From:

noreply@feedback.planningportal.nsw.gov.au on behalf of Planning Portal -

Submitted on Thu, 17/12/2020 - 16:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type I am making a personal submission

Name

First name

Last name

I would like my submission to remain confidential Yes

Info

Email

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Submission

1. Without explicit housing targets for each LGA, developers will continue to argue in the Land and Environment Court and the Regional Planning Panel that large scale rezoning of rural sites is necessary to provide required dwelling numbers. The dwelling targets must not just include greenfield sites, but brownfield sites and infill development of R2 and R3 land using models such as the Urban Feasibility Model. Once councils can quantify the anticipated dwelling number yields from all possible sites, then they are able to make informed decisions about planning issues that will stand up to scrutiny and review from outside agencies and enable councils to report to government their total anticipated dwelling numbers, not just continue to rezone rural land. 2. Transport for NSW and Boral are already meeting to plan the future of Bombo Quarry. The future redevelopment of Bombo Quarry needs to be quantified and explicitly included in future planning numbers, as it will make a significant contribution to plans up to 2041. It has the potential to place up to 5,000 additional residents in the Kiama LGA (with a current population of approximately 22,000), which would be disastrous for the area without including additional infrastructure and facilities. These need to be built into future plans rather than add-ons at the end. The quarry also allows for 24/7 light industrial land that is badly needed in Kiama. It also allows for a significant recreation facility that could cater for multi-day major festivals and events (with on-site camping) that is currently not available in the Illawarra/Shoalhaven area. With such a significant impact on the Kiama LGA, the community needs to be involved with the planning process as a stakeholder, not just asked for comment at the end of the process.

How did you hear about this? Facebook

I agree to the above statement Yes