

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Parramatta 2150

Please provide your view on the project

I support it

Submission

Formally requesting an extension of one week to get Sydney Water's submission approved within the organisation. I will email it to [REDACTED] once it has been approved. Thank you, kindly.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2085

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

This is a major proposal for 912 ha with minimal consultation and still people in the community do not seem to know what has been proposed here. The right protocols in this matter have not been followed. Until you get this right, how can this DDP be right for the community here.

There will be major repercussions for all involved if anything like this DDP goes ahead in these areas on the Northern Beaches.

Loss of natural environment, habitat, flora and fauna that you can never get back if something like this was to ever go ahead.

The bushfire hazards and risks are high and it does not make any sense to try to develop in these bushland areas.

The impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area will be irreversible damage, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term destruction and devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

We need to look after what we have here and preserve these now and well into the future for the ongoing wellbeing of our environment, community and the wider Aboriginal community too.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in these bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles, the Local Environmental Plan and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) could keep these lands and take care of country. It appears this would be a better resolution for MLALC, the Aboriginal community and all involved.

But if they do need to sell then if they have these areas bought by Federal Government and turn into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these bushland sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards

██████████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2000

Please provide your view on the project

I am just providing comments

Submission

On behalf of our client Telstra/InfraCo we wish to confirm that we will be submitting further information by 25 March 2022 to eastern.harbourcity@planning.nsw.gov.au.. This extension has been agreed through email correspondence with ██████████ (Director, North District).

I note that the submission may be issued by Telstra/InfraCo directly (TBC)..

Should you have any questions please contact me.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

████

Last name

████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2460

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

I oppose this development for 3 reasons.

1. The beautiful and sensitive ecological environment of Northern beaches will be impacted seriously as well as plants growing there that grows nowhere else in the world.
2. The Darug Traditional Custodians are not recognised by MLALC and has taken their rights via a membership based organisation.
3. The local residence should have a right to be consulted also and not hand special powers to a council. Upon saying special powers. That's not closing the gap but widening the gap.

If we are to move forward into the future as a vibrant community with future proposals, local resident community and Traditional Custodians should be consulted together apart if the consultation process of any development as a Land Council is now disempowering consultations with Traditional Custodians, which is effecting ALL community of Northern Beaches.

Too much power given to a organisation leads into corruption of power.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 10:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

██████

Last name

████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2104

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

Ee support Northern Beaches Council's detailed submission. Object to urban development of the Morgan Rd (Lizard Rock) Belrose site. This site is of special natural conservation value and should be protected. The site is also unsuitable for urban development as proposed for numerous reasons especially unsuitable topography, difficult to service, poor accessibility, adverse environmental impacts and serious bush fire risk (like Ingleside).

Regards

████████████████

MVRA

Mona Vale Residents Association

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 21:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

██████████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Belrose

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

Garigal Landcare has been actively in bushland restoration for nearly 20 years now, and this includes some work on land which was handed over to MLALC. 4 WDs had been intruding on what became MLALC land and a patch of whiskey grass (*Andropogon virginicus*) had become established at an intersection of two tracks which we thought required removal. Alan Madden gave us kindly permission to remove the weeds.

Garigal Landcare has worked also along Morgan Road, where an unmapped contributory to Oxford creek crosses it, removing primarily pampas grass. Our continued efforts for nearly 20 years have been working along and off Slippery

Dip Track. We are very familiar with its vegetation and delight in finding still new species. For some time there was a proposal in the air to get an Extension of Garigal National Park under aboriginal management and we provided plant identification lessons and worked with some young aboriginal people, from MLALC, who did training in Land management. We cherished our good relationship with MLALC and acknowledge Aboriginal people as the traditional owners of this land. We are pleased that the ALR Act exists, as a step to redress injustices that happened in the past. We are sad to still see aboriginal people suffer from past atrocities and wish they are being fairly compensated. Crown Land was granted to MLALC and this is not clearly sign posted. People are unaware of it and they usually think they are in Garigal National Park. The bushland is used by many people and especially during Covid it helped many people to stay sane. Instead of the occasional person walking a track, well over 30 cars were regularly present and family groups, young people by themselves, singles, couples everybody it seemed came out here to walk. The Lizard Rock and Ralston Ave sites are very well accessible and people from all surrounding suburbs use the land for their nature enjoyment.

