and will not be referred to the Independent Planning Commission. I object to the proposal because it will destroy vistas to and from Observatory Hill which add to the beauty and charm of Sydney. These views must be protected, in particular the views of both the water and horizon to the west and the roofs cape of Millers Point to the East. The proposed concept modification would: - Block public views west from Observatory Hill - Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill - Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point - Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water - Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The building itself is out of character to the surrounding area including Nawi Cove, Millers Point Heritage streetscape and Barangaroo Headland Park. It will not create a sense of community and will not contribute positively to the urban planning of the precinct. The proposed modification is not consistent with the approved Concept nor with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development. It is also not consistent with the policies in the Sydney

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan for the protection of iconic views, nor does it respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. What is the point of drafting plans such as the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Plan for the protection of public iconic views if this Government repeatedly doesn't honour them? Sydney's tourism industry is dependent on the iconic views. Massive overdevelopments such as this destroy cities. Major cities around the world respect their historic and heritage precincts by maintaining sightlines. It is imperative that you reject these modifications and revert to the approved Concept. This is shaping up to be an urban planning disaster. We urge you to reject these modifications and work with the community, residents, National Trust, local businesses, Sydney Council and local MPs to uphold the original intention to make this an integrated, sustainable and world class urban design precinct that is harmonised with the heritage values of the Heritage precinct of Millers Point.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>
353885	<p>Historical Rocks View will be blocked & ruined for all Australians not just the residents of Millers Point. This view belongs to the people of Australia, it is our collective History which we must preserve for our future generations. It does not belong the Developers to make short term profit & block views for a few wealthy residents.</p>
352571	<p>a. Mod 9 is not in the public interest. The modification application highlights the prejudicial legacy of the former Part 3A of the EPA Act which allows, through transitional provisions, broad ranging modifications with significantly less rigor than the approvals process that would have applied if the Proposed Development was being assessed under Part 4 of the EPA Act. b. The Proposed Development is of excessive bulk and scale. The amendments to Blocks 5, 6, and 7 include additional height, changes to the block alignments, and additional GFA across the blocks. c. The additional development height of MOD 9 would be to the detriment of the local community, cause significant view loss, and is contrary to the intention of the original Concept Plan.</p>
352745	<p>The proposal is not in the public interest as it: will detract from views to and from important public open space (most notably Observatory Hill Park and High Street streetscape) and; detract from the streetscape character of the historically significant Millers Point area (most notably Kent when viewed from Observatory Hill). 1). Detrimental impact on views to and from public open space. The proposal will block views of Observatory Hill and Millers Point from Sydney Harbour and Balmain. The proposal will block views of Sydney Harbour and Balmain from Observatory Hill and High Street streetscape. These are historical and culturally significant view corridors. The loss of these views is not in the public interest. The building height of the proposal should be significantly reduced. 2). Detrimental impact on historic streetscape character. There are currently no buildings north of the new Barangaroo casino that are higher than the Millers Point historic residential precinct. The current condition retains the streetscape character and historic setting of the area. The proposal will result in a permanent loss of this character with contemporary buildings being visible above (and visually dominating) the rows of terrace houses. My home is not impacted by the proposal. My objection to the proposal and concern is that it will result in the loss of: visual amenity; historic streetscape character; and significant views to and from the public domain. I do not believe the proposal is in the public interest. The building height of the proposal should be significantly reduced.</p>
353401	<p>I am writing to object to this proposal on the grounds that it is alienating valuable public lands, indeed, gifting it to private interests for a development not consistent with current agreements and, apparently, twice as large as Crown at Barangaroo. Public land (i.e., my land) is a valuable and shrinking resource and should not be gifted to private interests for inappropriate development.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353121	Modification 9, the proposal of a residential tower on the waterfront at Central Barangaroo, will destroy the historic heritage panoramic views from Observatory Hill and around Millers Point not to mention the effect on the views from the western foreshores and also around Darling Island. This development will affect the small western view of the harbour from our north facing unit/s in Observatory Tower, 168 Kent Street Millers Point. Any further development should be kept "low" and the building/s should also be in keeping with our beautiful Sydney Harbour foreshore and our water and harbour views for all members of the public to enjoy.
353767	Please stop overdeveloped vandalism of our city landscape... once done current & future generations will be stuck with poorly planned, wond tunnel grossly over heighten city that only benefiting developers. Can you please start placing the people of NSW first rather than developers that don't care about our city only their cash. We cannot have more of the blight of our city landscape that's Barrangoo Casion building...which can be seen from great distances & symbol of all that's wrong with our state & application for development approval. Now time to correct past errors & build for the people of NSW & our futures that we can be proud on unlike current example eg Barrington casino building... no more mistakes like this... you're meant to work for the people of NSW not developers & political donors
353623	Want to know more details of potential impact on my apartment.
353211	Dear Sir/Madam I object to the recent revised submission. The increase height limit will look ugly and views from the observatory Park will be obstructed. Parking with the increase in floor area will also be a major issue along Kent St. Sydney does not need a full scale shopping centre on the water, understand urban development helps improve and maintain areas, but this is not required and the area should be maintained for all of Sydney to visit. In summary, reduce building height, and reduce size of the development.
354285	Dear David Glasgow, I am writing to you as a resident of Sydney to urge you to consider halting the overdevelopment of Barangaroo which involves notable development impact on the harbour landscape as seen from the harbour itself and from one of Sydney's most significant public open spaces, Observatory Hill. The expanded development and new tower would be in an area always envisaged as low-rise. The reason was to protect views and the historic landscape. If you allow this development to go ahead will you rename Observatory Hill to No View Hill? Doesn't quite have the same ring to it does it. Use your position of power for good, not to make greedy, rich developers even richer. The citizens of Sydney should get to have a say in developments when it impacts our public spaces in such a negative way. Regards,
354231	To whom it may concern, I do not support the Central Barangaroo modification 9. The height and scale is inappropriate and will impact views, heritage and amenity. The plans should stay as they were initially approved. Kind regards
354131	I wish to protest in the strongest terms against the solid wall of building that will entirely cut off the aspect of the harbour looking towards Balmain. It is not only as a resident (Bond apartments Hickson Road) that I am protesting. It is not correct to regard all of us in this area who are protesting against the proposed intensification of coverage of the Barangaroo site as merely 'NIMBIES'.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>What we can see, we who live here and constantly process the increasing height and intensification of site coverage in the whole Barangaroo development is the loss of public amenity that is involved. It seems to have been almost entirely forgotten that the Barangaroo area is, for one thing, developing as a tourist attraction. Now think of what is like for tourists (and to them, add all the many Sydney-siders and country visitors (we often meet them in our daily walks in the Barangaroo headland part). They need something more than yet further sites of office space and residential blocks.</p> <p>They (and we) need to be able to find some space within which to observe and relax, as part of our experience of vibrant city living. Can you blame us if we become bitterly disappointed at the constant flouting of what had been announced as the general prevailing philosophy of development in of the area. I have been here in Hickson Road for over twelve years now.</p> <p>It is distressingly common that as the building of one set of buildings is almost ready to commence there is always yet one more last-minute claim for greater height, greater compaction of the area by yet larger and buildings, more closely locked together so as to block all view of the harbour from Hickson Road - and of course, the whole 'Rocks' area at the northern end.</p> <p>I ask you to think gain. Is this latest plan anything other than the latest betrayal of all that the government and the Barangaroo authority itself have been continually promising about the principles guiding the development of Barangaroo.</p> <p>We have been told that extended uninterrupted parks and moderate site coverage in the building sites themselves are to be the public's reward for all that has been already urged and tolerated in the way of more intensive development. Suddenly, at this last moment now, that promise has been broken again - blatantly. Now the proposed new high rise is to compromise the area of the Barangaroo park between the casino and the Headland park.</p> <p>Even more than this encroachment is at issue. The overall effect of this latest burst of intensive, and high development is that it will encroach visually upon all that remains of a more relaxed ambience. I think it is beyond doubt that the proposed new developments will also diminish the ambience of the great Barangaroo headland park itself.</p> <p>How can you not realise that having such large, compacted and high building right against that present park will belittle it - render it a mere concession to the need for some space, rather than a strong declaration of the primacy of the values of exhibiting something of Australia as it was before European settlement.</p> <p>The concessions and compromises that are expressed in the proposal for intensified and unrelieved building in the remaining Barangaroo site can never be undone. It will take very few years for it to become painfully obvious that the parks and open spaces that have been grudgingly included are radically inadequate Intense pressure on the diminished park areas will render them in very few years, manifestly inadequate for the demands that will be placed upon them, both by tourism, and by the residents and workers in the Barangaroo section of our city.</p>
353799	<p>I am writing to protest about the amendments to this development application,</p> <p>The developer appears to mistakenly believe that it is only local residents who are concerned about this development, mainly because of shading and the blocking of views. That would be bad enough. But in fact, it is people from all over Sydney who are concerned, myself included. The building proposed in the amendment would increase the overcrowding of the city centre in a way that mimics overcrowded, highly populated cities overseas. The proposal shows no respect at all for our beautiful city. It is an attempt to annex yet another part of</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	our harbour foreshore airspace for wealthy people who do not respect Sydney or its ordinary citizens. We do not want Sydney to look like, for instance, Hong Kong. We do not want harbour views blocked from public spaces. We do not want the harbour to be fringed with high rise developments. We want Sydney to retain its character, and for its harbour views to be shared by all. Please stop the rot now. Refuse this proposal. RESPECT SYDNEY.
353327	I strongly object to the Mod 9 for Barangaroo Central. It is a lot more development than an amendment - it should be a brand new DA. The plans are vastly different to the original concept plan, and impacts the very significant heritage assets of Millers Point and The Rocks. The sightliness and views from Observatory Hill and existing office and residential building are all impacted by the new amendments, and the 73M tower is total over development. The gross floor area have been tripled, and is totally unnecessary. Barangaroo was a development for all the people of Sydney to enjoy, especially the public spaces - this amendment will overwhelm the whole area. Please do not approve this amendment.
353421	I am totally opposed on the grounds of: (1) the blocking of public views. 5 storeys maximum. (2) inconsistent with the original concept for the area. Open space, usable public areas. (3) it is another example of appalling design on the harbour foreshore. It joins Circular Quay East and the Crown Casino as the worst developers have to offer. (4) creates overshadowing. I am disgusted.
353733	The proposed development unduly restricts public views of the aquascape from key places and streets in Millers Point, from which it also severs its maritime relationship with Sydney Harbour. It would cast significant shadows on nearby open space and buildings and interrupt views from multiple locations, being disproportionate to its siting.
353397	I strenuously object to this proposal. Do the Government's commitments mean nothing at all? The Government committed to the Barangaroo concept plan and I supported it then in good faith. Now the Government looks like it will renege on its commitment. Shame on you. Yours Sincerely (a previously 'rusted on' liberal voter)
353205	I am a local resident and am objecting to the development on the basis that the development is out of character for the heritage of the Rocks. Substantial amounts have been outlaid to improve the Barangaroo foreshore and this development will destroy panoramic views from the iconic Observatory Hill as well as amazing views of Observatory Hill for residents of Balmain and other suburbs in the harbour. It will also block the views of local Rocks residents. There was a reason that the BLF in the 1970's protected the Rocks - it is because it was the birthplace of Sydney as well as the home of the local indigenous peoples. This development must be amended to ensure that peoples current views are not disrupted.
354271	I am writing to lodge a significant objection to the proposed modifications for Central Barangaroo. I have been a property owner of Darling Island Apartments since 2014. A major appeal of the property, and a major contributor to the purchase price, was the view across the water to Barangaroo and around to the Harbour Bridge. I am concerned that the proposed modifications significantly detract from the waterfront, create an unsightly view and will negatively impact not only the view, but the value of my apartment. It is with great concern to

