

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 23:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest NSW

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We strongly object to this SEPP amendment and Development Delivery Plan, especially with respect to Site 5B, Corymbia Circuit, Oxford Falls, for the following reasons.

1. Environmental Damage and Impact vs. Return on Development

- The high environmental cost and economic/financial cost of development far outweighs the return on development for the NSW Government and MLALC (the creation of at most 15-20 new homes on Site 5B). This is detailed by the points raised below:
- Site 5B on Corymbia Circuit is characterised by natural bushland with very steep topography, including cliffs and rocky outcrops.
- To alter this landscape for the purposes of residential development would require considerable destruction of the natural bushland through clearing and site alterations. We strongly object to this destruction.
- The clearing of trees and vegetation, and the associated site alterations required with such steep topography carries a very high risk of ongoing site damage due to erosion.
- Removal of natural vegetation and trees on sites such as this presents significant problems for water and wind-based erosion.
- This is especially true in high rainfall events such as East Coast low pressure systems that typically result in very large coastal rainfall. This has occurred on Site 5B many times, including especially large rainfall events during 2016 and in subsequent years (refer Australian Bureau of Meteorology).
- According to the NSW Government's own climate change and environment website ([www.climatechange.environment.nsw.gov.au/storms-and-floods](http://www.climatechange.environment.nsw.gov.au/storms-and-floods)): "East coast lows usually occur around 10 times each year ... making them one of the more dangerous weather systems in NSW".
- Site 5B would require significant works to:

- Clear and build perimeter roads and access, especially noting the steep terrain
- Clear bush, trees and vegetation
- Adhere to bushfire requirements including asset protection zones, minimum setback requirements and building standards
- Remediate landfill on the western edge of the site
- Formulate and then execute site and building plans to accommodate the very steep topography involved
- Add utilities and services such as water, sewerage, stormwater, power, gas, phone and NBN
- The associated cost involved with these works would be very high, from both an environmental and a financial perspective.
- Based on the land area proposed, Site 5B would at best support approximately 15-20 new homes at a maximum. This is not worth the high environmental and economic costs outlined above.

## 2. Bushfire Compliance Risk

- We are strongly opposed to the development of Site 5B due to bushfire risk considerations, including adequate emergency ingress/egress, minimum setback requirements, asset protection zones, and the associated building costs for adequate compliance with bushfire regulations.
- Site 5B faces North and has very steep topography. It is set in dense bushland with eucalyptus trees and dense undergrowth. These represent considerable risk factors for bushfire.
- There would need to be considerable Asset Protection Zones incorporated in any development, and minimum setback requirements would be significant and potentially difficult to achieve on Site 5B.
- Bushfire compliance generally would be difficult and costly to achieve on Site 5B.
- We have significant concern regarding adequate evacuation ingress/egress, with Corymbia Circuit the only road providing access. During controlled burn operations recently, the addition of only two firefighting units in Corymbia Circuit made ingress/egress extremely difficult for other vehicles. Adding more homes under this DDP would only exacerbate this problem and could present a real risk to safety of residents and the ability for firefighting to be effectively executed in the event of bushfire outbreak.

## 3. Environmental Conservation Considerations

- We object strongly to the destruction of contiguous, peaceful and scenic bushland that provides an important wildlife corridor and supports a myriad of fauna and flora.
- The proposal constitutes a piecemeal destruction of what is currently contiguous bushland across the majority of the valley to the North of Corymbia Circuit and down to Morgan Rd. We strongly advocate leaving the bushland intact – especially with respect to Site 5B Corymbia Circuit.
- This bushland represents a significant environmental wildlife corridor. We strongly object to its destruction and the consequent destruction of wildlife habitat.
- A wide variety of flora and fauna is supported by the bushland at Site 5B.
- We regularly see animals including wallabies, bandicoots, possums, frogs, goannas, water dragons, blue-tongued lizards, snakes, owls, mopokes, and birds of many varieties not least of which include gang-gangs, black cockatoos, kookaburras, rosellas, parrots, lyre birds, whip birds, wattle birds and many other local species.
- Destruction of the bushland at Site 5B represents the destruction of these creatures' natural habitat. We are strongly opposed to this, and advocate retaining the natural bush environment on Site 5B.
- The SEPP and DDP state that Site 5C is slated for "environmental offset". The notion that bushland at Sites 5A and 5B can be destroyed, but Site 5C left alone and then claimed as an "environmental offset", is patently ridiculous. A true offset can only be created if new bushland is created to replace that destroyed by the development of Sites 5A and 5B. We strongly reject the argument that some kind of environmental "offset" is being generated.

## 4. Loss of Amenity

- We strongly oppose the destruction of the bush outlook, the peaceful bush sounds, recreational bushwalking and mountain biking trails, and general amenity that the bushland at Site 5B provides.
- There are many bushwalking and mountain biking trails on Site 5B that are regularly used by locals and visitors alike. Development of the site would necessarily involve the destruction of the bush and associated trails.
- The bush on Site 5B provides a beautiful, peaceful outlook, supported by all the natural sounds of nature that such a bush setting supports. This provides significant joy to us as residents. The potential loss of this amenity is devastating to us, and we strongly oppose the development of Site 5B accordingly.

## 5. Local Roads & Traffic Volumes

- We are strongly opposed to the increased traffic volumes, congestion and traffic noise associated with development of Site 5B.
- There is a single road that would support Sites 5A and 5B – Corymbia Circuit (extending Wearden Rd).
- This road would need significant remediation (widening, etc.) were it to support the increased traffic volume that residential development brings.
- Such increased traffic also brings increased congestion and increased traffic noise. We are strongly opposed to this and believe the correct balance of these factors exists presently.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2085

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I, ██████████

a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am concerned about the impact on the fragile environment in the Oxford falls area. High risk of flooding and a Changes to the natural water course will be impacted. This will produce extra traffic in an already congested area.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 23:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Save the bush. Find somewhere else to develop. Stop selling off land you have to fund other projects.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 23:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Bilgola Plateau 2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I vehemently oppose this development at Belrose because of the beauty and environmental significance of the site.

It is a pristine habitat that must be preserved at all cost. This land is one of the last natural and unspoilt lands in the area.

Please do not develop.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 22:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need to protect our natural bush land. You can't revert deforestation and destruction of local and more importantly indigenous bush.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 20:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It's important to the community to maintain these historically significant aboriginal land sites

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 20:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2113

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is an utter disgrace and many of these plans will permanently impact amazing bush land.

We need to be building on brownfield sites not destructing amazing natural resources.

I believe this is incongruent with the principles of Indigenous people.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 18:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FORESTVILLE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is utterly reprehensible that you would even consider bulldozing SIX native bushland areas for what... property development!? No, absolutely no. There is no building on this planet that could ever be more important than native Australian bushland full of ancient forests and wildlife habitat. Please, I implore you, do not sell out our bushland for greedy developers profits. We don't need more residential properties, we don't need more "community centres" - spend that money improving what we already have. There are more important things in life than making money. The Northern Beaches remains so special because of the preserved bushland, trees, nature walks and wildlife that still survives despite over development.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 17:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

████████████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development on the grounds that it is destroying culturally significant land and destroying biodiversity, as well as ruining a place of sanctuary enjoyed by many locals.



Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 16:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

K

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport 2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

What the actually fuck,

Just a few documents of craftily spun bullshit, another perfect example of how greed and ignorance is disguised under the covers of helping others and providing assistance

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 15:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport 2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Valuable natural environment! I used to go on bush walks with my grandparent and still continued to see the beautiful flora and fauna all around that area. Such beautiful bushland with huge amounts of wildlife. It is so incredibly sad to see it be destroyed.

450 homes with (say) 4 people per house = 1,800 people. In a bushfire threatening area and all those people trying to evacuate down the totally inadequate Morgan Road.

This area is just not fit the amount of natural land you are wiping out and replacing with "cheap" housing. This is disgusting planning and development.

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 09:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to any such developments on the western side of Forest Way in Belrose.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object

Submitted on Tue, 15/02/2022 - 09:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2777

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is historical sentimental land. i strongly object!

Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 18:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

1085

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

Please don't do it

Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 16:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill NSW 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As someone who regularly travels through this area, I can't think of a worse use of the land than to turn it into housing. The roads will become a congested nightmare and it will ruin the landscape.

Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 16:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Corymbia Circuit site-

The site should be given to environmental protection and for recreational purposes because there is no green space for the residents to go in this street.

We value the natural beauty of the site and wish to see it protected. In the pandemic, it is being used by the local community for mental and physical wellbeing. Many people and families walk there every day. The walking tracks are beautiful. There are so many birds including the large Brown Cuckoo-Dove, Eastern Spinebill and Sacred Kingfisher.

We would like to see the area created as a natural parkland and protected and named Corymbia Reserve under the NSW Government's Parks for People program.



Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 14:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2094

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please do not develop this land. The Sydney Metro area is running out of green space. We have too much development already.

Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 11:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To use culturally significant land for a housing development is atrocious. How can this legally go ahead! Decades ago there may have been the excuse of ignorance but we are all so educated on indigenous rights. This is appalling and I vehemently oppose to this development. I will be sharing with all my friends.

Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 10:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BEACON HILL

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hello,

I would like to register my objection to this natural bushland being destroyed in the Northern Beaches of Sydney.  
Stop selling off our land to developers.

Regards,

Submitted on Mon, 14/02/2022 - 10:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Totally inappropriate.

Submitted on Sun, 13/02/2022 - 21:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am deeply disturbed by the Ralston Avenue and Lizard Rock proposals. This gross overdevelopment of precious remnant bush land cannot be allowed to go ahead. The toll these developments would take on already struggling wildlife hanging onto the urban fringe is unacceptable.

With Particular reference to the Ralston ave proposal the area has already been shown to contain rare and unusual wildlife for the Northern Beaches including the only wombats I've heard of in the area. Further I note that recently the Koala was put on the endangered species list in NSW. Koala have been spotted in these areas only recently and it has long been a Koala habitat zone. How can this land then be cleared for housing?

The other enormous risk is the problem of bushfires. The area is bushfire prone with several bushfires having occurred in and around the areas earmarked for development. How is building new housing in a flame zone a feasible or sensible proposition? I am a firefighter and these proposed developments fill me with dread at the risk involved.

Finally both Lizard Rock and Ralston Ave are vitally important iconic recreational areas for the community. They have a long history of being heavily used by all of the community in a wide and varied manner. Everyone from bush walkers, bike riders, bird watchers, nature lovers, horse riders and a host of other user groups cherish these two locations. To remove these from the community is inconceivable.

I implore the decision makers to categorically reject all of these developments and respect the wishes of the community and do their bit to protect our wildlife.

Submitted on Sun, 13/02/2022 - 16:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah Heights, 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object simply on the ground that the proposed development would lead to eliminating a large parcel of undeveloped bush land. We are lucky enough that Sydney still has a proportionally large area which is undeveloped. We need to keep it that way. "Small", incremental projects like you propose will lead to our metropolitan area become more like Tokyo in 20 years time.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Foresters Beach, 2260

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not consider this development to be appropriate to this area.

Ralston Avenue/Wyatt Avenue - Site 9 - proposed rezoning accommodates "INDUSTRIAL" activities. The Ralston Avenue site has been assessed by the Department's Consultant, GYDE Consultants, and in the Appendix of the Assessment Report for this site under the heading Biodiversity – Flora and Flora it details the constraints on this plateau with endangered and threatened species being present and suggests that the proposed development may be extended to the west over the steep slopes and into the proposed conservation area. YET the report, from both from the Consultant and the Department's report, shows on their table that the biodiversity box is not ticked and that biodiversity conservation area shown on the map as Green (Orange is developing proposal) will be even considered for rezoning and development.

Excessive runoff and erosion will create serious problems when ridge tops and steep hillsides are disturbed, particularly when impervious surfaces such as roofs, driveways and other hard surfaces are constructed. Scouring of the friable soils and pollution from any development will pollute and destroy the biodiversity downstream

This site is in the upper reaches of the MIDDLE HARBOUR CATCHMENT which flows through waterways and creeks in Garigal National Park West into SYDNEY HARBOUR.

It is essential to preserve this site from any development.

Submitted on Sun, 13/02/2022 - 14:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the removal of bush land and increasing the population density of the northern beaches



Submitted on Sun, 13/02/2022 - 11:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am very concerned about a do I or effect on oil precious visual s on the Northern Beaches. It is a local ecosystem that if tampered with will mean the devastation of natural flora and fauna. Once we start this slippery slope of developing the bush land where will it stop?

Please reconsider this development as it will be the beginning of the end of our Northern Beaches bush land.

Submitted on Sun, 13/02/2022 - 05:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is far too important to retain the natural bush land. There is far too much 'development' in this area.

Submitted on Sat, 12/02/2022 - 20:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposal. I believe the suggested zones should be preserved for both the indigenous heritage and Garrigal nation people as well as those residing and who have connected to the land today. Bulldozing all the beautiful sandstone and building roads and houses is simply against everything you would expect the MLALC to support. The complexity with bushfire risk and the way the NSW RFS will resist development is another hurdle that might make this insurmountable. I'd also like to draw attention to the new development of oversized at the end of Bantry Bay Road which are not in keeping with the surrounding areas, and in my view quite ugly.

Submitted on Sat, 12/02/2022 - 16:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2089

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land should not be rezoned for housing on the grounds the bushland has now become cultural for me and the broader community.

Submitted on Sat, 12/02/2022 - 16:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2089

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land should not be rezoned for housing on the grounds the bushland has now become cultural for me and the broader community.

Submitted on Sat, 12/02/2022 - 11:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST, 2086, NSW

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This development would directly impact the native flora and fauna that thrives in the area, diminish the aboriginal land that provides connection to the owners of the land, impact the social and recreational use of the land (bush walks etc). Furthermore, the placing development in a high risk bush fire area (given recent events) appears to be reckless and can endanger those who eventually purchase and build on the site.

These sites are known for their tranquil nature, where people feel they can escape from city living. The flora and fauna in the area is what makes Frenchs Forest, Belrose and surrounding suburbs so appealing to the families and communities that live here. To over develop these areas seems inappropriate given the lack of development in areas like Terrey Hills, Elanora Heights, Ingleside through to Bayview etc.

Submitted on Fri, 11/02/2022 - 19:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please reserve the land

Submitted on Fri, 11/02/2022 - 18:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I wish to state an objection to the the planned development proposed by this plan and amendments. I find the local wildlife along with a trail system that is world class trekking, that is all so close and yet untouched by suburban growth to be out of character and offensive.

It is my opinion and desire that the greater community must not allow the loss of such a fabulous heritage of bush land.

I and many others take great joy in walking and exploring the areas trails and views.

I am also disappointed that the MLALC would even consider bulldozing and converting these areas to residential or even advocate for any such scheme.. Surely the true elders would be in agreement.

Please do not accept the planning proposed and allow everyone to enjoy this green space.

Thank you





Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Who is going to benefit from this proposal? Who asked you to change the look and feel of our neighbourhood?

We love the native bush, it is well utilised by locals and people from further afield, who enjoy hiking and mountain biking etc. The native wildlife certainly don't benefit from more housing in the bush. Our roads can't cope with the amount of traffic at present. Why build more houses in the bush, more houses that will be in a fire prone area it is madness, have we learned nothing from the fires of 2019 ?

Submitted on Fri, 11/02/2022 - 11:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the destruction of the ancient rainforest along the escarpment between Wearden Road and Corymbia Circuit, Frenchs Forest. It's a natural habitat for many endemic species like Eastern Pygmy Possum and brown marsh frogs. This pristine rainforest area and adjoining native forests should not be bulldozed. The Corymbia/Wearden bush land should be given to National Parks or NSW State Forest as a reserve for preservation.

Submitted on Fri, 11/02/2022 - 09:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I find this proposal an appalling abuse of process and the generic lodgement of proposals by consultants proclaiming false benefits very poor but always predictable when they are employed and paid to promote development.

I struggle to understand why such a proposal appears acceptable to the NSW Government and in fact goes contrary to the many in the Aboriginal Community's views.

It is unwarranted and totally inappropriate for the area. The former Labour Government in their assessment pointed out the need for clearance of space of 2 klms around the earth stations and the marked lack of any appropriate infrastructure available. this proposal ignores that need also.

The whole proposal needs to be blocked and the bush remain intact and available for the greater community use. it is noted also that the proposal seeks to further extend the development along both sides of Morgan Road - this is a total disaster for the area and shows a total disregard for the general use of the area by many hundreds of walkers, bike riders, horse owners, dog owners and bird watchers - frankly it beggars belief.

I am surprised that the NSW Planning Department acquiesces and appears to promote such a drastic rezoning proposal which would never be agreed under the usual/normal processes let alone fast tracked.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 22:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident of Belrose I am very concerned by these DPP plans. Firstly, there seems to be a strong concentration in the Belrose, Frenchs Forest area, which, if all went ahead would create a huge impact on the current environment.

What concerns me, is that whilst the intention may be to give Aboriginal people right to use "their" land; it really just appears to be a money making scheme by filling beautiful bushland with medium density housing. Clearly, it's just to make money by building as much as they can get away with under planning laws. I also wonder how much local Aboriginal people benefit, when the Land council is not directly benefiting local aboriginals. I.e. I don't think that an aboriginal person from Southern NSW has any more rights than local "European Australians" to land in the Northern Beaches. As this would just be a one off cash injection to the land council, I fail to see how this helps Aboriginal people from this area in the long term. This could also be evidenced by several corruption cases regarding Aboriginal land councils.

Additionally, I am very concerned about the biodiversity impacts to these sites. One only has to take a drive down Morgan road to appreciate the beauty of our local area.

Why can't we just appreciate this beautiful land that we have? If we want to build more cohesiveness with the aboriginal community, it can't be that land is sacred when it's suits, and when money is to be made, it's not. To foster better harmony between all groups and respect for the aboriginal culture, the land council should be looking to create a shared protected environment that can be enjoyed by many, not just building houses and destroying the existing bushland landscape.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 21:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Deewhy

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Supporting our natural land

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 20:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this excessive development in that bushlad area.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 20:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this submission, to rezone Lizard Rock/Morgan Road to low to medium density to allow 450 homes is ludicrous! How many roads in the area or Sydney for that matter can you drive for kilometres surrounded by bush with joey's watching from the side of the road. This bushland is a wildlife corridor, where will the displaced animals go, not to mention the bush fire risk with one lane road in and out. How is the development at the end of Bantry Bay culturally significant? How can we be sure the development will be culturally significant and sold to the highest bidding developer?



Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 20:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development of the Lizard Rock site. It is a site that is enjoyed by many bike riders and walkers for its natural beauty and lovely natural sights and historical sites. Development will destroy this beautiful piece of bushland and will reduce the area that is accessible to many local residents for after work and weekend outdoor exercise and enjoyment.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 13:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to conditionally support the proposed plan. I strongly support the goals of the projects in helping the local aboriginal community. I would like to hear more about the proposed uses of funds and how this will be channelled into services such as health and education.

With regards to the development at lizard rock I would like to hear assurances that the overall environment of the area will be treated respectfully. It is a beautiful and unique part of Sydney and the valley is a hidden gem in the area. The last thing I would like to see is suburban lots with 'McMansions' replacing native bushland as this would ruin the existing environment. Close consideration needs to be given to lot size (larger preferred), restrictions on building type and size, assurance and buildings will blend into the environment, as well as access for walking and mountain biking maintained. The buildings should be set well back from the road so as not to change the current rural setting. Traffic from increased residences is also a major concern.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 13:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah, 2039

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

Hi,

I object to this project on the following basis:

1. Significant environmental impact to bushland and wildlife habitats
2. Loss of bushland for recreation and personal enjoyment
3. Insufficient infrastructure to support development, without realistic possibilities of resolution.

This is not the first time this project has been assessed. In 2009 the planning assessment commission assessed some of these sites. For example, for the Lizard Rock area this assessment concluded that against almost all criteria this area is inappropriate for development

([https://www.warringahurbanfringeassociation.org.au/\\_files/Files/Studies-that-have-been-done/oxford\\_falls\\_valley\\_pac\\_final\\_report.pdf](https://www.warringahurbanfringeassociation.org.au/_files/Files/Studies-that-have-been-done/oxford_falls_valley_pac_final_report.pdf)).

In this study the Lizard Rock area is identified as:

- A wildlife corridor
- At extreme bushfire risk
- Having a potential adverse impact on water quality for Narrabeen lagoon
- Having insufficient road infrastructure and public transport options
- Land conflict issues with local telecommunications infrastructure
- Significant topological constraints

Whilst this study is now over 10 years old, I am not aware of anything that has changed that would change the recommendations of this study. In addition, the Lizard Rock area in particular is adjacent/overlapping with

threatened species areas, unofficial cycling routes in the area have been demolished or closed specifically for this reason in the past (I assume that a cycling route is far less impactful than 450 homes).

This area (Lizard Rock in particular) has been identified in other assessments as having prohibitive, severe or significant environmental constraints ([https://hdp-au-prod-app-nthbch-yoursay-files.s3.ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/1815/6215/0074/7\\_-WDAP\\_Report\\_-\\_Attachment-5-Maps.pdf](https://hdp-au-prod-app-nthbch-yoursay-files.s3.ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/1815/6215/0074/7_-WDAP_Report_-_Attachment-5-Maps.pdf)). In addition, there are numerous walking and cycling trails in the area (e.g. Slippery Dip fire trail) that are enjoyed by 1000s of local and non-local residents.

Regarding infrastructure for Lizard Rock, there is no public transport. One of the connecting roads, Oxford Falls Road, has a single lane bridge and a creek crossing that can flood. Oxford Falls Road already has a very difficult entry into the main artery road, Wakehurst Parkway (a single car can take 3-5 mins to enter in rush hour). The two arterial roads, Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway, are already exceptionally busy, and will only be busier with the 2500 household development in Warriewood valley.. It is abundantly clear that there is no where near sufficient infrastructure to create 450 homes at Lizard Rock and no infrastructure investment case that has any return on investment for taxpayers.

My view is that this project has been assessed in the past and deemed not even close to sustainable. At least the Lizard Rock development should be permanently ruled out.

Thanks

■

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 12:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

I am confused, are you supposed to be protecting Aboriginal land or are you selling it to make money?

Or is the land being developed to build community halls and sporting fields to bring people together?

The fire fighters are already saying there are too many homes that back onto bush in the area and if you develop further into the bush for residential purposes it will only make it worse for emergency services.



Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 12:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Forestville 2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support any of these development proposals. Keep the bush as is. Once the bush is gone it's gone forever. Hand over to national parks for caretaking as a joint collaboration. That way the bush is all kept for the health of our native animals and ourselves in the form of recreation activities on these sites.. They are not appropriate at all for development and I honestly cannot believe this proposal.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 11:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to this. We are in an age of climate change and all clearing of virgin native vegetation will have catastrophic consequences for our local environment. Endemic species are living in this area. The surface runoff into the Narrabeen Lagoon catchment will be uncontrollable. The destruction of native flora and natural springs, leading to the siltation of environmentally sensitive creeks around the Oxford Falls Is against all environmental protection..

Development considerations should be given to areas already cleared and those sections of urban housing already re-zoned for further development.



Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 10:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Vale

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The Lizard rock land (Morgan Rd, Sydney Northern beaches) was given to the Aboriginals on the grounds of environmental and cultural sensitivity and claims it needed protection.

MLAC is now proposing a highly environmentally destructive 450 house development on the same bushland it sought vigorously to protect.

Money is now the prime motive not bushland protection.

This 450 house low/med density housing estate development proposal will obviously destroy environmentally sensitive flora and fauna.

Development does not fit the bushland context and should be rejected.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 10:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need greenery , bushland , animals for survival. For our children , for the future of generations.  
Enough buildings we have plenty!

The northern beaches is losing it's appeal because of the overly and over whelming infrastructure .

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 09:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Freshwater, 2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe that the land that we have for recreation is more culturally and environmentally valuable in its current form than being opened up for 'financial gain'. I value the ability to be amongst nature and abhor the hypocrisy of this proposal. It's not protective but exploitative. How much more land needs to be conceded for 'financial gain' (development) we should place greater value on what we have than money in our pockets, please protect what we have left to support the dwindling biodiversity that we are ruining.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 08:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2066

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Object on grounds to protect MTB trail riding

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 08:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2066

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Object on grounds to protect MTB trail riding

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 08:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST, 2086, NSW

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear department,

I object to the plan and the proposed development. These are unique areas of bushland, enjoyed by the entire community and local walkers of all ages. By compromising our local forests, you are literally depriving us of what actually makes the northern beaches and suburbs so special. Surely it is in everyone's interest to protect land such as this, instead of bulldozing it for development.

Thank you.

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 07:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is sacred land

Submitted on Thu, 10/02/2022 - 06:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to to these plans. Save the sacred land x



Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 22:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I'm objecting to the proposed land development.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Allambie Heights, 2100

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 22:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Warriewood 2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Department.

I wish to state an objection to the the planned development proposed by this plan and amendments. I find the local wildlife along with a trail system that is world class trekking, that is all so close and yet untouched by suburban growth to be out of character and offensive.

It is my opinion and desire that the greater community must not allow the loss of such a fabulous heritage of bush land.

I and many others take great joy in walking and exploring the areas trails and views.

I am also disappointed that the MLALC would even consider bulldozing and converting these areas to residential or even advocate for any such scheme.. Surely the true elders would be in agreement.

Please do not accept the planning proposed and allow everyone to enjoy this green space.

Thank you

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 22:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I currently object to the development of 2 key sites including Lizard Rock and Ralston Ave.

For both sites the current traffic conditions create further congestion in an area that is already struggling.

It would be interesting to see the bush fire assessment as having grown up in the area there are only two ways out so potential for disaster in case of a large fire.

The impact on our wildlife is already apparent with regular sightings of wallabies hit. In particularly around Lizard Rock this is a wildlife corridor and I would like a detailed report on how this would be managed?

Thank you

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 22:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land should be preserved, not developed! The safety risk to potential residents due to natural disasters is too high!

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This mad should stay the way it is. Increased housing in this area will only contribute to a diminishing of the areas character and devastation of the natural habitats, aboriginal cultural lands and also bring about increases to the temperatures and pollution in the area.

We chose this area to live because of its proximity to the natural beauty of the national parks and these areas are used widely by the local residents for recreation and also to show our children nature and natural state of the land before colonisation.

This land must be protected against any and all development to maintain the areas indigenous significance and its appeal to live.

If you do this you may as well not call the the area Frenchs Forest and change the names of the suburbs to Zoran Park or Miller or Airds. There will be absolutely no appeal to living in this area where there is a current balance between natural wonders, aboriginal sacred lands and our everyday living.

Psychologically, developing this land will detract from the mental well being of the people living here as well. The fact that we can walk down the road through one of the many wonderful tracks, or stare out onto the national parks is a major reason for living in this area and carving it up for profits and housing will be detrimental to the well being of all residents in this and the surrounding area

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Developing and selling off these bushland areas is not what local residents want.

They are currently home to native wildlife that has already had most of its habitat removed due to the building of the hospital and associated road network in the local area over the last 5 years.

These areas are also utilised by the local residents for both sport and recreation through walking and mountain biking.

Enough housing and development is already being forced on the local residents of Frenchs Forest through the development and selling off of the Frenchs Forest high school site.

To let these areas be developed and sold off for capital gain that is not required would be an absolute disgrace.

Obviously as these areas are of such importance to the local Aboriginal people developing them and selling them off for housing simply can not be permitted.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Department.

I wish to state an objection to the the planned development proposed by this plan and amendments. I find the local wildlife along with a trail system that is world class trekking, that is all so close and yet untouched by suburban growth to be out of character and offensive.

It is my opinion and desire that the greater community must not allow the loss of such a fabulous heritage of bush land.

I and many others take great joy in walking and exploring the areas trails and views.

I am also disappointed that the MLALC would even consider bulldozing and converting these areas to residential or even advocate for any such scheme. Surely the true elders would be in agreement.

Please do not accept the planning proposed and allow everyone to enjoy this green space.

Thank you

██████████



Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

the land proposed for development is used by the community on a daily basis for recreation and fitness. this land is unique and if developed will change the character of living on the northern beaches forever.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

French's forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Aboriginal land should never be able to be sold off for development.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Forestville

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I feel Strongly that the land should be preserved for both the indiginous heritage and Garrigal nation people as well as those residing and who have connected to the land today. Bulldozing all the beautiful sandstone and building roads and houses is simply against everything you would expect the MLALC to support.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the lizard rock development.

the beautiful valley of Snake Creek will be destroyed , belrose is a bush subburb and residents of belrose love the sourrounding bush. we don't want to see it destroyed by 450 houses

██████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development for the following reasons:

1) Negative impact on flora and fauna. The proposed areas for development are some of the best examples of this areas bush and destroying it would be a travesty.

2) Insufficient infrastructure. The roads are already too small for the current traffic load. The recent rain highlighted the poor state Mona Vale Road, Forest Way and the Wakehurst Parkway are in. Adding more cars to an already struggling road system would be irresponsible and potentially dangerous.

I hope these points will be considered.

Faithfully

██████

I object to this land being developed by MLALC into residential housing. This is the only bush land northern beaches area has left. The aboriginal heritage of this area should be kept and used by all not sold off for MLALC to make profit..

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 21:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please do not destroy any more of the natural bush land , fauna & wildlife in these particular areas. The forest is overcrowded as it is. These development proposals are horrifying

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please do not cut more trees down or develop sacred aboriginal land



Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Taking away more land, animal affected. Privacy. Peaceful.  
Don't redeveloped.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We don't need to loose more of the natural beauty of the beaches. The wildlife is what makes this area beautiful.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to losing more nature!!!!

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest/2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Completely object to this proposal..

The bushland is sacred and such a huge part of why people live in Frenchs Forest and surrounding suburbs.

There is so much beautiful Australian wildlife on those lands. I've even seen a koala. What do they propose to do to help the native wildlife? Not to mention the vegetation.

We've already lost so much land to the new Northern Beaches Hospital and supporting roads, we do NOT need to lose any more natural bushland to MORE developments.

I'll be taking this up further with the council and campaigning with the community to object to these plans.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 20:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

These areas must be protected for we the people and all future generations to come.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 19:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In reference to the proposal to allow MLALC to submit planning proposals in regards to their land.

The land has been zoned as Environmental Conservation. This has served the purpose of the Garrigal Nation to have a connection with their sacred land. The MLALC has VIGOROUSLY prevented any form of recreation on these lands under the precept that they are of significance to those that they represent.

They have hypocritically also sought to develop the land. Each proposal to date has been poorly prepared and did not consider environmental impacts including stormwater runoff, bushfire risk and infrastructure.

The land is high bushfire risk and any development would be unsuitable given the steep topology of the terrain.

The stormwater runoff in respect of Morgan road proposals would go to Narrabeen Lake and further add to the silting of the lake which is now at critical levels.

The land offers a strong connection to the indigenous first Australians with rock carvings just off Morgan road and now offers very strong connections for new Australians who spend their time walking and riding through the bushland areas. More recently that strong connection of all people to the land has been demonstrated by Covid and to remove this and allow development will significantly affect the natural amenity of the Northern Beaches LGA.

There is less and less natural and original Sydney Sandstone terrain left. This land must be preserved for all Australians in the future. the MLALC should retain ownership but not be allow to destroy their land.

Environmental Conservation zoning must prevail.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This proposal should cease being surfaced as there is no reasonable & adequate roads/streets to supply the demand & would create a congestion zone within a suburban residential zone. The disruption to build over the aboriginal land would cause economic issues for residents in not being able to get to & from work as the traffic routing doesn't exist.

Putting residential homes on Aboriginal Land is NOT WHY I SAID SORRY nor is it how i say sorry either.

Sorry i OBJECT to this proposal.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 18:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a young person this is wrong.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 18:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

If this land is so important for the aboriginal people why is it been sold and developed

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 18:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I totally object to this. More trees and land cleared for housing. We need our bushland for our wildlife on the northern beaches.

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 16:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

The story of our land and preserving its history is fundamental to our identity and relationships with its First Nations, native beings and ancient land. Thank you for beginning this process of protection and acknowledgement. I would be honored to live in such a cohesive suburb of indigenous spirit and honest history.

Thank you NBC, ██████

Submitted on Wed, 09/02/2022 - 13:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I don't support the development of large tracts of native bushland in our local area, these should be added to the national parks network, not developed. these areas are key pieces of connecting land between other large tracts of nat parks/undeveloped land/council land/crown land and this is very important to native fauna in the area.

Paxton rd in particular is unsuitable for development as it's on a steep incline.

Submitted on Tue, 08/02/2022 - 21:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

No thanks. Preserve bushland and the quality of life for people living on the northern beaches by permanently subtracting this submission and any like it. It trashes the environment and increases traffic to already sensitive areas.

P.S. using the guise of MLALC as a trojan horse for development fools no one.

Better luck next time!

Submitted on Tue, 08/02/2022 - 17:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We live on Wearden road Frenchs Forest overlooking the bushland which is proposed to be part of the SEPP. It is totally unacceptable that this beautiful small piece of bushland (which is so rare in the area) would be considered for development.

An absolute disgrace!

You continually talk of conservation, but you want to destroy the bushland?



Submitted on Tue, 08/02/2022 - 13:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

It would appear to be very difficult if not impossible to achieve stated aims in relation to conservation of flora and fauna and possibly even aboriginal heritage sites while creating economic benefit as proposed.

Loss of habitat from development will inevitably result in reduction in numbers of native species. The idea of "conserving " while clearing undisturbed native vegetation and developing the areas in question for housing and industry is contradictory.

In truth the question the public should be asked is how much destruction of undeveloped bushland is acceptable to pay for conservation of the remainder allowing for the economic benefits for the MLALC and wider community that will flow from that.

Submitted on Tue, 08/02/2022 - 12:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

Nothing to add. Happy for the proposal to proceed as is.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Save our bush land!