Garigal Landcare's objective is the conservation of our natural environment, native plants and their habitats and we object to the Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and Northern Beaches Aboriginal land Development Delivery Plan.

Reasons for our objection are:

Issues for our objection:

For the Lizard Rock site the following has been encountered:

A) Flora & Fauna:

Angophora crassifolia,

Astrotricha floccosa,

Boronia serrulata,

Tetratheca glandulosa

Telopea speciosissima

Xantorrhoea resinosa

Caleana minor

Caladenia alba

Thelymitra ixioides

In very close proximity 5-100m from proposed development are on Slippery Dip

Track:

Microtis angusii

Senecio bipinnatisectus

Calochilus ssp

On Morgan Road:

Corunastylis apostasioides 56H 336195m E; 6266623m N 5 plants on narrow road verge below south facing sandstone cliff.

Genoplesium fimbriatum

Pterostylis ssp

On Lot 2671:

Darwinia procera,

Styphelia triflora

Arthrochilus prolixus

and at the top of Morgan road:

Grevillea caleyi.

Fauna recorded include Rosenberg's goanna, glossy black cockatoos, red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, Southern giant darner, echidna, sugarglider, night jar owlet and the Lyre bird.

Highly fire prone location

Isolated development surrounded by bushland or acreage properties, without infrastructure

Visual prominence

Single road access, which frequently gets flooded at its eastern end access

Loss of carbon holding capacity

Loss of water holding capacity, with resulting exaggeration of already flood stressed infrastructure assets and housing developments

overall diminishment of character of the bush and beach character of Northern Beaches.

Missing alignment with NSW overriding planning tools from the Greater Sydney Commission: The Greater Sydney Region Plan(GSRP) and the North District Plan (NDP) and the 'Local Strategic Planning Statement (LSPS) Towards 2040.': "Urban development is not consistent with the values of Metropolitan Rural Area" is stated in the GSRP and
Priority 4 of the LSPS: the 'Precautionary Principle' will apply to the 'Future Metropolitan Rural Areas'- MRA Investigation areas, which applies to Ralston Ave and Lizard Rock areas.

Many parcels being in the 'Deferred areas', which the State Government is to agree to a zoning proposal for the inclusion of them into the LEP and we spent a lot of effort in participation in this process and now it gets thrown out off the window with this rezoning?

Northern Beaches Housing Strategy, shows that all required residential housing can be accommodated in existing centres and along public transport lines.

Finally we like to state that it seems like a conflict of interest that DPE works with MLALC preparing the DDP and then is to determine the outcome of the ALSEPP/ DDP.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 21:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

██████████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Belrose

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

From: Australian Plant Society- Northern Beaches

We acknowledge Aboriginal people as the traditional owners of this land. We know that the ALR Act was established to ameliorate injustices of the past and we wish to see aboriginal people being fairly compensated.

Here on the Northern Beaches MLALC claimed Crown Land and was granted a lot of the claimed land. The change of ownership of the land has hardly been advertised. Public, including ourselves, use the land and most people actually believe they are in Garigal National Park. Our use of the land is limited to walks,

photography, plant identification and nature observation.

APS promotes the conservation, restoration and propagation of Australian native plants and their habitats, we object to the Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and Northern Beaches Aboriginal land Development Plan.

Our objection is not only based on the floristic treasures and habitats of these sites but also on the process.

Issues for our objection:

1) we are surprised to learn that DPE works with MLALC preparing the DDP and later on will determine the outcome of the ALSEPP/ DDP. We perceive this as a conflict of interest.

