

5th September, 2022

Department of Planning and Environment Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta NSW 2124

Attn: Luke Thorburn Luke.Thorburn@planning.nsw.gov.au

Dear Mr. Thorburn,

<u>RE: SINSW SUBMISSION - BLACKWATTLE BAY STATE SIGNIFICANT PRECINCT -</u> <u>RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS PACKAGE</u>

School Infrastructure NSW (SINSW), as part of the Department of Education (DoE), welcome the opportunity to provide comments on the Blackwattle Bay State Significant Precinct (SSP) Response to Submissions Package (the SSP Package).

SINSW has reviewed the SSP Package and has provided detailed feedback in Attachment A below. These comments are in addition to those submitted by the Department in August 2021 (refer Attachment B).

SINSW welcomes the opportunity to engage further on SSP proposal as well as the content contained to this submission. Should you require further information about this submission, please contact the SINSW Strategic Planning Team at <u>Strategicplanning@det.nsw.edu.au</u>

Yours Sincerely,

o, las

Rebecca Willott R/Executive Director - Infrastructure Planning

School Infrastructure NSW (SINSW) Level 8, 259 George Street GPO Box 33, Sydney, NSW 2001 schoolinfrastructure@det.nsw.edu.au education.nsw.gov.au

ATTACHMENT A – SINSW SUBMISSION – BLACKWATTLE BAY SSP – RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS

Response to Submissions Study

SINSW note that educational infrastructure is addressed in both section 4.9.3 and 6.1 of the Study. SINSW request that these sections be amended to reflect the correct name of the Department, being '*School Infrastructure NSW*' rather than '*Schools*'.

SINSW also notes the comments provided by TfNSW regarding the need for additional educational facilities within the Pyrmont Peninsula. SINSW direct TfNSW to the comments provided by the Department in August 2021 (refer Attachment B) which address the service need impacts of the SSP proposal.

SSP Study 2021

SINSW note that the 2021 SSP study has been amended since its original exhibition. SINSW has reviewed this document and requests the following amendments be made to the 'Health and Education' section of Table 16 (page 149) prior to final assessment:

- "Primary School: A primary school is not proposed within the Study Area. School Infrastructure NSW has advised that a primary school is not required to support this development, as projected enrolment demand can be met at existing primary schools."
- "Secondary School: A secondary school is not proposed within the Study Area. School Infrastructure NSW has advised that a secondary school is not required to support this development, as projected enrolment demand can be met at existing high schools."

SINSW note that the Department of Education is not the only education provider in the vicinity of the Precinct. SINSW recommend that other education providers are consulted as part of this rezoning.

Revised Design Code

The Department's previous submission outlined several requested inclusions to the Draft Design Code (refer Attachment B), principally in relation to overshadowing, access, noise and vibration.

SINSW seek to prevent any reductions in amenity and/or safety to the schools surrounding the SSP and request that these matters be reflected in the Draft Design Code prior to finalisation.