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	see such major changes being made 'after the fact' and with such detriment to residents from many suburbs across Sydney. Please register my objection so that it is recorded for consideration, I request that my name and any personal information is withheld from the list of submitters.
353125	I strongly object to this proposal. This is a ridiculous expansion of the development on what should be public land. If anything the development should be cancelled and the land returned to public ownership as parkland. This attempt to triple the maximum GFA, and block the view from Observatory Hill is obscene and I would hope that any approval would result in the approvers losing their jobs as a result of such obviously corrupt decision-making.
353355	As a former are resident and a frequent area visitor. In general I am a supporter of the Barangaroo development, but this is too far. The proposed concept modification would: * Block public views west from Observatory Hill * Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill * Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point * Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water * Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposed modification to the Concept: * Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept * Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development * Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views * Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
353593	The proposed concept plan does not fit in this community. We don't want to have another ugly buildings in this area. What we need is more parks and open spaces, not tall buildings.
353451	I am against the Barangaroo development since it will ruin views, the buildings are too big, traffic and parking will be affected, and it will negatively impact so many long term residents of the area
354235	Hello there, Just shocked how could be more Plans of high rise buildings around Barangaroo area.....is it not enough with the huge bullet aka Crown building on the site?!!!! Do you think is fair to cover everything in cement and obstaculice natural light and green spaces with high rise buildings?! WHAT FOR?!!!! Unless you going to solve homelessness problems..... I wonder how many people stays or attends to the Crown when we don't even have tourists around..... They are WHITE ELEPHANTS OF CORRUPTION AND MONEY WASHING STOP THIS TREMD!!!
354241	I am a Sydney resident and visit the rocks regularly by ferry. I object to any further development on this project at The Rocks. At the very least proper consultation and independent assessment is essential by independent planning commission.
353615	As a long term resident of Millers Point, the height and scale of the current proposal is too large and not in keeping with what should be built in that area. The application should not be approved in its current form. In particular, developments in this state significant area should be focused on open public spaces, parks and community for the benefit of all and should not be approved for the commercial benefit of certain property developers. The development will have a significant and detrimental impact on the "look and feel" and amenity of the Sydney Harbor foreshore, Observatory Hill area and surrounds.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354023	<p>This submission is an objection to the development of the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9). Sydney's foreshore has significant value as a place of important indigenous and settler history, as a place of important aesthetics, of importance in terms of the sense of space it provides, and access for the public to community - owned areas. Sydney is losing its soul and beauty, as well as its cultural and historical significance, and hence sense of community, as it is gradually being entirely overtaken by private, commercial developers. The foreshore is sacred on many different levels, it should not be allowed to be privatised and developed for such crass commercial gains, nor should it be left in the hands of the same old network of developers and politicians.</p>
352637	<p>We object to the proposed modification to Barangaroo Concept Plan (MP06_0162 MOD 9). The Barangaroo development is already a high intensity and dense development. The original concept plan addressed some of these concerns by restricting high rise development to the Southern part of the Barangaroo precinct. The proposed modification, particularly in relation to proposed height increases to blocks 5, 6 and 7 are a significant variation and would violate the original concept of limiting the high rise development sections to Southern Barangaroo around which there are already old high rise buildings. The proposed height increases to block 5,6 and 7 would be out of character with the existing developments in the immediate vicinity on Hickson Road, Kent Street and Observatory Hill. On a personal level, before purchasing our residential unit in Stamford Residence, we had inspected the Barangaroo concept plan at the offices of the Barangaroo Authority on Kent Street (in the AON building). We had discussed the matter with the manager at that office to verify that none of the Barangaroo development would impact the views from our unit out to North West, over Observatory Hill, Barangaroo Reserve, Balmain, Greenwich, Hunters Hill, etc. If the proposed Modification of the Barangaroo concept plan was to be adopted, it would be contrary to the information we were provided and the new higher structures would be significantly detrimental to the outlook from our unit. I have attached photos showing the openness and low height developments surrounding the area of concern created by the proposed modification. We strongly object to the proposed modification and urge the local community and politicians to reject the proposed modification and to maintain the aesthetics and amenity of the public spaces and to preserve the views from the Rocks precinct.</p>
352669	<p>I wish to submit the attached pdf document "Barangaroo Objection NJR 20220801".</p>
354097	<p>David My submission via your web page is as follows. I reproduce it so you can gauge my depth of feeling. This purported 'modification' is a scandalous grab for public land and developer profit. It's an egregious affront to urban planning and is a typical ploy used to leverage an original approval. It should be rejected in its entirety as massive project expansion, contemptuous of heritage and the public's right to open space and sunlight. This section alone should disqualify the proposal. "increase the maximum GFA from 47,688 sqm to 144,355 sqm" I've lived in Sydney for 53 years and am truly sick of watching creative plans and urban designs, dumbed down and built up for one reason only - lining the pockets of the few. I'm an economist, not a town planner so perhaps you could explain to me how such a monstrous proposal is even being considered and why it's allowed to be called a 'modification' when clearly it's a massive expansion to the previously approved plans. Regards Additional text from submitter (353951): This purported 'modification' is a scandalous grab for public land and developer profit. It's an egregious affront to urban planning and is a typical ploy used to leverage an original approval. It should be rejected in its entirety as massive project expansion, contemptuous of heritage and the public's right to open space and sunlight. This section alone should disqualify the proposal. "increase the maximum GFA from 47,688 sqm to 144,355 sqm" I've lived in Sydney for 53 years and am truly sick of watching creative plans and urban designs, dumbed down and built up for one reason only - lining the pockets of the few.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353177	Objecting to the permanent damage to an important part of Sydney's heritage and cultural history and tourism potential does not make residents of Millers Point a bunch of NIMBYs. It is scandalous that commercial interests could so threaten an area of significance to the neighbourhood, to Sydneysiders and to all Australians. Once it is gone it can never come back
353029	Barangaroo is already overdeveloped. This latest modification will block views from the historic precinct of Observatory Hill and other points east of the buildings and set the bar (low) for future overdevelopment. Barangaroo is a name that I connect with the worst of Sydney development. It should have been a name that filled me with joy, a public space on the edge of the harbour in a beautiful city. Instead is an overdeveloped home to a rich man's project - a casino mired in criminal money laundering no less - and as many buildings as property developers can cram onto it. It's a name that is now synonymous with corruption. The minister faces an election soon. Do something for Sydney instead of developers and see how that goes for a change.
354143	The Barangaroo Concept Plan Modification 9 -My objection to the proposal. 5th August 2020 Our Lord Mayor, Clover Moore MP in April, 2005 City News wrote: "ONE OF OUR PROMISES WAS, TRANSPARENT AND INCLUSIVE PLANNING PROCESS TO PROTECT LIVING AMENITY AND PROMOTE A BETTER SURBAN ENVIRONMENT." The Urban Planning design for Central Barangaroo is professionally deficient, in that it's Claustrophobic cramming of mundane boxed Housing, stigmatizes our expanded community's passionate commitment to a merited open spaced sharing of our Public Domain. It is of the highest disgrace, both to the NSW Government and the Department of Planning of our newest neighbourhood, that not the slightest sign of AMELIORATION appears in the deformed, cumbersome proposed modifications and statutory planning process for Barangaroo. The Developers are now soliciting over-planned dimensions in the range of land upon which the most important and vibrant parts of Sydney await. The importance of roomy pedestrian and local environmental living standards for hundreds of business people, tourists, children, locals, bikes, animal walkers, lack of car parking - would not be a good look for the Barangaroo 6 star international environmental outlook. What a blemish of creativity - the eyesore heightened - run of the mill, implausible METRO-AIR VENT apartments. Just to selfishly lay claim to a tedious intrusion into upper space. A nondescript accessory, after the fact of unbalanced flow. The modification to introduce Design Guidelines for Central Barangaroo to guide FUTURE detailed proposals...(What future proposals?...There won't be any room for ANY..unless they are referring to OUR PUBLIC PARK AREAS! You surely wouldn't take anymore promised parkland away from the People! There is NO EQUAL to SYDNEY'S CALIBRE. Nothing to second it. We must continue our distinction of international building design statue. Effortlessly blending our already approved building design, continuing the flow along side our colonial and Victorian heritage homes, Aboriginal Lore, Maritime legacy, Observatory Parkland and across our panoramic western Harbour. Few places could be found, more delightfully situated, and nowhere will the Sydney Harbour with the beauty of nature, parkland and walkways, be so painfully contrasted - if we don't RETAIN a justness of obligation to the FACTS. To achieve the statement of our Lord Mayor Clover Moore MP of July 2008 -"For a sustainable Sydney 2030, its your City - share in shaping your future." LIVING AT ITS BEST. There is no finer city edge environment. Please don't spoil it with TOO MUCH ... but not enough to see.
353337	I wish to submit an objection to the modification of the plans for the Barangaroo site (Modification 9) on the grounds that the proposed modification is a massive increase from the original application, grossly exceeds the GFA (nearly triple!!) and increases the height of every building on that site (in some instance, doubling the height!. There is loss of public space and obstruction to exiting views for residents.
353725	I spent Sunday morning enjoying the view from Observatory Hill.i comments to my partner how luck we are to have such beautiful green space with lovely views. This development would ruin the gift which is the public's gift. Cities need to.maintain not just Pur green space bit