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Destroying the last of sacred Aboriginal Land that remains on the Northern Beaches should be not even an option. Enough has been destroyed already. There will be no bush or historical sites left for the generations to come. The traffic is horrendous already we don't need thousands of extra cars on the local roads. Development is out of control and greed & stupidity is rife with people deciding to destroy the remaining bushland we have here.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is disappointing to see continued efforts to push an unnecessary and clearly poorly structured development through in the Northern Beaches local area. This has been repeatedly knocked back for material issues in critical areas such as environmental impacts, traffic, bushfire risk, flood risk, community impacts and being a development that is in complete contradiction with planning process and legislation.

Environmental Impact:

Previous submissions relied on and stated environmental significance of the proposed site, yet the current application appears to make minor references, if any, to any such environmental significance. This suggests an intent to skew information as appropriate to an effort to gain approval for an inappropriate development for the area.

Traffic:

The application severely underestimates traffic impacts for Elm Avenue, Ralston Avenue and the surrounding areas and in cases neglects to consider any impact at all. Traffic reports referenced are multiple years old and were themselves inadequate at their time of publication. Public transport routes have barely been considered and private operators instead expected to change their services to accommodate the site without any consideration. The lack of traffic consideration poses material risk to surrounding communities given the proximity of several primary schools and playgrounds nearby.

Bushfire Risk:

The bushfire risk of the site and local area is well known and the subject of objection from the Rural Fire Service (RFS) in previous applications. This has not in any way been addressed and has again been skewed in favour of the applicant at the risk of residents and community members. As significant fire events increase in frequency and severity, we cannot be increasing such risks without due consideration and protections being put in place. To do so is akin to gross negligence.

#### Flood Risk:

The application suggest that floods do not impact the site. Significant rains create material water flows often eroding and impacting established tracks and fire trails throughout the area. These require regular maintenance to allow access for RFS training and Ausgrid maintenance. Recent rains have left many of these tracks still inaccessible. The fact that these events can simply be ignored for such a material development is concerning.

#### Community Impacts:

The areas above have direct impacts to the lives and homes of local residents and community members. They increase the risk of natural disasters to our homes and loved ones, while destroying the environment and peaceful are in which we chose to live. They deserve appropriate review and assessment. The fact that so many elements are being continually ignored, yet this application is consistently resubmitted for assessment and potential approval by the government needs to be addressed.

#### Planning Process:

The legislated planning process requires that all the areas listed above be considered and appropriately addressed. The current application fails to do so in any meaningful way while also being in direct contradiction to the local state government planning objectives. The increase in housing is unnecessary on top of already existing approved developments while environmental protections, bushfire and community benefit are being simply ignored.

The points above highlight a legitimate issue around what basis such a proposal can be continually submitted for approval. Such developments need to be consistent with the planning framework and process providing legitimate and true benefits and improvements to existing communities. The NSW Government needs to consider the real impacts this development has and instead find an alternative and appropriate development location.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Object

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Once again a huge overdevelopment of our area is proposed with no consideration given to the wishes of current residents and our wonderful, unique environment. When climate change and overdevelopment are such burning issues all over Australia and the world this newest project should not even merit consideration. There are so many reasons to object that I find it impossible to write them all down.

I object to the proposed developments because of inadequate current infrastructure including but not limited to roads, footpaths, maintenance of public spaces, sports fields and recreation areas, the destruction of beautiful bushland and endangered flora and fauna, delicate First Nations carvings and sacred sites desecrated, substandard recent developments already falling apart, the inability of our council to adequately service existing populated areas - so many reasons I am sure already eloquently submitted by those more able to express their objections than I am.

Please stop this proposed vandalism of our beautiful Northern Beaches bushland!



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This will destroy bush land with yet more housing developments. More cars and damage to dwindling parkland.. If we continue with this there be much fewer trails on the northern beaches. Elsewhere people are developing trails for mountain biking as it's a growing sport.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I extremely object to this development. We need to preserve the bushland the makes the Northern Beaches unique. Also the traffic problem we already have will be exasperated with these developments as we do not have the infrastructure to cope with an increase of people.

Please do not allow this beautiful area to be destroyed by over development.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

DAVIDSON

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I broadly agree with the Northern Beaches Council's approach as detailed in its submission.

I am particularly concerned about the DPE conflict of interest outlined in Council's submission. There is a need for a strong probity function to oversee this conflict, as well as possible undisclosed approaches from vested interests.

I've had a look at the outcomes at the Darkinjung Aboriginal Land Council lands on the Central Coast, and I'd suggest that a broad review of that setup be undertaken to provide lessons learnt (or not learnt) and help inform decision-making in this Northern Beaches case. It would seem that the Aboriginal community in the Darkinjung case is not satisfactorily benefitting from the profits, nor are they properly represented on the Aboriginal Land Council set up to manage the lands and the associated finances.

Certainly the Land Council currently operating on the Northern Beaches does not represent any local Aboriginal community, and there should be strong conditions imposed on any managers of the land assets to ensure the benefits reach the supposed and defined targets.

We residents of the affected suburbs generally realise that housing should be available at a reasonable and affordable cost for the next generation.. This is no longer the case, as house and land prices have sky-rocketed.. I don't believe that the proposed developments will have any impact on the affordability of housing, and the profits might be just frittered away, not actually benefitting any Aboriginal Australians.

A study of the situation at Darkinjung might shed some light on this.

The Council's options put forward for meeting Government Housing Targets seem to be reasonable, and

preferable to schemes which will wipe out some of our natural bushland and the scarce remaining special environments and Aboriginal heritage.

The NSW Government should be funding the increased infrastructure needed because of these development proposals. The Forestway/Ring Road 3 link to the west is inadequate, and the recent works near that intersection were short-sighted and showed a lack of good planning. We now have dysfunctional traffic conditions, particularly for vehicles travelling towards St Ives, where the two close left turns (Garigal Road and Mona Vale Road) from a single lane already create long queues.

The Northern Beaches Tunnel will never be built, and the three road connections and zero rail connections from the Northern Beaches are not coping now. The public transport relies on buses which have improved a little lately, but are still subject to delays in traffic congestion and through frequent stopping.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Brookvale, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe that we should be striving to keep what bushland we have for recreation, nature and natural enjoyment

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Poor existing infrastructure, especially public transport and quality roads.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Laguna 2335

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.
2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.
3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.
4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found.. The local community also values them for their scenic value.
5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.
6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.

7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.
8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.
9. *Angophora crassifolia*: There is an extensive stand of *Angophora crassifolia* on the top plateau.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this proposal to turn bushland into urban and industrial environments. Once that change occurs, it's done forever. I'd much rather see state planning achieve housing targets through increased density in existing urban and suburban areas.

The State government should purchase all of these lots and zone them RE1 public recreation spaces and conserve the environment while providing public access and assets for diverse recreational activities, including walking, running, mountain biking and rock climbing etc. The larger sites should be made into Regional Parks managed by either NBC or NPWS and the smaller sites made into NBC reserves.

This short term plan only reduces the amount of remnant bushland in the metropolitan area, and thus puts greater pressure on the remaining spaces.. Which in this day and age tends to highly restrictive conservation and reduced public access to bushland spaces.

The people of Sydney deserve greater access to the bush for a wider variety of recreational pursuits.

The proposed cultural center is a good initiative and I'm supportive of that development, but it needs to be funded better and not by selling the land off to greedy developers.

Not interested or supportive of new greenfield sites for housing or industry at all on the Northern beaches or Greater Sydney for that matter.

As a mountain biker we are crying out for more opportunities for formal track networks in bushland environments, and the lack of available space and appropriate zoning is not meeting demand and so there is a proliferation of informal track creation. This is already occurring on a number of these sites. If this land and opportunity for formal tracks in what could be regional parks or public reserves is lost. The consequence is that without other land managers providing additional formal assets on their land to offset the track volume lost there will be more informal tracks created because state planning and land managers are failing to respond to the overwhelming demand for more recreational tracks in our metropolitan bushland parks and reserves. These developments will put more pressure on our existing and remaining bushland.

I'm sure this Public Exhibition process is nothing but a check box stunt, and no changes will come of it. But let it be recorded that the incompetence of state planning is alive and well and hasn't learnt anything from the past and is unwilling to do things differently for a better and more sustainable future.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2069

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I don't believe developing this land is a wise choice. If we are trying to improve the environment then maybe we shouldn't cut and clear it for more houses. It's a beautiful place, don't kill it.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2085

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I, ██████████

a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals.. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Berowra Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We do not need to destroy anymore bushland to build more houses on the northern beaches. We have already destroyed enough precious bush in this area to create a habitat for humans. Why do we need to keep on this destructive path just destroying and killing all animal and plant species for our existence. This must stop this is what keeps the planet healthy and alive not deforestation.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it may concern,

I object to this proposal due to the sensitive nature of the area (cultural, wildlife) and the fact that I use it regularly for recreation and connecting with nature. Aside from a personal connection with this land, I object due to the lack of adherence to established rules and principles around such planning applications. I could not find any adequate cultural, environmental, town planning assessments/reports to validate the massive scale of this proposal nor substantiate the need for such a large development plan.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney

Please follow the established rule and regulations around development planning and conduct timely and in-depth consultations, particularly with the traditional custodians of this land and review the conduct and actions of the MLALC

sincerely,

████████████████████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This is a disgrace that something like this would ever even be considered in today's current climate. It's time to stop eating in to nature's limited spaces to line the pockets of Developer and all that circle around them. I am registering my objection to this proposal to protect the limited nature we have left!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Balgowlah

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have many concerns with the proposed development, which would see land with high biodiversity and recreational utility lost forever. The value of this land is maximised by maintaining it for wildlife and recreational opportunities. Further, it is hard to see the infrastructure in the area being upgraded or included in the development proposals to adequate levels, meaning that it is local residents that will pay the price in congestion and reduced amenity, and likely bear the brunt of environmental damages to water courses (including increased flood risks due to increased flashy runoff in major rain events and already overwhelmed sewerage systems). It is hard to see local communities receiving any of the benefits. Whilst I appreciate much of this land is in private ownership the planning regime needs to take into account the needs of local communities and should seek to protect the natural capital represented by these areas, which are already under considerable pressure. If MALC is concerned with economic opportunities is it possible to pursue other developments (including land swaps with government) to develop brownfield areas or areas of lower potential impact. Loss of the biodiversity and natural landscape, and the burdens of over development will be permanent. Thank you for your consideration of my concerns.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Completely object. Stop tearing down the natural landscapes we have on the northern beaches in order to build more houses with no supporting infrastructure.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2075

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the project primarily as it doesn't meet the needs of the local community or the natural environment. The wonderful bushland will be lost for us and subsequent generations. Many local people use this area for mountain biking and hiking. It gives us a much needed escape to be close to nature, socialise with like-minded people, exercise and improve our wellbeing.

Also, the project will also have a detrimental affect on the local wildlife and remove wildlife corridors. The impact on the region in regards to bushfire and increased flooding (due to increased hard surfaces) seems to not have been taken into account. There is also only one reliable exit out of the area due to the frequent flooding of The Wakehurst Parkway - flooding that is likely to increase due to removing soak away land and replacing with concrete.

Finally, there is the previous history of MLALC projects. The Bantry Bay road project is not in keeping with the area as all vegetation has been removed and with no replacement (or indeed any room for) landscaping taking place as part of the project. The quality of the build and street build is substandard. The contractor was also not adequately held to account for dumping waste in the adjoining bushland.

It's impossible to see how this could be approved. The project is not in the community interest ps and it raises very serious safety concerns.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

A very badly conceived plan for so many environmental, infrastructural, economical reasons forcing development into the urban environment of the Belrose basin where there is no sufficient services, transport or run off protection for the Narrabeen lake and surrounds rather than maintaining a green belt for the avoidance of urban sprawl across pristine natural habitats. Will the next stop be Mona Vale?

Northern beach council need an environmental plan to separate sprawl from natural valleys and views rather than bringing the sprawl to the bush. The Beach communities have all the service, links, infrastructure. The bush valleys have precious little. Is the council thumbing its nose up at non beach dwellers by forcing housing on a natural valley environment.

A big picture plan is needed as was planned for Londons green belt!

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narara

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This proposal puts significant native flora and fauna at risk, not to mention how putting significant land to commercial and residential use can only further risk Aboriginal heritage sites. This decision to increase density of population will only lead to increased air and light pollution, not to mention any potential run off pollution during construction.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

david

**Last name**

james

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Narrabeen 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have read the supporting documentation on the DPIE website. I also have a thorough knowledge of the subject lands which extends back to my time as a Warringah Councillor 1980-3 and on Pittwater Council 2004-12. I was a foundation member of the Narrabeen Lagoon Committee 1977 which consistently supported the retention of IDO 51 placed by Sir John Fuller and has fought against every subsequent development proposal to have it put aside.

**[IDO 51**

On 8th March 1974 Interim Development Order No. 51 (IDO 51) was gazetted to control development in the catchment area of Narrabeen Lagoon to protect the lagoon, predominantly by restricting the range of land uses permissible and establishing a minimum area for subdivision of land of 20 ha (ie 200,000 m<sup>2</sup>), thereby limiting the intensity of land use. Even though the majority of Belrose North is not in the catchment area of Narrabeen Lagoon, IDO 51 applied to this area anyway.

When this order was put in place residents were told that it was a temporary measure (hence the word "Interim"), and it's restrictions would be lifted in about 6 months (that was in 1974).

However those provisions have wisely been carried forward for good and established reason into successive planning instruments to date and effectively remain current in Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2000 (WLEP 2000). Subsequent to the gazettal of IDO 51, the Oxford Falls Valley, or portions thereof, has been periodically subject to investigation for release for urban purposes. During the late 1980s and 1990s this resulted in the release of a number of areas for urban development being in the vicinity of Cromer Road and Maybrook Avenue at Cromer; Wearden Road at Frenchs Forest and Red Hill. It also resulted in Council's undertaking a Non Urban

Lands Study (NULS) to guide existing and future uses in Warringah's non urban lands. The study area broadly included land within the suburbs of Terrey Hills, Duffys Forest, Belrose, Oxford Falls and Cromer. Stage 1 identified areas of land that may be capable of increased development intensity and based these findings about land capability largely on slope and terrain, soils and erosion hazard].

In light of the foregoing I strongly believe that any approval of the proposals under consideration would be contrary to the public interest and the conservation of the undeveloped land in the Narrabeen Lagoon catchment.

I believe in light of all the foregoing and of my own long knowledge and support for the conservation of all the areas now under consideration for development, for which I was awarded the OAM in 2016, that approval of the SEPP as proposed would be bizarre and would fly in the face of the following issues.

1. This proposal could not possibly comply with the well merited emergency access provisions required by Planning for Bushfire Protection 2019. The lessons of the disastrous Tathra fire, in particular the hitherto long reach of spontaneous combustion of bushland by "spotting ahead" and threat to life and property thereby, has correctly led to the abandonment/ severe curtailment by DPIE of the hitherto proposed Ingleside residential development. Approval for residential development of the MLALC lands would fly in the face of that wise decision and, in my opinion, represent a dereliction of due care in the event of future, quite clearly foreseeable human and property losses to effects of bushfire, thereby raising the spectre of legal action for damages, should DPIE put aside established knowledge of Bushfire risk.

2. Lack of infrastructure. Morgan Road could not possibly conform to the requirement as it currently is constructed with the Emergency Vehicle and Resident Escape roadway dimensions. It would have to be greatly augmented is obvious but at what and whose cost?

3. Connection to Warriewood Sewerage Treatment Plant would further overload the system which currently has to be put into semi-treated bypass flows during heavy rain events as happened in the recent episodes in 14 - 20 March 2022.

4. The existing trunk drainage system to Warriewood STP which runs along beside Wakehurst Parkway, would as consequence of additional loadings, have to be upsized from its current 200 mm cast iron pipe. Flow rates in excess of this pipe's capacity could result in overflows of raw sewage into Middle Creek and thence Narrabeen Lagoon.

5. Wakehurst Parkway at present barely copes with peak traffic flows and in times of bushfire, flooding and severe accident becomes impassable. Foreseeable increases consequent on population increase upon the likely increase in Vehicle Per Hour capacity resulting would generate additional side traffic entry via Morgan Road, such as would cause gridlock that could extend as far back as to Pittwater Rd Narrabeen.

David James OAM (For service to Local Government and to the Environment.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The Aboriginal Lands Rights that currently exist was hard fought for, but doesn't seem to suit you anymore - financially.

What is the point of any protection policy if some years down the track you don't protect it?

The policy is supposed to be there to future proof stupid decisions of loss of heritage, land clearing, with massive loss of habitat for native flora and fauna... but here we are!

Please really consider why this is was so important to protect in the first place, the Aboriginal SEPP before you came along with your construction mates.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

DAVIDSON

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
  - The proposed development:
    - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
    - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
    - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
    - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
  - The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
  - There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
  - Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
  - The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
  - The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
  - The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
  - The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.
- The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need to retain as much of our bushland as possible for our ecosystem and to provide a better world for our children and other generations yet to come.

If we sacrifice this bushland for the sake of yet more houses, we lose the very thing that makes this area so special.

I would like to register my objection to this proposal.

This cannot go ahead

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. I am in full support of the overall Northern Beaches Council submission on this subject. I have seen the draft submission and expect the final submission by NBC to be only slightly changed from the draft. My council speaks for me.
2. An extension of time (6 months) should be granted for a more comprehensive review of the whole scheme by interested parties.
3. The rest of my comments refer specifically to Site #9 ie Ralston Avenue, Belrose.
4. Recognising that the MALC does have a need to raise funds, it would appear that the best outcome for this parcel of land would be for the NSW State Govt to purchase the land outright with an aim to including the parcel in the Garigal National Park.
5. Failing that, and if the plan is pushed through despite the many objections, then the land should be used ONLY for residential development with NO commercial and/or industrial use at all. Note: it would appear that the inclusion of commercial/industrial usage of this parcel might be just to get around the issue (to MALC and developers) of the stronger bushfire evacuation route standards for residential development as compared to commercial development.  
Further, the tree canopy sky-line must be preserved to the greatest extent possible -- ie NOT acceptable to clear the land willy-nilly as happens in so many estate developments.
6. Finally - an emotional appeal - this bushland and the fauna/flora are precious and one of the brilliant assets that Greater Sydney has, are the substantial pockets of native bush (our "green lungs") that are scattered around. These need to be preserved for our grandchildren and their children. Please don't destroy our natural assets.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest. 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This simply cannot proceed.

At a time where knowledge around canopy cover and remaining green spaces around the well-being of people, along with providing areas for biodiversity protection is so well known, even proposing to this level is baffling.

There ia huge local opposition to this.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

one of the best things about the northern beaches is the amount of bush we have around us. This should be left for people to enjoy and for wildlife to thrive. I oppose clearing the bush to develop the lizard rock area. If this is apparently a sensitive cultural zone for the aboriginal people how can MLALC be on board and ok for it to be cleared if bush and developed.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object the proposed land development. Me and my family often use the potential development area 8 for bushwalking and cycling. It helps us to stay healthy and fit and it makes Cromer a special place to live.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The community's cultural heritage will be obviously impacted - the potential economic benefit does not outweigh the significance of the site and the demands of the community to retain it in its pristine historical form.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 17:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object strongly as I do not believe housing is a way to protect aboriginal heritage. a one off cash injection will not replace irreplaceable sites of natural and cultural significance. Original and I mean ORIGINAL owners would be horrified to see this area destroyed. The developer is acting under the cover of benefiting indigenous peoples. It is a money making enterprise by a foreign owned developer. Especially at this time we should not be letting Chinese owned property developers to be making money off our country. Furthermore infrastructure is simply not there to support housing in these areas. Flooding recently supports this. This land should be left available for all to enjoy- wildlife people in need of open space and greenery and fresh air around Sydney.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Inadequate and dangerous infrastructure. Especially the right hand turn from ralston Ave to forest way.  
Inadequate and dangerous single road access to ralston Ave location with fire risk from location and ridges of land.

Vulnerable indigenous heritage sites.

Distraction of native flora and fauna.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land is sacred and respected and used by the local residents. It is why people come to live in this area. Keep our bush land safe from development. There are so many animals living here too it would be detrimental for these creatures.

Also the local infrastructure doesn't and can't deal with the increase in polulation.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

DAVIDSON

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

With the possibility of housing going into the sites off Weardon Rd and Corymbia Ct, trails and access to trails in the Belrose Bowl will be affected. Housing for very few will be at the cost of thousands who have walked, run, and cycled in this little piece of bush land. There are trails which have been created which focus on younger riders. There are no other trails like these in the Northern Beaches.

These small pockets of bush, which are not on National Park land are quite rare. The Belrose Bowl is accessible to local residents and has become well known to the wider Northern Beaches community also. I support the Aboriginal Land Council to acquire land to support their many needs. I also believe these parcels of land in question, although benefiting the needs of the Land Council will have an adverse effect on the community and take away natural history and recreational opportunities which cannot be replaced in the Northern Beaches.

Please do not allow development in this area to go ahead.

Thanks

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Allambie Heights 2100

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

Object to the development of these lands.

FONLC comments - Constraints to Urban Development:

In addition, the Lizard Rock area has significant constraints to development which have been mapped by the former Warringah Council such as:

1. Highly erodible soils. The dependence of hydrology and bushland on the soil profile, and the relationship between weeds and soil disturbance or siltation, contribute to the importance of this environmental factor.
2. Highly rated creek catchments with minimal levels of impervious surfaces.. These catchments need protection. Runoff from surrounding area affects subsurface flow, vegetation in riparian buffers, water flow and quality in creeks and contributes to flooding events.
3. Water quality for creeks flowing into Narrabeen Lagoon.
4. Limitations of Warriewood Sewage Treatment Station. Effluent already escapes during heavy rain events. The Treatment Station does not have the capacity to take drainage from a major suburban development.
5. High bushfire risk. This whole area is bushfire prone with limited escape routes in the event of a major fire.
6. Telstra satellite facility. Unless the technology has changed, the satellite facility in Oxford Falls that is very close to the Lizard Rock area needs bushland all around it to protect the data integrity of international communications. The facility was established in Oxford Falls because there are no lights, houses, electronic interferences etc from suburbia around it. Investigation of this needs to be included.
7. Limited egress for residents - one single access road, which is frequently subject to flooding. This is a high fire risk area so egress is a matter of life and death.
8. Increasing hard surfaces, or losing the sponge function of the natural bushland will aggravate flooding downhill along the 'Wakehurst Parkway' and the edges of Narrabeen Lagoon which are also already of increasing concern during rain events.

9. High voltage power lines cross sections of the land. Access to power lines would be lost if, as requested, road reserves are sold.

Also object due to the environmental importance of Lizard Rock area:

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.
2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.
3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.
4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found. The local community also values them for their scenic value.
5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.
6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.
7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.
8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.
9. *Angophora crassifolia*: There is an extensive stand of *Angophora crassifolia* on the top plateau.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I'm opposed to proposed 6 areas being included in the SEPP. The Northern Beaches has already lost bushland for the construction of the hospital and the widening of the Mona Vale Road to lose more would be outrageous. There are many reasons why this shouldn't be permitted; the environmental impact, the roads being unable to cope with increased traffic, the potential interference for the Telstra Earth Satellite Station, the lack of infrastructure to support the proposed developments and the likelihood of more flooding on roads that are already closed regularly. Also, the bush is a vital recreational area benefitting both the mental and physical health of those using it.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Berowra Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is deeply troubling and disappointing that MLALC is developing this land, in the words of MLALC CEO Nathan Moran, "so MLALC can become a profitable business". This declaration proves the development is not in the interest of the local community or even for preserving the local Aboriginal heritage for future generations, but simply so his business can make money.

This development is not in the best interests of the community, who use this bushland for recreation. Access to such bushland is becoming rarer and rarer and we need to preserve it so all the community can use it, rather than lose it forever for a small number of homes which will benefit far fewer people. The proposed development will also permanently alter the character and feel of the area which is so valued by locals and visitors alike.

I urge you to halt this development to ensure recreational access to nature for the thousands of regular community users and aboriginal generations to come, who risk having their connection to country extinguished by MLALC greed. I particularly note Nathan Moran's decision to bulldoze the Oxford Falls mountain bike trails in this area some years ago to protect "cultural sites", which he now demonstrates the height of hypocrisy in selling out to destruction for profit.

A solution would be for NSW Government to purchase the land adjacent to Morgan Rd used by the community from MLALC and permanently set it aside as community recreational land for all users to care for and enjoy for generations to come.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern,

I strongly object to the Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of the sites on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

My reasons for objecting to this is due to limited infrastructure in place to cater to thousands of new residents; threats to wildlife from an increase in domestic cats and vehicular traffic; breaking wildlife corridors; damage to native habitat from pollution run-off; fire safety risks due to limited escape routes, further land clearing for an electrical substation and powerlines, and plans to build atop a ridge at Ralston Avenue (which is significantly more at risk from advancing bushfires and has been previously knocked back); the loss of bush trails for cyclists and walkers; and the vulnerability of Aboriginal heritage sites.

As a resident, I access these bushland areas on foot and on my bike. I enjoy taking my children on walks in these areas and using it to teach them the importance of our environment and connection to land. These bushland areas are priceless in terms of natural beauty, supporting a vast arrange of native flora and fauna. Once this bushland is destroyed, it will be gone forever. For quality of life and to lessen the impact of climate change we must prioritise the protection of bushland.

I personally do not object the MLALC wanting to generate funds to put into supporting their communities. However I implore the government to look into alternative ways of supporting the Indigenous community in achieving their their objectives. Let's not sell our children's future out for a quick buck. Our natural environment is closely linked to quality of life, not just in terms of the role it plays in physical health with decarbonisation, but also

mentally and spiritually.

With this in mind, please act with conscience and reject the current DDP.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Do not clear the bush, we only have so much before it is all gone. People rely on it for exercise and many other things. Please do not clear the bush land.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support this as it will significantly impact and destroy natural wildlife and heritage in the area.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

With all the new infrastructure already in place for the Northern Beaches Hospital we need to maintain all the existing bush land we have for recreational use. In addition the proposal would significantly put existing fauna and flora habitats at high risk. There will be a loss of Aboriginal Heritage sites and existing bush trails used by existing residents and walking groups.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

RE: Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council MLALC SEPP 2022 .

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP. Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with “celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”.

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West  
Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.  
We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The area of the Corymbia Circuit is a great place for riding mountain bikes and getting outdoors for some exercise and fun. I have a great time riding with friends and trying new things that I would not be able to achieve anywhere else in the area. Exercise and being able to socialise in a fun and beautiful bush land area is good for the physical and mental health of your community members, and brings them together, wether they are teenagers like me and my friends, or adults like my dad and his friends. Please consider the community's opinions and beliefs before taking any further action. Thanks █████



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Value, 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the project for the following reasons:

1. I am concerned that the proposed development has not undergone the full planning process's which other developments are required to go under. All developments need to undergo the required environmental impact \ bushfire \ cumulative impact \ public amenity assessments to ensure the project are in keeping with the NSW Government's own objectives that the development is in the best interests of the local community. There should be no grounds to follow a watered down approval process with reduced independent reviews.
2. The proposed development represents a large impact on the community and the local ecology. Additionally, I am concerned that there is no holistic evaluation of cumulative impacts over the next 5-years to 50-year period. It is not acceptable to progressively eat into the edges of native bushland, as by this method we are quickly reducing the native ecology.
3. I have not been able to find a true independent review which objectively assesses impacts of the proposed development. Has this been performed, and has the report been provided to the community for consideration and consultation?

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing as I am concerned about the impact that this development will have on the area, including but not limited to:

- 1) native flora/fauna & ecosystems
- 2) the landscape and scenery
- 3) traffic congestion
- 4) further loss of green space
- 5) overdevelopment

As a resident of Sydney's Northern Beaches since 1983, and having lived closer to Oxford Falls and frequently using Oxford Falls Road, I always feel like I'm in the country.

There are always plenty of bird life, kangaroos and wallabies, horses and horse riders and bike riders enjoying this unique piece of nature. It is truly a beautiful area and rare in a big city like Sydney.

It is important to keep the area from overdevelopment and maintain it for future generations.

Thank you for your consideration.

██████████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. EP&A Act 1979 - S.4.15(1)(a)(i) - Compatibility with zone - under WLEP 2000: "The Desired Future Character Statement for the B2 Oxford Falls Valley Locality" specifies "low intensity, low impact uses" stipulated in for the locality. This does not meet this requirement.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who lives on z Oxford Falls Rd West I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. We did extremely detailed flora and fauna studies and bushfire assessments and discovered the unbelievable and precious diversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical

that we protect these important sites.

The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development. Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham.

I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and ALL all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area. From the research I have done the proposed development does not fall within the requirements set out in the relevant LEP for size of land blocks per house and there is no proposal of who would pay for the upgrade infrastructure. It is totally inappropriate and unfair to expect ratepayers to pay for upgrades in infrastructure and deal with the traffic chaos outcomes that are guaranteed to follow.

These developments are totally inappropriate for this special area. Please do not allow for this development to occur.

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Morgan Road: traffic on this and adjoining roads is already heavy and the Wakehurst Parkway regularly floods, blocking traffic from that end altogether; I cannot see how an extra 450 homes (av 1.5 cars per household, potentially 675 extra vehicles) can be accommodated. Also, bushfire risk. Paxton Street: additional housing here will compound existing traffic woes between Paxton and Frenchs Forest Road East and thence Warringah Road - too dense already. Ralston Avenue, likewise not designed to take the volume of traffic that would be generated by that much new housing - how will vehicles get in and out of these areas during peak work times and during school pickup hours?

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I reject this proposal for many reasons but mainly that the native wildlife and natural habitats of so many species in this area will be destroyed.

Furthermore, the local residents have bought into this area to enjoy a peaceful lifestyle surrounded by bush land to be appreciated by walking and cycling, not because they wanted to be subjected to high levels of traffic, noise and pollution.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Killara

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This area is pristine bushland. Developing this area will increase the traffic flow especially between Wakehurst Parkway and Forest Way Road.

Once this starts, the area will be ruined and never be the same again.

Please don't do it.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe there are fundamental problems with the DDP proposed by DPIE.

If the land is included in the SEPP, future developments in the LGA could be approved by NSW Government without the consent of Northern Beaches Council. This is not acceptable.

The NSW Department of Planning have not followed their own Planning Policy. There is not enough information in the DDP for the Minister for Planning and Homes to decide regarding the SEPP.

- The impact on flora and fauna including endangered species have not been considered.
- The effect of the proposed development on Bushfire and Flooding has not been adequately addressed.
- Important issues such as funding for roads, bridges, stormwater and water and sewer have not been addressed.

This proposal threatens the destruction of 2,250 acres of pristine native bushland.

I object to the inclusion of the land in the SEPP.

In particular I object to the inclusion of the Aquatic Drive site/s in the Aboriginal Land SEPP and DDP.

I do not support any proposal to rezone the western site for RE2 Private Recreation or B7 Business Park use or other development. The western portion of the site contains a waterway and 90% native vegetation cover, also identified as riparian land.

Both western and eastern lots have high conservation value bushland and warrant the existing C2 environmental conservation zone.

Rezoning to allow development would adversely affect the high ecological values of the land.

The DDP information does not acknowledge :



- The context of the site in Curl Curl Creek / Manly Dam Catchment
- The conservation values of the bushland and adjoining Park
- The wildlife corridor

I agree with recommendation of conservation for Eastern lot:

"The high-level ecological value of the eastern lot offers opportunities for potential biodiversity credits or offsets." However, the C2 Environmental Conservation status also needs to be retained for the Western lot.

Note: There is an existing Biobanking site is located in Aquatic Drive (Lot 3).

Additionally I OPPOSE Site 1: Morgan Road, Belrose (Lizard Rock):

The strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan' from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development and this is the document all agreed to follow through with. The site is isolated and not adjacent to development. It is surrounded by bushland.

The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

It is best quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, barking owl and a healthy stand of *Angophora crassifolia* will be exterminated.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be exterminated and minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

High fire danger in that area. The last planned burn off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

Known increasing intensity of weather events which will 1) increase the effects of hot weather: fire danger and 2) increase the effects of wet weather: increased flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, leave alone from an additional 450 houses..

Visual pollution from afar and vintage point destruction, as Lizard Rock is a hilltop location.

I oppose Site 9 (Ralston Avenue) – which has already had a previous proposal rejected by Council, and a subsequent review by the Independent Planning Commission recommended it not proceed due to inconsistencies with Section 9.1 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, and the aims and objectives of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear sir/madam

I object to the development of bushland due to the environmental destruction and loss of habitat for native flora and fauna.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

Environmental importance of Lizard Rock area:

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.
2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.
3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.
4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found.. The local community also values them for their scenic value.
5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.
6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.

7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.
8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.
9. *Angophora crassifolia*: There is an extensive stand of *Angophora crassifolia* on the top plateau

#### Faults with this proposal

1. Traditional Aboriginal Owners have not been consulted about this proposal. Given the very rich Aboriginal heritage in this area, it is totally unacceptable to proceed with any of the plans before full consultation with traditional owners and with Aboriginal people who live in the area.
2. Lot 2600 is mapped as part of the proposal but this land has not been granted to MLALC. It has been, in previous plans, marked as being kept for future public requirements. This land is required as an environmental protection asset and should not be granted.
3. Lot 89 is not in MLALC ownership
4. Road reserves are not in MLALC ownership but are included in the proposal.
5. Funding of Infrastructure is proposed to be borne by the Government or Northern Beaches Council. This is totally unacceptable when Northern Beaches Council has identified where housing can be located in other areas of the LGA where the infrastructure is in place
6. Inclusion of medium or high-density housing. The SEPP (Housing) implemented in 2021 which replaces other SEPPs for Seniors Housing and Affordable Rental Housing and 2 other SEPPs, shows that seniors Living does not apply to land identified on the MRA areas. This is a strong indication that intense urban development is not intended to be permitted in an area currently marked as an MRA.
7. Development Costs: Current and future consultant's investigations and reports should be part of the development costs and not funded by Local or State Government. Timing of this proposal: The LEP for Northern Beaches is a work in progress. Parts of the Northern Beaches are in the "Deferred Areas" for which the previous LEP 2000 has not yet been translated through to the LEP 2011 and are yet to be resolved before the current revision of the LEP can be finalised. Any proposal for lands within the "Deferred Areas" needs to be assessed under the LEP 2000 in which the Lizard Rock area was part of the B2 area. It is not appropriate to decide any major proposal for this area before the issue of the Deferred Lands has been resolved.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is totally unsuitable to the area in so many ways and it is a disgrace to even consider it.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 16:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of the nominated 6 sites within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

The DDP does not seem to adequately deal with the necessary infrastructure that would be required to support the development. Roads, Utilities and access to public transport.

