

Submission Number: 41

Submitted at: 8/24/2022 9:30:00 PM

Submitted by: Anonymous of 2037

I object to the development proposal.

The proposal does not align with community values and expectations.

We need much more social housing. Affordable housing is not affordable for those on minimum wage or for those who are not able to work - we need much more social housing in the proposed apartments, at least 15%. Affordable housing is also much too low. Affordable housing is needed for those who service our cities e.g. nurses, teachers etc. We need people on these wages to be able to afford to live in the city and so affordable housing is needed. At least 25% should be affordable housing.

The towers are much too large compared to the surrounding area. They will over shadow the foreshore and surrounding area. They will dominate the Skyline and impact the character of the neighbourhood.

There are not enough parks and green spaces in the proposed plans. Existing foreshore green spaces are already packed with residents and those visiting from our area. Considering the huge number of residents that will be added to the area through the bays precinct development, much more green space and parks is needed.

The foreshore pathway proposed is nowhere near wide enough. The foreshore is packed on the weekends by locals and those visiting from outer area. With the new fish markets and the huge amount of new residences in the area through the bays precinct, the foreshore will be incredibly packed. The foreshore walk needs to be wide to accommodate this.

So much of Blackwattle Bay has been reclaimed. This has happened over decades and decades of reclaiming the water. This is happening yet again as part of the bays precinct development. The proposed foreshore walk as part of this development is over the water. This foreshore walk should be on land only. This development should not reclaim any of the bay. In consideration to the new fish markets and how much have the water this development will reclaim, the proposal has the infrastructure (foreshore on the east and west, and the new fishmarkets) so close together, that there is almost no bay to enjoy. It is seriously troubling the amount of bay that will be left, particularly in the ultimo/glebe part of the bay (near the fish markets)

Really at the end of the day this is public land, it belongs to the people. It should remain 100% public. It should not be developed and sold to private entities. It should be public parks and buildings for use by the public only. Public land is so valuable these days, particularly so close to the city. All of the land should remain public.

Thank you