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	the views that are associated with them. The development will only contribute to over crowding and making Sydney more of a heat bank that it already is. Do not approve this development
353713	I write regarding my concerns with the development proposal for Barangaroo public land. I believe the Minister is the only one to sign off on this development moving forward - I find this to be ludicrous. Our heritage is important and must be protected not sold off for developer profit. I am most concerned with the lack of transparency, public notification of this proposal and input from important agencies such as the National Trust. I have historic family connections to the surrounding lands and am horrified that public land will be developed for commercial and residential use. This land instead should be retained for open space as Barangaroo has become a gem thanks to the wonderful work of many including our own local hero, Stuart Pittendrigh who was and still is overseeing the thousands of trees and plants on this parkland. I am concerned with the visual impact of the proposal. This will block views from Observatory Hill and Millers Point as well as views to Observatory Hill and Millers Point from the "old town" which had been home to our ancestors, from Balmain, Pyrmont and the new foreshore promenade at Barrangaroo. I do not believe the privatisation of public land is appropriate - once given away, it will never be returned. How is this in the public interest when it seems most of the public are unaware of this proposal?
353797	I am against the modification 9 to the Barangaroo Concept Plan.It not only restricts my view but will also bring a lot more traffic to the area.
352695	The NSW Government's plans for Barangaroo South will be an extension of their approval of the Crown Casino, the monstrosity, the blinding edifice that epitomises corruption in government in New South Wales. The State Government 'plans' to rob us not only of the public land on which this project will stand/sink, it intends to wrench away from us and the generations to come, priceless sight lines from all directions. Yes, I will lose yet still more in the way of views from my home. Yet more importantly, the NSW Government is happy to rob all residents of our State plus those who visit from elsewhere of treasured outlooks from and to landmarks of significant historical and geographical importance. One wonders if our legislators understand the magnitude of their contempt, which is so obvious to residents, and how massively they undermine and crush the concept of integrity in democracy, let alone any concept of fairness or respect. Ah quick! Spin another buck today!! Enrich your contacts and your mates!!! The damage done will, after all, outlive us all. Barbarians, philistines - you deserve our disgust. NSW residents are sick of your sullied 'governance'. I object most vehemently to that which you intend to thrust in all our faces.
353377	I am highly dismayed at the proposed development of a tower by Aqualand for Modification 9 which would cast morning shadows over the Barangaroo waterfront and the planned Harbour Park, while the additional bulk of the development would overshadow much of Hickson Park during winter, as well as Fort Street Public School. I note it is not supported by the Heritage Council or the city Council and with good reason. It reduces the amenity of the harbour, its out of proportion to the area and send to benefit developers and a very small number of people who would reside in the complex at the expense of the public who use the park and foreshore. Enough is enough, the area has already been overdeveloped, can we please stop this inappropriate development now.
354179	Dear David We would like to object to the above proposal. The impact of this proposal on our beautiful harbour, public green spaces, and heritage neighbourhood is unacceptable.The proposed changes to this iconic part of Sydney should not be allowed, we should be preserving the area with all its history as is for future generations. For many reasons the proposed buildings are an urban planning disaster....