There is no evidence that the DPIE have sought the views of the RFS, NSW Fire & Rescue or Utility Providers such as AusGrid, Trans Grid and Sydney water.

The Northern Beaches Council has already prepared a draft report that objects to the development.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The development of such a large area of natural bushland would be an absolute travesty. It is our duty to preserve our natural bushland for future generations and this development will destroy one of the best environmental assets on the Northern Beaches, not to mention the habitat of local wildlife. Many users travel from other parts of Sydney and the state to enjoy the area for recreational activities, including bush walking & mountain biking, partly due to the decreasing number of green (bush) spaces available for recreation around Sydney.

In addition, it's unlikely that the current infrastructure, especially the main arterial roads like Wakehurst Parkway and Forest Way, could cope with the significant increase in traffic from the estimated 450 new households. The frequency of flooding of Wakehurst Parkway is also likely to increase with the removal of permeable bushland.

I completely support the preservation of Aboriginal culture and sites and I cannot fathom how bulldozing an area of rich aboriginal heritage will achieve that.

Thank you for considering my submission.

██████████





Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Vale 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the potential destruction of bushland, environment/habitat loss and loss of recreational opportunities the bushland provides

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

With all the other developments including the move to higher densities around the Northern Beaches Hospital precinct we need to preserve what natural reserve land that we can.

There would be further inroads into the vital and natural habitats for flora and fauna, especially near ridge line areas.

As it is supposedly aboriginal lands with artifacts and heritage sites then it needs to be maintained as heritage available for all Australians.

Even residential without the ridiculous inclusion of industrial/commercial usage in any form would be a retrograde step for the whole of the Northern Beaches area.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The distraction of bush land around Liz are rock should be avoided. There is no stormwater or sewerage provisions, there are native animals that habitat there. Additionally the road system around the area is woefully insufficient for the volume of properties proposed.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Keep the land in its natural state for now and future generations to enjoy

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Gordon, 2072

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Whilst I support the MLALC objectives broadly, I am concerned that increased development on the sites listed may have a negative impact on access to walking, mountain biking and outdoor recreation areas.

These small pockets of bushland are fantastic for local communities to get outdoors and experience the unique bushland environment. Having a closer connection to the outdoors increases knowledge of local environment and gives younger generations the incentive to protect and maintain such areas.

In summary, please carefully consider access to these sites for outdoor recreation and keep these areas open to the public.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Seaforth

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposed development of aboriginal land council property in Morgan rd Belrose. This gross over development proposal for medium density housing amongst ancient aboriginal carvings at traditional sites in our precious local bushland which is home to our flora & fauna is completely unacceptable..this remaining natural environment in Belrose provides clean water into the Narrabeen lake catchment to counter against the heavily polluted run off from Kimbriki waste management center (garbage tip) that drains into Narrabeen lake..

This disgraceful proposal has no license to proceed on social & environmental grounds let alone bushfire risk or complete lack of supporting infrastructure..The state government has already earmarked the nearby northern beaches hospital precinct for build 10 000 new residents..the cumulative affect all these new residents will overwhelm already lacking local roads,schools & infrastructure.. May I also note that despite the fact that no information & public comment/feedback on this development has been provided to nor sought from local residents for this development proposal..as such it should not be supported by northern beaches council..The fact that this proposed development may receive state significant project status government in order to bypass local planning /environmental regulations is nothing more than a cynical ploy to disregard local residents heartfelt concerns for the future of the northern beaches,

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

Hi, very good initiative. Please don't listen to current selfish property owners in the area. They don't care about nature they just care because it is their backward. Sydney is the biggest au city and the lack of developments is very hard on many families. Mid size density should be built in order to accommodate as much families as possible. Best regards tks

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am a resident living the end of Ralston Avenue and would like to object to the amendment of the Aboriginal Lands SEPP to include Site 9.

It is disappointing to hear that Planning Minister wants this Policy to proceed regardless of any submissions that the community puts in. While the community may also value the Aboriginal history and culture, it is not right to put aside all of the logical planning considerations that would otherwise drive appropriate development. Is this Policy simply stating that Aboriginal economic self determination is more significant than the avoidance of ecological, hazard, land use conflict, and amenity impacts? Developments have been refused for far less than what the cumulative impact of this site presents.

This land is exceptionally valued by the locals as a place of ecology, recreation, and natural beauty that epitomises the character of our locality. It is a rare bushland setting so close to Sydney that will be completely undermined by the loss of vegetation, and increased traffic.

It is already suicidal trying to turn right onto Forest Way from Ralston Avenue. Elm Avenue would be destroyed by vehicles using that local road as the most direct link to Glenrose Shopping Centre as the closest village centre.

Ralston Avenue accommodates school, childcare centres and low density housing with a small strip of shops. It is incapable of supporting warehousing and industrial traffic and a large influx of residents.

The bushfire risk is also far too great to simply be addressed by Asset Protection Zones. The risks will come from



sloping land to the north, south and west of the proposed development areas.

I have been involved in locality planning for my community for many years and this will disrupt and destroy the bushland setting that has been a stalwart in Warringah planning controls for decades. We have already lost the forest in Frenchs Forest and there is no logical reason other than for economic return to destroy large tracts of land that are otherwise unsuitable for development.

The only needs to a short walk from the end of Ralston Avenue to see evidence of the unique diversity this area provides. it is unthinkable that in the context of climate change and biodiversity loss that such a special place would be lost. The argument that this land is adjoining a National Park will be retained is as baseless as the gradual clearing of the Amazon. There are better and more suitable ways to achieve housing and economic growth than to develop an area that is ecologically significant, bushfire prone, and incapable of supporting traffic of the scale anticipated.

This area is also a uniquely quiet and will be ruined by warehousing uses which have never been contemplated in this type of location, and for good reason. It makes no sense. Development needs to occur in the right location and this would never occur under any other pathway as evidenced by the past refusals of applications on this land.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2086

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

I object

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please read all submissions!

This will affect our serenity and health of all the residents , we chose to live here because of the peaceful surroundings, this will all be destroyed for the sake a quick buck!

What I have learnt with the weeks and weeks of rain is we don't need more dwellings we need less.

Inadequate infrastructure to cater to the thousands of new residents (indeed an objection raised by Mr Jason Falinski)  
which would be development in addition to that already proposed for the Forestway shopping centre and Forest High precinct

Destruction of significant high-quality rare ridge top native flora & fauna community in the six proposed sites around Frenchs Forest.

Threats to wild life in adjacent native communities from an increase in domestic cats and vehicle traffic.

Damage to native habitat from pollution run-off and weeds into the valleys below the sites

Bushfire risks on ridge top land (this issue had been raised by local NSW Rural Fire Service

Vulnerability of Aboriginal heritage sites (this issue has been raised by local Traditional Owners opposed to the

Land Council land sale)

Loss of bush trails for walkers (use raised by numerous individuals and groups)

Where will all of the native animals go to live ?

echidna, wallabies, willie wagtails. snakes, goannas, black cockatoos, sulphur crested cockatoos , kookaburras, magpies, to name just a small number

Recently have noticed animals being released into the bush from "Wires" .....

Where will they now be released???

Council have informed us that this development is totally unwarranted , so why is government going ahead with this development?

Save the bush, animals & the beautiful ambiance of Corymbia circuit Frenchs Forest.

Please help us , the residents of

Frenchs Forest, Belrose

Help save us & our homes

Thx [REDACTED]

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposed development of aboriginal land council property in Morgan rd Belrose. This gross over development proposal for medium density housing amongst ancient aboriginal carvings at traditional sites in our precious local bushland which is home to our flora & fauna is completely unacceptable..this remaining natural environment in Belrose provides clean water into the Narrabeen lake catchment to counter against the heavily polluted run off from Kimbriki waste management center (garbage tip) that drains into Narrabeen lake..

This disgraceful proposal has no license to proceed on social & environmental grounds let alone bushfire risk or complete lack of supporting infrastructure..The state government has already earmarked the nearby northern beaches hospital precinct for build 10 000 new residents..the cumulative affect all these new residents will overwhelm already lacking local roads,schools & infrastructure.. May I also note that despite the fact that no information & public comment/feedback on this development has been provided to nor seeked from local residents for this development proposal..as such it should not be supported by northern beaches council..The fact that this proposed development may receive state significant project status government in order to bypass local planning /environmental regulations is nothing more than a cynical ploy to disregard local residents heartfelt concerns for the future of the northern beaches,

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

St Ives

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land should be available to all residents of the area to use - we can't afford to keep building on bushland. It was given to MLALC to protect and keep for the benefit of everyone - not just to line their pockets.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2627

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

Colonisers have destroyed enough sacred indigenous places already.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Forest area is where people love to live because of all the wonderful bushland. We don't need more housing, traffic is horrendous enough. Keep the forest as it is. Aboriginal people wanted their land back, they received it back and now want to sell it off, what a joke.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am writing to oppose the Northern Beaches Aboriginal land development plan. With all the over developed parts of Sydney, leave the bush in peace for everyone to enjoy. Displacing animals and putting profits before people is completely unnecessary. The Northern Beaches has an enviable mass of bush, let's leave it that way.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This proposal goes against the environment and will decimate the northern beaches. There is no infrastructure to support such a proposal and the bush is an important part of the surrounding area with endangered flora and fauna to protect.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Duffys Forest 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am against the proposal. The aboriginal people were given this land for the purposes of their history and heritage, and their connection with the land. I don't believe they have any right to just sell it on to developers to make money, it defeats the reason they were given it in the first place.

Besides that, the surrounding suburbs to the proposed development lack much needed infrastructure, have traffic build up, flood regularly, and lack services such as decent roads and town water. The wildlife that live in this bush land is abundant, and it is unfair that due to urban sprawl that the wildlife are being pushed into smaller and smaller areas just to make money for developers and the aboriginal land council who obviously do not care about the traditional land they were given.

The roads and services must first be upgraded, and a proper plan looked into for large wildlife corridors. I don't believe this is on the cards anytime soon, and the Forestway, warringah and mona vale main roads that surround the area are already bottle necked in peak hour. Adding to the number of cars on the road here, especially due to the lack of public transport that services the area, would be an additional disaster.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee Why, 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The subject land should be preserved as green space. Public access should be granted to the land with an emphasis on encouraging outdoor recreation.

The major infrastructure of the region is not sufficient to support further large land development. There is also a need to maintain green space so close to the Sydney CBD - recent covid19 lockdowns demonstrated the value of access to such green spaces.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Council,

I write today to plead with you to leave the bushland at Corymbia Circuit, Oxford Falls out of the proposed development for the MLALC. While I am supportive of the mission of the MLALC and the chance to fulfil economic prosperity via land developments, bushland in greater Sydney needs to be seen as being more valuable than the opportunity to develop upon it.

In recent years, recreation activities of hundreds of northern beaches residents have been undertaken in this exceptional pocket of land. It would be such a shame to lose this area to development of any kind.

Outdoor recreation is such an essential component of modern life, and many children and adults do not achieve daily recommendations for participation. Covid lockdowns have re-shaped how community members engage with their local area, and the greater Sydney lockdowns experienced in 2020 and 2021 have seen countless children, teenagers and adults commence or re-ignite their passion for riding bicycles. Riding bicycles is a recreational activity that can be enjoyed by individuals aged from 3-103! It is a sustainable form of transport and inspires much joy in all participants.. A short trip around any part of the northern beaches on any given day will reveal large numbers of cyclists, especially those riding mountain bikes.

This expansion of local riders in the northern beaches LGA has led to a large influx of riders with the realisation that there are actually very few purpose-built mountain bike trails on the northern beaches.

The impromptu trail network in the Oxford Falls bushland near Corymbia Circuit is home to some excellent trails for bike riding, running and walking. On any given day there are a plethora of trail users, all of whom leave with a

smile on their face. This is recreation time well-spent, with nature providing an excellent stimulus for physical activity, active leisure and skill challenges. These outcomes have positive implications for well-being and quality of life, elements of modern life that are seemingly so difficult to obtain.

There are several other locations within Sydney or indeed the Northern Beaches LGA that might be suitable for such development, however, the bushland at Corymbia Circuit should be left alone. To be honest, it is hard to imagine a safe housing development in the proposed area, given the slope of the land, the limited access and the potential bushfire risk. Further, with the yet-to-be-determined impacts of possible climate change in our lifetime, it is difficult to know how deep bushland housing will fare up to heavy rains, possible landslips, fire or drought.

I urge you to reconsider the development of Corymbia Circuit bushland as it is not within the character of the surrounding area, the possible risks associated with severe weather and bushfires and the myriad of recreation users of the trail network who stand to lose access should the development proceed.

Thank you for providing an opportunity to share my perspective.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

ingleside/ sydney / 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It deeply saddens me and my family and friends that this beautiful land will be cleared for more housing. This spiritual land should be preserved.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 15:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

there is other land within the area much more suitable for re development which helps save our existing fauna see Hospital Precinct Plan

I thought Aborigines were custodians for land never owning it. Yet when given land for cultural use ,not sure what that means or cultural significance ? they obtain a rezoning and sell This has already happen in our area. The money from the land sales goes elsewhere why and how

Much more detail is needed on who pays for the infrastructure of projects , how existing infrastructure is upgraded and paid for. The Hospital Plan which has more merit has been held up for years because of lack of infrastructure details and costings The site is a difficult steep site and work in this area will cause erosion issues lake siltation issues remember our 8 march rain

Look there are many reasons to reject and if the aborigines only want the land to redevelop and sell take it back and put it into parks to the benefits of all people

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Seaforth

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

I support the Metropolitan Land Council and also the opportunity for more affordable housing .

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

OXFORD FALLS

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife.

During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers.

There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical

that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham.

I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. As a resident of Oxford Falls Road East I can attest that the traffic has increased dramatically with the the building of Northern Beaches Hospital, and the road is unsafe for pedestrians around Oxford Falls Grammar. Additional housing will increase the risk to those pedestrians.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

Whilst I recognise that the MLALC are seeking to gain economic benefit from their land through development, this should be achieved through a land swap with the State Government so the MLALC can have access to land more suitable for development.

In addition, the preservation of the northern beaches MLALC lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Yours faithfully



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Inadequate infrastructure to support the new development like public transport  
destruction of natural area for native flora and fauna community  
bushfire risks  
loss of bush trails for cyclists and walkers

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2035

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Marrickville 2204

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of the country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

OBJECT!!!!!! PLEASE PLEASE leave this area be and not sell it on under the guise of Senior Living or Aboriginal Land. It's an absolute disgrace!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 14:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Seaforth

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This area should remain as bush land and leave all aboriginal land carvings in tact.

There's no room for more people the roads are full, the schools are full.

There's no sewer line ... Who's paying for that?

I am totally against this development proposal.

Please don't ruin the environment for our beautiful native animals and create more greed for developers .

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists. Alternatively, these lands could be leased in perpetuity providing a regular income to the MLALC.

In 1983 when the crown land was returned in reparation, we knew much less about the Bushland and the urgency to preserve the biodiversity and carbon sink and would not have contemplated the impact of such a loss of Bushland to development. Now that we know better we have a collective responsibility to do better.

Whilst the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) have every right to an economic outcome that benefits their community (and I believe this understanding is widely shared), I believe that we can find options to achieve an equitable outcome for the MLALC without needing to destroy Bushland and without development damaging historically important sites for an economic outcome.

With the Federal and State elections coming up and the strength of community concern, this will be a deciding factor for many voters. We love and respect our environment and be assured that any attempt to destroy it will not be met with apathy from the community.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The irrefutable impacts of the Lizard Rock (Morgan), Paxton, Corymbia development proposals upon wildlife, forestation, bushfire, drainage, commuter access, existing power/telecommunications infrastructure, along with the failings in the plan's accuracy, legality, consultation, and communication are being well documented by people more specialised than myself. Therefore, I will just supplement these multiple shortcomings of the proposal, by sharing two personal opinions about the MLALC.

First, I find it disrespectful and coercive that the MLALC makes decisions on behalf of the local Garigal people as I understand there are no Garigal people on the MLALC. Clans should speak for their own land, as only they have the intimate, generational knowledge and connection with it. Access to country and the health and reciprocity with land is a cornerstone of Aboriginal identity. Covering Garigal country in privately owned, inaccessible dwellings does not improve outcomes for local Garigal people who would be prevented from accessing their lands. (Unless those dwellings were being built exclusively for provision to Garigal people of course, but the MLALC has not said this is to be the case in their proposal). The NZ experience should also be highlighted, where historically 'umbrella' iwi have laid claim to lands or sought remuneration under the Treaty of Waitangi, only for those actions to be contested by future generations. MLALC should not speak for Garigal people and their development seems at odds with Aboriginal beliefs – so I question the integrity and objectives of the MLALC.

Whilst acknowledging that the Garigal lands were not initially transferred transparently and legally during British settlement, I believe that when you know better you should do better and in our present day, no person/council should be able to operate outside the law/planning laws. These lands have been deemed unsuitable for development many times over, it is one of the reasons they were available for transfer to the MLALC. The

MLALC should not be able to operate outside of established processes. If they wish to prioritise short term financial gain over the retention of accessible land for future generations, then the MLALC and state government should negotiate an exchange of this bushland for other land that is more amenable to property development. Local land should be cared for by local people who care.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident living here, we are proud of the peaceful and elegant surrounding environment, so please not to destroy it. Thank you.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford falls 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As an Oxford falls long time resident and garden and maintenance man for several of the large properties, i get to see a lot of wildlife and building this will ruin part of the corridor between Oxford falls all the way up to Garigal national park



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposal.

I don't believe that any group including the aboriginals should have access to a tool such as this SEPP that allows local councils and their people to be bypassed.

These particular lands form part of an invaluable wildlife corridor in northern Sydney. They should be permanently preserved for all Australians present and in the future, as part of the national park network. They have been in continuous use by local people for bushwalking, cycling and observing nature.

There is inadequate infrastructure at the proposed sites; power, sewage, water, stormwater and roads. The costs associated with providing these services should not be borne by the general community under any circumstances.

The areas in question contain many rare and endangered plant and animal species as well as some locally rare/unusual populations. Included threatened species such as the Koala, Powerful Owls, Feather Gliders, and Bandicoots. The areas are rich in flora including 5 species of boronia and numerous ground orchid species.

I am shocked and disappointed that the government is even considering such large scale removal of natural bushland within Sydney. Climate change is upon us and to a large extent this is driven by the removal of natural forests and bushlands. More fires, floods and extreme weather events will surely follow if the government continues to allow or perhaps even encourage the destruction of our native bush, a little bit here and a little bit there. Our bushlands provide clean air for us and also act as a heat sink during hot weather.

The lands in question have been previously considered for development, and have been found to be unsuitable due to fire risk, steep topography and proximity to sensitive installations including the Telstra Oxford falls facility.

Development in the Narrabeen lake catchment such as the Lizard Rock proposal will lead to more stormwater run off and exacerbate flooding at Narrabeen and on the Wakehurst Parkway, as well as increasing the frequency of such events.

The proposal is not in the broader public interest and should be rejected..

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposal.

I don't believe that any group including the aboriginals should have access to a tool such as this SEPP that allows local councils and their people to be bypassed.

These particular lands form part of an invaluable wildlife corridor in northern Sydney. They should be permanently preserved for all Australians present and in the future, as part of the national park network. They have been in continuous use by local people for bushwalking, cycling and observing nature.

There is inadequate infrastructure at the proposed sites; power, sewage, water, stormwater and roads. The costs associated with providing these services should not be borne by the general community under any circumstances.

The areas in question contain many rare and endangered plant and animal species as well as some locally rare/unusual populations. Included threatened species such as the Koala, Powerful Owls, Feather Gliders, and Bandicoots. The areas are rich in flora including 5 species of boronia and numerous ground orchid species.

I am shocked and disappointed that the government is even considering such large scale removal of natural bushland within Sydney. Climate change is upon us and to a large extent this is driven by the removal of natural forests and bushlands. More fires, floods and extreme weather events will surely follow if the government continues to allow or perhaps even encourage the destruction of our native bush, a little bit here and a little bit there. Our bushlands provide clean air for us and also act as a heat sink during hot weather.

The lands in question have been previously considered for development, and have been found to be unsuitable due to fire risk, steep topography and proximity to sensitive installations including the Telstra Oxford falls facility.

Development in the Narrabeen lake catchment such as the Lizard Rock proposal will lead to more stormwater run off and exacerbate flooding at Narrabeen and on the Wakehurst Parkway, as well as increasing the frequency of such events.

The proposal is not in the broader public interest and should be rejected..

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I feel as though financial beneficiaries are using terms such as 'economic benefit' as a guise to distract people in the area from the environmental and cultural harm these amendments may have on the land in our community. It is not with in the northern beaches community's best interest to develop and sell more land for the financial gain of some and the environmental and cultural loss of many..

**I agree to the above statement**

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

■

**Last name**

■

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

■

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I feel as though financial beneficiaries are using terms such as 'economic benefit' as a guise to distract people in the area from the environmental and cultural harm these amendments may have on the land in our community. It is not with in the northern beaches community's best interest to develop and sell more land for the financial gain of some and the environmental and cultural loss of many..

**I agree to the above statement**

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Sawtell 2452

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not agree

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

These areas should be returned to a state that reflects aboriginal land management. It is well documented that the First Nations people of this area believed that you should care for and manage the country. They also believed that you should leave the land the way you found it. The greatest estate on earth has been quoted to describe Australia. The Aboriginal land council land in the northern beaches should become managed native parkland where we bring back the wonderful culture from past. Clear the underwood and follow the land management techniques that were in practice in 1788 before the genocide in this area happened. It is immoral to develop aboriginal land in any other way. I can see community coming together for feast and festivals in these areas in a way that has not yet happened since the invasion. This could be where all the people the community embrace our lost local culture.. This culture is beautiful compared to the current alcohol trapped society we currently find ourselves in. Do not develop this land, force council and us in the community to protect it on behalf of people Gari. Bungaree is the first Australian protect his land. He has descendants that are getting nothing from this. Embrace our beautiful first nations culture stop burying it under concrete.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development of pristine bushland surrounding Frenchs Forest for the following reasons;

- Traffic congestion
- Vulnerability to bush fires
- Destruction of habitat for native flora and fauna
- Pollution run off
- Lack of protection from vandalism of Aboriginal carvings

I propose that the land be purchased by the State or Federal Government to be included in the surrounding National Parks, so that it is preserved for future generations to enjoy.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 23:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I ████████ object to the plans for development of 6 sites owned by MLALC in the Belrose, Frenchs Forest & Oxford Falls localities.

Environmental importance:

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock, Weardon Rd, Corymbia Circuit & Ralston Ave area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.
2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.
3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.
4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found. The local community also values them for their scenic value.

5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.

6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.

7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.

8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.

9. Angophora crassifolia: There is an extensive stand of Angophora crassifolia on the top plateau.

Additionally, the Lizard Rock area has significant constraints to development which have been mapped by the former Warringah Council such as:

1. Highly erodible soils. The dependence of hydrology and bushland on the soil profile, and the relationship between weeds and soil disturbance or siltation, contribute to the importance of this environmental factor.

2. Highly rated creek catchments with minimal levels of impervious surfaces. These catchments need protection. Runoff from surrounding area affects subsurface flow, vegetation in riparian buffers, water flow and quality in creeks and contributes to flooding events.

3. Water quality for creeks flowing into Narrabeen Lagoon.

4. Limitations of Warriewood Sewage Treatment Station. Effluent already escapes during heavy rain events. The Treatment Station does not have the capacity to take drainage from a major suburban development.

5. High bushfire risk. This whole area is bushfire prone with limited escape routes in the event of a major fire.

6. Telstra satellite facility. Unless the technology has changed, the satellite facility in Oxford Falls that is very close to the Lizard Rock area needs bushland all around it to protect the data integrity of international communications. The facility was established in Oxford Falls because there are no lights, houses, electronic interferences etc from suburbia around it. Investigation of this needs to be included.

7. Limited egress for residents - one single access road, which is frequently subject to flooding. This is a high fire risk area so egress is a matter of life and death.

8. Increasing hard surfaces, or losing the sponge function of the natural bushland will aggravate flooding downhill along the 'Wakehurst Parkway' and the edges of Narrabeen Lagoon which are also already of increasing concern during rain events.

9. High voltage power lines cross sections of the land. Access to power lines would be lost if, as requested, road reserves are sold to MLALC.

Finally, there are a large number of walking trails scattered throughout the 6 proposed development areas which make this part of the Northern Beaches a special place to live. I have personally taken my young family on trail walks with a real focus on education around the aboriginal heritage and flora and fauna in the area around Corymbia Circuit, Weardon Road, Lizard Rock and Ralston Ave. If the MLALC truly care about the heritage of the bushland, they would choose to continue to preserve the bush for future generations.

Can I propose that the State Government look to purchase the land back, providing funding for MLALC whilst preserving the bush in the Northern Beaches giving both the MLALC and the people of the area what they want. This way, everyone wins.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████████████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee why 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Too close to potential bush fire treats, reduction of land for native Flora and Forna. will cause more congestion to already over crowded street traffic

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This proposal should be rejected as it will destroy large areas of native habitat for flora and fauna, would change the character of the area, would cause the loss of well used bush trails that provide recreation and exercise for many. Additionally, there is inadequate infrastructure to support the significant increase in residents that these dwellings would house. I strongly urge that this proposal is rejected.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 13:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Strathfield 2135

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am opposed to the development of the 6 sites in the Northern Beaches LGA based on my concerns of the negative impact that any development will have on this bushland.

These areas are all part of a irreplaceable habitat.

This bushland is a valuable natural asset important for wildlife and plant life.

It also provides residents and visitors alike with recreational , health and well being benefits.

I strongly believe we need to protect as much bushland as possible as once it's gone, it's gone for ever.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am objecting to the proposals for Corymbia circuit. This will have strong adverse effects to the natural habitat of the native wildlife

This area is not designed for this level of density housing



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Collaroy plateau 2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This submission is in response to the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) 's exhibition of a draft Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan (DDP), and proposed amendment to the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 (ALSEPP), for six (6) sites owned by the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) in the Northern Beaches Local Government Area (LGA). I welcome and support the aim of MLALC to support economic independence through the use of the developable land as a means of prosperity across many means, being cultural, spiritual and financial. However, this project raises several issues that could put MLALC, DPE, and the Northern Beaches out of touch with the rest of the state, country, and world regarding sustainable 21st-century urban developments.

Environmentally, this project could have devastating impacts on the Northern Beaches fragile ecosystem. All six sites are almost entirely comprised of native vegetation, of which only 73 hectares has been proposed for future development. These habitats are known environments for several threatened species of flora and fauna and threatened ecological communities. On several of the proposed sites, previous assessments have identified these as being "core habitats" and part of Sydney Norths District Green Grid as being of High Environmental Value. These sites provide a critical corridor habitat for arboreal mammals, birds, and ground species in a fragmented landscape. This project is not in line with the Greater Sydney region Plans objective 27: Biodiversity is protected, urban bushland and remanet vegetation is enhanced; instead, it will further stress many Northern Beaches species. Rocky outcrops will be removed, resulting in habitat loss for many reptiles and small marsupials. Large hollow-bearing trees will be cut down, vital for arboreal mammals and hollow nesting birds, and it will lose connectivity essential for larger species such as Swamp Wallabies. Waterway health has also not been appropriately considered in this project. Many of these proposed sites have waterways, wetlands and water-dependent ecosystems such as site six, which will be cleared or have their riparian zones removed, resulting in

significantly lowered conservation value, reduced water quality and subdued flows. Waterways flowing into Narrabeen Lake may alter the Lake's quality. This Lake has significant social, environmental and economic value to the community of Narrabeen. The development does not meet Northern beaches or state government waterway management plans adequately. Last, concerning ecological impacts, the proposal will cause inappropriate development and incompatible land use in areas exposed to very high bush fire risk. This may cause increased risk to human life and properties as the proposed sites are challenging to be evacuated. With what we have experienced in the 2019-2020 fires, this proposal shouldn't be deemed appropriate, especially as summers become hotter and the risk of fires intensifies.

The proposal has mentioned no good plans regarding sustainability or urban design. In this day and age, new developments such as this one must deliver how they will ensure building standards and perform low carbon construction. As this development would be deemed "urban intensification" as defined by Toward 2040, it is crucial that it outline how it will ensure the projects are sustainable through means such as solar power, reduced carbon emission, and lowered water use. The proposed sites are also not near key public transport routes, thus further increasing congestion and increasing the use of cars as primary transport. Studies and plans must be produced concerning the proposed developments' urban design, especially for site one 450 dwellings. The DDP's "design principles" for site one highlight issues concerning how they translate to the Design and Place SEPP and Towards 2040. They suggest that in principle one, they'll maintain an underdeveloped character to the development; however, how this will occur given that the whole site is almost entirely comprised of remnant bushland is unclear. In principle six, they suggest lot sizes will range, embodying outcrops and existing trees offering a sparse development approach, rather than a compact, walkable design as desired under the draft Design and Place principles, consideration and design criteria.

This project is a step in the wrong direction for Sydney. First, it will have a lasting impact on the Northern Beaches' natural environment by destroying hundreds of hectares of natural bushland. Second, the developments don't align with the Northern beaches council or state government objectives regarding sustainability, urban design, and biodiversity protection. Third, developments will heighten congestion as there is a lack of public transport. Fourth, bushfires are a considerable risk to this area and should be taken seriously, given that all sites back onto bushland have limited entry and exit points. Last, this proposal may not be in the best interest of MLALC as it will put their organisation and their objectives into question because of the irreversible damage they are proposing to allow on the country. An independent assessment of the strategic review and draft DDP is critical to ensure no conflict of interest occurs within DPE. They are the collaborators on this project and the determining authority for the DDP/SEPP amendments. Finally, we propose a different solution for the NSW government, MLALC, NPWS and other stakeholders.. This land is of high social, heritage and environmental value. There is an opportunity for MLALC to create, with the state government, to develop several attractions, much like the Gardens of the Stones National park last year. They could create educational walks and a centre to engage the community on the culture and heritage of traditional owners in areas while also maintaining and revitalising the land. The NSW government could buy the sites from MLALC to be part of Gadigal National Park or as conservation reserves so that recreational activity can presume that isn't allowed within national parks can occur, such as dirt biking. In this scenario, the NSW government buys the land from MLALC, providing a monetary incentive for MLALC; the community is rewarded with new walking, bike tracks and indigenous educational experiences and the remnant bushland is maintained and managed to meet environmental objectives under the Towards 2040 plan.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This submission is in response to the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) 's exhibition of a draft Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan (DDP), and proposed amendment to the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 (ALSEPP), for six (6) sites owned by the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) in the Northern Beaches Local Government Area (LGA). I welcome and support the aim of MLALC to support economic independence through the use of the developable land as a means of prosperity across many means, being cultural, spiritual and financial. However, this project raises several issues that could put MLALC, DPE, and the Northern Beaches out of touch with the rest of the state, country, and world regarding sustainable 21st-century urban developments.

Environmentally, this project could have devastating impacts on the Northern Beaches fragile ecosystem. All six sites are almost entirely comprised of native vegetation, of which only 73 hectares has been proposed for future development. These habitats are known environments for several threatened species of flora and fauna and threatened ecological communities. On several of the proposed sites, previous assessments have identified these as being "core habitats" and part of Sydney Norths District Green Grid as being of High Environmental Value. These sites provide a critical corridor habitat for arboreal mammals, birds, and ground species in a fragmented landscape. This project is not in line with the Greater Sydney region Plans objective 27: Biodiversity is protected, urban bushland and remanet vegetation is enhanced; instead, it will further stress many Northern Beaches species. Rocky outcrops will be removed, resulting in habitat loss for many reptiles and small marsupials. Large hollow-bearing trees will be cut down, vital for arboreal mammals and hollow nesting birds, and it will lose connectivity essential for larger species such as Swamp Wallabies. Waterway health has also not been appropriately considered in this project. Many of these proposed sites have waterways, wetlands and water-dependent ecosystems such as site six, which will be cleared or have their riparian zones removed, resulting in

significantly lowered conservation value, reduced water quality and subdued flows. Waterways flowing into Narrabeen Lake may alter the Lake's quality. This Lake has significant social, environmental and economic value to the community of Narrabeen. The development does not meet Northern beaches or state government waterway management plans adequately. Last, concerning ecological impacts, the proposal will cause inappropriate development and incompatible land use in areas exposed to very high bush fire risk. This may cause increased risk to human life and properties as the proposed sites are challenging to be evacuated. With what we have experienced in the 2019-2020 fires, this proposal shouldn't be deemed appropriate, especially as summers become hotter and the risk of fires intensifies.

The proposal has mentioned no good plans regarding sustainability or urban design. In this day and age, new developments such as this one must deliver how they will ensure building standards and perform low carbon construction. As this development would be deemed "urban intensification" as defined by Toward 2040, it is crucial that it outline how it will ensure the projects are sustainable through means such as solar power, reduced carbon emission, and lowered water use. The proposed sites are also not near key public transport routes, thus further increasing congestion and increasing the use of cars as primary transport. Studies and plans must be produced concerning the proposed developments' urban design, especially for site one 450 dwellings. The DDP's "design principles" for site one highlight issues concerning how they translate to the Design and Place SEPP and Towards 2040. They suggest that in principle one, they'll maintain an underdeveloped character to the development; however, how this will occur given that the whole site is almost entirely comprised of remnant bushland is unclear. In principle six, they suggest lot sizes will range, embodying outcrops and existing trees offering a sparse development approach, rather than a compact, walkable design as desired under the draft Design and Place principles, consideration and design criteria.