2) The proposal is not in accordance with NSW high grade planning tools by the Greater Sydney Commission: The Greater Sydney Region Plan(GSRP) and the North District Plan (NDP) nor with the ' Local Strategic Planning Statement – Towards 2040.' (LSPS). " "Urban development is not consistent with the values of Metropolitan Rural Area" is stated in the GSRP and Priority 4 of the LSPS states; the 'Precautionary Principle' will apply to the 'Future Metropolitan Rural Areas'- MRA Investigation areas, which applies to Ralston Ave and Lizard Rock areas.

3) Many parcels being in the 'Deferred areas', which the State Government is to agree to a zoning proposal for the inclusion of them into the LEP and which required great community input and is in the making for a decade.

4) Northern Beaches Housing Strategy, which clearly indicates that all housing can be accommodated in existing developed areas.

Particular points regarding the Lizard Rock site:

5) Floristic and habitat values of the Lizard Rock site which we visited repeatedly: Highlighting biodiversity are the following uncommon and threatened species we are aware of: *Angophora crassifolia*, *Astrotricha floccosa*, *Boronia serrulata*, *Tetratheca glandulosa*, *Telopea speciosissima*, *Xantorrhoea resinosa*, *rotricha floccosa*, *Caleana minor*, *Caladenia alba*, *Thelymitra ixioides*
Nearby on Slippery Dip Track are *Microtis angusii*, *Calochilus ssp* and *Senecio bipinnatisectus*

And on Morgan Road within the proposed development section are:

Corunastylis apostasioides, Genoplesium fimbriatum and Pterostylis ssp.
and at the top of Morgan road Grevillea Caleyi.

Fauna recorded include Rosenberg's goanna, glossy black cockatoos, red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, Southern giant darner and the Lyre bird, Echidna and Swamp wallaby.

6) Native vegetation represents the best long term Carbon sink and its eradication is contrary to our aim of Carbon reduction.

7) the existing flood potential of Wakehurst Parkway and recently twice Narrabeen lagoon would also be further increased which could be disastrous.

8) it is a highly visual location (visual pollution)

9) high fire risk

10) poor access, only one road without public transport near by.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2107

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

Pittwater Natural Heritage Association would like to make the following comments on the proposal to amend State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 to allow potential development of bushland sites in the catchment of Narrabeen Lagoon on the Northern Beaches.

We understand the Metropolitan Aboriginal Council's desire for funds to enable them to undertake economic, social and cultural work for the benefit of their community. However, we believe that raising funds by developing land in the Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment is a bad idea as much of the land that the State Government has proposed for inclusion in the SEPP is unsuitable for urban development.

We are particularly concerned about the parcel of land around Lizard Rock, Morgan Road, Belrose.

The Lizard Rock land has significant environmental values:

- Significant aboriginal sites
- Scenic value of bushland and ridgetops
- Species of plants and animals found in this area are on the NSW and /or Federal Threatened Species list


Constraints to urban development include:

- High bushfire risk, which is increasing with the changing climate.
- Pollution threat from Increased urban runoff into local creeks and Narrabeen Lagoon
- The land has been zoned as a Metropolitan Rural Area, not suitable for urban development

Further, Northern Beaches Council has stated that the land is not needed to meet Northern Beaches housing targets as they can be met using existing urban land which has necessary infrastructure in place.

In spite of the above, The DPIE intends that this SEPP will override local strategic plans including the relevant Local Environment Plan, which has been developed through investigations, consultation and community input. In our opinion, if the SEPP is put in place, it will further erode the community's confidence in the State Government's ability to make good planning decisions.

PNHA supports the suggested solution proposed by Friends of Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment, that the Aboriginal owned land be leased back by the State Government for use as a national park, thereby providing the MALC with an income while protecting the land from urban development.


Chair

I agree to the above statement

Yes