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>appearance, traffic and parking issues, impacts on vistas to existing buildings to name a few. As a property owner in Millers Point we believe the proposal should it be approved would detrimentally affect us. We are aware of the many detailed objections that have already been lodged and we hope the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod9) is rejected.</p> <p>Regards</p>
353047	<p>The proposed modifications to the original approval for the Barangaroo Concept Plan are confusing and disappointing, albeit not surprising. The proposed development represents a figurative and literal Tombstone on the grand vision for this space, and provides a clear window into the malodorous anti-democratic machinations that work against the public interest. The gross expansion of private development since the original proposal is neither orderly, nor sustainable and lacks any sense of balance with respect to "social, economic and environmental outcomes". Further, it has no regard for scientific or historic outcomes either given the impact it will have on sight lines of the Sydney Observatory. The request for more car spaces is nonsensical given the public transport options already available to the site. The process the bureaucracy has established to notify affected citizens is now almost completely impenetrable to anyone without the technical sophistication and strong will to trawl through your website for any capacity to provide feedback... the long URLs in your letters didn't help, nor does your new website. In short, this proposal continues to erode trust in public institutions, and will ultimately lessen the city. The forthcoming election will be the ultimate test of the merit driving these decisions. Good luck with that.</p>
352779	<p>I am writing this as an objection to Modification 9. It seems that the whole Barangaroo site from North to South has absolutely no governance and is being developed by a bunch of money-hungry cowboys, as is typical with every development down there, this proposal shows an utter disregard for our iconic harbour, the history and heritage of the neighbourhood, public green open spaces and any of the original planning principles. Modification 9 will see Central Barangaroo swell even further with a number of additional residential towers (ontop of the 3 additional towers being built, and 3 others existing to the south... how many multimillion-dollar units do we need down there) on the waterfront and a wall of development blocking heritage Millers Point and most disgracefully historic views from Observatory Hill to the west will be destroyed. Buildings will no doubt creep through variation, as all the others have (our wonderful 270m casino that was meant to be half the height, case in point) higher than agreed and also onto public open space, which in this proposal have REDUCED AGAIN to only 30% and overshadowing will effect the rest. Also, we have 3 towers of some 1000 units nearing completion, and now a proposal for 1000 more. The amount of traffic congestion, on top of poor infrastructure along Hickson road, into the Rocks, also south then up and towards the harbour bridge entrance on Kent street is going to be an absolute disaster. And what for? For the short-term windfall of squeezing more apartments and offices onto what should be a majority of public space and open waterfront to be enjoyed by everyone. Barangaroo could be a world-class unique attraction enjoyed and celebrated by locals and visitors alike. A continuation of the park, something that celebrates the uniqueness and heritage of the area. Instead, if this proposal is approved, it will leave a second-rate legacy for future generations and an infrastructure disaster.</p>
352971	<p>Uploaded in attachments</p>
354229	<p>Hi David I am a Sydney resident who pays rates and taxes, and I would like my government to care about what I cherish. Accordingly, I support the City of Sydney council's concerns about: - the increased height and scale of the buildings - the impacts on views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill - overshadowing from the buildings onto public space, blocking tree growth and natural light - heritage impacts - reduction in the size of Hickson Park - poor pedestrian and street connections. Could the Dept of Planning kindly consider conserving this precious and diminishing resource that Sydney residents have, instead of surrendering it all to developers who place</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	profits over people and place? Any thing you can do to stem the stealthy loss of public land would be greatly appreciated. Your descendants and all future generations of Australians would be very grateful that you DO WHAT IS RIGHT!! Thank you,
354269	Dear Mr Glasgow, We are writing to you to voice our strongest possible objection to the Central Barangaroo Modification Plans presently before Council. We are residents of Darling Island at Pymont and are appalled at the adverse and devastating visual impact the Modification will have on us residents at Darling Island, but more importantly on the hundreds of thousands of Sydneysiders, visitors and tourists who come to Darling Island to enjoy the foreshore and the view of Harbour Bridge, from here. The Sydney Harbour Bridge is iconic and the views of it from any vantage point is a treasure for all to enjoy. It is heartbreaking to think that such amazing and inspiring views of our Sydney Harbour Bridge will be lost forever due to the Modification. We respectfully ask that you reject this outrageous Modification so as to protect and preserve views of our beautiful and iconic Sydney Harbour Bridge for generations to come. Yours sincerely, P.S. We apologise for our late email. We have been away overseas.
354193	Post submission attached
352731	* the scale of the development is completely inappropriate for the site, it blocks public views to and from one of Australia's most beautiful and significant sites * It is inconsistent with previous planning approvals * It will overshadow Australia's oldest childcare centre and destroy the views from observatory hill which is where half of Sydney has their wedding photos and the site of Australia's first public school * The tower in particular is more than double the height previously approved and is wildly inappropriate for the site
353219	SUBMISSION TO BARANGAROO CONCEPT PLAN Peter Watts AM It would seem the appalling planning process that has given us the disaster that is Barangaroo is expected to continue. When will the government learn a few basic lessons. It should: * act for the people of NSW and not for developer interests; * act for the historic and natural environments and not for developer interests; * should act for the public interest and not for invisible backroom arrangements. The proposed concept modification is appalling. It would: * Block public views west from Observatory Hill; * Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill; * Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point; * Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water; * Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. As the National Trust has stated: * Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept; * Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development; * Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views; * Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views; * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill; * Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. It does however continue the government's reckless management of this site over many years, constantly breaking commitments and understandings, stretching acceptable boundaries, favouring private commercial interests over its obligations to the public interest, ignoring professional and public opinion. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH
353685	PDF attached
353793	I strongly oppose modification 9 and want the proposal rejected. The proposal has shown utter disregard for our iconic harbour, the heritage neighbourhood, public green open space, and its basic planning principles are extraordinary. Modification 9 will see Central Barangaroo swell with a residential tower on the waterfront and a wall of development blocking a unique heritage site - Millers Point. It

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	will amount to gross desecration of Historic panoramic views from the Observatory Hill. Built form will creep onto public open space and overshadow what is left. And what for? Squeezing more homes and offices for private gains onto the public waterfront? Central Barangaroo must be developed as a world-class unique attraction to be enjoyed and celebrated by locals and visitors from near and far. But if this proposal is approved, it will be regarded as an eye sore and leave a second-rate legacy for future generations.
354083	Mailed submissions attached
353565	I object to Mod 9 as it will block a historical view and impact the residents, businesses and community of Millers Point. It will also impact the amount of sunshine that falls on Fort St Public School. The development proposal should be re-submitted as the changes are significant from the original proposal and the decision should be made by an independent council rather than a single minister.
353779	The whole intent of the proposed modification flies in the face of the original design of Barangaroo and its function as a part of the harbour foreshore for the people. The only winners from the proposed modification are the developers and the wealthy who will buy the proposed luxury apartments. I strongly oppose the proposed modification.
353581	As an Owner in One Sydney Harbour with low level views north across Barangaroo Central, I object in the strongest possible terms to this proposed Modification 9 by Aqualand. It is very much against the spirit of the whole Barangaroo redevelopment and a betrayal of the public trust to make this proposed Modification 9 now to vastly increase the height and bulk of their proposed development when the vast majority of owners in Crown Tower and One Sydney Harbour Residences have purchased their properties in the belief that the height and bulk of the proposed Aqualand development had been finalised.
353467	Objects are made against the height & density of proposed development in Central Barangaroo and the very poor Architectural scheme. Lets develop the area for tourism / education / & the people of Sydney ...not just a select few.
354225	Hi, I would like to make a submission objecting to the Barangaroo Central Development. The Government's modified plans for Barangaroo Central have significantly deviated from the approved concept plan and the Independent Planning Commission should be reviewing submissions to this project, not the Minister for Planning. In summary, I have concerns about: - the increased height and scale of the buildings - the impacts on views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill - overshadowing from the buildings onto public space, blocking tree growth and natural light - heritage impacts - reduction in the size of Hickson Park - poor pedestrian and street connections. Can you please forward my submission please? Thanks for your help. Kind regards,
352951	I did not have any problem with the original Barangaroo plans (i.e 15-20 years ago) as I do believe as a modern city we need to seize opportunities where possible to redevelop and enhance sites as they become available. I think what has been achieved so far is an improvement, however this proposed modification is a step too far re having a tower at B7. The current vs proposed views looks like something from a Monty Python skit. However this isn't a comedy, it's serious so for only the 2nd time in 30+ years I'm joining the protestors. Please reconsider. John Cahill had a hand in both the Opera House and the Cahill Expressway but guess which one his place in