This project is a step in the wrong direction for Sydney. First, it will have a lasting impact on the Northern Beaches' natural environment by destroying hundreds of hectares of natural bushland. Second, the developments don't align with the Northern beaches council or state government objectives regarding sustainability, urban design, and biodiversity protection. Third, developments will heighten congestion as there is a lack of public transport. Fourth, bushfires are a considerable risk to this area and should be taken seriously, given that all sites back onto bushland have limited entry and exit points. Last, this proposal may not be in the best interest of MLALC as it will put their organisation and their objectives into question because of the irreversible damage they are proposing to allow on the country. An independent assessment of the strategic review and draft DDP is critical to ensure no conflict of interest occurs within DPE. They are the collaborators on this project and the determining authority for the DDP/SEPP amendments. Finally, we propose a different solution for the NSW government, MLALC, NPWS and other stakeholders.. This land is of high social, heritage and environmental value. There is an opportunity for MLALC to create, with the state government, to develop several attractions, much like the Gardens of the Stones National park last year. They could create educational walks and a centre to engage the community on the culture and heritage of traditional owners in areas while also maintaining and revitalising the land. The NSW government could buy the sites from MLALC to be part of Gadigal National Park or as conservation reserves so that recreational activity can presume that isn't allowed within national parks can occur, such as dirt biking. In this scenario, the NSW government buys the land from MLALC, providing a monetary incentive for MLALC; the community is rewarded with new walking, bike tracks and indigenous educational experiences and the remnant bushland is maintained and managed to meet environmental objectives under the Towards 2040 plan.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

frenchs forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposal of industrial and residential development --SITE 5 (Wearden Rd./Corymbia Circuit).

The reasons are :Traffic and noise would increase multi fold.

Roads too small to handle heavy traffic. Bushfire risks( evacuation

problems--difficult to get to main road.We would lose the rural feel.The bushland is worth preserving.

and the threats to wildlife would increase,also the loss of bush trails would be a great loss for us walkers.

Haven't we got enough with the development of the new Fr.Forest town center and the proposed update of the ARNDALE center?

Regards,

████████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I live at the boundary of Belrose/Frechs Forest and mountain bike on tracks which have been built over the past three years off of Weardon Road and Corymbia Circuit.

The area has come to be known as 'The Belrose Bowl' where hundreds of people have enjoyed this beautiful area of bush over the past few years. Many residents have experienced this gem of a small bush area due to Covid and exploring more.

There are very few sanctioned mountain bike trails (legally rideable) in the Northern Beaches, with many tracks residing in National Parks. The Belrose Bowl encompasses 8 different land owners, both private and corporate. The Aboriginal Land Council has claimed recent Crown land which has been made available. I know the Land Council has the right to claim this land as private land.

Council has made available locations like Bear Creek which caters to a specific type of mountain biking which focuses on jumps and pump tracks which can be contained within a small footprint.

The Belrose Bowl represents cross-country and/or All Mountain biking trails which covers a much wider footprint and therefore is difficult for local councils to support.

With the possibility of housing going into the sites off Weardon Rd and Corymbia Ct, trails and access to trails in the Belrose Bowl will be affected. Housing for very few will be at the cost of thousands who have walked, run, and cycled in this little piece of bush land. There are trails which have been created which focus on younger

riders. There are no other trails like these in the Northern Beaches.

These small pockets of bush, which are not on National Park land are quite rare. The Belrose Bowl is accessible to local residents and has become well known to the wider Northern Beaches community also. I support the Aboriginal Land Council to acquire land to support their many needs. I also believe these parcels of land in question, although benefiting the needs of the Land Council will have an adverse effect on the community and take away natural history and recreational opportunities which cannot be replaced in the Northern Beaches.

Please do not allow development in this area to go ahead.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Clontarf 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the submission because it will destroy bushland and habitat. The proposal does not consider environmental amenity values and will result in increased storm water runoff. It will reduce water quality and increase pollution. The area is highly vulnerable to bushfires and development should occur within existing urban areas. The area lacks sufficient supporting infrastructure and will increase traffic congestion in local streets.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee Why 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to

protect.

- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

The proposed residential development and deforestation of the Lizard rock site on the Northern Beaches is tragic, but consistent with the unprecedented development and "land clearing" allowed by the NSW coalition government (contrary to their professed "net zero" targets or legislation). The continuation of the coalition's environmentally destructive housing development and farming policies and practices are simply not sustainable long term (Melbourne Sustainable Society Institute, 2015, p. iv; Wood & Grivas, 2020).

The appropriate question to ask in these circumstances is not whether the Lizard Rock site should be sold to developers (if it is not to be turned into a state or national park, the preferred course of action). Rather, the appropriate question to ask is why NSW SEPP and local Northern Beaches council building, planning and agricultural requirements are not mandating the preservation of 15-20% of old growth forests for all new developments or agricultural use across the board (not just at Lizard Rock).. Indeed, the continual expansion of deforestation, euphemistically termed "land clearing" is both driving global warming and our capacity to mitigate its effects (FAO, 2019). In New South Wales alone, agricultural land occupies eighty-one percent of the state (grazing land alone occupying forty-four percent) (Department of Agriculture, Land and Water, 2018). While land termed "conservation and natural environments" occupy only fourteen percent (Department of Agriculture, Land and Water, 2018). Yet the situation is getting progressively worse, with New South Wales land clearing approvals increasing thirteen-fold since the New South Wales Coalition government relaxed laws in 2016 (Morton, 2020). Moreover, deforestation approvals "jumped more than 70% after the rules covering land clearing changed at the start of 2019, rising from 25, 457 ha in the final quarter in 2018 to 43,553 ha in the first three months of the new year [2019]" (Morton, 2020). Yet as the population continues to expand, periods of extreme heat and disasters more frequent due global warming, the supply of both arable farming land and cooler residential land offerings are becoming increasingly scarce.

The reality is that in order to limit the tragic biodiversity loss encouraged by the current coalition land clearing

approvals, both private residential land and agricultural land should be required to retain 20% of land as old growth forest and wildlife corridors. Further, development of already degraded land should be required to replant natives in a manner with sustainable ecosystem recovery (not just plopping a few eucalyptus in a row and dusting off one's hands) Aside from slowing biodiversity loss, such policies would prevent the creation of suburb-wide heat islands in Western Sydney (and visible in the recent developments in the Warriewood area of the Northern Beaches (where large houses are packed several metres apart without a tree in sight).

The widespread negative commentary concerning the rights of the native title owners to sell the part of their land holding is grossly misplaced. Rather, the sale and use of the Lizard Rock should rather provide an opportunity to re-evaluate the ongoing and widespread misuse of both public and private land, with a particular focus on agricultural land and environmental degradation outside the line of sight of Sydneysiders.

Sadly, even employing the use of restrictive covenants (requiring 20% of this to be developed sensitive environmental land to be retained as old growth/ wildlife corridors) will not ensure the preservation of local biodiversity.

" Councils in NSW may be able to over-ride covenants so that the covenants become of "no effect" if they have appropriate provisions in their Local Environmental Plans (LEPs). This has been tested in the High Court (Coshott's Case), where the Court found that both Bathurst Regional Council and Woollahra Council have the ability to nullify covenants because their LEPs contain a provision to the effect that no-one can create a restriction which fetters or restrains what council would otherwise approve as a lawful development. In such areas it is then possible to "cure" a breach of covenant by applying for a Council Building Certificate and when developing land a covenant can be ignored provided that Council approves the development. This has been the cause of a great deal of consternation with local developers who see their "protective restrictions" being pushed aside by the local council. Some other Council LEPs also have provisions which may enable the Council to grant Development Consent with conditions that modify or overrule private restrictive covenants. Whether or not this is possible in a particular local government area would require close examination of its LEP. " (from Restrictive Covenants - Property and Commercial - elringtons lawyers <https://elringtons.com.au/2011/09/restrictive-covenants/>)

Rather, the reduction of land clearing rates, biodiversity loss, creation of heat islands, and loss of carbon sinks can only occur with appropriate legislative redress of the the current LNP and Northern Beaches Council development instruments ensuring preservation of at least 20% of all old growth forest on all public and private land developments (irrespective of whether they are agricultural or residential in nature).

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 12:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this proposal because of lack of infrastructure and bushfire risks putting existing residents at greater risk due to lack of safe escapes in the event of a bushfire. Also putting emergency personal at great risk of injury and death.

Morgan Rd and ralston Av are not to be destroyed for the detriment of existing residents and wildlife.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites.

Also the extra traffic it will bring and extent of damage to the environment it will cause for the longer term that we can never get back if this was to go ahead.

We have to do better for our natural environment and areas we live in to preserve what we have now and into the future.

Better planning strategies within current infrastructure to make it sustainable and not in untouched bushlands. Consult better with the wider community.

Regards

████████████████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

A lifelong resident of Frenchs Forest, I strongly oppose the proposed development of Ralston Avenue, Lizard Rock and all MLALC areas.

I believe that the proposed developments are inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as they would damage strategic biodiversity corridors and threaten endangered species of flora and fauna. The areas involved are home to several endangered species including powerful owls, barking owls, and heath monitors. There have even been documented sightings of koalas and wombats in recent years. As a keen bush walker, birdwatcher and volunteer bush regenerator in Garigal National Park, I know and love deeply this invaluable natural resource, but I am also sadly aware of how much has been lost in recent decades with new housing and commercial developments carved out in areas of hitherto pristine bushland. I therefore believe it is critical that we protect, conserve and treasure all remaining habitat.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2101

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

The proposed residential development and deforestation of the Lizard rock site on the Northern Beaches is tragic, but consistent with the unprecedented development and “land clearing” allowed by the NSW coalition government (contrary to their professed “net zero” targets or legislation). The continuation of the coalition’s environmentally destructive housing development and farming policies and practices are not simply not sustainable long term (Melbourne Sustainable Society Institute, 2015, p.. iv; Wood & Grivas, 2020). The appropriate question to ask in these circumstances is not whether the Lizard Rock site should be sold to developers (if it is not to be turned into a state or national park, the preferred course of action). Rather, the appropriate question to ask is why NSW SEPP and local Northern Beaches council building, planning and agricultural requirements are not mandating the preservation of 15-20% of old growth forests for all new developments or agricultural use across the board (not just at Lizard Rock). Indeed, the continual expansion of deforestation, euphemistically termed “land clearing” is both driving global warming and our capacity to mitigate its effects (FAO, 2019). In New South Wales alone, agricultural land occupies eighty-one percent of the state (grazing land alone occupying forty-four percent) (Department of Agriculture, Land and Water, 2018). While land termed “conservation and natural environments” occupy only fourteen percent (Department of Agriculture, Land and Water, 2018). Yet the situation is getting progressively worse, with New South Wales land clearing approvals increasing thirteen-fold since the New South Wales Coalition government relaxed laws in 2016 (Morton, 2020). Moreover, deforestation approvals “jumped more than 70% after the rules covering land clearing changed at the start of 2019, rising from 25, 457 ha in the final quarter in 2018 to 43,553 ha in the first three months of the new year [2019]” (Morton, 2020). Yet as the population continues to expand, periods of extreme heat and disasters more frequent due global warming, the supply of both arable farming land and cooler residential land offerings are becoming increasing scarce.

The reality is that in order the limit the tragic biodiversity loss encouraged by the current coalition land clearing



approvals, both private residential land and agricultural land should be required to retain 20% of land as old growth forest and wildlife corridors. Further, development of already degraded land should be required to replant natives in a manner with sustainable ecosystem recovery (not just plopping a few eucalyptus in a row and dusting off one's hands) Aside from slowing biodiversity loss, such policies would prevent the creation of suburb-wide heat islands in Western Sydney (and visible in the recent developments in the Warriewood area of the Northern Beaches (where large houses are packed several metres apart without a tree in sight). The widespread negative commentary concerning the rights of the native title owners to sell the part of their land holding is grossly misplaced. Rather, the sale and use of the Lizard Rock should rather provide an opportunity to re-evaluate the ongoing and widespread misuse of both public and private land, with a particular focus on agricultural land and environmental degradation outside the line of sight of Sydneysiders.

Sadly, even employing the use of restrictive covenants (requiring 20% of this to be developed sensitive environmental land to be retained as old growth/ wildlife corridors) will not ensure the preservation of local biodiversity.

" Councils in NSW may be able to over-ride covenants so that the covenants become of "no effect" if they have appropriate provisions in their Local Environmental Plans (LEPs). This has been tested in the High Court (Coshott's Case), where the Court found that both Bathurst Regional Council and Woollahra Council have the ability to nullify covenants because their LEPs contain a provision to the effect that no-one can create a restriction which fetters or restrains what council would otherwise approve as a lawful development. In such areas it is then possible to "cure" a breach of covenant by applying for a Council Building Certificate and when developing land a covenant can be ignored provided that Council approves the development. This has been the cause of a great deal of consternation with local developers who see their "protective restrictions" being pushed aside by the local council. Some other Council LEPs also have provisions which may enable the Council to grant Development Consent with conditions that modify or overrule private restrictive covenants. Whether or not this is possible in a particular local government area would require close examination of its LEP. " (from Restrictive Covenants - Property and Commercial - elringtons lawyers <https://elringtons.com.au/2011/09/restrictive-covenants/>) Rather, the reduction of land clearing rates, biodiversity loss, creation of heat islands, and loss of carbon sinks can only occur with appropriate legislative redress of the the current LNP and Northern Beaches Council development instruments ensuring preservation of at least 20% of all old growth forest on all public and private land developments (irrespective of whether they are agricultural or residential in nature).

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

I want to protect and keep the bushlands here as they are.

The major infrastructure and traffic concerns it would bring for this size of development, would be detrimental to the local area and environment, bringing increase in pollution and deplete from the natural environment we are lucky to have.

Just want to keep and preserve the bushlands we have here.

Regards

████████████████████

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am strongly against the proposed works - there is lack of infrastructure to accomodate these changes, the impact to local residents and surrounding traffic management is major, and more importantly the loss of natural bush land to the area including the loss of extremely popular mountain biking and walking trails.

This development must not go ahead

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

### **Submission**

I strongly object to the proposal particularly the Development Site 5 Corymbia Circuit Wearden Road proposals.

The threat to native wildlife is a concern due to the presence of Pygmy Possums in this area. During the recent bushfire preventative back burn in this general area I questioned the Rural Fire staff why the area at the corner of Wearden Rd and Corymbia Circuit was not burnt. They advised me that this was protected due to the presence of protected native wild life including the Pygmy Possums. There are many other concerns related to the development of what was

supposed to be an Aboriginal Heritage Site not a commercial opportunity.  
I strongly recommend the proposal be rejected.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is a disgrace for our environment. For the bush and Fauna. We oppose to this development that will only be the start of other developers targeting our bush.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I think it's very dissapointing to see so much bushland destroyed for development especially considering the history of the developer at Bantry Bay road, and how cramped the developments there were.

I hope you will consider preserving this beautiful bushland for all to enjoy for generations to come.

**I agree to the above statement**

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There is inadequate infrastructure in the area to cater to the proposed new residents.

Destruction of native land

Loss of bush walking trails for locals

Vulnerability to aboriginal heritage sites

Bush fire risks



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Collaroy 2097 NSW

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I would like to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

- The strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan' from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development and this is the document all agreed to follow through with.

- The site is isolated and not adjacent to development. It is surrounded by bushland. Precious remnants of Australian bush - like this site - need to be protected and should not be developed. New development should occur as infill-development or close to existing centres with the required transport infrastructure and public amenities (schools, shopping centres etc.)

- The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

- It is best quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, barking owl and a healthy stand of *Angophora crassifolia* will be exterminated.

- The area is core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be exterminated and minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

- The area is prone to high fire danger. The last planned burn off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

- Over the coming years we can expect an increase in the intensity of severe weather events which will 1) increase the effects of hot weather: fire danger and 2) increase the effects of wet weather: increased flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

- Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

- Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, leave alone from an additional 450 houses.

- Visual pollution from afar and vintage point destruction, as Lizard Rock is a hilltop location.

- Public transport connection ( bus stop) is mostly well over 1km away and there is not even one footpath to walk to it. This is unsustainable from a public transport perspective. The intention is to have public transport within 400 m of any residence in order to reduce the need for private car usage.

- There are no existing support services and public amenities here. It is prime bushland. There is no school, no shops, no work, nothing. Sustainable development requires the integration and co-location of housing, services, work and recreation. This is in the middle of nowhere. The current developer contributions are not sufficient to cover the costs of developing all the required support infrastructure.

There are better opportunities to provide support and income to Aboriginal communities than for the Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council to sell-off and develop local Aboriginal land.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of the area in Ralston Avenue Belrose and all 9 proposed MLALC areas.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to this development. There is not enough infrastructure to support this and also we would lose the native bush lands.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Kiama 2533

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Land should be kept for recreational use and to preserve natural beauty of suburb & indigenous land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

While I don't live in the areas proposed to be included in the SEPP I do visit some of them regularly for recreation. I would hate to lose access to these bushland areas as I believe they are beneficial from both a physical & mental health perspective for myself and many other people.

Other concerns are the environmental effect of destroying the bush and the impact on the wildlife. Also, after recent events, the removal of the bush could lead to more flooding.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

While I don't live in the areas proposed to be included in the SEPP I do visit some of them regularly for recreation. I would hate to lose access to these bushland areas as I believe they are beneficial from both a physical & mental health perspective for myself and many other people.

Other concerns are the environmental effect of destroying the bush and the impact on the wildlife. Also, after recent events, the removal of the bush could lead to more flooding.



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 10:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Vale 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. EP&A Act 1979 - S.4.15(1)(a)(i) - Compatibility with zone - under WLEP 2000: "The Desired Future Character Statement for the B2 Oxford Falls Valley Locality" specifies "low intensity, low impact uses" stipulated in for the locality. This does not meet this requirement.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites.

The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any

development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham.

I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 10:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am concerned about the environmental impact of removing bushland to build houses, etc. In a world that is struggling with the impacts of climate change I don't think we should be removing green areas. On the Northern Beaches we have already sacrificed bushland for the widening of the Mona Vale Road and the construction of the hospital, we should not sacrifice any more.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 10:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Long Jetty

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposed development of the 6 sites in the Northern Beaches LGA. Development of these sites destroys biodiversity, reduces connectivity between bushland sites, decreases patch size (which is crucial for the maintenance of biodiversity), and puts increased pressure on native Australian flora and fauna.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am strongly against the proposed works - there is lack of infrastructure to accomodate these changes, the impact to local residents and surrounding traffic management is major, and more importantly the loss of natural bush land to the area including the loss of extremely popular mountain biking and walking trails.

This development must not go ahead

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████████████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee Why 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 10:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am extremely disappointed that after over 10 years of living in an area that was 'Deferred' from Warringah LEP 2011 the DPIE are proposing developments that require large areas of bushland to be destroyed.

I oppose the inclusion of the proposed lands into the SEPP for the following reasons:

- Many studies have been undertaken to determine the appropriate zoning of the 'Deferred Lands' but they do not appear to have been taken into account.
- The impact on flora and fauna including threatened species have not been considered.
- The effect on bushfires and flooding has not been adequately addressed.
- Important issues such as funding for roads, bridges, stormwater, water and sewers have not been addressed.
- The environmental impact of destroying large areas of pristine bushland has not been addressed.
- The proposed developments do not allow for the First Nations peoples to retain their connection to country.
- The Council's adopted Local Housing Strategy states that development in existing non-urban areas is not required to meet Council's housing targets.
- The potential interference with Telstra's Satellite Earth Station has not been considered.
- The Department of Planning and Environment has a conflict of interest in that they are the body that is proposing the inclusion of the lands in the SEPP and the body that decides if the amendment is made.
- The effect on roadkill numbers due to increased traffic has not been considered.
- Many of the lands proposed for inclusion have seen increased recreational use during the pandemic. The impact of removing access to these areas on the community's mental health has not been assessed.
- The Northern Beaches community have already lost valuable bushland as a result of the widening of the Mona Vale Road and the building of the hospital.
- The proposed developments are not appropriate for the areas suggested.

While I support the need for Aboriginal People to achieve economic self-determination, there must be other means of accomplishing this goal that do not require the destruction of significant areas of bushland. I feel options such as a land swap or carbon credits should be investigated as alternative solutions.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 10:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am against the project on the grounds that our native bush land needs protecting for future generations and the ecological impact of the project will be devastating. The impact on the flora, fauna and bushwalks will be irreversible. The pollution from construction, extra houses, people and traffic will significantly impact the area and other bushland.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 09:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I've been living here since 1984 during this time I watched the quarry go the total area of the circuit be developed and traffic increase exponentially! My two sons have played in this heritage land and with development watched as the wild life decreased! I've watch and heard the sounds of cattle disappear no more horses going up and down Wearden rd! No more wallabies and other wildlife. Traffic has increased exponentially. I was the first in the road to maintain the bush area across from my home! Council has never don't that. I don't wish my property value to go down although my rates should fall(ha ha) ! I disagree with this land grab. Vermently!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 09:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The roads cannot support an increase of this size.

Frenchs Forest has lost so many trees and bushes, please don't allow anyone to take away bush land for profit.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 09:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2259

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It will ruin so much flora and fauna

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 09:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

CREMORNE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I was shocked to learn of the proposals to destroy an important natural habitat. I am a regular visitor to the area referred to as Lizard Rock and have been going to enjoy the natural environment there for more than 20 years. It is a unique and awe inspiring environment that due to the hard work of volunteers has numerous well made walking and mountain bike tracks that criss-cross the site.

The land has a direct connection to first peoples custodianship of the northern beaches area. This can be experienced through the numerous signs of indigenous rock art that adorn the area. To suggest that the building of housing sites in and around these areas will promote the indigenous community is preposterous. The destruction of the very flora and fauna that is depicted in the rock art in these areas is a crime, a crime that I thought our society had moved past.

It is well documented that access to green spaces is vital to the maintenance of our community's health both mental and physical. I personally feel regenerated every time I visit this area, both in a physical and spiritual way. Sydney is extremely special in providing this type of experience so close to the CBD. If we continue to destroy these areas there will be little of the habitat which has existed for thousands of years left and we will all be the poorer for it. In particular coming generations will not experience this magical space as have people so done for thousands of years. Please rethink this proposal. This is not the place to build more houses and once it is gone it is gone forever.

**I agree to the above statement**

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Frenchs Forest resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I totally object to the proposed development of up to 450 dwellings on the 71 hectare land parcel colloquially known as Lizard Rock, Morgan Road Belrose. The proposed land usage is a totally unacceptable use of native bushland. Historically the MLALC will sell off the land to the highest bidder just as it did in Bantry Bay, Frenchs Forest where the Dept Planning then allowed the construction of residential buildings with little regard for the native flora, fauna..

I similarly object to the proposed development of the 135 hectare land parcel in Ralston Ave, Belrose

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object on the grounds that the development will harm the natural environment in the Belrose area. The proposed Ralston development would have a devastating effect on the habitat of many species of wildlife in an already small pocket of bushland with links to Kuring Gai Chase. I do not want to see that happen. There is no survey that could convince me that this is a good development for the flora and fauna of the Forest area. The bush, as it is, is a treasured part of living in this region of the Northern Beaches, it always has been and forever will be. In a time when overdevelopment seems to be the norm, I say a firm 'no' to Belrose suffering the same loss and grief as other communities across Sydney where the local area is forever changed to reflect the wants of developers at the expense of the present community members. This natural bush corridor is no place to build a multi dwelling development.

Thank you

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson NSW 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There is inadequate infrastructure in the area to cater to the proposed new residents

Destruction of native land

Loss of bush walking trails for locals

Vulnerability to aboriginal heritage sites

Bush fire risks

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose all proposed development of MLALC land. These land parcels must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland habitat and recognised for the important access they provide the Northern Beaches community to natural green space.

The fact that site 6 is considered for development and not for conservation is absurd and clearly poorly researched. A simple walkthrough makes this apparent as there are too many aboriginal engravings at the Paxton St site.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In regards to the proposed development of Ralston Ave Belrose bushland I object to this development either industrial or residential on the bases of:

The area has one road in from Forestway, which is already busy with local traffic and not built for heavy vehicles. The risk to any development, people and animals from bushfire is very high, again one road in and out making escape hard.

Water runoff from the bushland, where will it be directed? After rain the flat areas at the top of the proposed sight are like a swamp, large pools of water remaining for long periods.

Animal life in this area is abundant, black cockatoos both yellow and glossy tails regularly nest in the trees.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Fitzroy North 3068

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 08:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Fitzroy North 3068

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

These bushlands, sites, carvings, land mean a lot to Aboriginal culture, history, and also to the people of the Guringai land. I do not support the construction that is proposed to take place on this land.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 07:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this development plan, I am a local resident and don't believe the roads are adequate to support this development.

Also the destruction to native wildlife and fauna is an irreversible move that damages local eco systems. My family and I deeply oppose this development plan. We have lived in Belrose, ████████ for 50+ years

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 07:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The impact these developments have on local bushland and native wildlife is horrendous and irreversible. Also our infrastructure can barely sustain our current population as it is..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 06:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2104

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Bayview resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas.. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 06:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2104

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Bayview resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas.. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 01:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I totally object to the proposed development to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Road for the following reasons:

1. Significant development is already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new Frenchs Forest Precinct adding to congestion, traffic and noise pollution.
2. Our native bushland must be preserved for ours and future generations as the proposed development will have a wider impact on local wildlife (wallabies, echidnas, snakes, lizards, foxes, etc) and ecology.
3. Instead of preserving our trees to help combat climate change the deforestation caused by the development will instead contribute to environmental degradation.
4. Site 5 has proven to be a health, mental and recreation respite as demonstrated during the lockdowns caused by the pandemic. It continues to be a used by people of all ages from children to mature adults for health and recreation pursuits.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 01:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2830

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not agree with this.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson NSW 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There is inadequate infrastructure in the area to cater to the proposed new residents.

Destruction of native land

Loss of bush walking trails for locals

Vulnerability to aboriginal heritage sites

Bush fire risks

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 00:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This proposal does not have the interest of the local community and more importantly concerns unceded Aboriginal land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 00:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

In reality, this proposed development plan will clearly destroy natural ecosystems that we can never replace or replicate in the future.

My question to the Department of Planning and Environment, is who will provide the voice for the natural environment currently sitting on the chopping block. I was always taught that it is through strong Local, State and Federal laws that our bushland can be protected, as private land holders are often too caught up in the economic value of the land to have a long term preservation vision for the natural habitat.

If the State Government steps in and allows this land to be developed, then the government does not provide the voice to help protect this natural habitat. Is the protection then only left to individuals to post our submissions, who have no resources behind them and do not work in the legal or spin doctoring world of politics.

Through history the bush has not been a significant enough consideration for protection and a large reason why is that it cannot compete with economic value given to the land. As a result, the bushland has been significantly reduced in this country only over a couple of generations. This is happening all over Australia, but also has been clearly evident in the Northern Beaches.

Over the last decade, with a clearer understanding of the science behind the value of protecting natural habitats, and the realisation that this resource is dwindling, stronger environmental legislation and policies have been put in place to help protect this voiceless community.

However, when I see this recent proposal, I feel like we are stepping back to the 1950s where land is stripped bare to generate wealth and no consideration is given to the irreversible consequences of destruction of habitat.

Personally it looks like these sites have been deliberately taken outside the realms of local and state laws so that it can have less limitations to development imposed on it.

What is the message we are giving to our children? If you want to remove natural bush from environmentally sensitive areas- all you need to do is go to the State Government and put a SEPP in place and then you can make your millions?

If this development is approved, the impacts will be irreversible.

How do you know there won't be endangered or threatened species on these parcels of land. I know that the assessment team won't be searching every square metre of the 912 ha. Therefore, it is impossible for you to prove that there is not a threatened or endangered species that will be removed due to the destruction of these bushland area. And lets be honest, any proposed mitigation measure, if you find something, is nothing in comparison to preserving the entire site.

I would of thought that in this day and age that the government would find a better solution than allowing the destruction of the bush in order to provide the aboriginal community income.

This is such an important landmark decision and it cannot be taken lightly.. I feel the lack of community engagement as a result of the little time given to respond to this issue is disappointing and will lead to devastating consequences.

The State Government did an amazing job with walking us through the process of developing the Northern Beaches Hospital. In stark comparison, there is definitely no energy from this state department to create a forum of discussion for this overarching Development Delivery Plan.

Please do not let this through. In this current time we should not be allowing such massive parcels of bush to be destroyed for the sake of economic wealth. Will you be the protector of a resource that does not have a voice of its own? Or will the economic value of the land drown out the silent cries of our beautiful natural habitat?

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 23:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

NORTH CURL CURL

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose this Development Delivery plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands. This project is not in alignment with the Northern Beaches Council's Towards 2040 objective of protecting "core areas and areas of high environmental value from urban development". We need to increase the extent and quality of our natural bushland, not create pathways that lead to its destruction. We need to create and protect extensive rich intact forests with large tree canopies to help cool down a warming climate and reduce carbon emissions. Protecting trees and growing green spaces is a critical part of fighting climate change as trees draw in and capture carbon from the atmosphere. We don't want just carbon offsets, we need carbon reduction ! How can the Govt claim to be combatting climate change when it enables further land clearing to make way for sprawling housing developments. In a world where the global temperature is predicted to rise by a devastating and incredibly disruptive 2%, we must do everything to reduce carbon emissions. The Northern Beaches has already experienced extreme weather events such as microburst storms and extensive flooding and the enormous costs these bring to its residents. We must increase the extent and quality of our green spaces and preserve our precious natural assets, not contribute to their removal and replace them with roads, cars, carparks and housing estates. Proposals such as this enable developments to eat away at our incredibly precious yet dwindling natural bushland, ecosystems and wildlife. We do NOT want a sprawling urban environment with a patchwork of trees, gardens and parkland. We want a vast green, rich bushland with intact ecosystems surrounding tightly constrained urban hubs.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 23:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Fairlight 2094

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposal of development of 450 houses on the Lizard Rock site on Morgan Rd, Oxford Falls,

It is an area rich in high quality bushland and habitat for, to name a few, a rich stand of *Angophora crassifolia*, and threatened species such as the powerful owl, barking owl and red crowned owl, .

I respect the right of the Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) to practise self determination, but feel it is grossly unfair for them to need to sell off their hard fought for unspoilt land acquisition to attain their necessary finances. This I feel adds to the historic dispossession suffered by Australian First Nation citizens. Surely this land left intact will be way more valuable in the future than an amount paid by a developer.

I have helped with bush regeneration in this vicinity and feel the retention of this unspoilt bushland is necessary especially with rapid widespread loss of so many indigenous species and the effects of climate chaos upon us.

It is an important breeding habitat for the local swamp wallabies, only recently saved from extinction by the RMS wallaby proof fence along Wakehurst Parkway.

(The Lendlease development site on the Appin Rd Campbletown is an example of the loss of crucial habitat for our dwindling koala population and lack of respect for our precious wildlife.)

Building on this site poses great risks in this heating climate as bushfires increase, proof of this being the recent wildfire during the out of control burn off from Wesley Gardens, to Snake Creek and the Telstra dishes.

The increase in built on areas this development will cause will exacerbate the flooding which already occurs

regularly at Oxford Falls Rd West, Wakehurst Parkway, and to the many properties around Narrabeen Lagoon.

The dangerous intersection of Oxford Falls Rd W at Wakehurst Parkway is already heavily used as a detour at peak hour. The growth in traffic from 450 new homes will cause a need for further road infrastructure and the increase in hard surfaces adding both to danger for indigenous animals and to even more risk of worse flooding.

This isolated development site is more than 1km from any public transport.. On the other hand it is proven that housing close to transport hubs reduces the need for car use and ownership.

The North District Plan of the Greater Sydney Commission has not earmarked this site for development and it was noted that further housing development is not needed in this area.

I believe this land was never ceded, always was and always will be, aboriginal land.

I believe and hope too that the LALC will be paid handsomely for retaining their land in tact for their future generations.

Please do not allow this site to be developed. Change our laws so that those who retain natural bushland are rewarded handsomely.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 23:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident, I am writing to object to this planning proposal and development.

I have lived on the northern beaches my whole life and he been a resident of Belrose for over 20 years.

The areas mentioned for development have a history of being subject to bush fires and flooding.

There are endangered species of flora and fauna in these very areas which are proposed to be built on.

I call for a review of this proposal and ask that you do not allow these developments to go ahead.

Kind Regards

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 23:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

allambie heights 2100

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

Aboriginal sites.



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 23:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident and a member of Sydney Wildlife Rescue as well as the RFS, I am writing to object to this planning proposal and development.

I have been a resident of Belrose for over 40 years having lived here since I was a young child. My children have also grown up in Belrose.

The areas for the proposed development have a history of being subject to bush fires and flooding.

There are endangered species of flora and fauna in these very areas which are proposed to be built on.

I call for further studies and surveys to be done before any destruction to the area starts.

Please do not allow these developments to go ahead.

Kind Regards

██████████

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This beautiful bushland should not be re-developed.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This beautiful bushland should not be re-developed.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the development of these areas.

I believe they present more value to the Northern Beaches and local communities as they are now.

Currently, it's the beautiful bush land with well established walking tracks where people can enjoy nature, do various exercise activities, and much more just minutes away from where they live. There are already not so many large bush areas in the Northern Beaches, so losing more bush can detrimentally affect life style of many people who enjoy bush walking activities as I do. I live minutes away from the bush, identified as the Dev Area 8, so I go there a few time a week, alone or with my family. My kids love it too, so we can have long, a few hours, walks without a need to cross any roads or pass any houses. It's truly magical to have this option.

These areas are also important native flora and fauna habitats, which must be preserved.

Adding more development in these area will negatively affect the already heavily congested traffic. For Cromer Heights, the Dev Area 8, it'll mean more cars, but there is only 1 road in and out of the suburb. This will lead to even more traffic, longer delays as well as a risk of residents being stuck there in the event of various natural disasters, like a bush fire.

