

Submission Number: 51

Submitted at: 8/25/2022 8:42:00 PM

Submitted by: Saul Deane of 2010

RE: Blackwattle Bay Precinct Masterplan Response to Submissions

We have three objections, besides the lack of vision in developing this great public space on foreshore that other cities would venerate.

We object to Infrastructure NSW not prioritizing the public foreshore, its primary purpose here is obviously to rezone public land to residential land, this is atrocious. It will, as we have seen at Barangaroo and Darling Harbour, end up placing Sydney's higher buildings on the foreshore, taking away most people's views of the harbour and blocking public access. Public foreshore suits public uses which historically have been given priority on the foreshore: schools, hospitals, parks, galleries, mariners, retail, transport hubs, etc. They are Sydney's great public spaces.

The 10m foreshore setback is pathetic, and taking 10m from the harbour for a boardwalk will block people's access to the water at those points and like the new fish markets will again shrink the harbour. The foreshore width required - 100ft (30m) - was well established by Thomas-Mitchell in the 1830s, this width allows the public to interact with the foreshore and businesses and public amenities to thrive, it also gives space to deal with future sea level rises. Areas with tight public foreshores are sterilized of activity as people cannot linger. If a 30m foreshore reserve makes the envelope too small to develop on those private lots, they should be swapped for public plots in Area 3, as like the state government did with the fish markets, or the land should be resumed.

Why have you removed the Waterfront park - Aboriginal Gathering Circle in Area 3, We don't believe anyone asked for that in the submissions. This is important as this park is the only one that can catch the sun like it does now.

Department of Planning and Environment
Parramatta NSW 2124
cc: eastern.harbourcity@
planning.nsw.gov.au

August, 25th 2022
Dear Planning NSW,



RE: Blackwattle Bay Precinct Masterplan Response to Submissions

We have three objections, besides the lack of vision in developing this great public space on foreshore that other cities would venerate.

We object to Infrastructure NSW not prioritizing the public foreshore, its primary purpose here is obviously to rezone public land to residential land, this is atrocious. It will, as we have seen at Barangaroo and Darling Harbour, end up placing Sydney's higher buildings on the foreshore, taking away most people's views of the harbour and blocking public access. Public foreshore suits public uses which historically have been given priority on the foreshore: schools, hospitals, parks, galleries, mariners, retail, transport hubs, etc. They are Sydney's great public spaces.

The 10m foreshore setback is pathetic, and taking 10m from the harbour for a boardwalk will block people's access to the water at those points and like the new fish markets will again shrink the harbour. The foreshore width required - 100ft (30m) - was well established by Thomas-Mitchell in the 1830s, this width allows the public to interact with the foreshore and businesses and public amenities to thrive, it also gives space to deal with future sea level rises. Areas with tight public foreshores are sterilized of activity as people cannot linger. If a 30m foreshore reserve makes the envelope too small to develop on those private lots, they should be swapped for public plots in Area 3, as like the state government did with the fish markets, or the land should be resumed.

Why have you removed the Waterfront park - Aboriginal Gathering Circle in Area 3, We don't believe anyone asked for that in the submissions. This is important as this park is the only one that can catch the sun like it does now.

Yours Sincerely,

Saul Deane

Total Environment Centre.