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	history is judged on. Against huge public protest the NSW Govt allowed the Cahill Expressway to be built and we're still trying to get the views restored 70 years later. I think history will judge this development in a similar way.
352824	For the reasons particularised in the objection letter from Shaw Reynolds Lawyers dated 25 July 2022: a, Mod 9 is not in the public interest. The modification application highlights the prejudicial legacy of the former Part 3A of the EPA Act which allows, through transitional provisions, broad ranging modifications with significantly less rigour than the approvals process that would have applied if the Proposed Development was being assessed under Part 4 of the EPA Act. b. The Proposed Development is of excessive bulk and scale. The amendments to Blocks 5, 6, and 7 include additional height, changes to the block alignments, and additional GFA across the blocks. c. The additional development height of MOD 9 would be to the detriment of the local community, cause significant view loss, and is contrary to the intention of the original Concept Plan.
354189	Central Barangaroo Section 75W Modification Request Application No: MP 06_0162 Mod 9 Dear Sir/Madam Please find attached my statement of objection to the proposal with reasons. I note also that I have not made any political donations in the last two years
354185	Please refer to my attached letter.
353179	The proposed Mod 9 fails to meet virtually all of the most basic building regulations, building heights will impact invaluable views of one of our key heritage areas in Sydney. Local residents will also be impacted. The lack of mixed height buildings together with adequate spacing will result in wind, noise and shadow issues. Shadows from the buildings, especially along Barton Street will result in little to no sun in the already reduced public park. The design should require the commercial building heights to be reduced by ~10m across the entire development. Barton Street building frontages should be set back ~10m from the pavement boundary and gradually increase in height to eliminate both shadow and wind impact, especially on the precious public park across the road. The proposed apartment building's height is simply unacceptable. How a high rise building can be built in a heritage area is beyond belief. The public and residents are entitled to more respect from our Government. I don't believe either of the major parties have the courage to change the design. It could be a world class development and major Sydney tourist attraction for another 100+ years if the Government was prepared to tweak the design as mentioned above.
352880	The proposed development displays complete disrespect for the original approvals and utter contempt for the value of our beautiful harbour and surrounds. We cannot continue to develop, develop, develop at all costs. Views from Observatory Hill in particular, are a valuable asset for Sydney. The proposed development would create yet another eyesore on Sydney Harbour from many locations around the city.
353461	Shame on the NSW Government! The proposed modification to the Concept: Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353433	I object to the submission as it will cause the indefinite loss of valuable harbour foreshore, the views from Millers Point especially the Observatory and KU Lance will be obstructed, and because the plan differs from the original site plan and vision. In addition, this development will put excess pressure on the headland park due to the increased volume of local traffic.
353999	I totally object to the proposal. As a resident of Millers Point, I strongly believe the necessity to protect the heritage of Millers Point. The magnificent views of the west from Observatory Hill will be ruined. The proposed buildings are in such huge scale that it will completely destroy the charm of the heritage dwellings of the area.
354267	Dear Minister, I wish you would reconsider the Barangaroo development. Things for your consideration should include: - denying historical visual impact for thousand of NSW school children, current & future generations. - blocking of western view from Observatory Hill. - blocking of ferry views of Millers Point homes. Once this development is completed, the above points will be lost. This development is bad. Who'd have thought Blues Point Tower could be topped 'someone's been paid for that development to be approved'. Please reconsider the height and visual impact of the Barangaroo development. Regards
354089	Mailed submission attached
353915	I wish to object to this proposal in the strongest possible terms, which will have a significant detrimental impact on the unique and diminishing Sydney Harbour foreshore as well as the surround historical environment including: Views from the water of a historical landscape. Views from many of the precincts in the immediate vicinity and around the general area of Barangaroo residential development- both high rise and early settlement areas so unique to Sydney. Views from the suburbs as far away as Balmain East. The very essence of the visual pleasure and sense of Place for foot and vehicle traffic users and visitors will be significantly degraded. The uniqueness of this precinct and its attraction to tourists will be significantly diminished. Without doubt there will be many homeowners who will lose much of their valuable peace from the view. Who will benefit from this visual "grab"? A very few! Who will lose from it? All existing residents and workers in the broader CBD and Sydney residents in general. Where is this initiative coming from and who is driving it? Certainly not the 99.9999999% of proud and caring NSW or Australian people. Stop the Rot - save the irreplicable and unique foreshore of Sydney Harbour for the many existing and future generations!
354033	I object to this development encroaching on open and green space and in particular, on Observatory Hill and the current historic outlook it holds.
353891	This is a tragedy for Sydney, nay the nation. That this monstrous development should even be raised is extraordinary. This should be public land and accessible to all the public ... no foreshore land should be privatised. The sooner this government is voted out next year the better and I will do all in my power and my financial commitments to support any party which cans this notion. This government is making Sydney some monster place which people are leaving ... tourists coming here want to see the remarkable natural environment not some monster wall.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
352497	<p>I would like to object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9). The proposed changes will make the current plan even more unacceptable for this site. The area of Barangaroo should be parkland and should not overcrowd the Rocks area, nor cover up the historic area from the water. It should also not obstruct views from Observatory Hill. More shops and residences are not needed in this area. It is a greedy grab by the developers to t Attempt to increase the size of this development which is already impinging on the area way to much. I can't understand why he council is allowing more development of Barangaroo. Additional text from submitter (352495): I object to the latest proposed Mod 9 building that is being proposed for Barangaroo Central. There are a multitude of reasons why this proposal should not be approved, the most important of which is that the latest proposal obliterates views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill, based on a proposal where the Concept Plan Gross Floor Area is being tripled. The whole area is being overdeveloped and this will destroy the attractiveness of one of the most beautiful parts of Australia. Why can't we create something for all Australians to access and enjoy? The 74 metre residential tower near Nawi Cove will destroy an important part of the views from Observatory Hill that have been celebrated by ordinary Australians for generations. Hickson Park will be further enclosed and the view corridors to and from the High Street Terraces will be diminished. We have an opportunity to create something special for Australians but this proposal is uninspiring and targeted to making money, without any regard to the wishes of ordinary Australians or our national heritage. Finally I am particularly annoyed that the photographs used in Mod 9 are deceptive, because they diminish the plan's impact on view sharing. Because they commence on Level 15, this means that over 50% of the Kent Street residential buildings will suffer a huge reduction in views. Views from other parts of Millers Point are also substantially reduced or destroyed.</p>
353783	<p>I feel very strongly about this amendment to the Central Barangaroo development and request that it be denied. We have so few views of our Old Sydney Town heritage and streetscapes and that makes this a precious resource we should not lose. This doesn't just affect those living in/or passing through the area but all those who travel on the ferries and other boats on the harbour. Why block it forever with a tower of such height and bulk! so much will be lost and for such negligible gain!! Furthermore, this development will disconnect Miller's Point even more from the Harbour which was such an important part of its history and reason for being. Let it continue to tell its story to all who see it. So many objections have been raised through public submission already that contributed to the initial approval process. I can see no additional gains to the residents of this area or Sydneysiders in general, that will be derived from this amendment. Please do not allow it to proceed. Don't alienate more of our public land and further destroy our historical roots. It is an rather ordinary tactic by the developers to use the Minister's power to override the current approved development</p>
353873	<p>I live in Balmain, within sight of the main Barangaroo tower and I visit the Barangaroo/Miller's Point area almost weekly. This area is part of our heritage as Sydney siders and has previously been well preserved thanks to generations of far sighted activists. The Crown Tower is awful but there it is. I wish I had understood what a monstrosity was going to be built in homage to the revolting art of big gambling. But there it is. The Barangaroo parklands are a good addition to our harbourside open spaces. I guess that's our 30 pieces of silver. But stand over at Balmain East and look at the cityscape. There is a beautiful symmetry to the rows of single storey terraces above the park. Visit the observatory, walk in the sunshine along the Barangaroo walkways. These are things I value as a resident of Sydney. The beauty of this area that remains, needs to be preserved. These changes to the plan go too far. I have seen the rampant vandalism inflicted on our cityscape under the current state government and now I say enough. Do not accept the above modifications to this DA.</p>
353249	<p>As a local resident, I strongly object to this overdevelopment proposal. There are a number of reasons. 1. It will ruin the views from Observatory Hill which have been seen for thousands of years. Like many others, we enjoy sitting on Observatory Hill and the 180 degree views across the harbour. Our enjoyment and the enjoyment of many others for years to come will be ruined by this development. 2. The development is out of context with the local heritage plans for Millers Point, Barangaroo and that of the Sydney Harbour Bridge</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	conservation management plan. 3. The proposal has understated the traffic and parking implications for local residents 4. There is no need for it - the Barangaroo developments just grow and grow despite local resident objections. This is not consistent with the agreed Barangaroo Development statement of commitments and is just one more push to maximise developer profits without considering the wonderful history and heritage it replaces. 5. Please listen to the residents and the National Trust and preserve our historical landmarks.
352802	I wish to lodge my opposition to the proposed Central Barangaroo Concept modification. The proposed concept modification would: Block public views west from Observatory Hill Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposed modification to the Concept: Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
354191	Scanned postal submission attached
352913	Please do not develop any more of Barangaroo. This area is becoming a multi story eyesore. This used to be one of the most beautiful places in Sydney. It was supposed to be parkland but the need to greediness and eagerness to build soon overtook the desire for green space. If you plan to keep developing please keep to 3 stories. One of the beautiful parts of Sydney is the harbour and the water yet it is being insured in the name of development. How many more high rise apartments does Sydney really need? Please don't add more.
353785	I object to the developers increasing the heights and blocking the views. Public views must be protected, the view corridor must be preserved for future generations.
354107	Attached
353613	Why would one introduce a tower block over-station at the northern end of Barangaroo, that would impede views to Headland Park and from Observatory Hill to the Harbour?? Don't do that please. Thankyou.
352701	To whom it may concern, I would like to voice my opposition to the changes in the plans for Barangaroo. We have so little public land left and it should be a priority to keep some of the assets we have for the public. The view from Observatory Hill and the rocks should be protected, as should the views OF the rocks and Observatory Hill from Pymont and surrounding areas. At the moment Sydney is unfortunately building up all of our previously public harbour land, and once it is gone, it can never be brought back. The Crown tower is lovely, but it should never have been built so close to the water. Barangaroo waterfront is nice if inside one of the restaurants, but the walkways around the area are dark, cold wind tunnels. We need to stop thinking of short term financial gain and start thinking about our heritage. What would Europe be without its old buildings? Do you remember the previous plans to tear down the QVB in favour of modern buildings? Fortunately that was saved. I ask you to have the courage to do the same. Say no to developers and listen to the public opposition.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353879	<p>It is outrageous that this proposal is even being put forward. The original plans were for parkland and the land grab her is staggering. It is public land, not private land. That I should even have to be making a submission is outrageous, and I can only conjecture as to the reasons why this is now the case. As a resident of Millers Point, the proposed development will considerably affect my ability to appreciate the foreshore. Already, the lack of light in the Barangaroo is problematic and the built area is one big wind tunnel. Further development would exacerbate this. The original proposal was built on the condition the rest would be allocated to parkland that was both aesthetically pleasing and a of recreational benefit to both locals and the broader Sydney community. The proposed development is neither. Rather, it is highly likely to impact resident parking and traffic in what is, once one exits the Sydney CBD, a pleasant, quiet residential area. This is my home and the home of thousands of other resident that this proposal plans on crashing in the name of profit. Not only will it affect the amenity of my community but no doubt the safety. Increased traffic will bring its own problems, as will pedestrian traffic from non-residents. We did not ask for this development and we do not want it. Might I suggest the land be dedicated to a cultural centre focused solely on Austraiian productions, as would be fitting for a headland named "Barangaroo". This would create a ring of cultural and education centres around the City, nestled in parkland, with the conservatorium of music in the botanic on one side, the dance schools in the wharf areas, hyde park and the museum, and the universities near Broadway. There is a lack of space to stage large musicals in Australia. Given the risks involved, theatres accept bankable productions mostly from overseas, which means Australians struggle to have their own cultural voice. A dedicated facility, that perhaps included an acting school, writing centre and community centre, would address this. It would also be an excellent area in which to stage markets as well. This is a more apt and worthy purpose for public land. And it would add greatly to the amenity of Sydney as a whole and give it an opportunity to establish itself as Australia's leading cultural centre from a global standpoint - further enhancing tourism and building Australia's reputation as a sovereign nation worthy of respect - which is one area in successive Liberal governments have failed dismally. It would be a brilliant legacy for the Liberal Government and remove much of the stain that has marked its recent State and Federal terms. I think this is submission clear. Your proposal seriously impinges upon my living standards and amenity of my community. There are many better alternatives for such valuable land that would have lasting benefits for Australia. Developers be damned. Corruption be canned.</p>
353983	<p>The proposal significantly impacts the foreshore and blocks any view of the heritage High St terraces, which have taken advantage of the views since 1860's when they were built. Historic panoramic views from Observatory Hill will be destroyed. Built form will creep onto public open space and overshadow what is left. The proposal has taken a reasonably considered DA approved design, which provided view sharing to the neighbours and public vantage points and have filled out the areas which provided the water views and increased the mass significantly, including a new tower. Central Barangaroo deserves to be a world-class unique attraction enjoyed and celebrated by locals and visitors near and far. But if this proposal is approved, it will leave a second-rate legacy for future generations.</p>
354065	<p>I wish to object to the recent proposed changes, including the proposed erection of a 20 storey building to the Central Barangaroo project.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed structures will obscure the view of the historical terraces along Kent St which are an important heritage link for all Sydney's residents when viewed from the waterways or along the waters edge . 2. The structures would cause undue shadowing along parts of Hickson Rd causing a cold sunless wind tunnel along parts of the road. 3. The increased expanded density will impact on the traffic on the surrounding roads. 4. The proposed erection of a 20 storey building will impact on the view and reduce the openness of aspect from my unit.