So, please keep the bush as it is now.



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I don't think the surrounding road network is capable of supporting such a development I don't believe the zoning of those areas should be changed. If the zoning was changed how's that fair for other people with land.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ingleside

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Reasons for objecting

No infrastructure (no room for improvement residential streets)

No public transport

All sites to bushfireprone putting existing residents and emergency personal at risk. No safe evacuation routes.

Industrial zoning in bush fire area (Ralston av) to high risk economic losses in event of bushfire detrimental to community (job losses)

(Not appropriate) for high value plant and equipment.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BALGOWLAH

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This submission is in response to the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) 's exhibition of a draft Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan (DDP), and proposed amendment to the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 (ALSEPP), for six (6) sites owned by the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) in the Northern Beaches Local Government Area (LGA). I welcome and support the aim of MLALC to support economic independence through the use of the developable land as a means of prosperity across many means, being cultural, spiritual and financial. However, this project raises several issues that could put MLALC, DPE, and the Northern Beaches out of touch with the rest of the state, country, and world regarding sustainable 21st-century urban developments.

Environmentally, this project could have devastating impacts on the Northern Beaches fragile ecosystem. All six sites are almost entirely comprised of native vegetation, of which only 73 hectares has been proposed for future development. These habitats are known environments for several threatened species of flora and fauna and threatened ecological communities. On several of the proposed sites, previous assessments have identified these as being "core habitats" and part of Sydney Norths District Green Grid as being of High Environmental Value. These sites provide a critical corridor habitat for arboreal mammals, birds, and ground species in a fragmented landscape. This project is not in line with the Greater Sydney region Plans objective 27: Biodiversity is protected, urban bushland and remanet vegetation is enhanced; instead, it will further stress many Northern Beaches species. Rocky outcrops will be removed, resulting in habitat loss for many reptiles and small marsupials. Large hollow-bearing trees will be cut down, vital for arboreal mammals and hollow nesting birds, and it will lose connectivity essential for larger species such as Swamp Wallabies. Waterway health has also not been appropriately considered in this project. Many of these proposed sites have waterways, wetlands and water-dependent ecosystems such as site six, which will be cleared or have their riparian zones removed, resulting in



significantly lowered conservation value, reduced water quality and subdued flows. Waterways flowing into Narrabeen Lake may alter the Lake's quality. This Lake has significant social, environmental and economic value to the community of Narrabeen. The development does not meet Northern beaches or state government waterway management plans adequately. Last, concerning ecological impacts, the proposal will cause inappropriate development and incompatible land use in areas exposed to very high bush fire risk. This may cause increased risk to human life and properties as the proposed sites are challenging to be evacuated. With what we have experienced in the 2019-2020 fires, this proposal shouldn't be deemed appropriate, especially as summers become hotter and the risk of fires intensifies.

The proposal has mentioned no good plans regarding sustainability or urban design. In this day and age, new developments such as this one must deliver how they will ensure building standards and perform low carbon construction. As this development would be deemed "urban intensification" as defined by Toward 2040, it is crucial that it outline how it will ensure the projects are sustainable through means such as solar power, reduced carbon emission, and lowered water use. The proposed sites are also not near key public transport routes, thus further increasing congestion and increasing the use of cars as primary transport. Studies and plans must be produced concerning the proposed developments' urban design, especially for site one 450 dwellings. The DDP's "design principles" for site one highlight issues concerning how they translate to the Design and Place SEPP and Towards 2040. They suggest that in principle one, they'll maintain an underdeveloped character to the development; however, how this will occur given that the whole site is almost entirely comprised of remnant bushland is unclear. In principle six, they suggest lot sizes will range, embodying outcrops and existing trees offering a sparse development approach, rather than a compact, walkable design as desired under the draft Design and Place principles, consideration and design criteria.

This project is a step in the wrong direction for Sydney. First, it will have a lasting impact on the Northern Beaches' natural environment by destroying hundreds of hectares of natural bushland. Second, the developments don't align with the Northern beaches council or state government objectives regarding sustainability, urban design, and biodiversity protection. Third, developments will heighten congestion as there is a lack of public transport. Fourth, bushfires are a considerable risk to this area and should be taken seriously, given that all sites back onto bushland have limited entry and exit points. Last, this proposal may not be in the best interest of MLALC as it will put their organisation and their objectives into question because of the irreversible damage they are proposing to allow on the country. An independent assessment of the strategic review and draft DDP is critical to ensure no conflict of interest occurs within DPE. They are the collaborators on this project and the determining authority for the DDP/SEPP amendments. Finally, we propose a different solution for the NSW government, MLALC, NPWS and other stakeholders. This land is of high social, heritage and environmental value. There is an opportunity for MLALC to create, with the state government, to develop several attractions, much like the Gardens of the Stones National park last year. They could create educational walks and a centre to engage the community on the culture and heritage of traditional owners in areas while also maintaining and revitalising the land. The NSW government could buy the sites from MLALC to be part of Gadigal National Park or as conservation reserves so that recreational activity can presume that isn't allowed within national parks can occur, such as dirt biking. In this scenario, the NSW government buys the land from MLALC, providing a monetary incentive for MLALC; the community is rewarded with new walking, bike tracks and indigenous educational experiences and the remnant bushland is maintained and managed to meet environmental objectives under the Towards 2040 plan.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BALGOWLAH

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This submission is in response to the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) 's exhibition of a draft Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan (DDP), and proposed amendment to the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 (ALSEPP), for six (6) sites owned by the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) in the Northern Beaches Local Government Area (LGA). I welcome and support the aim of MLALC to support economic independence through the use of the developable land as a means of prosperity across many means, being cultural, spiritual and financial. However, this project raises several issues that could put MLALC, DPE, and the Northern Beaches out of touch with the rest of the state, country, and world regarding sustainable 21st-century urban developments.

Environmentally, this project could have devastating impacts on the Northern Beaches fragile ecosystem. All six sites are almost entirely comprised of native vegetation, of which only 73 hectares has been proposed for future development. These habitats are known environments for several threatened species of flora and fauna and threatened ecological communities. On several of the proposed sites, previous assessments have identified these as being "core habitats" and part of Sydney Norths District Green Grid as being of High Environmental Value. These sites provide a critical corridor habitat for arboreal mammals, birds, and ground species in a fragmented landscape. This project is not in line with the Greater Sydney region Plans objective 27: Biodiversity is protected, urban bushland and remanet vegetation is enhanced; instead, it will further stress many Northern Beaches species. Rocky outcrops will be removed, resulting in habitat loss for many reptiles and small marsupials. Large hollow-bearing trees will be cut down, vital for arboreal mammals and hollow nesting birds, and it will lose connectivity essential for larger species such as Swamp Wallabies. Waterway health has also not been appropriately considered in this project. Many of these proposed sites have waterways, wetlands and water-dependent ecosystems such as site six, which will be cleared or have their riparian zones removed, resulting in

significantly lowered conservation value, reduced water quality and subdued flows. Waterways flowing into Narrabeen Lake may alter the Lake's quality. This Lake has significant social, environmental and economic value to the community of Narrabeen. The development does not meet Northern beaches or state government waterway management plans adequately. Last, concerning ecological impacts, the proposal will cause inappropriate development and incompatible land use in areas exposed to very high bush fire risk. This may cause increased risk to human life and properties as the proposed sites are challenging to be evacuated. With what we have experienced in the 2019-2020 fires, this proposal shouldn't be deemed appropriate, especially as summers become hotter and the risk of fires intensifies.

The proposal has mentioned no good plans regarding sustainability or urban design. In this day and age, new developments such as this one must deliver how they will ensure building standards and perform low carbon construction. As this development would be deemed "urban intensification" as defined by Toward 2040, it is crucial that it outline how it will ensure the projects are sustainable through means such as solar power, reduced carbon emission, and lowered water use. The proposed sites are also not near key public transport routes, thus further increasing congestion and increasing the use of cars as primary transport. Studies and plans must be produced concerning the proposed developments' urban design, especially for site one 450 dwellings. The DDP's "design principles" for site one highlight issues concerning how they translate to the Design and Place SEPP and Towards 2040. They suggest that in principle one, they'll maintain an underdeveloped character to the development; however, how this will occur given that the whole site is almost entirely comprised of remnant bushland is unclear. In principle six, they suggest lot sizes will range, embodying outcrops and existing trees offering a sparse development approach, rather than a compact, walkable design as desired under the draft Design and Place principles, consideration and design criteria.

This project is a step in the wrong direction for Sydney. First, it will have a lasting impact on the Northern Beaches' natural environment by destroying hundreds of hectares of natural bushland. Second, the developments don't align with the Northern beaches council or state government objectives regarding sustainability, urban design, and biodiversity protection. Third, developments will heighten congestion as there is a lack of public transport. Fourth, bushfires are a considerable risk to this area and should be taken seriously, given that all sites back onto bushland have limited entry and exit points. Last, this proposal may not be in the best interest of MLALC as it will put their organisation and their objectives into question because of the irreversible damage they are proposing to allow on the country. An independent assessment of the strategic review and draft DDP is critical to ensure no conflict of interest occurs within DPE. They are the collaborators on this project and the determining authority for the DDP/SEPP amendments. Finally, we propose a different solution for the NSW government, MLALC, NPWS and other stakeholders. This land is of high social, heritage and environmental value. There is an opportunity for MLALC to create, with the state government, to develop several attractions, much like the Gardens of the Stones National park last year. They could create educational walks and a centre to engage the community on the culture and heritage of traditional owners in areas while also maintaining and revitalising the land. The NSW government could buy the sites from MLALC to be part of Gadigal National Park or as conservation reserves so that recreational activity can presume that isn't allowed within national parks can occur, such as dirt biking. In this scenario, the NSW government buys the land from MLALC, providing a monetary incentive for MLALC; the community is rewarded with new walking, bike tracks and indigenous educational experiences and the remnant bushland is maintained and managed to meet environmental objectives under the Towards 2040 plan.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Let the Government buy it back, it's only the money there LMALC are after. But if there don't, then the developers will. All the hard work is done.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Ingleside

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

As a business owner on the northern beaches employing 21 locals and having 9 trucks on the local northern beaches road network, I oppose this development on Morgon rd and Ralston av because of lack of infrastructure. My employees move around the road network all day and need to be productive and limit there time in traffic, Putting such a industrial development at the end of Ralston av and 450 homes on Morgan rd is going to be detrimental to my business.

The infrastructure around theses 2 sites is stretched at current levels and to put extra trucks and develop this area with no public transport or infrastructure ,to have people relying on cars is quite frankly ludicrous.

The infrastructure Will not cope. All those new homes on morgon rd have one exit east on a one lane bridge on a road that is quite often flooded the last month the road oxford rd West was shut 8 times. That is the only exit going east,

To put a industrial area where trucks and workers are arriving to work at the same time as 2 schools are starting, again is ludicrous,both development proposals will have a detrimental effect on my business as the congestion on forestway and wakehurst parkway will be choke points. I can not afford to have my workers getting paid to sit in traffic and job losses will happen if you the planners don't think theses things through, I ask where is the traffic study how can a proposal of this scale be even considered without traffic studies being done already, I mean I on theses roads and know the studies will not help the proposal hence whythey are not done, do the study and planning and build and plan the infrastructure first please my employees and myself rely on the correct and appropriate planning.

As northern beaches mayor has stated that more appropriate sites need to be considered where there is not such a realince on cars for a development of this scale needs to be done in areas which already have adequate infrastructure in place as mayor has stated like brookvale.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have walked, run, hiked and cycled the land now subject to this development proposal for over 25+ years and have come across numerous indigenous carvings and grain processing sites that it beggers believe that the Aboriginal Council would submit this land to be bulldozed and developed which would eradicate any sign of historic usage.

We have only one chance to preserve this natural bushland and selling this land to development is the worst legacy we can leave.

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Narrabeen 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposed development of the Lizard Rock area for the following reasons:

The area comprises ancient bushland featuring ridge lines and rock outcrops which are dramatic natural features of this local landscape.

They are irreplaceable and home to countless native flora and fauna, including antechinus and an extensive stand of Angophora crassifolia on the top plateau and contain important Aboriginal heritage sites.

The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.

The area contains Hanging Swamps with high biodiversity and which slowly feed eventually into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The health of these waterways would be impacted by urban development. These catchments urgently need proper protection.

The whole area is bushfire prone with limited escape routes in the event of a major fire.

Increasing hard surfaces will cause a loss of the 'sponge' function of the natural bushland will aggravate flooding downhill along the 'Wakehurst Parkway' and Narrabeen Lagoon which are already of increasing concern during rain events, such as the record rain event the Northern Beaches experienced in March 2022.

Extreme weather events are becoming more frequent due to climate change; itself partly the end result of millions

of 'small' decisions worldwide to clear native vegetation to facilitate human growth.

Please do not allow this magnificent and important natural area around Lizard Rock to be destroyed as part of one more such decision. We reached the 'limits to growth' decades ago and are now seeing the consequences in increasingly severe droughts and flooding rains.



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I object to the proposed development in sites 5,6 and 7.

I believe the flora and fauna on the aboriginal land should be preserved for future generations.

It's the developers that stand to gain from the land sale. Why not have a Council/State levy to preserve this land and direct the funds to an Aboriginal Cultural Centre.

The community benefit from retaining this green space both physically and emotionally.

The flora and fauna need protecting as they don't have a voice.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
  - The proposed development:
    - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
    - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
    - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
    - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
  - The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage..
  - There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
  - Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
  - The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
  - The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
  - The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
  - The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.
- The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I hope that I am correct in assuming that the people responsible for making the decisions regarding this development have been reading the IPCC Reports that have been released regularly regarding the impacts of rising temperature on the planet caused by fossil fuel burning and environmental destruction. Stopping the increase at 1.5C is almost a dream now and 2C is looking increasingly likely.

In Australia we are already experiencing the negative impacts of out of control land clearing and over development. Western Sydney is experiencing 50 degree heatwaves partly because they have an ever increasing population, hardly any trees, hundreds of kilometres of dark sealed roads and thousands of hectares of roofs. Extreme weather events have become more frequent and severe. We need to preserve pristine bush land wherever it still exists. Thousands of trees have already been lost to the Northern Beaches Hospital and surrounding roadworks plus Mona Vale Road widening.

I suggest offering the MLALC a land swap. Preserve the untouched virgin bush land habitat in exchange for land elsewhere that has already been used for other purposes that can be redeveloped without further destruction to the environment. Maybe the MLALC land could generate income from selling carbon credits. Landcare groups all over the country are trying to mitigate the damage already done by regenerating bushland, so it seems counter productive and counter intuitive to be clearing this land of trees, some of which are hundreds of years old and habitat for thousands of native wildlife.

I also object to this development for all the other obvious reasons, such as the traffic congestion that 450 houses would generate and the death of the local wildlife from starvation, homelessness and car strikes.

This is not a good idea. In all conscience, please deny this development

Think globally,  
Act locally

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose NSW 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am objecting to the development known as Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit / Wearden Rd) due to the following reasons:  
it will have an adverse impact on the native fauna and flora in the area including important habitat and wildlife corridor,  
It will increase congestion to the residential streets within the area,  
it will reduce bushland available for recreational use.  
it will reduce the amenity of the area as a whole

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Northbridge

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Open land in the Sydney area is so scarce, why would we let this land be developed for profit? Specially since the original application was to preserve the land... :(

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose NSW 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am objecting to the development identified as Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Rd) due to the following reasons:

it will have an adverse impact on the native fauna and flora in the area including important habitat and wildlife corridor,

It will increase congestion to the residential streets within the area,

it will reduce bushland available for recreational use.

it will reduce the amenity of the area as a whole

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

Please find attached my response to the proposed residential and industrial development of bushland off Corymbia Circuit and Wearden Road, Frenchs Forest. I believe that what has been proposed will be detrimental to our local community and native bushland and wildlife.

This development would impose negative impact on the area.

Frenchs Forest is already undergoing a lot of development with the recent building of the hospital, and associated redevelopment. The significant building proposed in this area will have a huge impact on congestion and noise pollution. This development will only add to this, forming an overcrowded and over developed area.

This local bushland is a main feature of this area used by locals for recreational bushwalking, mountain biking and other recreation activities.

The impact that this development would have on our native wildlife and plant life would be huge.

As one of many local residents to this area, this development would impact the area through increases in noise, traffic congestion and temperature due to the impact that deforestation, additional roads and massive concrete areas has on environmental temperatures.

This bushland is an asset to the area that we live in and used and respected by those who live here. We are so lucky to live in this area and be so close to such natural beauty. It is worth preserving for our children, their children and future generations to come.

Regards,

[REDACTED]



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 22:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am objecting to the development due to the following reasons:

it will have an adverse impact on the native fauna and flora in the area including important habitat and wildlife corridor,

It will increase congestion to the residential streets within the area,

it will reduce bushland available for recreational use.

it will reduce the amenity of the area as a whole

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Loss of bushland that is enjoyed by animals, plants, bikers and hikers

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2086

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

Please don't do this!!! Please stop trying to make more houses! Just let people Be

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hi

I think it is terrible that the local council as well as the aboriginal council would be in favour of destroying our local bushland. The proposed development not only diminishes the character of the local area it needlessly destroys valued recreational walking tracks and bike paths that much of the local community have become attached to.

I hope our council's object this proposal we need more recreational areas not more traffic and suburban sprawl.

Regards

██████████

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern, I am a local resident and I would like to add my support to the Northern Beaches Council who have clearly said that the current DDP proposal for development of local sites by DPE and MLAC is incomplete and does not consider existing planning processes or the many environmental issues that need to be addressed.

Yours sincerely

████████████████

20/03/22

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

My submission is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit / Wearden Rd)

I object to this development because of the detrimental effect it will have on the local ecology, the bush walking trails and the deforestation it will cause to build the development. Additionally it will add a lot more local traffic to an already congested local roads system. Please do not approve this development.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Frenchs Forest 2086

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

Submission

refer attched

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

No safe evacuation route in place of bush fire for Morgan rd or ralston rd proposals ,No infrastructure in place  
To much destruction of bush land wiping out endangered animals

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I feel that we are called the forest for a reason and we need to keep it. We need to think of all the biodiversity and heritage of the land.

We do not need more houses, more traffic, more people.....

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BEACON HILL

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.

- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.

- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.

- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.

- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

I wish to object to the proposed projects.

I am concerned that the sites have not had enough considerations or impact studies completed to warrant the proposals.

No traffic studies have been completed - so we cannot identify any areas that would/would not be able to cope with increased traffic. This is also concerning as most sites are a huge bush fire risk and an appropriate evacuation route needs to be planned regardless of the area being residential or industrial. It is evident that these extreme weather events are only going to occur more often so should be strongly considered to avoid unnecessary loss of life and injury. Which in turn could become an inquiry into why it happened holding you responsible. It was identified 5 years ago that the site at ralston was unsuitable for any development due to the risk of bushfire and would continue to be a risk we have all seen the intensity of the fires in 2019/2020. To evacuate the area in case of fires would be chaotic as there are numerous choke points on minimal exit points. Transparency, it is unclear what is going specifically where, dwellings, learning centres etc. This should be made clear.

Biodiversity - There are no environmental studies done on the sites (that I can find), there have been sightings of numerous endangered and threatened flora/fauna in the areas highlighted (that I have seen as well as others).

How can we ensure their protection and safety when it is unclear about the extent of the development for the areas and how biodiversity will be protected in the area. It is interesting that we are supposed to be saving cultural sites and protecting biodiversity, when the plans for most sites don't seem to consider this.

Ralston has been marked for industrial - there is limited infrastructure to support this, no footpaths at all along Ralston from the closest bus stop, increased traffic to the area as there are two schools in the area which start at the same time as most businesses. let alone the huge bushfire and flood risk. The land is sloped and even now 2 weeks after the heavy rains the ground is still water logged and water is still running down the hill.

ralston should most definitely be considered as a biodiversity protection, under clause 9(2) of the Aboriginal Land SEPP outlines a DDP may also set out: Measures to support strategic biodiversity conservation relating to the land; Industrial sites bring in noise pollution as well as rubbish pollution which in no way supports biodiversity conservation.

Flood risk - Morgan road is often cut off from being able to access the Wakehurst parkway leaving only one way in and out. At present there is no way infrastructure could cope with increased traffic and with floods and fires becoming more prevalent and far more severe it is just unsafe to expect people to evacuate through one path with the volume of houses suggested. There is no public transport infrastructure to make the area accessible to ALL citizens. At this stage the current infrastructure is unsuitable for Buses.

Increased wildlife on the road causing danger to motorists as well as the wildlife, due to their habitat being destroyed.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ingleside

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this at ralston and Morgan rd.

No appropriate infractrusture in place and no safe evacuation routes in the event of bush fire event, existing residents will be trapped.

To much endangered plants and animals are in these areas.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the overall proposal, specifically the Lizard Rock proposal. I don't believe that an extra 450 homes will be a positive benefit for the local area. The land currently has great value in its natural form and should not be developed. By all means, it's historic significance and any historic features should be protected. Developing the land does none of this.





Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Belrose resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. These areas have an incredible array of flora and fauna, in particular a wombat outside our fence line, which will all be negatively impacted if these developments were approved.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is key!

The area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are thousands of years old. These sites would be significantly destroyed. Not to mention, the Traditional Custodians of this land, the Guringai people, have not been consulted.

There has not been appropriate environmental impact assessments conducted for the proposed sites. These sites have significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed. In addition, the proposed sites do not have adequate infrastructure needed for these sites and surrounding areas to not be detrimentally affected.

As a young active family, we are constantly exploring the beautiful areas and teaching our daughter the wonders of the land. This is the main reason we chose to buy here.

I strongly oppose to ALL development of native bushland sites proposed by MLALC.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Belrose resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. These areas have an incredible array of flora and fauna, in particular a wombat outside our fence line, which will all be negatively impacted if these developments were approved.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is key!  
The area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are thousands of years old. These sites would be significantly destroyed. Not to mention, the Traditional Custodians of this land, the Guringai people, have not been consulted.

There has not been appropriate environmental impact assessments conducted for the proposed sites. These sites have significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed. In addition, the proposed sites do not have adequate infrastructure needed for these sites and surrounding areas to not be detrimentally affected.

As a young active family, we are constantly exploring the beautiful areas and teaching our daughter the wonders of the land. This is the main reason we chose to buy here.

I strongly oppose to ALL development of native bushland sites proposed by MLALC.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport Beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Belrose Lizard Rock Submission, To the Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan

This bush land at lizard rock is precious & needs to be preserved & protected. There is an opportunity to protect this significant bushland parcel & turn it into a Reserve or a Protected State Park or a Protected Area. This is an Ecological site which needs ongoing protection, undoubtedly, there will be adverse impacts if any development is allowed to happen on any section of this site. There needs to be preservation of the vital green pockets of land which are currently left. There used to be Echidna's, Koalas, & other native animals, which were plentiful in ALL of the Northern Beaches, sadly these beautiful animals are rarely seen in this area, which is a great shame. The biodiversity, eco-system, the flora & the fauna habitat & wildlife corridor within this area, needs to be safeguarded & shielded from any destruction..

Furthermore, according to the Department of Environment and Climate Change (DEEC) has completed an assessment of the Garigal, Oxford Falls area stating "as having very high fauna values, including a number of threatened species". There are survey records of at least six threatened species associated with the heath and sandstone vegetation communities in the area." Also, even though the DEEC states "Mapping of vegetation communities by Council does not indicate any threatened communities on the sites." I find this statement hard to believe, as I know from first-hand experience that there have been fauna studies, which have overlooked that some surveys which have not detected endangered species frequenting the surveyed areas, this has previously reported as fact, when the residents were well aware that these endangered species were present & existed on the sites. Therefore, there is a need for independent comprehensive Flora & Fauna surveys in all seasons (there is now an awareness that there are migratory species & not all endangered species will frequent/visit bushland

sites all year round). There have been so many flora & fauna losses in NSW, therefore, biodiversity is of the upmost of importance in preserving the green pockets of land, which are fortunately to be in existence in the Belrose area.

Furthermore, even though this was in 2009, I believe that most of these problems & concerns stated below have not been addressed today.

"Broadly, the Commission's conclusions are that none of the sites substantially meet the sustainability criteria for the following reasons –

- The sites are currently relatively distant from existing centres and from public transport making them car dependent.
- Residential development of the sites will require augmentation of sewer and water systems and the high voltage network. Costing of upgrades to determine if the development is economically feasible is only appropriate when the future of development of Oxford Falls is identified.
- The projected housing need in the subregion is for affordable, medium and high-density housing in existing centres and not the detached low-density housing generally proposed for these sites.
- Bushfires and steeply sloping land are significant hazards and impediments for much of the land within the four sites. Overcoming these hazards will reduce housing affordability.
- All sites have the potential to adversely impact on the already unsatisfactory water quality of Narrabeen Lagoon.
- There is a significant potential land use conflict with the Earth Satellite Station in Oxford Falls that needs to be resolved."

Additionally, it is stated:

"Whilst it may be argued that some environmental constraints may be overcome by good design and engineering, and by effective management plans, major constraints remain. These include the distance of the sites from key centres and from public transport and the fact that the low-density housing proposed does not meet the housing needs of the subregion. In addition, the sites are too small and dispersed to justify increased public transport and local services and therefore too small to function as release areas."

In addition, the Oxford Falls areas have many creeks & many water rivulets which eventually drain into other nearby waterways & the Narrabeen Lagoon; therefore, this needs to be a consideration, in that there needs to be protection of the ecology of this existing water way.

Finally, the fact that this site has Aboriginal Carvings makes this land even more important, therefore, it could be heritage listed to be protected & preserved for perpetuity. These rock carvings are quite significant in that they are sacred & an important reminder of Australia's history, which is very meaningful to this area. Also, these sacred sites within our landscape have special meaning & significance within the Aboriginal Culture, which most Australians now respect & acknowledge. It has been stated that "The Lizard Rock site in Belrose has been identified for future planning investigation as it has potential for innovative, culturally and environmentally sensitive development." However, it is difficult to fathom how there could be any development on this environmentally, culturally significant land??? Sacred Aboriginal sites give meaning to the natural landscape. These sites are very meaningful to all Australians!

Please Preserve & Conserve this vital significant bushland in its entirety & protect this Aboriginal, cultural significant rock carvings for eternity!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 11:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am strongly against the proposed works - there is lack of infrastructure to accomodate these changes, the impact to local residents and surrounding traffic management is major, and more importantly the loss of natural bush land to the area including the loss of extremely popular mountain biking and walking trails.

This development must not go ahead

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Belrose

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I oppose this development plan.

Having lived through the horrific bushfire events on the northern beaches in 1994.

I first hand know the effect and suffering that these fire events have on the community and it's surrounds.

In relation to the Ralston rd industrial proposal I note that residential development was quashed on same site only 5 years ago because of this bush fire risk I take a report by Simon Carroll a bushfire expert concluding that I quote "unless all potential bush fire risks are mitigated or lessened to an acceptable level then the planning should not be supported". The area at Ralston Avenue can never be mitigated or lessened from bush fires which we now know are happening more frequent and are more damaging than ever before. My main concerns are as a resident in this area is evacuating. There are choke points which will become death traps in the event of an imminent bush fire event. The argument that light industrial is a lesser risk for this is political spin because of the failed attempt to develop residential land on this site. Industrial development under attack is a greater risk of congestion on these already mentioned choke points. Reason being if a factory is under attack as a factory owner no matter how fire proof you make you would move all plant and equipment from site which is of greater value than household contents, so as the same existing residents are fleeing for safety there will be trucks with plant and equipment fleeing these same routes causing what will become fire death traps and as planner you will be responsible for this,

It makes no sense to even consider putting existing residents at greater risk of being trapped as was concluded with the last failed residential proposal and fact is industrial zoning would actually put existing residents at greater risk.

Another point is putting industry which employs locals in such a fire prone area is ludicrous, in the event of an imminent fire event the effect on the greater community is worse than if residential development, as these workplaces employ people, factories, are burnt down no matter how good you build the location of these

factories they will burn, because the business employ people and the economic cost is greater as business will be lost and jobs gone. People who live now near these tinderbox areas are now being drawn in as they no longer have a job can't pay mortgage etc, Putting such a development in such a tinderbox area is to a greater risk to the community when other more appropriate already zoned areas are less than 5 km away and have appropriate infrastructure in place.

The infrastructure around Ralston for industrial development is no good and has no potential or room for improvements big trucks in these local roads where Schools are.

The solution for this development proposal to keep stakeholders happy is for the federal government to buy the current stakeholder out and turn this 163 hectare site into a National Park or offer the land to bio diversity or offset as governments now tell us that city planning has to have green credentials to help with the carbon emissions.

The next proposal which has no merit is Morgan rd proposal,

For the exact same reasons as Ralston rd being knocked back 5 years ago, bush fire evacuation is non-existent infrastructure is not there and lives will be lost if this proposal is done again federal government should buy this site and turn it into National Park to save it from being destroyed.

As northern beaches council has stated that development needs to be appropriate for reasons which mayor has stated more appropriate development sites with existing infrastructure in place like Brookvale.

Both these mentioned sites are thriving with threatened species and there is known spotting of critically endangered plants and animals, to destroy this will be known as a criminal offence in 10 years and the time has come for governments and planners to realise that once these animals and plants become extinct that's it: In 2022 our representatives in governments need to stand up for our future generations so that the effect of climate change and consequences of land clearing are finally realised.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 21:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

EP&A Act 1979 - S.4.15(1)(a)(i) - Compatibility with zone - under WLEP 2000: "The Desired Future Character Statement for the B2 Oxford Falls Valley Locality" specifies "low intensity, low impact uses" stipulated in for the locality. This does not meet this requirement. The proposed intensification of development on the sites are not considered of low impact due to increased traffic generation.

Inclusion of medium or high-density housing. The SEPP (Housing) implemented in 2021 which replaces other SEPPs for Seniors Housing and Affordable Rental Housing and 2 other SEPPs, shows that seniors Living does not apply to land identified on the MRA areas. This is a strong indication that intense urban development is not intended to be permitted in an area currently marked as an MRA. The LEP for Northern Beaches is a work in progress. Parts of the Northern Beaches are in the "Deferred Areas" for which the previous LEP 2000 has not yet been translated through to the LEP 2011 and are yet to be resolved before the current revision of the LEP can be finalised. Any proposal for lands within the "Deferred Areas" needs to be assessed under the LEP 2000 in which the Lizard Rock area was part of the B2 area. It is not appropriate to decide any major proposal for this area before the issue of the Deferred Lands has been resolved. Moreover, these proposals are unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals

Oxford Falls Road has no kerbs, no guttering and no provision for formed carriageways. It is a semi rural street which has many blind corners and is not wide enough to walk two abreast without walking in the actual street. Pedestrian safety is of concern, as is safety of other road users such as cyclists and horse riders.



The traffic report needs to include the intersection of Oxford Falls Road and the Wakehurst Parkway, Morgan Road and Forestway, without these intersections monitored adequately any report is insufficient

Bushfire hazard: The site has bushfire prone land surrounding it and the site is required to respond and implement an appropriate level of bushfire protection measures. There are no plans for evacuation nor consideration of evacuation. This needs to be assessed fully for the safety of all concerned.

Perhaps if a through survey was conducted you would find that there are in the area many endangered and native species, such as the: • *Cercartetus nanus* (Eastern Pygmy-possum) (high), • *Pseudophryne australis* (Red-crowned Toadlet) (moderate), • *Miniopterus australis* (Little Bent-winged Bat) (recent record), • *Miniopterus orianae oceanensis* (Large Bent-winged Bat) (recent record), • *Ninox strenua* (Powerful Owl) (recent recorded), and • *Pteropus poliocephalus* (Grey-headed Flying-fox) (high) \**Varanus varius* (lace monitor) (high), red tailed cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus lathami*) (high), Yellow tailed black cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus funereus*) (high), Echidna (high), Swamp wallaby (*Wallabia bicolor*) (high), Lyrebird (*Menura novaehollandiae*) (high), Eastern brown snake (*Pseudonaja textilis*) (high), Green tree snake (*Dendrelaphis punctulatus*) (high), diamond python (*Morelia spilota*) (high), Native quail (*Coturnix ypsilophora*) (high), Quolls (*Dasyurus maculatus*) (high). Waratah (*Telopea*) (high), native orchids (*aladenia*) (very high), golden wattle (*Acacia pycnantha*) (very high). Amongst many others. These are all regularly sighted with close, if not adjacent to the proposed sight.

Oxford Falls is a vital green buffer between Manly Dam and Garigal/Kuringai national Park. The lack of native habitat is of concern and will increase potentially increase road kill. There has already been a sharp increase in this in Oxford Falls Road as more native animals become displaced, The development of the hospital and the expansion of Manly Vale Primary School has seen an increase in native animals seeking new homes in the Oxford Falls area.

This risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect. Moreover, the local Aboriginal community have not been consulted on these proposed developments which contain significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story. Given the very rich Aboriginal heritage in this area, it is totally unacceptable to proceed with any of the plans before full consultation with traditional owners and with Aboriginal people who live in the area.

These sites, specifically Paxton Ave, Lizard Rock and Ralston Ave need to be incorporated into the National Parks, the government needs to purchase and protect them, not decimate them. The catchments highly rated creek catchments with minimal levels of impervious surfaces. These catchments need protection. Runoff from surrounding area affects subsurface flow, vegetation in riparian buffers, water flow and quality in creeks and contributes to flooding events.

This will affect the water quality for creeks flowing into Narrabeen Lagoon. Effluent already escapes during heavy rain events. The Treatment Station does not have the capacity to take drainage from a major suburban development.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP. The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of the bush land off Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Road, Frenchs Forest - Site 5. I have lived in the area and visited the bush land off Corymbia Circuit and Wearden Road Frenchs Forest for more than 20 years. During my time in the area I have observed Australian native animal species that are listed as vulnerable under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 such as Powerful Owl, Eastern Pygmy Possum, Glossy Black Cockatoo and Red-Crowned Toadlet. Aside from these vulnerable species, there are many other endemic species that live in the unique bush land around Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Road.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

With the recent rain events causing major flooding on the Northern Beaches, the Lizard Rock site in particular would be affected in rainy weather with the closure of Oxford Falls Road. The traffic from the additional 450 dwellings would funnel onto Forest Way and put increased pressure on an already busy stretch of road. This same isolation of the site would put increased pressure on fire fighters in bushfire season, with a large number of people trapped with minimal access out. With the effects of climate change being clearly seen and felt, we should consider very carefully the risks with destroying bushland for housing and particularly in isolated areas.

The best proposal or outcome would be if the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists. I understand the need for the MLALC to be able to self determine their future by being able to monetise assets. I do not have an objection for this in principle, however I question that is this the right land for development, in isolated areas with great cultural history, natural beauty and biodiversity?

I believe it would be possible to see an exception of Aquatic Drive and Forest Way, Belrose site. These last two sites are smaller and in areas where there is existing industry and the ability to turn those into viable commercial sites and realise funds seems like common sense.

As residents we are constantly in awe of the bushland surroundings and love being able to take our children on bush walks, spotting local fauna with ease. Just last week we saw an echidna at the Ralston Avenue site on the main road to the power station. My children were thrilled to see it up close and to think we are in the middle of a global city. What an education for them! David Attenborough has said that people will not care for what they cannot experience.

"If people lose knowledge, sympathy and understanding of the natural world, they're going to mistreat it and will not ask their politicians to care for it."

"Every breath of air we take, every mouthful of food that we take, comes from the natural world. And if we damage the natural world, we damage ourselves."

"Surely we have a responsibility to leave for future generations a planet that is healthy and habitable by all species."

Please consider the long term impacts of developing these sites, reject the DPP and look at other ways to support our Indigenous Community to meet their goals and needs in the short to long term. There must be other ways to achieve both the preservation of natural bushland and also allow self-determination and monetisation for the MLALC and the communities they support, now and into the future.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

The Entrance North 2261

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is disgraceful and disrespectful - this is sacred land and should  
Not be touched

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident of belrose who's been living in the area for 20+ years I object the project. Aboriginal land shouldn't be privatised and sold to developers. The plans being put forward are atrocious and unacceptable.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am opposed to the application due to the adverse implications for our native bush land, and biodiversity.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Frenchs Forest 2086

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

Its inexcusable that this bushland is being planned for development, there are numbers reasons why.

- Didnt we lose enough wildlife in the bushfires of 2019 and now we are adding to that with this proposal.

- Deforestation.. its in the news, its real, and its having an impact.. ??

-Our Bushland is precious to us our kids and all future generations.. do you not think?

- The development will impact already existing bushwalking routes.. why disturb these to create fake walks.

- Destroying aboriginal native land .. so this is most definitely NOT "enhancing environmental heritage" no matter how its candy coated

- What about Brownfield developments rather than Greenfield? There is plenty of existing Brownfield land that could be explored.

- Infrastructure: Forestway & Warringah roads are already at a stand still at peak hours. Even with the new roads upgraded during the building of NBH. It will already be pushed even further with the Frenchs Forest precinct development.

This is a quote from the "supporting documents":

generate opportunities to promote local tourism by providing cultural education by way of information at significant locations and well-managed walking trails;

- identify, conserve and enhance environmental heritage by applying adaptive re-use and interpreting heritage to foster distinctive local places;
- manage and protect the natural bushland and creeks; and
- establish principles for future land

These are flamboyant meaningless words, thrown together in a wishy washy way, to appease the locals & get more housing built. There is NOTHING in this proposal that can cover up what is blatantly a get rich quick plan by local government, estate agents, & contracted building companies.

Change my mind

Contact me anytime



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 23:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This submission is in relation to Site 5 Corymbia Circuit/Weardon Road.

Living very close to this area which I walk most days, the infrastructure is completely inadequate for the number of dwellings proposed.

The ridge top land is at considerable risk of bush fires.

The beautiful & fragile native flora which I often photograph would be severely degraded if not totally destroyed . Wildlife, which has already been greatly impacted by previous development in the area, would be almost decimated. I have seen wallaby, wombat, monitor lizard & possum numbers almost completely disappear. The loss of trees for our wonderful birds would be a disaster. There is a flock of black cockatoos which frequents this area.

This is a beautiful area of fascinating vegetation & magnificent rock platforms which should be preserved for future generations to enjoy. I see families enjoying the bike & walking trails together, surely something to be valued in our current society.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

My submission is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit / Wearden Rd)

I object to this development because it will ruin the local bush land and add a lot of traffic to the local area which is not in the interests of the local community. We need to stop deforestation and protect our local wildlife, please do not approve this development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This is an abhorrent application which will destroy the bushland, flora, fauna, is a fire hazard. The development of Ralston Ave into industrial is down right ridiculous.

This is an obvious massive cash grab due to the recent increase in the costs of land.

The Local Northern Beaches Council is against this development.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land

Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

Develop areas where the infrastructure exists to support it. The Local Housing Strategy states that development in existing non urban areas is not required to meet councils housing target and is not consistent with good planning principles. I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy. Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The proposed development:

- o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
- o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
- o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
- o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage..
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

This development needs to be stopped in its entirety. It is highly inappropriate for

the MLALC to put in a such a development plan which rapes and pillages the land.

shut it down.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I vehemently object to these development proposals for a multitude of reasons:

- 1) The extensive deforestation nationally (and globally) is having horrific consequences on the environment and climate change. These proposed development areas only adds un-necessarily to the problem
- 2) Our wildlife, flora & fauna is unique, valuable and absolutely worth preserving and protecting. The level of clearance required for these developments will have significantly negative impacts on our wildlife and ecology.
- 3) We must strive to protect our native bushland for current and future generations. Once gone, it's gone! Non-reversible!
- 4) The local community benefits physically, mentally and educationally from the local recreational bushwalking. The proposed 'conservation areas' do not make up for the loss of this.
- 5) Despite recent 'upgrades' to the local roads and infrastructure when the northern beaches hospital was built, there will be significant worsening of congestion, noise and pollution. We do NOT have the necessary infrastructure to cope with these developments.

These developments are NOT NEEDED, NOT NECESSARY and will BENEFIT NO ONE (other than local government, contracted building companies and estate agents - hardly the groups that need supporting!).

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 20:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native

wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I live on Wearden Rd, Frenchs Forest and regularly on tracks which have been built over the past three years off of Weardon Road and Corymbia Circuit.

The area has come to be known as 'The Belrose Bowl'. I have seen hundreds of people enjoying this beautiful area of bush over the past few years. Many residents have experienced this gem of a small bush area due to Covid and exploring more.

There are very few sanctioned mountain bike trails (legally rideable) in the Northern Beaches, with many tracks residing in National Parks.. The Belrose Bowl encompasses 8 different land owners, both private and corporate. The Aboriginal Land Council has claimed recent Crown land which has been made available. I know the Land Council has the right to claim this land as private land.

Council has made available locations like Bear Creek which caters to a specific type of mountain biking which focuses on jumps and pump tracks which can be contained within a small footprint.

The Belrose Bowl represents cross-country and/or All Mountain biking trails which covers a much wider footprint and therefore is difficult for local councils to support.

With the possibility of housing going into the sites off Weardon Rd and Corymbia Ct, trails and access to trails in the Belrose Bowl will be affected. Housing for very few will be at the cost of thousands who have walked, run, and cycled in this little piece of bush land. There are trails which have been created which focus on younger riders. There are no other trails like these in the Northern Beaches.

These small pockets of bush, which are not on National Park land, are quite rare. The Belrose Bowl is accessible to local residents and has become well known to the wider Northern Beaches community also.

I support the Aboriginal Land Council to acquire land to support their many needs. I also believe these parcels of land in question, although benefiting the needs of the Land Council will have an adverse effect on the community and take away natural history and recreational opportunities which cannot be replaced in the Northern Beaches.

Please do not allow development in this area to go ahead.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object as we need to preserve the land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the timeline. This should be held open since your maps were not clear. Plus you had two years to design it.

I object to the premise that all the areas mentioned are in ownership of MLALC they are not.

I object to the decision that the costs of road upgrade, sewerage upgrade, and amenities will be paid for by ratepayers or taxpayers.

I object to the seizure of road areas for private purposes. I object on behalf of the wildlife that are not considered in this proposal.

I object on behalf of endangered species that you don't even know live there.

I object on the basis that this is just heating up the area and allowing runoff to foul creeks and Narrabeen Lagoon.

I object to the plan to disrupt the escarpment and ridge lines in the area.

I object that fragile soil will be removed and /or cemented over.

I object to the overdevelopment. We need this area it's the lungs of Sydney Northern Beaches

I object as there is no mention of Koalas Tiger Snakes and wombats that have been seen in this location.

I object to the removal of trees that contain nesting hollows for glossy black cockatoos/ microcars/ Pygmy possum/ gliders/ owls/ parrots/ antechinus/ and other species .

I object to the removal of bush that will lead to the extinction of species that only occur in this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

we bought into Frenchs Forest 24 years ago because of the leafy area, and now slowly it is being swallowed up with development, the roads can only just cope as it is, apart from the impact to the wild life, hopefully commonsense will prevail and not allow these developments to go ahead.

Regards Barry Davis

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes





Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

In an area that has a unique biodiversity in suburban Sydney we need to protect our local bush land. We watch wallabies. Monitor lizards and an abundance of bird life that thrive in their natural environment. The bush. Some of the designated areas are little more than Rocky outcrops. Recent back burns proved difficult for fireies to negotiate. Infrastructure access, flood plains, and acreage thus area is unique to suburban Sydney.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposed development and believe indigenous land should be protected and preserved.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

We strongly object any type of development around Wearden Rd & Corymbia circuit, it will increase unnecessary traffic around this area where all local children have the freedom to walk, ride their bikes, as well as, pollution, destruction of wild life, residents bush walk and tranquillity of the area,

Please Please Please do not approve.

Thank you

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee why 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe there are significant problems with the DDP proposed by the DPIE also this is not the most cohesive way for the traditional owners of theses lands to protect them.

If these sites are included in the SEPP future developments could be approved without the consent of the NBC

The irreversible damage to flora and fauna including endangered species has not been factored

I do not support the inclusion of Aquatic drive it would do so much damage to the conservation zone

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Terrible to destroy such culturally significant land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2460

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this development for 3 reasons.

1. The beautiful and sensitive ecological environment of Northern beaches will be impacted seriously as well as plants growing there that grow nowhere else in the world.
2. The Darug Traditional Custodians are not recognised by MLALC and have taken their rights via a membership based organisation.
3. The local residence should have a right to be consulted also and not hand special powers to a council. Upon saying special powers. That's not closing the gap but widening the gap.

If we are to move forward into the future as a vibrant community with future proposals, local resident community and Traditional Custodians should be consulted together apart from the consultation process of any development as a Land Council is now disempowering consultations with Traditional Custodians, which is affecting ALL community of Northern Beaches.

Too much power given to an organisation leads into corruption of power.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 19:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2298

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am personally objecting as this is Aboriginal land and will effects the heritage sites on this land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Ingleside / Sydney / 2101

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I am a resident of Ingleside, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these

important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area. Once we destroy this bushland will be never get it back. Please strongly consider my submission and please don't destroy this beautiful unique bushland

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Aboriginal land, which is supposed to be protected, needs to be left alone and will be shown the respect it deserves. And overdevelopment of this area is already an issue as well..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

██

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2119

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I, ██████████ a resident of Ingleside, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these

important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area. Once we destroy this bushland the community will never get it back.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ingleside/ Sydney/ 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, ████████ a resident of Ingleside, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these

important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area. Once we destroy this bushland will be never get it back.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our family household submission is to reject the current plans provided and we object to the development across the 9 proposed sites however in particular focus to Lizard Rock and Ralston Avenue development.

We are a family of three people who strongly object for the following reasons.

1. Concerns around environmental impacts around damage to flora and fauna
2. Concerns around traffic congestion. The current traffic conditions around Belrose, Forest way have continued to increase
3. Our native bushland is worth preserving. Once development is approved there is absolutely no guarantee the local council will be able to maintain a good outcome for the environment or for the local community with developers often going above local council head to L&E court for approvals
4. The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete
5. Is currently inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act of 1979
6. Is unnecessary to achieve the NSW Government Housing goals
7. Does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity, water, sewage and drainage

8. There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the view of authorities such as Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire & Rescue or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water

9. The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period

10. The DPP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period

Thank you, [REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I most strongly object to the proposed development because we should preserve our native bushland and wildlife. everybody who lives in this area enjoys bushwalking and the peace and quiet.

It seems to be greed on the part of the aboriginals as I understand they claimed this land to preserve it.

It is also too much, in our view, as there already significant new developments planned for Frenchs Forest to build the new Precinct. This will all increase congestion and pollution

Please do not go ahead with this controversial development

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Keep

It for future generations

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2617

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not agree with this

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dubbo

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

No

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 18:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2830

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is aboriginal land. You have destroyed enough. Take your sick dystopian matrix somewhere else

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Killarney Heights 2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It's a joke but no surprise that the council would sell out so easily, destroying hectares of bushland and destroying ecosystems for profit. No local would want this project to go ahead.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Allambie Heights / Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy, due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites.

This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these

precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

I grew up with this bushland as my back yard and spent many hours exploring and learning. Our future generations deserve a chance of the same childhood.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

My understanding is that the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) are not the local custodians of this land, and that the local custodians of this land have not been engaged. If this is the case, please allow more community and local custodian engagement to enable the MLALC to achieve the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

ST

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Wollongong 2518

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Development causes deforestation, because of the trees and native vegetation that are cleared. As the NSW government you are a moral obligation to the natural world to preserve bush land, and keep the land in its natural state and free from human impacts such as building. Deforestation is the greatest issue of our times in Australia. This is because native forests have been cleared on the northern beaches when European first invaded. Hardly any bush land remains on the Northern beaches, this means that all remaining native vegetation is sacred and souls be protect above all else.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Sydney needs to retain all existing bushland

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and

all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful

owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos

reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats

by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches

Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often an extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development.

Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites

and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the

proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as

the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El

Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increased runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that

the

MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of

native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable

bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits

by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Absolutely not! We need to protect the environment as much as humanly possible. Sacred sites will not regenerate nor will endangered species.

We need to plan for the big picture and consider the future of Mother Earth.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose the proposed amendment to the 6 sites in State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019.

This land is of both cultural and environmental significance for our First Nations peoples, the entire country and future generations and as such should never be a consideration for development.

Yours sincerely

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

My submission is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit / Wealden Rd)

I object to this development on the grounds that it is not in the interests of the local community - it will ruin the bushwalking and local ecology and wildlife will be negatively impacted. There is too much traffic on Forestway and surrounding suburbs already and this will add to the traffic congestion. We need to protect our local bush land, this development is going to damage the local area please do not approve.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 17:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We strongly support the submission by the northern beaches council particularly noting that any development on land council land should fully comply with all planning rules. Further the land council should submit a master plan for all its land on the northern beaches before submitting applications for any individual site..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 16:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Clareville 2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Councillor,

It is of vital importance that you vote against the Council report to include the Lizard Rock, in Council territory, in to the Aboriginal Lands SEPP.

This overrides the high level strategic plans developed as part of the Greater Sidney Region Plan.

In view of the occurrence of recent flooding around Narrabeen Lagoon, it is imperative that no more development , with hard surfaces, should be built in the Catchment of Narrabeen Lagoon. Any development will drastically increase run off, causing even more disastrous flooding.

Development will lead to the destruction of a large area of natural habitat for our wildlife ,bandicoots possums, wrens . Natural Coastal bushland is fast disappearing along the East Coast of Australia, which is of great environmental concern. Is there to be none left?

Regards,

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 16:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The proposal is inconsistent with the objectives of the environmental objectives of the EPPA Act.

The proposal is the Deferred Areas of the WLEP should not be progressed until the future land uses in these areas is determined.

The original purpose of the land allocation the MLALC was for protection of Aboriginal culture. Clearly this proposal is inconsistent with this purpose.

Northern Beaches Council object to this proposal. I support the reason for this objection.

The sites are poorly serviced by public transport and clearly best suited to non-urban uses.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 16:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ingleside 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Selling off sites of cultural significance is not something that should be supported.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 16:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom It May Concern,

We are writing to you in regard to the developing of bushland off Corymbia Circuit and Wearden Road (Site 5).

We have reviewed the plans and documents provided and have put together the following summary of our concerns:

The proposed development does not fit within the established character of the area and is considered out of context for the locality.

Our concern is that native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations. The wildlife and ecological impact will be detrimental to this beautiful area.

On a personal note, I was raised in Frenchs Forest only to return to the area to bring-up my own family near these bushlands. Let's commit to keep the 'Forest' in Frenchs Forest and adjoining suburbs.

Further building developments will only add to traffic congestion and noise pollution; not to mention the deforestation and contribution to environmental temperature change.

Please consider the effect this will have on ours and future generations.

Kind Regards

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 16:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Forestville

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Stop destroying our Aboriginal culture. Once it is gone it is gone forever.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 15:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the DPP proposal and in particular to allow development of the Lizard Rock area based on:

- destruction of valuable native flora & fauna in the area
- inadequate road infrastructure on Morgan Road to accommodate the proposed number of houses and cars
- inadequate egress from the area in the event of a bushfire
- increases in silt & stormwater pollution entering local waterways and Narrabeen lagoon
- increased run-off from any development causing scouring and exacerbating flooding along Wakehurst Parkway and Narrabeen Lagoon
- destruction of vegetation resulting in increased greenhouse gas emissions and permanent removal of an existing carbon sink
- poor past performance of the MLALC in preserving and managing local aboriginal heritage

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 15:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I oppose the development. The land was not given back to be developed it was because of the cultural significance to the indigenous community. Even if that were not the case this is a travesty. The native flora and fauna will be reduced and once it is gone it can never return. It does not equate that this is the only way to financially assist the indigenous community. This is a bad development and disrespectful of our fragile and unique environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 15:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2119

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a Sydney Wildlife Rescue volunteer, and Powerful Owl Project citizen scientist,  
I object to this proposal on the basis of:

Environmental importance of Lizard Rock area:

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain

with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.

2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.

3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.

4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found. The local community also values them for their scenic value.

5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.

6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.

7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.

8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.

9. Angophora crassifolia: There is an extensive stand of Angophora crassifolia on the top plateau.

With thanks for your consideration of my objection.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 15:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2119

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a Sydney Wildlife Rescue volunteer, and Powerful Owl Project citizen scientist,  
I object to this proposal on the basis of:

Environmental importance of Lizard Rock area:

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain

with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.

2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.

3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.

4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found. The local community also values them for their scenic value.

5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.

6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.

7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.

8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.

9. Angophora crassifolia: There is an extensive stand of Angophora crassifolia on the top plateau.

With thanks for your consideration of my objection.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 15:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

Having lived on ██████████ for over 20 years and built my house there, I have concerns that we had to address prior to building that appear to be left out of any development on Morgan Road.

- 1) Living in Lyndhurst Estate we have to utilise and maintain a sewage pumping system.
- 2) Electricity is underground.
- 3) Water pressure is barely adequate.
- 4) The land east of Lyndhurst is very marshy and often in wet weather and storms large trees come down in the proposed development area, along Snake Creek(?), which I assume is the small designated conservation area.
- 5) What access will there be to the lots in the initial development.

I look forward to any information that you may have.

Regards

████████████████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

There is inadequate infrastructure in the area to cater to the proposed new residents.

Destruction of native land

Loss of bush walking trails for locals

Vulnerability to aboriginal heritage sites

Bush fire risks

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 15:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Fairlight 2094

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, ██████████ a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area regularly I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora.

Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat.

This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered

culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham.

I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not coping with the traffic as there is often an extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 14:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Murrays run 2325

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Aboriginal SEPP amendment land development proposal in the strongest possible terms due to its unacceptable impact on biodiversity including threatened species such as barking owls. These land parcels are vital as reservoirs of local genetic diversity and as corridors allowing movement of species across the landscape.

I grew up in the Northern Beaches and still visit family and friends there. The Hawkesbury Sandstone flora and fauna communities are deeply important to me and their continual destruction at a local and landscape scale is causing me great distress.

Development continues to encroach on the natural environment of the Northern beaches e.g. Terrey Hills road widening, Forestville hospital, Ingleside housing development. It is vital to preserve remaining bushland fragments if we, and future generations, are to retain a viable natural environment. Nature must be preserved across the landscape, not just locked up in National Parks if natural systems are to remain functional.

As well as the devastating impact of direct habitat loss and the death of countless plants and animals, I object to the inevitable flow on effects from such a development, which include:

- The need for more infrastructure, as a result of increased human habitation, which is likely to cause further environmental impacts.
- Increased urban edge effects on adjacent bushland, such as changed predation risk or increased incursion by invasive species such as weeds.
- Decline in habitat quality of nearby national parks due to the poorer natural values of the surrounding urban matrix in a process suggested by ecological research (e.g. Kristan et.al. 2003, Rand 2006, Threlfall et al. 2012)

The importance of natural areas to human health and well-being is well documented. Urban bushland is a vital resource for the community, but it is being rapidly lost, to the detriment of future generations.

This land development proposal conflicts with existing land use plans such as the greater Sydney region plan. Northern beaches council is already meeting housing quotas. The issue is eroding community trust in our planning instruments and the probity of relevant departments.

████████████████████

#### References

Kristan III, W.B., Lynam, A.J., Price, M.V. and Rotenberry, J.T., 2003. Alternative causes of edge-abundance relationships in birds and small mammals of California coastal sage scrub. *Ecography*, 26(1), pp.29-44.

Rand, T.A., Tylianakis, J.M. and Tscharntke, T., 2006. Spillover edge effects: the dispersal of agriculturally subsidized insect natural enemies into adjacent natural habitats. *Ecology letters*, 9(5), pp.603-614.

Threlfall, C.G., Law, B. and Banks, P.B., 2012. Influence of landscape structure and human modifications on insect biomass and bat foraging activity in an urban landscape. *PloS one*, 7(6), p.e38800.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 14:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I live in the local area near Wyatt Ave. I am writing to you to let you know I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:



2. Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
3. Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
4. Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
5. Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
6. The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
7. There is no evidence that DPIE has sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
8. Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
9. The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP, including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
10. The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
11. The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
12. The DDP was published without legible maps which I find very very misleading. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.
13. The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DD
14. My children walk and ride in the bush between Ralston Ave and Wyatt Ave on a daily basis and taking this bushland away from my children is a shame. You will be left

with local kids that have nothing to do.

15. We also regularly travel to the beaches via Morgan Rd and putting 450 houses on Lizard Rock will significantly impact the environment and traffic.

16. My children are very sad hearing about this proposed development.

17. My daughter said, We enjoy seeing the wildlife and if you really care about this. Why go ahead with this development? If you take away the trees the floods will become worse than what we have seen in recent weeks.. Where will the animals go once you take the environment away?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

The tranquil nature of these bushlands has been beneficial to my health and well

being.

My children grew up here also spending many hours in this bush.  
They rode bikes, walked and played in these bushlands.

We all have enjoyed watching the wildlife that lives in the bush.  
The removal of natural bush will destroy habitat for these native animals and once it is gone it will be gone forever.

This area forms a wildlife corridor for many of our native animals.  
I want this bushland to remain untouched for the future residents of greater Sydney.

I also strongly object to the desecration of sacred aboriginal sites such as rock carvings which are in this bushland. This amounts to cultural desecration.

I also object to the increased amount of traffic that this will cause on our mostly inadequate roads.

..

I don't want to have to fund the infrastructure that will need to be built to support this development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 14:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Church Point 2105

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Hi,

My view on the project is not entire objection, as I feel it's important that the MLALC and the Aboriginal people living in NBC have the opportunity for sustainable long term income opportunities, and that government and agencies support them in this.

But there seems to be gaps in this submission with respect to infrastructure, environment and sustainability points. Whether it's MLALC or any developer, there should be more detailed specifications on infrastructure requirements to be

met; and this should be increased to past agreements, as past developer approvals have had insufficient levies to get the required infrastructure, so it's then on council and community to meet the shortfall.

To avoid being impacted by natural environmental events such as fire or flooding, there should be more stringent criteria to be met.

All submissions such as this should have more reference to Sustainability to ensure low carbon development, renewable energy, and optimum water management.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 14:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I live in the local area near Wyatt Ave. I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  2. Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  3. Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  4. Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  5. Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
  6. The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
  7. There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
  8. Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.

9. The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.

10. The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.

11. The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.

12. The DDP was published without legible maps which I find very very misleading. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

13. The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DD

14. My children walk and ride in the bush between Ralston Ave and Wyatt Ave on a daily basis and taking this bushland away from my children is a shame. You will be left with local kids that have nothing to do.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 13:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North curl curl 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to

protect.

- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage..
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 13:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.

- The proposed development:

- o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,

- o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
- o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
- o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 13:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Gladesville

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Lizard Rock development proposal:

I am writing to object to the proposal for 450 dwellings at the Lizard Rock site along Morgan Road, Belrose. The strategic guide of the NSW government, the 'North District Plan' developed by the Greater Sydney Commission, has not allocated this area for residential development. This is the guiding document, agreed to through a consultative process to determine the way we move forward, yet we now have this proposal. The site is unsuitable as it is isolated, not adjacent to other development and is surrounded by bushland.

The additional housing is not needed, as documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy. There are many excellent reasons for this proposal being rejected.

It is highest quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, barking owl, and a healthy stand of *Angophora crassifolia* will be at risk of removal.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be destroyed, minimising the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes a mockery of efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

The high fire danger in that area is known. The last planned burn-off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake Creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes was burnt. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

Indisputably increasing intensity of weather events which mean 1) increased effects of hot weather: fire danger; and 2) increased effects of wet weather: even greater flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway; and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times. An additional 450 houses will greatly increase the traffic chaos. The public transport connection (bus stop) is mostly well over 1km away and there is not even one footpath provided to walk there.

Lizard Rock is a hilltop location, development there will disrupt viewlines and destroy vital bushland impacting native flora and fauna. Please accept my strong objection to this proposal for the reasons above.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 12:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support this Submission.

I am not opposed to development and support Aboriginal self determination, but not at the cost of our last precious remnants of bushland in Sydney metro. Once the bush is gone it's gone forever. Where do the homeless and starving wildlife live when their habitat and food sources are gone? 194 hectares of bushland removed is truly an environmental disaster. Have we not learnt from past environmental mistakes?

Surely we can find better ways to increase affordable housing and provide income for aboriginal communities.

I also disagree with handing over the land to a small elite group of aboriginal people who were not elected by nor represent the majority of aboriginal people in Australia. This is not the way to close the gap of inequality. It's another insufficient peace meal way to pretend to do it. The Aboriginal land council doesn't represent all aboriginal Australians so it would be unfair for the funds generated to not be shared equally.

With new housing and factories domestic plants will infest our native bush in the national parks. We are starting to lose our pure native vegetation in Sydney. The run off of seeds etc is contaminating the bush. We are starting to lose our natural environment. I grew up in this area. I had the bush on my back door and was able to go out and in nature at any time and enjoy the bush. Where will the next generation go if the bush is gone? Where will the next generation of bush lovers come from. Where will their spiritual enrichment from the being in the natural environment come from. In Japan and London the idea of Forest bathing is clinically proven to help people be happy and heal depression. Where will city dwellers go? They will have to travel hours to get to the country.

Just imagine how many trees plants animals and habitat will be lost. Never to return. Does the natural bushland

hold no value when it comes to development?

What about the environmental impact less trees less habitat? Think of climate change carbon storage gone. Recreational areas gone. Local native wildlife that is already under pressure left homeless and starving ultimately extinct in this area. Bushland degradation is caused by invasion of non native plants. Our society values the environment and doesn't support state governments actions that push us further into climate change.

So much of our native flora and fauna has already been bulldozed for development. The impacts of the hospital by pass and hospital were felt when only 6 hectares of bushland was cleared. We had many homeless and starving wildlife wondering into our homes and roads. This loss of habitat for wildlife from the bulldozing of the last remaining bushland sites is unforgivable. Once it's gone it's gone forever. What can we leave for the next generation when we remove another 194 hectares?

Why am I forced to tick your privacy statement which gives me little privacy? I can object but only if I accept your version of the privacy policy.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Northern Beaches resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been

found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location

and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Regards,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 11:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Queenscliff

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I'm against the development of this land because of the aboriginal cultural, flora and fauna significance and recreational importance to both the local community and tourism.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 11:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

frenchs forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

The proposed development:

- o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
- o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
- o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
- o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage..

The recent flooding has shown very clearly that our council does not currently have functional infrastructure. Destroying this bushland is a foolish land grab that disrespects both the current residents and the traditional owners of the land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 10:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Allambie Heights 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern,

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP. This proposal has not been carefully thought out.

In February 2022, Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) chief executive Nathan Moran told The Urban Developer, "This is an extremely positive step towards utilising our land to deliver economic outcomes for our community, as envisaged by the Aboriginal Land Rights Act."

The local Aboriginal elders of the Northern Beaches are currently against all these proposed sites. Have the MLALC asked these elders for their opinions on this before they proceeded with this application?

Why are these areas even been considered for any type of development when they are in direct conflict with regional, district and local strategic planning documents that council and the State Govt itself, have been preparing for several years, in consultation with the community?

The proposal is entirely inconsistent with planning strategies for managing the natural environment and biodiversity, non-urban lands, housing and sustainability.

The Lizard rock site on Morgon road was already rejected once in 2006, mainly for environmental reasons by Warringah council. What has changed to warrant the approval of this now in 2022 and allow 450 new homes into this area causing more destruction to local Fauna, Flora and natural rock formations within the site, and then

surrounding areas due to development requirements such as sewage, bushfire clearing and infrastructure that will creep into the Narrabeen Lagoon catchment area past the actual development sites. There is also potentially, significant land use conflict with the Earth Satellite Stations in Oxford Fall Valley for this area.

There are many wrongs in this whole proposal including:

Inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,

Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.

Unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,

Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.

DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.

There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.

Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.

The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.

The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.

The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.

The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

I Object to any developments within these sites due to the many reasons above

I Object to the inclusion of the land in the SEPP

I Requests a 6-month extension of the public notification period

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 09:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 09:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I respectfully object to the proposed development in relation to site 5, site 6 and site 7.

I respect the Aboriginal lands, and strongly believe the beauty and heritage of these particular sites should be preserved for all current and future generations to appreciate and enjoy. A cultural centre, Indigenous run tours or other activities to improve the understanding of cultures, use of and preservation of the bushland

would be encouraged. We object to residential and industrial development on these lands and particularly the sites listed. There is significant development proposed in the area already, namely the Frenchs Forest Precinct, and continued large scale development in the area will erode the 'forest' landscape, impact animal habitats, increase congestion, noise, and pollution.

My understanding is that this proposed development is seeking to enhance the economic and financial well-being of Indigenous people. However there are other ways for this to be achieved. Local, State and Federal Governments need to continue to seek ways to improve employment participation of Indigenous people. Selling off land is not a sustainable solution to ensuring ongoing participation and activation of current and future Indigenous generations. Indeed a cultural centre, tours or other activities on these lands could be a viable business opportunity and increase employment.

The local community significantly benefits from the local bushland, with bike riding and walking great activities to improve health and wellbeing. Personally our family enjoy the local bushwalks, seeing the wildlife (wallabies, brush turkeys, lizards) and natural flora. We live in this area because of our proximity to the bush and it would be devastating to see this landscape change.

Yours sincerely,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 09:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this land being built on

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 08:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a long time resident of Davidson I strongly oppose this development. In my opinion it will have a dramatic and detrimental effect on the character of the area, will impact on the native flora and fauna and add to the congestion on our roads.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 08:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I OBJECT to the development to Lizard rock area.

Keep Lizard rock our bushland for every one and our natives to enjoy.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 08:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Brookvale 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

These areas comprise one of few remaining urban wildernesses within Sydney. As part of the domain of the Garigal original indigenous inhabitants, it contains significant cultural sites. Not only the specific sites (rock carvings, shelters etc) have major significance but also the landforms around them. When this land was granted to the Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council, surely it was with the intention of conserving both the natural environment AND the cultural heritage of those people.

This area is a priceless asset to be passed on to our future generations. Once developed for short term gain it will be lost forever.

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 08:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am submitting my objection to the proposed DPP for development to the bush land at Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit and Wearden Road) in Frenchs Forest.

This development would be devastating to the local area for many reasons. Please take the time to read through the many reasons I have outlined below.

- This area is native bushland and home to a huge variety of local species that deserve to be protected for ours and future generations.
- This area is a key mental health support and an outlet for our local community

to be able to be a part of nature while we try to exist in an extremely stressful generation (particularly during the Covid reality of the past few years) that is not to be understated.

- The bushwalking routes available in this area is incredible, particularly for younger children and elderly as the trails are low to medium grade, short in duration and are less crowded than the popular national parks trails (such as the Cascades Trail)

- This will be yet more deforestation to the local area that was once tree filled. This causes significant changes to the environment such as temperature change, water absorption and retention for the area, and air quality. Removing trees and undergrowth and replacing these with concrete, roads and infrastructure is a significant negative impact.

- Road congestion is already a problem for the local area (peak hours on Forestway and Warringah Road are worse than ever before since the hospital and road developments over recent years). The addition of these developments will increase the load significantly on our roads, and they are already at capacity.

- The wildlife impacts are of considerable concern.

I implore you to reconsider this development.

Thank you and kind regards,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

My submission is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit / Wealden Rd)

I object to this development because I believe it will have a significantly negative impact on local wildlife and ecology, as well as recreational walking for the local community. I am also very concerned about deforestation and the building of new roads and that this will add to the traffic congestion in the local area. Please do not approve this development, it will change the local area significantly for the worse; our green belt needs to be protected.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 08:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am submitting my objection to the proposed DPP for development to the bush land at Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit and Wearden Road) in Frenchs Forest.

This development would be devastating to the local area for many reasons. Please take the time to read through the many reasons I have outlined below.