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353535	We have a once in lifetime opportunity to make this a space for the public - for all to enjoy. The revised heights block views from other spaces and crowd the area. More of Barangaroo should be dedicated to public parkland, communal spaces and other amenities that deliver to the greater good. A few extra stories and a larger envelope only benefit one group of people: those profiting. Reducing the size of the towers and creating MORE public space benefits the entire community for generations to come. Our foreshore is something that is renowned globally - why would we clutter it even further just to benefit commercial interests?
354239	To David Glasgow, Im pretty sure I put in a submission regarding this development but having had Covid for over a week now, I'm unsure. This development is a slap in the face of any history loving Sydneysider. An affront to the historical view and historic observatory which will be affected by any further lighting of the night sky. What is it about our paltry but precious remains of history that this government want to discredit and despoil. It is heartbreaking to see this disrespect of us and future generations. Yours sincerely,
353601	I am deeply concerned about the plans submitted here. They will destroy views, cast shadows, create further traffic and remove park land.
354173	Dear David I fully support the Don't Block the Rocks campaign Could you please consider our views and not let unnessary high buildings dominate our water views. Cheers
353883	To whom it may concern, As a citizen of the City of Sydney and frequent visitor to the Millers Point area, I wish to place a strong objection to the proposed changes shown for the Barangaroo Concept plan, for the following reasons: The addition of the large additional M2 floor area is completely excessive, and musty be treated as a totally new Plan. The additional height of the building mass creates a complete barrier to the Millers Point peninsula and Observatory Hill area. It strongly imposes on the views from historic Kent Street, Observatory Hill and National Trust buildings to Sydney Harbour destroying the character of old Sydney. The addition of the high building structure is a barrier to the low landform at the end of the peninsula. One improvement is the breaking down of the mass by better articulation instead of looking like an industrial complex. Macquarie Park would not allow such a large building block. It must be rejected in its current plan.
354159	Dear Premier and Minister for Planning, I strongly object to this design because it is taking away iconic public land from me, my children and their children. I will inherit your mistakes and not have the enjoyment of this important harbour land and views. Sydney is becoming too built up. You need to create and protect parklands, trees and waterways for mine and future generations. This is impacting our public freedoms because we won't have this space to enjoy. Instead, you can make Sydney better by making sure we don't lose the precious little parklands we have especially around the harbour. This is important for climate change. We need to have parks for families, and all people, for health, and for wellbeing, especially when we're all now living in apartments - I need green open public spaces to play. Please do the right thing. Kind regards

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
354015	Please don't allow the proposal. It is not in keeping with the original concept plan or the heritage of the surrounding area. I'm particularly concerned that, if the proposal is implemented, views from Observatory Hill would be blocked by more big towers. The area has been developed enough - we need more public space, not more ugly towers.
353331	I absolutely reject the plan to increase the building heights This will reduce the amount of sunlight which can reach the parkland Furthermore the plan to reduce the size of hickson park is an absolute travesty, we need all the green space we can get Also advocate for getting rid of the 3m overhang onto barangaroo avenue Could we also include cycling infrastructure/ lanes in these plans? Furthermore the street width (12m) is inadequate
353561	To the Department of Planning, I write to object to the Central Barangaroo Concept modification. The proposed project increases building heights and floor space, and will block historic public realm views to and from Observatory Hill, and to parts of the Harbour Bridge. The proposed concept modification would: (a) Block public views west from Observatory Hill (b) Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill (c) Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point (d) Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water (e) Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. The proposed modification to the Concept: (a) Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept (b) Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development (c) Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views (d) Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views (e) Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill (f) Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point. I therefore strongly oppose the concept modification.
353387	I strongly object to Mod 9 of the Barangaroo Concept Plan. The increase in building area and volume is totally inappropriate for the area. The proposed 20+ storey tower over drainage infrastructure near Nawi Cove will cause havoc to the storm water drainage from the whole of the western part of Millers Point, as evidenced by the recent fiasco with the Metro station. This building will block views from heritage housing in High and Kent Streets and also heritage views from Balmain East and Balmain and Pymont of Observatory Hill and the Millers Point heritage buildings. Views to the west from Observatory Hill will also be severely compromised. It is incongruous that the Lend Lease and Crown views have been guaranteed while no protection is afforded to the constituents and areas listed above. There has been no previous mention of the proposed 20+ storey building at the northern end of Central Barangaroo to be set in the precinct of a 2 storey (mainly glass) metro station. To position the 20+ storey building, as proposed, to the north of a series of 4-6 storey office/residential buildings will create an ugly cap to Barangaroo - a perfect example of 'dog's balls'. The increased traffic from the 3 Lend Lease Towers and the Mod 9 proposals will create further congestion on all roads in the northern, western and southern areas of the CBD, particularly in Millers Point and The Rocks. I believe the Mod 9 proposal with a 20+ storey building is an overwhelming example of poor planning and displays a gross disregard for the history of the site, and of the heritage of this iconic part of early Sydney. THE MOD 9 PROPOSALS MUST BE REJECTED BY MINISTER STOKES AND PREMIER PERROTET. ANY OTHER RESULT WILL CERTAINLY AFFECT THE NEXT ELECTION.
352961	Dear Sir/Madam, I object to the proposed development on the grounds that this is an over-development of the area, and will cause irreversible destruction to our city's identity and character which is especially exemplified by this most historic part of Sydney. For example, the 73.7 metre residential tower near Nawi Cove destroys Observatory Hill's uninterrupted sight lines to the horizon. This will destroy yet another heritage feature of Sydney. Millers Point and The Rocks represent early Sydney. They are our most significant heritage assets. Also, the proposed