- This area is native bushland and home to a huge variety of local species that deserve to be protected for ours and future generations. This area has been a key mental health support and an outlet for our local community to be able to be a part of nature while we try to exist in an extremely stressful generation (particularly during the Covid reality of the past few years) that is not to be understated.
- The bushwalking routes available in this area is incredible, particularly for younger children and elderly as the trails are low to medium grade, short in duration and are less crowded than the popular national parks trails (such as the Cascades Trail)
- This will be yet more deforestation to the local area that was once tree filled. This causes significant changes to the environment such as temperature change, water absorption and retention for the area, and air quality. Removing trees and undergrowth and replacing these with concrete, roads and infrastructure is a significant negative impact.
- Road congestion is already a problem for the local area (peak hours on Forestway and Warringah Road are worse than ever before since the hospital and road developments over recent years). The addition of these developments will increase the load significantly on our roads, and they are already at capacity.
- The wildlife impacts are of considerable concern.

I implore you to reconsider this development.

Thank you and kind regards,

████████████████████

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 07:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2108

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am one hundred percent against this.

We need our natural bush land and do it need any more development t.

We need MORE bush,and.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 07:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Simply this is removing scared aboriginal land with its art and ancient stone arrangements.. not to mentioned the countless living creatures that have called it home for millions of years.

Leave the land around northern sydney alone and protect our biological back ground that makes the northern beaches great ( what's left of it )

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 06:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposal. The infrastructure considerations have not been addressed to support this type of growth. We already have too many issues with existing roads and other infrastructure elements that cant support current population, let alone the mass development being proposed here. Also massive bush fire risks and natural wildlife fauna and flora impacts to our beautiful national parks. This proposal is a disgrace and a proper enquiry and investigation should be implemented before any further developments.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 06:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

St Ives

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this development on many grounds but the main one is that this land is home to local flora and fauna species that will be lost. Being a member of Sydney Wildlife I know that our local members support the fauna here and have done for over 30 years. To lose yet another area of pristine bushland would be a great loss.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 03:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Palm Beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The draft DDP has not addressed process issues and has not appropriately addressed statutory requirements of the relevant State Environmental Planning Policy.

The draft DDP also conflicts, or does not address conflicts, with many of the priorities and actions in the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These have not been appropriately considered or addressed, as required by planning legislation.

The cumulative environmental impacts of development on these lands are potentially significant and should be addressed now, before the adoption of any DDP.

Significant bushfire risks have not been appropriately addressed.

An overarching strategic approach is needed for all MLALC lands totalling 912ha (not just the six (6) sites included in the DDP) to help achieve good outcomes for MLALC and the wider community.

Additional work is required to address the above concerns before any DDP is progressed.

The Development Delivery Plan also conflicts with many of the priorities and actions in the Greater Sydney Region Plan, North District Plan and Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy.

How can the Department of Planning & Environment operate as both a collaborator with Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council in the preparation of this proposal and as the determining authority for the Development Delivery Plan/State Environmental Planning Policy amendment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 03:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This project is environmental vandalism. There are so many negatives to this project (lack of adequate infrastructure/utilities, bushland impact, lack of flooding/bushfire mitigation etc) that i am stunned it is even being considered.

The people of Belrose and the Northern Beaches will remember this decision each time an election comes around

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/03/2022 - 00:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Smiths Gully 3760

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I will make this brief. It is as an ecologist and also a sympathiser of the pains that were inflicted on the First Nations people of this continent, that I make this submission.

The First Custodians of Australia have always had a deep connection to to country. Returning land to these people so that they can continue their traditions, maintain their connections with country, and educate their future generations regarding the land is something I am fully in support of. BUT to turn so much remnant vegetation over to land development is not in keeping with either maintaining their connection to country NOR in maintaining habitat integrity that

will ensure environmental resilience in a time of climate change. The proposed development of these lands is NOT about raising funds to educate people about the aboriginal people and traditions - it is about a money grab. The degree of development outlined in this proposal will fragment habitats, increase edge-effect impacts, reduce habitat viability, and lose irreplaceable native vegetation that should be retained in a natural form for prosperity. The only way to educate future generations on the connection to country is to have country to connect with. Placing hundreds on homes will do little more than destroy those habitats simply as a cash-grab. And placing homes within surrounding bushland areas only increases the risk of fires impacting those homes that may be placed in these areas. With additional homes adjacent to any vegetation that IS retained will only then place pressure on those habitats when the residents become fearful of fire risks, most likely resulting in management of those remnant habitats to reduce fire risks - and these management regimes are highly unlikely to commensurate with natural ecosystem function nor allow for natural vegetation form and structure to be retained.

If money for an education centre is the issue, then land that is suitable for development - that is, already cleared land that is better placed within existing residential corridors - should be returned to the MLALC to allow them to develop land that IS suitable for development.

Yes, it is now private land, as was iterated repeatedly during the Draft Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land development delivery plan webinar held on 17 February 2022...and in that, the landholder is subjected to the same planning processes that an other landholder would be subject to. As an ecologist, I would seriously hope that ANY applicant with this amount of bushland would also be refused any development of these bushland areas. In a very cursory viewing I have made of the areas proposed for development, there appears only very limited opportunities for development where habitat destruction will not required. In particular, the proposed development of Lizard Rock area has proposed most of the bush to be developed with a narrow, snaking corridor retained though this. It won't survive in the longer term. It will become a recreational thoroughfare by the new surrounding residents, it will become a dumping ground for their refuse, it will become full of weeds, it will become part of their domestic pets extended backyards in which the pets will roam and will impact native fauna.

I also ask this question - with all the proposed development, what is to happen to all the resident fauna? Will these all be relocated to new environments or will

they simply be bulldozed when the developments occur? Will the remaining fauna be expected to squeeze into these fragments that are retained? Or will they have to roam until they become roadkill?

I have found this entire proposal to be heart-wrenching, short-sighted, and clearly not aimed at protection of country nor connecting future generations of the First Nations people with country and traditions nor education of the broader community about Aboriginal traditions. Further fragmenting habitats with residential development will NOT improve biodiversity protection nor habitat resilience in a time of climate uncertainty.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 23:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been

found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council’s Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed

development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Please adjust this message as needed! Thank you for composing [REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 23:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our natural bushland is so diverse and beautiful - not to mention fragile!!!

If we don't look after it and preserve it - then not only will our future generations not have these wonderful corridors of native bushland to enjoy - but neither will our native flora and fauna survive - we need to be nurturing and caring for this peaceful and tranquil safe haven for them - so that they will be protected from the developers land clearing!

Sydney is congested enough as it is - please don't make it any worse 🙏

From a very concerned Sydney resident - ████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Seaforth NSW 2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I agree with the Northern Beaches Council recommendation that an overarching State strategic approach is needed for all MLALC lands totalling 912ha (not just the six (6) sites included in the DDP in the Northern Beaches) to help achieve good outcomes for MLALC and the wider community. Whilst I agree with supporting Aboriginal people, but do not believe this is the appropriate mechanism.

I believe there are fundamental problems with the DDP proposed by DPIE.

If the land is included in the SEPP, future developments in the LGA could be approved by NSW Government without the consent of Northern Beaches Council. This is not acceptable.

The NSW Department of Planning have not followed their own Planning Policy. There is not enough information in the DDP for the Minister for Planning and Homes to decide regarding the SEPP.

- The impact on flora and fauna including endangered species have not been considered.
- The effect of the proposed development on Bushfire and Flooding has not been adequately addressed.
- Important issues such as funding for roads, bridges, stormwater and water and sewer have not been addressed.

This proposal threatens the destruction of 2,250 acres of pristine native bushland.

I object to the inclusion of the land in the SEPP, in particular to the inclusion of the Aquatic Drive site/s in the Aboriginal Land SEPP and DDP.

I do not support any proposal to rezone the western site for RE2 Private Recreation or B7 Business Park use or other development. The western portion of the site contains a waterway and 90% native vegetation cover, also identified as riparian land.

Both western and eastern lots have high conservation value bushland and warrant the existing C2 environmental conservation zone.

Rezoning to allow development would adversely affect the high ecological values of the land.

The DDP information does not acknowledge :

- The context of the site in Curl Curl Creek / Manly Dam Catchment
- The conservation values of the bushland and adjoining Park
- The wildlife corridor

I agree with recommendation of conservation for Eastern lot:

"The high-level ecological value of the eastern lot offers opportunities for potential biodiversity credits or offsets." However, the C2 Environmental Conservation status also needs to be retained for the Western lot.

I also OPPOSE Site 1: Morgan Road, Belrose (Lizard Rock):

The strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan' from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development and this is the document all agreed to follow through with. The site is isolated and not adjacent to development. It is surrounded by bushland.

The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

It is best quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, barking owl and a healthy stand of *Angophora crassifolia* will be exterminated.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be exterminated and minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

High fire danger in that area. The last planned burn off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

Known increasing intensity of weather events which will 1) increase the effects of hot weather: fire danger and 2) increase the effects of wet weather: increased flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, leave alone from an additional 450 houses..

Visual pollution from afar and vintage point destruction, as Lizard Rock is a hilltop location.

I oppose Site 9 (Ralston Avenue) – which has already had a previous proposal rejected by Council, and a subsequent review by the Independent Planning Commission recommended it not proceed due to inconsistencies with Section 9.1 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, and the aims and objectives of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 22:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I don't think building should take place on this beautiful part of nature, there is so much wildlife here who's homes will be destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 22:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe this project will have a terrible impact on the community and the native wildlife. It is in the councils best interest to cease any plans regarding development in this animal and plant rich suburb.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 22:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Seaforth 2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The sale of this environmentally important land is detrimental for the bushland, which contains many species of endangered fauna and flora.

This land should not be sold off for more housing in the sensitive bushland of Belrose, it is the home of many species of birds, mammals and reptiles, koalas have also been found in the area.

Why is the aboriginal land council able to sell this priceless land for profit, when it was gifted to them to protect the bushland for housing development? There are also important aboriginal rock carvings which are endangered of destruction if the land is sold for housing.

The bushland was saved from development previously when Ralston Avenue was threatened but now this is a much greater area threatened with development. Sadly this is not the first time the Northern Aboriginal Land Council has sold land for development in sensitive bush areas eg next to Garigal National Park.

I am totally against further destruction of sensitive bushland on the Northern Beaches, with climate change, we need all the trees, shrubs and bushland to reduce heat, provide shade and habitat for both humans and wildlife.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 21:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am a Frenchs Forest resident and I am very concerned about the proposed development of the 9 sites of land owned by MLALC.

The impact on our native wildlife and flora would be devastating. We moved to Frenchs Forest 20 years ago because we loved the natural beauty of this bush land suburb. It appears this is slowly being eroded away. The infrastructure in this area is barely coping as it is. Please don't allow this proposal to go forward, we love our suburb with it's natural beauty and wildlife and need to protect it from excessive overdevelopment.

Jeanette Davis

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 21:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to the land development at Belrose and Oxford falls. There are species of flora and fauna that will be lost forever if this development goes ahead. This is an irreversible decision and one that will mean the loss of sacred indigenous sites and many acres of precious bush land that is invaluable as a wildlife refuge in this growing city. There is also the last colony of koalas in our area that will be made extinct if this development goes ahead. This area is regularly flooded and has a single access road when it rains. It is a high bush fire risk area. The Gadigal people were not decimated completely. There are still direct descendants alive who are the traditional owners of this land.

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 21:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Re: Corymbia Circuit and Wearden Rd and Ralston Ave and Lizard Rock (Morgan Rd)

These areas are widely used by the local communities for bushwalking. The existing developments proposed for the Frenchs Forest area are significant in terms of additional housing.

I am concerned about the impact that these developments will have on traffic volumes as the local infrastructure is not sufficient to cope with significant additional housing.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 21:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been

found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed

development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 21:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am a local Frenchs Forest resident and my family and I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas for the following reasons:

1) development takes away from the rich biodiversity of this area and destroys the habitat of our native flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here. We have a responsibility to protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife.

2) protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

3) it has come to my attention that there has been no cultural and heritage assessment and this local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

4) Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham.

5) Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads.

Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

6) the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

7) There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

8) one of my main concerns is that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose this development and urge you to look into the matter and make better decisions with great consultation.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 20:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Shelly Beach, Central Coast, 2261

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 20:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

In our current world which is plainly experiencing the effects of climate change on such a catastrophic scale, I am completely at a loss to understand the logic behind stripping out the vast amount of bushland to make way for the proposed plans. And it seems to be incredible hypocritical that this is coming from an Aboriginal Land Council. We've only been living in the area and have fallen in love with the vast amount of nature, the thought of these plans being passed is nothing short of heartbreaking.

Therefore, I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental

Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

To elaborate further, I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage..
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.



- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Regards

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2073

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There is inadequate infrastructure in the area to cater to the proposed new residents.

Destruction of native land

Loss of bush walking trails for locals

Vulnerability to aboriginal heritage sites

Bush fire risks

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 20:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Hello,

As a local beacon hill resident I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being

pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often an extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 20:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The proposed development for Lizard Rock in Belrose will, in my view, jeopardize our local community and environment. This is an instance of building 'out' rather than 'up' which increases the human footprint on our local ecosystem and creates further human challenges such as transport. Such decisions, as to go ahead with this development, realise irreversible damage that will devastate our local environment and community, which cannot be undone for future generations.

Firstly, regarding the environment, this area is filled with native flora and fauna which should be protected including long-nosed bandicoots and monitor lizards.

The slippery dip trail, on the other side of Morgan Road, has a number of conditions associated with using the path (and rightly so). Our local community, by and large, abides by these in order to protect our local environment. To see the bushland just meters away destroyed would be devastating after our hard work driven by community spirit.

Secondly, this has a large human impact. The land is only accessible via two roads with the Oxford Falls area prone to frequent flooding. The small, two-lane road (Morgan Road) that meets with Forestway does not have the capacity for the quantity of cars associated with the proposal. Additionally, during my time in Belrose, I have seen a number of accidents occur at this intersection (Morgan Road- Forestway). These are, obviously, always unfortunate and the danger associated is worrying.

Thank you so much for taking the time to consider my view.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 20:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In our current world which is plainly experiencing the effects of climate change on such a catastrophic scale, I am completely at a loss to understand the logic behind stripping out the vast amount of bushland to make way for the proposed plans. And it seems to be incredible hypocritical that this is coming from an Aboriginal Land Council. We've only been living in the area and have fallen in love with the vast amount of nature, the thought of these plans being passed is nothing short of heartbreaking.

Therefore, I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to



take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

To elaborate further, I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.
- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Regards



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 20:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

4567

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Stop destroying our national heritage.

You have taken enough land over the last 50 years. This is our future generations Sovereignty.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 19:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The loss of our native Bushland would be a travesty. These areas are so important for our native flora and fauna, and as recreational areas.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 19:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

AVALON BEACH

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to this typical and abhorrent plan by to continue permanently destroying more natural heritage and living eco-systems along with the living inhabitants. Enough of the northern beaches has been logged, bulldozed and earth gouged to feed the selfish greed driven ambitious of parasitic developers and other private interests.

Whether it is MLALC, NB Council, NSW state government or federal, their behavior clearly demonstrates they are all business interests primarily serving their own agenda and vested interests, not the country and certainly not the environment or community.

The specific points I must make are as follows:

1. Traditional Aboriginal Owners have not been consulted about this proposal. Given the very rich Aboriginal heritage in this area, it is totally unacceptable to proceed with any of the plans before full consultation with traditional owners and with Aboriginal people who live in the area.
2. Lot 2600 is mapped as part of the proposal but this land has not been granted to MLALC. It has been, in previous plans, marked as being kept for future public requirements. This land is required as an environmental protection asset and should not be granted.
3. Lot 89 is not in MLALC ownership
4. Road reserves are not in MLALC ownership but are included in the proposal.

5. Funding of Infrastructure is proposed to be borne by the Government or Northern Beaches Council. This is totally unacceptable when Northern Beaches Council has identified where housing can be located in other areas of the LGA where the infrastructure is in place

6. Inclusion of medium or high-density housing. The SEPP (Housing) implemented in 2021 which replaces other SEPPs for Seniors Housing and Affordable Rental Housing and 2 other SEPPs, shows that seniors Living does not apply to land identified on the MRA areas. This is a strong indication that intense urban development is not intended to be permitted in an area currently marked as an MRA.

7. Development Costs: Current and future consultant's investigations and reports should be part of the development costs and not funded by Local or State Government. Timing of this proposal: The LEP for Northern Beaches is a work in progress. Parts of the Northern Beaches are in the "Deferred Areas" for which the previous LEP 2000 has not yet been translated through to the LEP 2011 and are yet to be resolved before the current revision of the LEP can be finalised. Any proposal for lands within the "Deferred Areas" needs to be assessed under the LEP 2000 in which the Lizard Rock area was part of the B2 area. It is not appropriate to decide any major proposal for this area before the issue of the Deferred Lands has been resolved.

In NSW there are high-level strategic plans developed through community consultation processes which apply to:

- the 10 regions of NSW, through regional plans
- Greater Sydney, through the Greater Sydney Region Plan
- the 5 districts of Greater Sydney, through district plans that align with the Greater Sydney Region Plan.

Those High-level strategic plans are adhered to in the preparation of the local government strategic plans and their resultant Local Environment Plans.

After all that high-level strategic planning, it is unconscionable that the Government can use SEPPs (such as Senior's Living and now this Aboriginal Lands SEPP) to over-ride those same Strategic Plans.

The Strategic Plans designate the Lizard Rock area in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment as a Metropolitan Rural Area not suitable for urban development.

The Aboriginal Lands SEPP intends to make certain development on land mapped under the Aboriginal Land SEPP and owned by a LALC regionally significant so that planning decisions would be made by the relevant planning panel rather than the local council.

For the State Government to over-ride all those high-level plans in addition to over-riding the local LEP makes a mockery of the supposedly democratic processes in which so many of us have participated, believing that this will protect our sensitive environmental heritage areas.

It is strange that the Explanation of Intended Effect document States that "The proposed amendment to the SEPP will align with current Government policy and will contribute to the delivery of housing supply and diversity and employment targets set out in the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan." when, in fact, those high-level plans designate this same area as a Metropolitan Rural Area NOT a suburban housing area.

NOTE: Northern Beaches Council has identified where the housing targets for this LGA can be met without needing to disturb the Lizard Rock area. The housing targets can be met within the current urban footprint which has the necessary infrastructure already in place

During the 20th Century, there were many attempts to protect the bushland in the catchment of Narrabeen Lagoon by people who recognised its significance. There are over 430 registered Aboriginal Sites which are indicative of important landscapes and areas of ceremonial significance. There are threatened and rare flora and fauna, some of which are not currently protected in NSW National Parks. There are at least 26 types of native vegetation communities including 13 registered as endangered and 8 that are not found in existing National Parks.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 19:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

frenchs forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The use of natural land that is home to an amazing range of beautiful creatures and plants as and industrial complex is absolutely disappointing as goes against everything we stand for as a community. This beautiful bush is what makes Australia truly amazing.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This development will destroy important wildlife habitats, biodiversity and aboriginal heritage sites. Enough damaging our natural environment, we have already taken too much!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 10:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Northern Beaches resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.



Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 09:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I think it's a mistake to take the bush away. Once it's gone, we'll never get it back.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 09:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This destruction of our precious bush land cannot proceed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 09:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to the development of these sites.

1. Pristine and unique areas of bushland need to be preserved to protect the biodiversity of our area.
2. I believe Health and well-being is directly linked to being able to access and enjoy nature the natural environment. If we continue to let these areas we
3. Local public infrastructure (roads / transport / schools / parking / HOSPITALS!

Etc) can barely support the current population.

4. I do believe that Aboriginal groups should be given the capacity to monetise their land capital and I think needs to be done through government buy back schemes.

Let's preserve not destroy this beautiful part of the northern beaches.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 09:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This is a large area for development and not suitable for development. It will take away from all the bush land meant for wildlife and add to the already crowded infrastructure we have on the northern beaches including roads, schools, sporting facilities.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 09:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This development will compromise areas of bush land that provide habitat native animals and green space for the local area.

Sydney's ideas are always at risk of losing important environments to development.

Whilst so many of us appreciate the need for extra housing, these developments are never providing affordable housing to young people who would like to live or stay in the area.

I'm also concerned about the fire risk - these areas have burned before and with changing climate conditions they will bring again.

One road in and out ...it's a disaster waiting to happen

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 09:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

NARRABEEN

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of the Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas because:-

1. Traditional Aboriginal Owners have not been consulted about this proposal. Given the very rich Aboriginal heritage in this area, it is totally unacceptable to proceed with any of the plans before full consultation with traditional owners and with Aboriginal people who live in the area.
2. Lot 2600 is mapped as part of the proposal but this land has not been granted to MLALC. It has been, in previous plans, marked as being kept for future public

requirements. This land is required as an environmental protection asset and should not be granted.

3. Lot 89 is not in MLALC ownership

4. Road reserves are not in MLALC ownership but are included in the proposal.

5. Funding of Infrastructure is proposed to be borne by the Government or Northern Beaches Council. This is totally unacceptable when Northern Beaches Council has identified where housing can be located in other areas of the LGA where the infrastructure is in place

6. Inclusion of medium or high-density housing. The SEPP (Housing) implemented in 2021 which replaces other SEPPs for Seniors Housing and Affordable Rental Housing and 2 other SEPPs, shows that seniors Living does not apply to land identified on the MRA areas. This is a strong indication that intense urban development is not intended to be permitted in an area currently marked as an MRA.

7. Development Costs: Current and future consultant's investigations and reports should be part of the development costs and not funded by Local or State Government. Timing of this proposal: The LEP for Northern Beaches is a work in progress. Parts of the Northern Beaches are in the "Deferred Areas" for which the previous LEP 2000 has not yet been translated through to the LEP 2011 and are yet to be resolved before the current revision of the LEP can be finalised. Any proposal for lands within the "Deferred Areas" needs to be assessed under the LEP 2000 in which the Lizard Rock area was part of the B2 area. It is not appropriate to decide any major proposal for this area before the issue of the Deferred Lands has been resolved.

<https://www.narrabeenlagoon.org.au/>

AND

After all that high-level strategic planning, it is unconscionable that the Government can use SEPPs (such as Senior's Living and now this Aboriginal Lands SEPP) to over-ride those same Strategic Plans.

The Strategic Plans designate the Lizard Rock area in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment as a Metropolitan Rural Area not suitable for urban development.

The Aboriginal Lands SEPP intends to make certain development on land mapped under the Aboriginal Land SEPP and owned by a LALC regionally significant so that planning decisions would be made by the relevant planning panel rather than the local council.

For the State Government to over-ride all those high-level plans in addition to over-riding the local LEP makes a mockery of the supposedly democratic

processes in which so many of us have participated, believing that this will protect our sensitive environmental heritage areas.

It is strange that the Explanation of Intended Effect document States that “The proposed amendment to the SEPP will align with current Government policy and will contribute to the delivery of housing supply and diversity and employment targets set out in the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan.” when, in fact, those high-level plans designate this same area as a Metropolitan Rural Area NOT a suburban housing area.

NOTE: Northern Beaches Council has identified where the housing targets for this LGA can be met without needing to disturb the Lizard Rock area. The housing targets can be met within the current urban footprint which has the necessary infrastructure already in place

During the 20th Century, there were many attempts to protect the bushland in the catchment of Narrabeen Lagoon by people who recognised its significance. There are over 430 registered Aboriginal Sites which are indicative of important landscapes and areas of ceremonial significance. There are threatened and rare flora and fauna, some of which are not currently protected in NSW National Parks. There are at least 26 types of native vegetation communities including 13 registered as endangered and 8 that are not found in existing National Parks.

I, a local Narrabeen resident, strongly oppose this development. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife.

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Completely against this. It will ruin what makes this area special and the reason we moved here.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 08:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

I support the proposal

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 08:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support any clearing or development of all the bushland sites in this proposal but specifically the Ralston Ave site for the following reasons.

Removal of important habitat for native animals and threatened species along the ridgeline.

Bushfire risk. For the houses built there and the increase of risk to the existing houses near by. Development will hinder the ability to properly defend the outcrop.

Pollution of the valley below from run off and destabilising the current landscape

Increase in traffic on smaller roads not able to cope and also subject to flooding. Endangering further wildlife with ongoing increase in cars.

Building on this land is not required to meet the council's responsibility to increase housing on the northern beaches. It can be done without affecting bushland sites.

I am sure you have received many responses detailing the reasons above more articulately than I have. I am making a submission to let you know I agree with the communities reasons for opposing development and I would like to take this opportunity to add my own personal reasons.

My family has lived close to the Ralston Ave site for nearly 10 years. In that time we have become very attached to the bushland area and it holds a great significance to my family. We love the animals that live there coming to

visit our property (wallabies, echidnas, snakes, black cockatoos to name a few) We love using it for recreation such as walking. My daughter learnt to ride her bike on the sealed road safely, away from traffic. My kids have learnt a lot about nature and the importance of protecting the environment. We went on daily walks during lockdown and still visit weekly. We watch the sun set together as a family. We have many happy memories in this area and would hate for it to be destroyed.

Please hear our community's and council's concerns about irreversibly changing our local area and losing all these beautiful things for future generations.

Thankyou

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 08:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

Please use wisdom in your decisions with the bigger picture of our irreplaceable land, whoever owns it. Please do not let financial gain become the lead in these decisions, whether the money will purportedly be used for assisting others or not. Once built upon, the environment, the species, whether flora or fauna are gone forever. These were sacred things to the original owners of this land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 08:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Elvina Bay

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Whilst I thoroughly support the compensation of Aboriginal people for their dispossession, murder and on-going disadvantage, I do not support the development of Lizard Rock for the following reasons:

The strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan 'from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development and it is zoned as metropolitan rural. It appears that the additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

The site is best quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl and healthy stands of *Angophora crassifolia* and *Boronia serrulata*. It is the core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies and development will minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

The site is a high fire danger zone. The last planned burn off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP. There is only one escape route into and out of the area.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road to enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, let alone from an additional 450 houses..

The known increasing intensity of weather events due to climate change will only exacerbate the flooding and fire risk.

Lizard Rock is a hilltop location and development here will create visual pollution.

Public transport connection ( bus stop) is mostly well over 1km away and there is no footpath to walk to it. There is no sewer connection to existing properties.

If the current classification of Metropolitan Rural with its focus on peri-urban development is overturned by a State Government Planning Panel to allow for intensive development in areas like Lizard Rock - totally unsuited for this purpose - it could have a number of disastrous outcomes including loss of life.

With the dangers of climate change already upon us, we need to stop clearing environmentally precious land and commit to the inward expansion of the city

and the retention of our remaining intact bushland.

That being said, I feel strongly that some accommodation needs to be made and I would like to support the suggested solutions by Lesley Stevens of the Sustainable Northern Beaches Group:

- Northern Beaches Council has identified areas where housing targets can be met within the current urban footprint and where the necessary infrastructure already exists.
- ALC together with NBC could look for land where a sustainable development stepping lightly on the land might be allowed if there was no impact on the surrounding bushland.
- ALC could to sell back blocks with outstanding natural heritage to the State, Council or indeed developers looking for local offsets.
- State Government could buy back some of the land and declare a new National Park. This would empower the ALC to invest in land more appropriate in the area or elsewhere.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 07:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am very concerned about.

1 The increase in local traffic volumes. There is already enormous amount of traffic speeding up and down Wearden Road, a lot of trade and delivery trucks.

2 Conservation/Biodiversity//Bio-banking on the bush land,fauna,flora

3 Bushfire Safety

4 Loss of amenity- in bushwalking and the outlook

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 07:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Bayview 2104

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am greatly concerned as to the impact on the threatened flora and fauna inhabiting this area. The size and scale of the intended development is inappropriate and on too large a scale

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 07:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Warriewood

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Reason not to allow proposal.....

Constraints to Urban Development:

In addition, the Lizard Rock area has significant constraints to development which have been mapped by the former Warringah Council such as:

1. Highly erodible soils. The dependence of hydrology and bushland on the soil profile, and the relationship between weeds and soil disturbance or siltation, contribute to the importance of this environmental factor.

2. Highly rated creek catchments with minimal levels of impervious surfaces..

These catchments need protection. Runoff from surrounding area affects

subsurface flow, vegetation in riparian buffers, water flow and quality in creeks and contributes to flooding events.

3. Water quality for creeks flowing into Narrabeen Lagoon.

4. Limitations of Warriewood Sewage Treatment Station. Effluent already escapes during heavy rain events. The Treatment Station does not have the capacity to take drainage from a major suburban development.

5. High bushfire risk. This whole area is bushfire prone with limited escape routes in the event of a major fire.

6. Telstra satellite facility. Unless the technology has changed, the satellite facility in Oxford Falls that is very close to the Lizard Rock area needs bushland all around it to protect the data integrity of international communications. The facility was established in Oxford Falls because there are no lights, houses, electronic interferences etc from suburbia around it. Investigation of this needs to be included.

7. Limited egress for residents - one single access road, which is frequently subject to flooding. This is a high fire risk area so egress is a matter of life and death.

8. Increasing hard surfaces, or losing the sponge function of the natural bushland will aggravate flooding downhill along the 'Wakehurst Parkway' and the edges of Narrabeen Lagoon which are also already of increasing concern during rain events.

9. High voltage power lines cross sections of the land. Access to power lines would be lost if, as requested, road reserves are sold to ML

THANK YOU

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/03/2022 - 07:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Freshwater

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to this proposal because I am concerned about the destruction and loss of the environment.. I echo the points made by the Friends of Narrabeen Lagoon in their submission, which I believe was as follows:

Environmental importance of Lizard Rock area:

Over many years, in consultation with scientific experts, Local Government and the community, studies have revealed that the Lizard Rock area of Northern Beaches contains the following important attributes that dictate that urban development is not appropriate in this Metropolitan Rural Area:

1. Significant Aboriginal heritage sites, which actually are linked across the terrain with other Aboriginal heritage sites, tell a composite, contiguous story.
2. Valued scenic attributes when viewed from all around. Ridge lines are valued by the local community for their scenic value.
3. Hilltops and ridge lines: Hilltops and ridge lines in the Northern Beaches area are known to be key sites in the life cycle of many butterflies, birds and red-crowned toadlets.
4. Rocky outcrops: Rock outcrops and the caves or shelters underneath them are known habitats for antechinus, snakes and Heath monitors. These outcrops and shelters are also where Aboriginal significance is often found.. The local community also values them for their scenic value.
5. Endangered species: Although endangered communities have been mapped and the Warringah Natural Area Survey has been used to inform decisions concerning the mapping, we remain concerned that habitat for endangered species has not been adequately addressed. We understand that a formula exists that would inform the area needed for habitat surrounding each identified endangered species and that many of the endangered species in the Lizard Rock Area have been listed in the NPWS Wildlife Atlas.
6. Wildlife Corridors: The maps that were prepared for Warringah Council of wildlife corridors mostly concentrated



on the linkages between recognised swathes of bushland. The bushland within the Lizard Rock area of the LEP is one such swathe – the whole area of the Metropolitan Rural Area bushland is in constant use by wildlife. This ensures there are no isolated communities of wildlife or vegetation that could result in gene pool degradation.

7. Core Wildlife Habitat: The Lizard Rock area is core wildlife habitat. It is a breeding site for swamp wallabies and other species, as well as being a wildlife corridor.

8. Hanging Swamps and Important hydrology: Key to the health of several creeks in the Metropolitan Rural Area are the hanging swamps that influence their hydrology. These creeks flow into Middle Creek and thence to Narrabeen Lagoon. The hanging swamps are also areas of high biodiversity.

9. *Angophora crassifolia*: There is an extensive stand of *Angophora crassifolia* on the top plateau.

I urge the state government to consider new ways to offer Aboriginal landowners economic benefits or compensation other than the destruction of their own land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 23:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly vale 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I have extensively walked this all over this region many times over the years. This area is a precious and rare natural beauty, there are many hidden amazing Aboriginal carvings on rocks. We must protect it for future generations to enjoy this stunning region. There are many native animals, wallabies and bandicoots that live there. As well as gorgeous flora and birds that live there. Please keep the Northern Beaches retaining some natural wild regions, they are a finite resource and once gone cannot be replaced. I want to show my future generations the beauty of this incredible area as it has been for thousands of years.

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 22:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to this development and the loss of a large amount of precious bush land. The proposal will decimate countless species of flora and fauna native to the area, it poses a severe flood and bushfire risk. The location lacks the infrastructure to deal with an influx in houses causing congestion and putting a further strain on emergency services and hospitals. Once the bush is gone it will never come back and we must hold onto what little precious bush land we have on the northern beaches. I will do everything I can to object this development.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Allambie Heights 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I live in Allambie Heights and regularly mountain bike on tracks which have been built over the past three years off of Weardon Road and Corymbia Circuit.

The area has come to be known as 'The Belrose Bowl'. I have seen hundreds of people enjoying this beautiful area of bush over the past few years. Many residents have experienced this gem of a small bush area due to Covid and exploring more.

There are very few sanctioned mountain bike trails (legally rideable) in the

Northern Beaches, with many tracks residing in National Parks. The Belrose Bowl encompasses 8 different land owners, both private and corporate. The Aboriginal Land Council has claimed recent Crown land which has been made available. I know the Land Council has the right to claim this land as private land.

Council has made available locations like Bare Creek which caters to a specific type of mountain biking which focuses on jumps and pump tracks which can be contained within a small footprint.

The Belrose Bowl represents cross-country and/or All Mountain biking trails which covers a much wider footprint and therefore is difficult for local councils to support.

With the possibility of housing going into the sites off Weardon Rd and Corymbia Ct, trails and access to trails in the Belrose Bowl will be affected. Housing for very few will be at the cost of thousands who have walked, run, and cycled in this little piece of bush land. There are trails which have been created which focus on younger riders.

There are no other trails like these in the Northern Beaches.