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>Mod 9 development overwhelms the heritage precinct and is an impenetrable barrier to the west. Please consider the legacy we are leaving for future generations and leave for them the valuable connection with Sydney's character and heritage which is especially important in this historic part of Sydney. Once gone, these cannot be restored.</p>
353415	<p>The NSW Liberal Government are ruining Sydney. They have wasted an opportunity to optimise Glebe Island by allowing a 24/7 cement batching plant and Multi User facility to be built on prime harbour foreshore land, and now they are on the verge of rubber stamping an obscene development at Barangaroo North. I object to this development because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sydney Harbour and Foreshore is a public asset and long term public amenity should not be traded off for short-term profit. Valuable public parkland will be overshadowed by a 20 story tower. At the very least, why not graduate the height down from the Crown building rather than building another Blues Point Tower? 2. Views to and from Millers Point and Observatory Hill will be severely impacted. For example, Pyrmont and surrounding communities will lose views to the southern harbour bridge will be lost. 3. Urban Design Principles clearly are being overlooked in favour of the developer. The bulk and scale of the development will clearly impact on public amenity. Please do not build another ugly Blues Point Tower at North Barangaroo!!
353875	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>As a citizen of the City of Sydney and frequent visitor to the Millers Point area, I wish to place a strong objection to the proposed changes shown for the Barangaroo Concept plan, for the following reasons: The addition of the large additional M2 floor area is completely excessive, and must be treated as a totally new Plan. The additional height of the building mass creates a complete barrier to the Millers Point peninsula and Observatory Hill area. It strongly damages the relationship of the historic Observatory Hill and National Trust buildings to Sydney Harbour. The addition of the high building structure is a barrier to the low landform at the end of the peninsula. One improvement is the breaking down of the mass by better articulation instead of looking like an industrial complex. Macquarie Park would not allow such a large building block. It must be rejected in its current plan.</p>
354289	<p>Dear Mr Glasgow,</p> <p>I live at [Redacted] Kent Street in Millers Point, this email is to voice my objection to the proposed concept plans MP06-0102 (MOD-9). Before we purchased our apartment in May 2019 we went to the Sydney City Council and State NSW offices to discuss with their planners what was going to be built over time below the apartment we were looking at buying, we were informed that the maximum height was going to be 8-12 storey and was shown the model in their offices (please see attachments NSW CC Model 1 & 4). We accepted that the Crown Casio and the 3 x One Sydney Harbour Towers to be built would take some of the current views away. We believed from the information provided by the Sydney City Council and State NSW offices we would retain the views attached (please see attachments [Redacted] Kent St View 1 & 2) from this information we purchased the apartment. Now we have been informed the height is to be increased for the low-rise buildings and that one of the building is going to be 25 storey plus in height which will block some of the views we believe were going to be retained, we also would like to voice our objection to the proposed concept plans MP06-0102 (MOD-9) and the new proposed heights as it will also take some of the views away from Observatory Hill which has been in place before all of us were born.</p>

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	Yours sincerely
352484	Over development of high rise residential and commercial units will worsen the liveability and accessibility within the predict of existing dwellings in Millers Point and The Rocks. Over crowding of high rise buildings near costal line around Barangaroo area also have negative impact on environment by trapping a greenhouse effect near shore and as a result, ending up with higher consumption of electricity needed to cool buildings within that area. This will burden the output of our existing electric supplies and worsen our cost of living due to surge in energy demand. Too much damage will be done to the natural habitant and heritage of surrounding area . Totally disagree with the planning proposal.
354287	<p>Dear Mr Glasgow,</p> <p>I live at [Redacted] Kent Street in Millers Point, this email is to voice my objection to the proposed concept plans MP06-0102 (MOD-9). Before we purchased our apartment in May 2019 we went to the Sydney City Council and State NSW offices to discuss with their planners what was going to be built over time below the apartment we were looking at buying, we were informed that the maximum height was going to be 8-12 storey and was shown the model in their offices (please see attachments NSW CC Model 1 & 4). We accepted that the Crown Casio and the 3 x One Sydney Harbour Towers to be built would take some of the current views away. We believed from the information provided by the Sydney City Council and State NSW offices we would retain the views attached (please see attachments [Redacted] Kent St View 1 & 2) from this information we purchased the apartment. Now we have been informed the height is to be increased for the low-rise buildings and that one of the building is going to be 25 storey plus in height which will block some of the views we believe were going to be retained, we also would like to voice our objection to the proposed concept plans MP06-0102 (MOD-9) and the new proposed heights as it will also take some of the views away from Observatory Hill which has been in place before all of us were born.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>
354237	I think the last election shows how the public feel about the mishandling of public property.
353851	I object to the Barangaroo Concept Plan (Mod 9) because: The View and Visual Impact Assessment presents a biased and misleading report of the obstruction of views from Observatory Hill, Agar Steps, Kent St, from foreshores to the west of Barangaroo and heritage listed properties along Kent St, High Street, Argyle St. The obstructed views from Observatory Hill will diminish the educational values to the thousands of students who visit the Hill and the value of the site to the other members of the public and surrounding community. The developer's assertion that the view is already obstructed, to justify the increase is height and bulk of Mod 9 is false, ridiculous and comes from a place of private greed at the irreversible cost to the community, environment, culture and future of the area west of the Harbour Bridge. Reduced parking spaces will also force patrons of the proposed shopping complex, hotel, commercial and residential spaces to park in Millers Point and Dawes Point. There is already considerable motor vehicle traffic, especially from Thursday to Sunday. I object to the proposed reduction of the size of Hickson Park, the inclusion of the Cutaway in the calculation of GFA and especially, the height of Block 7. Mod 9 is inconsistent with the surrounding area and will be blight on the local topography and the legacy of the current NSW government. Minister Roberts, do not approve Mod 9!
353823	I strongly oppose modification 9 and want the proposal rejected. The proposal has shown utter disregard for our iconic harbour, the heritage neighbourhood, public green open space, and its basic planning principles are extraordinary. Modification 9 will see Central Barangaroo swell with a residential tower on the waterfront and a wall of development blocking a unique heritage site - Millers Point. It

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	will amount to gross desecration of Historic panoramic views from the Observatory Hill. Built form will creep onto public open space and overshadow what is left. And what for? Squeezing more homes and offices for private gains onto the public waterfront? Central Barangaroo must be developed as a world-class unique attraction to be enjoyed and celebrated by locals and visitors from near and far. But if this proposal is approved, it will be regarded as an eye sore and leave a second-rate legacy for future generations.
353583	<p>Dear Minister Roberts,</p> <p>Re: MP06_0162 MOD 9</p> <p>I am writing to formally express my concern about Mod 9 Plan, specifically its dramatic and negative impact on the heritage character of Observatory Hill. These comments are influenced by my 7 years as a resident of Millers Point, and also as an enthusiastic promoter of the charm and grace of Observatory Hill to the many international students and visitors in my network, which I have personally escorted around Millers Point and up to Observatory Hill. The proposed development of the 20 storey tower violates sight lines of the original Barangaroo Concept Plan, and is particularly egregious for the impact on westward sight lines from Observatory Hill to the water and Balmain. Blocked views down High St for pedestrian tourists seeking calm and respite from busier parts of the Sydney CBD would also amount to a retrograde and short-sighted planning decision. In whose interest has this been proposed? The proposed bulk and scale of the buildings, not to mention lack of provision for any onsite parking, significantly violate the heritage character of the Millers Point/Walsh Bay area. When taking my many photos from Observatory Hill at sunset across the westerly waterway and forwarding these to overseas friends, at no point did I regard this as a valuable historical exercise for any future threat to the heritage area. I urge you to please reject this modification for the disruption to heritage standards, and to ethical principles, which it represents. Thank you and best regards</p>
352569	This is an overdevelopment of the site. It is excessive in height and scale. It will have a detrimental effect on sightlines from the western side of our building as well as other locations in The Rocks. It will encroach on public space and detract from the heritage values of the precinct. Is is a significant departure from the concepts used to sell the overall development to the public.
353667	I am objecting to the proposed amendments on grounds that include the following: * The proposal appears to be yet a further repetition of Barangaroo South's objective for unlimited expansion and over development in what is already an overdeveloped scheme; * Deviation from the existing Concept Plan Gross Floor Area includes obliteration of previously guaranteed views from Observatory Hill to the water and to the horizon, as well as tripling the Concept Plan Gross Floor Area; * The proposed Mod 9 building heights will obstruct western sightlines from Observatory Hill to the water, and views from Observatory Hill, office buildings and residential buildings will be lost - a huge deviation from the original guaranteed Plans. Observatory Hill's required and guaranteed uninterrupted sight lines to the horizon will also be obliterate by the 73.7 metre residential tower near Nawi Cove * The foreshore parkland has already been encroached by Crown, now the proposed Mod 9 with effect further encroachment of the adjacent foreshore parkland * The proposed Mod 9 development overwhelms and destroys a very significant heritage asset and prescinct in Millers Point and the Rocks.
352741	I weep to think how these new plans - in aggregate - would compare against the original concept sketches used to mislead the community into wholesale privatisation and development of such a high potential piece of land. The development is completely inappropriate to the site, and blocks views both from the Rocks and from opposing Balmain of some of our most significant historic areas, like Observatory Hill.
353865	I object to this modification application based on the excessive scale, height and impact on public lands. It deviates enormously from the original plan and seems to favour private economic benefit over public amenity. My young family are frequent users of the open space in

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	Barangaroo for exercise and for leisure. The proposed modification, if allowed, will considerably diminish the potential for public access and enjoyment of the open space in this area. I also object to the impact on the iconic and historic views from Observatory Hill.
353755	It's being made a separate Territory
352717	I reject Modification 9 on the grounds that: There is total disregard to Heritage of the area Traffic along Hickson Road resulting in noise, echo and congestion. Loss of 30% of promised Hickson Park Barton Road was to be a temporary road The complete disregard to the historic views from Observatory Hill Tower.
353781	I am against the proposals in Modification 9 because there is a substantial reduction in general public amenity. The site forms part of Sydney Harbour precinct which is important asset for Sydneysiders and visitors. The proposed changes adversely affect the site and the general harbour environment. The proposed changes in height, particularly the new tower will : - have a major adverse visual impact from multiple harbour sites including the harbour itself (see urban design report). Sadly the newly built towers already demonstrate the negative effect this development has had on the visual environment. We have already lost the Quay gateway with very large developments permitted we do not need to do the same to we do not need to make this site worse than it already is - have significant overshadowing effects especially in winter on the park and surrounding areas. With increasing numbers of people living in apartments parks are becoming an important contributor to people's well being, sun is an important component of park use and enjoyment Further the reduction in the size of Hickson park again takes away from the value of the harbour. There is no reason to make such a major change to the design, it is just a land grab and does not benefit people. Although there is residential component to the proposed modifications this is not sufficient reason to accept the negative impacts. The overall effect on NSW housing is small and much more could be quickly done by stopping AirBnB's which result in a lot of houses being withdrawn from the rental market. Post Covid Sydney needs more leisure areas not offices. If the overdevelopment is the only way to fund the development of the underground area then perhaps bring back an opera house lottery ticket ?
353795	I am objecting to the privatisation and overdevelopment of public land in this proposal
354177	Central Barangaroo Section 75W Modification Request Application No: MP 06_0162 Mod 9 Dear Sir/Madam Please find attached my statement of objection to the proposal with reasons. I note also that I have not made any political donations in the last two years
354093	Dear David What a heinous act it would be to destroy the gorgeous view of the western harbour from Observatory Hill. Do you want to be remembered as the person who facilitated this crime, or one who prevented it from happening? It's up to you!
354091	Mailed submission attached

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
353563	I object to this submission. It is a gross over development that lacks consideration with the existing built form and view corridors from Millers Point.
353737	Dear Sir/ Madam, I object to the modification proposal. The proposal will lead to further significant obstruction of views to and from Observatory Hill. The views are of national significance. So much of the land has been redeveloped in the area, it is important that Australians can appreciate this historical site from the land and water surrounding it and also witness the historical views from the site itself.
352575	My concerns are echoed by the strata committee of my building in detail. The modifications to the original approved development application significantly changes the nature of the development and will cause significant diminishment to the quality of life of all the residents of The Rocks especially as it relates to the increased height being proposed for the buildings. Tower 7 I understand will be over 110% higher than previously approved, overshadowing much of this end of Kent Street and extending its shadow and impact onto Observatory Park. These changes are unwarranted, not in the interests of any of the residents of the area and not in keeping with the other developments within the Barangaroo Precinct. I strenuously voice my disapproval of the application in its entirety
352619	I own a property at Pymont in which I live. This proposal is an outrageous one that will deprive me and many people of a wonderful amenity--a view of the bridge. The sight lines assessment is one sided. It is based on the proposition that the earlier proposal affected your sight line so what does it matter if it's now completely ruined. The author suggests that only a few people will be affected in Pymont. That is just incorrect. Apart from residents many many many people families and children come to the foreshore a Pymont to picnic fish and enjoy the views. This proposal made in the interests of property developers over the interests of the people of Sydney will destroy that amenit and will destroy property values and will significantly downgrade the iconic stature of the Harbour Bridge. Go back and reload at the whole thing before it's too late
353275	I strongly object to the reduction of green space and increased building heights as part of this proposal. Much of Barangaroo has already been heavily developed and the park has already been reduced in scope. Green space and ability for the public to enjoy the area and views is vital to ensuring Sydney is a liveable, highly desirable city. We have continually seen all over Sydney but especially our CBD, green space and public space removed and replaced with that which only benefits the profits of developers. The people of NSW and Sydney need to be put first and this proposal does not do this.
353379	I am opposed to the proposed concept modification as I believe it would: Block public views west from Observatory Hill Block public views of White Bay Power Station from Observatory Hill Block public views of the water from key places and streets in historic Millers Point Sever the maritime relationship of historic Millers Point with the water Block public views of the Harbour Bridge from Pymont Peninsula and Pirrama Park. Further I am opposed as I believe the proposed modification to the Concept: Is NOT consistent with the approved Concept Is NOT consistent with the Statement of Commitments issued for the Barangaroo Development Is NOT consistent with the Sydney Harbour Regional Environmental Plan requirements for the protection of public views Is NOT consistent with the policies in the Sydney Harbour Bridge Conservation Management Plan, for the protection of public iconic views Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Observatory Hill Does NOT respect the heritage significance of Millers Point.
354123	Dear David,

MP06_0162 MOD 9 - Public Anonymous Submissions

Department note: Where a submission refers to an attachment, this file has been made available on the Planning Portal as a separate file with the submission ID.

Submission ID	Submission
	<p>I would like to lodge my objection to the plans on Barangaroo Central Modification 9.</p> <p>Due to the proposed excessive additional 144,000sqm gross floor area the developer wishes to build on this Crown Land site, the new height, scale and bulk of the project (particularly the 20 storey resident tower) will adversely impact Sydney's topography. Connections to the harbour, Observatory Hill and Sydney Harbour Bridge will be severed for thousands of Sydneysiders, negatively impacting their lives. Sydney is a harbour city and Sydneysiders and visitors alike have a visceral love of our harbour, our beloved 'coat hanger' and our heritage. We're a city synonymous with our relationship with the harbour. What form of enjoyment is more accessible and democratic than sitting along the harbour or on a park bench on Observatory Hill and admiring the view. You shouldn't need money to access the best spots this city has to offer. If this proposal was approved, Sydneysiders's view of both Observatory Hill and the Sydney Harbour Bridge would be blocked from areas of Pyrmont, Blackwattle Bay and Balmain; those sitting on Observatory Hill looking west would lose a panoramic view of the harbour that's been enjoyed for thousands of years and people catching the ferry to work from Blackwattle Bay wouldn't be able to see the Bridge anymore on their journey. The proposal takes so much away Rather than enhance people's experience of Sydney. Sydney deserves a more sensitive solution. Sydney deserves better than this. Sydney deserves more.</p> <p>Kind Regards,</p>
352557	<p>Dear Madam/Sir</p> <p>RE: Application No: MP06_0162 MOD 9</p> <p>I am writing to you to strongly object to this proposal. Our community at Millers Point has been suffering from overdevelopment in the last couple of decades. As a result we now are suffering from terribly heavy traffic jam especially during the peak hours and festive seasons. There is inadequate open space for residents. Our beautiful harbour view which we paid millions for are being taken away by ugly new buildings. This is not on. Please STOP! Regards</p>
353339	<p>It does not serve our public interest</p>
352790	<p>I am writing this as an objection to Modification 9. Barangaroo, Sydney Observatory Hill and Miller Point are such famous historic Sydney landmark enjoyed by so many interstate visitors and international visitors. It's shame to see such world class attractions could be destroyed by greedy developers who only care of their profit from selling more and more luxury apartments. Modification 9 just shows how greedy and selfish of those developers, they has no public interest in mind. The proposal keeping reduce public space. Overcrowded building development has such devastating impact of famous Sydney landmark. If such disgraceful development modification get its approval. It will leave a shameful legacy for our government agency and will be judged by future generations and everyone who visiting Sydney.</p>
354095	<p>Good Evening David I appreciate your acceptance and acknowledging receipt of our Barangaroo Central - MP06_0162 - Modification 9 to Concept Plan - Objection Letter, attached. Thank you for allowing the public to provide genuine feedback.</p>
353473	<p>The proposed development plan is completely out of line with the height profile of the surrounding landscape and heritage city scape. A forced approval will be a huge mistake and history will just it in a very bad light.</p>