These small pockets of bush, which are not on National Park land are quite rare. The Belrose Bowl is accessible to local residents and has become well known to the wider Northern Beaches community also. I support the Aboriginal Land Council to acquire land to support their many needs. I also believe these parcels of land in question, although benefiting the needs of the Land Council will have an adverse effect on the community and take away natural history and recreational opportunities which cannot be replaced in the Northern Beaches.

Please do not allow development in this area to go ahead. Thanks

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 21:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Please find attached my submission of objections

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 20:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a Northern Beaches resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock in the Oxford Falls area and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over the years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal. Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 20:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The small amount of bushland we have is precious. In addition this will increase runoff into our waterways which we just witnessed to be an issue

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 20:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am appalled at the proposed development!

I have spent considerable time in both the Ralston and Morgan Rd proposed development sites; bushwalking and mountain biking and exposing my children to the natural beauty of our area and rich aboriginal history. There are so many reasons why this development should not go ahead and I'm sure you've heard all of them in the submissions. So all I will say is shame on the MLALC for selling out to developers and allowing what is considered sacred Aboriginal land to be destroyed for the sake of some money. What good is a well funded Aboriginal land council if they sell off and develop the land they are so deeply connected to. It's exactly these sorts of morally bankrupt decisions which breed distrust and contempt for the aboriginal land council.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 20:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this plan. In particular the environmental impacts are very concerning. Whilst we have just seen unprecedented flooding in Northern Beaches, this type of huge development will contribute to greater impact in the future. For example, increased run off in the lizard rock area from the proposed development will likely cause more frequent and severe flooding.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 19:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Narrabeen

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. I have lived in this area for 28 years, and seen the adverse affects of overdevelopment on the Northern Beaches. You only need to look to Warriewood Valley, the recent Frenchs Forest developments and the proposed developments of Ingleside and Terry Hills, to name a few.

During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital at Frenchs Forest there was enormous displacement of fauna and increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat. Several wallabies were trapped within building fences and many female wallabies were found killed due to traffic. This likely means there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies that will be further endangered.

It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious (and declining) wildlife. This particular area of Lizard Rock and surrounds is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals.

This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who uses this area for recreation purposes (bushwalking) it is unthinkable that this land now be cleared for development.

In other parts, there has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat..

This whole area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

It is essential that a cultural and heritage assessment be undertaken - this area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing as Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and "we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham.

The proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate given the overall lack of infrastructure in the area.

As it currently stands the whole of the Northern Beaches lacks critical infrastructure to support ANY further development, let alone one of such critical importance.

We have lost a hospital at Mona Vale, secondary schools are full, our bus services have been privatised and diminished, our police station at Mona Vale is not staffed and because of this residents are car dependent. There are only 3 roads in and out of the area; one of which, Wakehurst Parkway, is subject to regular closure due to flooding. The proposed widening of Mona Vale Rd is all but stalled.

Secondary and tertiary roads such as Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are not dealing with the traffic as there is often an extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently closed due to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

To the best of my knowledge, there has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed - you only need to look to the recent decisions to downscale the proposed Ingleside development because of fire risk.

Bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

Taking all of the above into account, I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland in perpetuity.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 17:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I respectfully request for the plan to be reviewed as there are fundamental problems with it.

- The impact on flora and fauna including endangered species have not been considered.
- The effect of the proposed development on Bushfire and Flooding has not been adequately addressed.
- Important issues such as funding for roads, bridges, stormwater and water and sewer have not been addressed.

This proposal threatens the destruction of 2,250 acres of pristine native bushland. This area must be protected to maintain a balance between urban and bush areas. Several development projects have already affected this balance (Northern Beaches Hospital, Town Center, Beaches Link). The area cannot support an additional 450 lots without additional consideration and planning.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 16:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object the the development of all 6 sites for many reasons.

It was given to the Aboriginal land council as land they have claimed it to have cultural significance therefore it should be protected as such and indeed is very close to some rock paintings/carvings that we should be protecting as a heritage site.

Allowing such a development is not in keeping with the local area ambiance and is totally against local residences wishes. The destruction of bushland is turning the



Northern beaches into a concrete jungle and flys in the face of the reason most people chose to live here.

The area is home to many endangered and threatened species of both flora and fauna. Even the so called common wildlife is in massive decline due to destruction of habitat. There are not any proper corridors of bushland. The clearing of the land will itself will kill/maim and injure so many animals And any animals left will most likely die of hunger with no habitat or get killed on the roads.

The local area does not have the infrastructure' to deal with this kind of development. The roads flood and are a fire risk with only the one road in and out. The traffic is totally insane now it can take me 45min - 1 hour to do a 15 - 20min trip past that site now so what is it going to be like if they put in that many houses?

It is a case of total over development with no benefit to the community. The only people to profit from it are the people who are supposed to be protecting it.

I am a long time local living in Terrey Hills to say I object is really not strong enough it sickens me to think that everything good about this area is being destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Westleigh

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a resident from Westleigh, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to local flora and fauna and is the reason why I come all the way from Westleigh to enjoy with my family on a weekly basis, introducing my children to the natural bush so they can one day be custodians of our natural environment.

Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet,

barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals.

It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the

traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 16:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I wish to object to the development of the 71ha of land known locally as 'Lizard Rock' at Morgan Road, Belrose I understand that the development would include something like 450 houses. A lot of infrastructure would be needed to service them. The area is already congested particularly the Wakehurst Parkway that is subject to frequent flooding

That would mean a lot of bushland would be lost when bushland areas are developed for housing, we can never get that back, or have it replaced. It's a natural ecosystem it's so good for your health and wellbeing, to lose would be a major loss for all of us.

If this goes ahead, native animals will lose their habitat and be one step closer to extinction - and Australia already has an alarming rate of animal extinctions.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 15:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a local Frenchs Forest resident, I strongly oppose the development of all 9 proposed MLALC areas and especially Area - 5 (Corymbia Circuit) and Area - 1 (Lizard Rock Morgan Road Belrose). Both areas are incredibly biodiverse and are of invaluable value to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here (there have been local documented sightings, including by myself).

I attended the consultation meeting organised by the council and have been shocked by what has been proposed in terms of the potential development of 6 sites owned by the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) in the Northern Beaches LGA. Since then, 3 sites have been added, which in itself I find highly dubious from a procedural perspective.

Given the recent flooding events and the drought/bush fires not so long ago, it saddens me immensely that I even have to make this submission. It should be plain clear and a no brainer to anyone, especially a council whose job it is to protect its community's interest, to see how wrong and potentially catastrophic this proposal is. And here I am not only thinking climate change but also biodiversity. I am a frequent bush walking and just last week have cited wallabies, various snakes and long neck turtles in the relevant areas. Moreover, I am concerned that the local bee population would be damaged even further. From a climate change perspective, the preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

From what we have been told, there will be very little conservation (100% of it should be conserved, but rather a lot of land development in the form of some 450 dwellings. This will not only destroy the pristine local flora and fauna in these areas but will not add much value either. A lot of my work involved cost-benefit analysis and I have

never seen a CBA as bad as that for this DDP and Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and the development of the relevant 9 sites.

It has been well documented and acknowledged by the Northern Beaches council and Deputy Mayor, that the Northern Beaches area already meets the new housing targets set by the State Government (reportedly, the Northern Beaches Council has even prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms).

I am further disturbed by any notion of housing development, given that these areas are full of culturally significant sites. Lizard Rock is only one of them, but there are others such as Moon Rock and it is likely that the proposed areas contain further, yet to be discovered culturally significant sites. As such, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

In addition to the above, there are obvious bush fire risks, traffic/road kill risks, flooding risks, none of which seem to have been considered in this proposal. The vague comments regarding infrastructure are concerning, too.

For the above reasons, I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community.

Kind regards,  
Prof. Dr. xxx (local resident)

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 15:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I totally disagree with this development. There has been enough destruction of local native areas for development. Please do not allow this to proceed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 15:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We strongly object to the application to develop bushland at Oxford Falls:

- overdevelopment of the area
- road congestion - the existing roads are overcrowded and cannot cope at peak times
- what precious bushland we have MUST be preserved. We currently live in a special part of Sydney with unspoilt bushland, natural beauty and wildlife which should be enjoyed by future generations
- Bush fire risks. The already stretched resources of the RFS struggle to cope in a Bushfire emergency. What additional risks have been evaluated and resources will be provided to save lives and property in the event of a major fire in the area
- Northern Beaches council have rejected the proposal

This develop stinks of behind closed door deals with property owners and developers for the benefit of a few, against the wishes of the community and is clearly NOT doing the right thing.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 15:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Fairlight

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I would like to express my opposition to the Lizard Rock Development in view of the recent flooding of the area covering Wakehurst Parkway and the Narrabeen Lagoon Area.

Wakehurst Parkway and especially the causeway crossing at Oxford Falls Road is badly affected by water run off / stormwater and currently is showing the real amount of water that is coming off that land. If Lizard Rock is developed for residential land each of those 2000 houses will have considerable stormwater outflows as each house normally covers 80% of the block and that stormwater further flooding problems in the area.. Most of this water will flow off into Middle Creek and Narrabeen Lagoon. Currently this area can absorb a great deal of the water but with development al of it will be directed downhill to Middle Creek and Narrabeen Lagoon

Heavy rain already stops the flow of traffic using Wakehurst Parkway and this development will greatly contribute to the problem. The Lizard Rock Development will be the thin edge of the wedge for future claims and development over the whole area from Forest Way, Mona Vale Road and Caley Trail.

When Wakehurst Parkway is closed, we only have Pittwater Road available and the traffic load on that road doubles. With these recent weather events Pittwater Road is also under stress with storm surges around Collaroy Beach. At that point any medical emergencies north of Collaroy Beach has to be redirected to Mona Vale Road or be transported to Northern Beaches Hospital by helicopter.

Long term, Wakehurst Parkway needs to be rebuilt into a three lane highway each way and be flood proof and if that is undertaken it would need to be closed for years to do the work. The Parkway needs to be rebuilt from Narrabeen to Seaforth and the section from Warringah Road to Seaforth needs to be widened but in its present

location it can't because of the Aboriginal rock carvings on the west side of the road.

Oxford Falls Road has two water crossings, one a bridge (3 ton limit) and a causeway, both will need upgrading and this road along with Morgan Road will need major upgrading. Currently these roads have a reduced speed limit to protect the wildlife and how will they continue to be protected with the increase in traffic.

The Lizard Rock area is an area that has and will continue to be affected by bushfires and NSW Fire Services have had considerable problems with fire spreading in this area as a whole, so any new housing developments will be in danger.

The area covered along Oxford Falls Road and Morgan Road has been identified as an area with an abundance of wildlife and the speed limit has been reduced to look out for animals. I have seen on a number of occasions kangaroos, wallabies and snakes on this road and it certainly has made me aware of there abundance. These animals will suffer from the increase in traffic caused by this development.

I drive each day along the Parkway each morning and I pity those poor soles that are in a traffic jam from Middle Creek to Warringah Road. I turn off at Oxford Falls Road and appreciate the beauty of the area and its wild life and whoever thinks they have the right to destroy this land needs to seriously have a look at their morals and values. This land was put aside from past development with the help from Australian Government and the Australian people now it has a monetary value from a group that thinks its okay to destroy the land and wildlife.

If necessary the Australian Government (Federal, State or Local) needs to buy this land and any other part that the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council is seeking to sell outside the Garigal National Park. We cannot allow this precious resource to be destroyed it truely is a jewel of the Northern Beaches and cannot be destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 14:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The metropolitan aboriginal land council (MLALC) is proposing to sell the land to major developers, the MLALC does not represent or consulted with Guringai (or Gaimaragal people)..

There has been sightings of wallabies, koalas and more, this could threaten, possibly destroy their habitat.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 14:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have lived in the Frenchs Forest area for my entire life and I strongly object to the development of this valuable bushland. Soon there will be no "forest" left in the Frenchs Forest area. It would be very sad to develop this land which is fire and flood prone and has been kept so far by the Aboriginal Land Council due to its cultural significance. The reason this land should be retained as bushland includes:-

- Lack of infrastructure already in the area
- Fire danger in these areas
- Frequent flooding
- Reduction in tree canopy footprint for the area, ultimately impacting temperature
- Habitat reduction for wildlife biodiversity
- Destruction of important flora in the area

I am not Aboriginal, but I have lived in this area all my life and this bush has significance to my life, the trees are sacred to me, the bush is solace and calm. Once the trees are cut down and the animals pushed from their homes, it is all gone, irreplaceable.

I have undertaken Bushcare for three years at Northern Beaches Council and have learned how fragile and precious our bushland is. The time has come to retain the bush we still have.

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 14:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The government should purchase the property under a lease hold title for 100 years at a fair price (equal to what a developer would pay) and then keep the property as it stands today (no development) to be returned to the original custodians (in its original state) at the end of the lease hold, hopefully to repeat the process for another 100 years.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes





Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 13:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object and disagree to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the Guringai area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development

plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that have existed in these areas for thousands of years, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Please don't remove this land, the land that I have grown up on, the land in which my ancestors have grown up on, and the land I want to have my children grow up on.

Regards,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the person.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 13:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

West End 4101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Traditional lands - very sacred to the community members and will have negative impacts on community if this land is constructed. These bushlands, sites, carvings, land mean a lot to our culture, our history, and also to the people of the Guringai land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the draft Development Delivery Plan (DDP) for Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council land (MLALC) in the Northern Beaches LGA. This objection applies to proposed development on Sites 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 in the DDP. I support the recommendation that Sites 3 and 8 be zoned for environmental conservation.

For many years Council and the community have sought to protect bushland areas in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment and Middle Harbour Catchment from inappropriate urban development.

The strategic plans that aim to prevent further urban encroachment of MRA and investigation areas (non-urban land) represents a planning milestone that is intended to provide more reliable protection for non-urban land, including bushland areas in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment and Middle Harbour Catchment.

In the DDP the Lizard Rock proposal for 450 dwellings will result in a major encroachment of non-urban land and core habitat. A previous development proposal for 450 dwellings at Lizard Rock was refused by the Planning Assessment Commission in 2009. Similar to the previous proposal, the current plan does not satisfy sustainability criteria and would have significant cumulative impacts within Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment.

The protection of Narrabeen Lagoon and Middle Harbour catchments, core habitat and cultural heritage values warrant protection at the landscape level, not piecemeal development that will fragment natural areas. The DDP will result in the permanent loss and degradation of natural areas, including biodiversity, waterways, and distinctive natural features.

The change in ownership of the land from Crown estate to MLALC does not alter the important values of the land for environmental protection. In my view, none of the social projects listed in the DDP justifies inappropriate urban development in these bushland areas. All of the projects listed in the DDP can and should be funded from other sources, including government grants, and many of the activities would benefit from protecting rather than developing the land.

The development and infrastructure costs associated with this DDP will be significant, but who will pay? Once funding is invested in urban services and roads there will be no turning back, and further encroachment of non-urban land will be difficult to stop. In the meantime, there will be no adequate compensation for the external costs on the environment.

The DDP includes a Strategic Assessment that includes development of bushland. However, it does not assess the economic and social opportunities of protecting the bushland. This includes benefits for climate change resilience, health, recreation, education, tourism and cultural activities.

The DDP is not aligned with Strategic Plans at the Regional, District or local level and poses a serious threat to natural areas in non-urban land. The development

proposals in the DDP should be rejected and alternative outcomes pursued that are compatible with conservation. Priority should be given to protecting the natural and cultural heritage values of the landscape.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Brisbane

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards,

Sasha

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2006

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas for a number of reasons starting with: the Cultural and Environmental Significance of the area.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our

precious wildlife.

#### Recent Developmental Impacts Example:

During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat. Additionally , many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which points to the likelihood of the presence of a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies.

#### Wildlife Preservation Site

This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers.

There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

#### Cultural and Heritage Significance Studies:

It has been brought to my attention that no cultural nor heritage assessment has been undertaken, in this area. As this country is home to eight known indigenous nations including Gadigal lands , it is absolutely essential the proper due diligence is carried forward in consultation with local indigenous elders prior to redevelopment.

This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. For example:

The sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. Additionally, it is not unreasonable to suspect the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites.

It would be nothing short of irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

As NB Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government it also appears that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy.

These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads.

#### Prone to Flooding

Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Do you really want to defend building in approving new housing developments in a flood prone area in the year a large part of the state has been decimated by floods?

#### Electromagnetic Pollution

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites.

#### Bushfire Risk

The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods are predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

#### Consultation with Local Elders

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the

Traditional custodians of this land, the Gurrigal people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community.

Thank yoy

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

4012

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Wheeler Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I would like to see better community consultation and particularly with the Aboriginal Land Council.

The destruction of large areas of native flora and fauna in this development should be avoided in future proposals.

The community concerns around protection of native wildlife and bushland needs to be addressed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose any further develop on this land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 12:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2141

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 11:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP.

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic biodiversity corridors.
  - o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
  - o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to

protect.

- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.
- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.
- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.
- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.
- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.
- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.
- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

This proposal is an abomination from an administrative point of view. The Department must advocate for the majority of the community as well as the landholders who are a minority.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 11:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103 MONA VALE, NSW

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am concerned about any development within the Northern Beaches and oppose it completely. The Northern Beaches is a wonderful example of the kind of unique environment that is critical for the survival of all species - insects, animals, birdlife and humans. The areas provide habitat for all creatures. So much pressure is being placed on the ecosystem with the constant clearing of habitat and these proposals only add to that pressure. It is critical for the ecosystem that no more is disturbed. That there is extremely limited development.

There are other considerations. These areas are already congested with traffic.

The amount of housing suggested will add lots of pressure to the traffic and to the people that live there. The loss of beautiful, natural environment is irreversible and can impact greatly on mental health.

The support of Aboriginal people is critical and there must be other ways that this can be achieved.

These beautiful areas must be preserved for future generations of all cultures no matter who owns the land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 11:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The bushland being considered for clearing and the construction of housing should be firstly considered as an ecological asset that like all assets needs to be valued and cared for.

Death by a thousand cuts is the government's approach to bushland and ecological preservation in Sydney even though the law, policies and mouth piece's say otherwise.

This bushland must be purchased and preserved as a win win. We spend 10's of billions on roads and infrastructure but never consider the value of maybe spending some of the TAX payers money to preserve our natural heritage. Stop pandering to the have's and entitled persons in our society and do what you were elected to do and that they s govern for everyone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The current infrastructure is already pushed to the limits with traffic. The wild life are being pushed out of their natural habitat and many more animals found dead on the roads.. Global warming has seen extreme weather. We need to preserve our flora and fauna which is something that I believe the first people of our land would want. The Bushland that is listed in these many proposals is precious and used by the communities surrounding them for exercise, relaxation and serenity. Please don't destroy anymore of our treasures for housing.



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 11:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Melbourne

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Absolutely ridiculous that this is even being considered. These sacred sites should not be touched in any way.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose NSW 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

We object to this proposed Development being put into the Aboriginal Land SEPP

Please read attached submission

Thank you

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Concord / Sydney 2137

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, ██████████ strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these

important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the ongoing carbon storage of this area,

Once we destroy the valuable scarce Flora and Fauna we will never ever get it back..

Please consider my submission

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals.

It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased

roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers.

As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. We can not develop more, the priority needs to be having bushland for us to take our kids too.

Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat.. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat. I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential.

This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council’s Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often an extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increased runoff due to impervious surface.

Thankyou.

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

St Marys / Sydney / 2760

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, ██████████ strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these



important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the ongoing carbon storage of this area,

Once we destroy this limited and valuable Flora and Fauna we will never ever get it back..

Please consider my submission

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Marden 5070

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I oppose construction on this site because it is disrespectful to the culture and heritage of Aboriginal people.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ourimbah 2258

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, ██████████ strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for

our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the

proposed

development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of

the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic

as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development.

Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites

and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the

proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as

the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El

Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious

surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the

MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of

native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable

bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits

by maintaining the ongoing carbon storage of this area,

Once we destroy this valuable limited Flora and Fauna we will never ever get it back..

Please consider my submission

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Hawker, 2614

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and

Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

There are current local housing strategies in place to meet Council housing targets, that does not require development in non-urban bushland areas as proposed. This is going against good planning principles and has disregarded the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2564

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

I, ████████ strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these

important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area. Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive..

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the ongoing carbon storage of this area,

Once we destroy the Flora and Fauna we will never ever get it back..

Please consider my submission

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 10:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Neutral Bay/Sydney 2089

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, [REDACTED] strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to

traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed

development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

Regards,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There is not sufficient infrastructure and the natural bush land that will be lost will be detrimental to climate change and the Northern Beaches generally. It will destroy the very reason why I want to live on the Northern Beaches. We need all the bush land to keep our wonderful flora & fauna. This proposed development will murder both. I say NO

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 09:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST EAST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear minister,

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements.. the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to dell. -cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental — The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may 'facilitate ecological sustainable development', and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

This is yet another example of commercial interests overriding social, environmental and cultural interests with little community consultation but worse. All in the guise of Aboriginal benefits.

Regards [REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 08:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

These lands were given to the Aborigines, our First Nation people, because they were so sacred.

If they do not want the lands then they should be returned to Crown Lands not sold for profit.

This may be a short submission but my feelings are very strong especially as the First Nation people are given so much of our tax money and are never satisfied nor do the people who need it seem to get it.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/03/2022 - 07:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Mardi

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this land being cleared. We need to protect the land of our ancestors

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 22:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

████████████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2066

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object 2 this submission

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 22:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Grenfell

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a resent local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and

all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful

owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos

reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats

by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches

Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development.

Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.. There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the

Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the

MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of

native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable

bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits

by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 22:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I express the concerns I have when reviewing the documentation below and oppose the proposal in its entirety, specifically for Sites 6 and 7 near Paxton Avenue.

1. Infrastructure – There has been no detail provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the cost of these and proposed funding arrangements. This development would significantly increase traffic flow and to identify the extent of road upgrades required, a traffic assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

2. Environmental - The proposed development site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surroundings. Including echidnas, wombats, wallabies, goannas. Furthermore, it would be likely that, given the steepness and rocky nature of the proposed site it would require significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and development lots. This would require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying the biodiversity values in the process.

3. Social – With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

In accordance with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 there needs to be consideration of how future developments of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development” and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”. I do not believe this proposal is consistent with this Act

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 22:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There are a couple of concerns I have when reviewing the documentation and therefore oppose the proposal in its entirety, specifically for Sites 6 and 7 near Paxton Avenue.

1. Infrastructure – There has been no detail provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the cost of these and proposed funding arrangements. This development would significantly increase traffic flow and to identify the extent of road upgrades required, a traffic assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

2. Environmental - The proposed development site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surroundings. Including echidnas, wombats, wallabies, goannas. Furthermore, it would be likely that, given the steepness and rocky nature of the proposed site it would require significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and development lots. This would require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying the biodiversity values in the process.

3. Social – With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

In accordance with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 there needs to be consideration of how future developments of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development” and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”. I do not believe this proposal is consistent with this Act

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 21:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This can not go ahead. I am 30yrs old and have fond memories of lizard rock and travelling through the back of Oxford Falls road as a child. This is something I do now as a Mum of two young kids. My 4yr old gets so excited when we look out for lizard rock.

What will happen to all of the wildlife?

There are already too many people on the Northern Beaches and too many buildings.

I object.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this for a multitude of reasons. We are losing too much green space and I don't want my children in the future subjected to a city where they don't have bushland that they can enjoy. This is a beautiful space that all of the community and future generations should be able to enjoy without it being developed and then used for a select few. The infrastructure in our area is already stretched and adding more housing and population to the area will only stretch this further and negatively impact the community at large

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development at Site 5B, Corymbia Circuit, Oxford Falls because of

1. Environmental Damage vs. Return on Development
2. Bushfire Compliance Risk. Corymbia Circuit has inadequate evacuation ingress/egress
3. Destruction of bushland & natural habitat
4. Rare pigmy possum colony within the site
5. Increased traffic volumes, congestion & traffic noise
6. Contaminated quarry abutting the site
7. Loss of Amenity

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 23:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Completely disagree with the proposal to allow the LALC to bypass relevant existing planning approval bodies. Their 'economic' decisions are not made in the best interest of the land or community. The minister wishes to make a name for himself pushing this reform through and his motives should be reviewed.. The proposed plans have been knocked back from local council and RFS on numerous occasions as it does not meet the relevant guidelines. The analysis reports, especially in relation to traffic are understated. The fire hazard in these areas is understated and building in these bush fire prone areas is just asking for a disaster. As we've seen with floods and fires recently, don't build in these high risk locations. The community relies on experts for their approval process to protect them from negligent action. Pushing these developments through and bypassing the relevant planning experts is negligent.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Can you please provide a detailed map of the infrastructure, public transport and parking that will be part of the plan. Already the new warringah road is blocked and we aren't even back to full capacity on the road.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes





Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Northern Beaches resident and lover of bushwalk, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal. Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (ALSEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native

wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan will cause long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Northern Beaches resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been

found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council’s Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed



development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 20:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am against this development for the following reasons:

- habitat loss and threats to wildlife from an increase in domestic cats and vehicular traffic;
- damage to native habitat from pollution run-off;
- limited infrastructure to cater to the thousands of new residents;
- bushfire risks, this valley is a firetrap and that road is a narrow windy road where it's hard to get out on forest way or wakehurst parkway even when the traffic is quiet. People will burn to death in their cars if there's a major fire event
- vulnerability of Aboriginal heritage sites.

Please do not let this go ahead. This bush land should be preserved for the native wildlife

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been

found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council’s Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often an extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed

development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.



Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I fear that by this going ahead the natural beautiful environment we love in this area will be gone forever. I have seen first hand the overdevelopment on warriewood and it has not been good. I fear there is not enough infrastructure to support this new development. I strongly oppose this going ahead. Save the natural beauty.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including Angophora crassifolia are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

I, a local Oxford Falls resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing.

Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 19:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2051

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The ecological and cultural heritage of these sites is irreplaceable. It seems only a small group of traditional land owners that do not represent the indigenous groups of the area nor the local residents are wishing for this development. The wishes of the majority point to the protection of these previous bush lands.

The risk and destruction to the environment far outweigh any benefit of profit for the land council.

I firmly object and see there is no other way but for these bush lands to remain, under zoning protection for future generations to enjoy, for the ecology of the areas to be preserved.

Rhonda.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 18:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney. 2038

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Submission of objection for the 450 housing Proposal at the Lizard Rock site.  
(along Morgan Road).  
Page 1 of 3 pages.

This area is not a suitable site for development. I know this area well as I am a regular bush walker. Lizard Rock is a hilltop location, so if built this application would visual pollute the hill tops for everyone who visits the area.

Local governance.

I strongly object to the housing proposal for several reasons. I ask the council or the responsible governing bodies, to consider carefully how inexplicable it would be to approve such an application.

The need for additional housing is questionable as documentation in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy states, "it is not needed".

The plan is from the Greater Sydney Commission, and they have not allocated this area for residential development.

This strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan, is the document all concerned agreed to follow.

The site.

The site is isolated and surrounded by bushland. It is not adjacent to development so that is problematic for several reasons.

These concerns are:

It has high quality bushland with rich biodiversity. Biodiversity is what we (the general public), now understand as the most important aspect of bushland to be preserved.

We need to recognise that this bushland contains threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl ,as well as the barking owl.

We must try and protect the healthy collection of Angophora Crassifolia , which is threatened as the proposal if built will exterminated it.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be exterminated and it is important to protect and encourage the survival of this iconic species. To minimise any potential opportunities that may cause their destruction.

Wallaby proof fencing has been installed along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retro-fitting project by the MRS. This make any actions, such as the proposed development, as being totally unsuitable and inappropriate.

Fire and Flood Risk.

It is outrageous to propose, and honestly I think quite stupid to build housing at Lizard rock. The bush and habitat, as it stands is not being looked after, (last planned burn off was about 5 years ago). Apparently, this was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, then it got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

The area is a High Fire Danger. Everyone in Australia now knows, as it is proven, that our bushland and habitats need to be preserved. We need to think about how to reduce fire and flood risks. Not increase them. The area already has several flood prone areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces, increases heat, and increases the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, leave alone from an additional 450 houses.

Public transport is poor as the area is isolated. Public transport connection (bus stop) is mostly well over 1km away. and there is not even one footpath to walk to it.

Thankyou for considering my submission.

[REDACTED]

\_\_\_\_\_

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 18:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE - NSW (2085)

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This will be a massive bushland development. It would see 450 low-medium density houses at Lizard Rock site in Belrose and industry on Ralston Ave also in Belrose. I live in Belrose, and I moved to this area because of the untouched beauty of the landscape.

It is therefore vitally important that we retain the environmental values for us to all enjoy, as well as protecting the habitats for the many different types of wildlife that call this area home.

Belrose is a unique area because it has been protected from massive developments in the past.

Do we want Belrose, Frenchs Forest, and Davidson to be over-developed like other council areas of Sydney?

We already have pending development plans in place for the Frenchs Forest precinct near the Northern Beaches Hospital. Our natural areas are deserving of this protection now and into the future for the following reasons-

1. Local community groups oppose the amendments which will lead to developments on unspoilt bushland. These concerns were reported in a 16 March 2022 Manly Observer regarding limited infrastructure to cater for thousands of new residents- potentially one road in and out of the Ralston area; threats to wildlife from an increase in domestic cats and traffic; damage to native habitat from pollution run-off; fire safety risks due to limited escape routes; an electrical substation, overhead powerlines and more plans to build atop a ridge- significantly more at risk from bushfires; the loss of bush trails for cyclists and walkers; and the vulnerability of Aboriginal heritage sites; encroachments onto wildlife habitats and destruction of these habitats.

2. A previous proposal from the Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council to develop land at Ralston Avenue was

previously rejected by the Northern Beaches Council in 2018. The Council's records state:

**"10.4 PLANNING PROPOSAL RALSTON AVENUE AND DRAFT VOLUNTARY PLANNING AGREEMENT  
211/17 RESOLVED Cr Harrison / Cr Heins**

That Council:

A. Reject the Planning Proposal lodged for Lot 1 in DP 1139826, Ralston Avenue Belrose, and recommend the Department of Planning and Environment do not make a Local Environment Plan to enable the development for the following reasons:

a. It has no strategic merit due to inconsistencies with directions, aims and priorities to protect the environment and increase resilience to natural hazards in 'A Plan for Growing Sydney and the Greater Sydney Commission's Revised Draft North District Plan and Draft Greater Sydney Region Plan' (October 2017).

b. It has no site-specific merit due to impacts on biodiversity and threatened species, the adjoining National Park, bushfire risk, the proximity of the Sydney East Substation and financial arrangements for infrastructure provision.

c. It is inconsistent with the specific aims of State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP) No 19 - Bushland in Urban Areas and Council is not satisfied, that the proposal will result in significant environmental, economic, or social benefits that outweigh the value of the bushland. How can a development of this magnitude have any significant environmental value when it destroys the bushland environment? I totally agree with Council's statement.

d. It is inconsistent with SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 as it has not addressed likely impacts on an electricity transmission network and associated concerns of an electricity supply authority (i.e. TransGrid) for development immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.

e. It is inconsistent with s117 Ministerial Direction: 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, as it would change current planning standards which protect the environment by restricting residential development to 1 dwelling per 20 hectares.

f. It is inconsistent with s117 Ministerial Direction: 6.3 Site Specific Provisions, as site specific provisions may be required to prohibit certain uses from the proposed R2 Low Density Residential Zone and E3 Environmental Management Zones.

g. It is inconsistent with s117 Ministerial Direction: 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney, by undermining the achievement of its planning principles; directions; and priorities. Especially those that encourage a risk-based approach to strategic planning through halting development in high-risk areas.

h. The Rural Fire Service do not support the proposal and find it inconsistent with S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, as it would place inappropriate development in a hazardous area and would not achieve the primary objectives to protect life, property and the environment and encourage the sound management of bush fire prone areas.

i. The proposal fails to address issues associated with access to the site and evacuation from the site in the event of a bushfire, including the risk associated with arcing to ground from the 330kva power lines and disruption of planned evacuation routes.

j. The site has not been identified as having future development potential in either Stage 1 or 2 of the Oxford Falls Belrose North Strategic Review (Strategic Review).

k. The Office of Environmental Heritage and National Parks and Wildlife Service do not support the proposal due to impacts on biodiversity and threatened species and the adjoining National Park.

l. It is unlikely to comply with Ausgrid's conditions of consent relating to bushfire evacuation.

m. It would result in unacceptable risks to life, property and the environment which would outweigh any potential benefits of the proposed development.

n. Most public submissions do not support the proposal.

B. Reject the draft Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) that accompanies the above Planning Proposal, for the following reasons:

- a. The draft VPA does not deliver a demonstrable public benefit.
- b. The Biodiversity Certification agreement cannot be included as a public benefit.
- c. The community facility contribution is insufficient to support the additional increase in population.
- d. There is insufficient detail on the proposed Aboriginal services and MLALC land management proposals that could be funded outside a VPA process.
- e. Most of the proposed public benefits would benefit the future occupants rather than the wider community and could alternatively be required as conditions of future consent.
- f. The proposed park is in an unsuitable location and would place an unreasonable maintenance burden to Council due to bushfire management requirements.
- g. The National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) does not support the proposed increased access to Garigal National Park as consultation has not occurred and the associated impacts have not been addressed.
- h. Council has received many submissions from the community who do not consider the proposed public benefits adequately compensate for the loss of bushland.

I strongly believe nothing has changed to enable a case now to be made to develop land at Ralston Avenue. Development in Ralston and at the Lizard Rock site in Belrose will be detrimental for our bushland.

I am against permitting further development on the Northern Beaches in an area where the natural environment is already under significant threat.

Considering the loss in environmental values, increasing pressure on our wildlife, inconsistencies with the aims of the SEPP, negative impacts on an electricity transmission network, a hazardous area development with limited access for bushfire evacuation, increased Council burden due to an increased bushfire risk to manage, OEH and NPWS non-support of this proposal and the negative impacts to Garigal National Park with no consultation occurring, this proposal seems to be based only on the economic values of this development.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on proposals to amend the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 to include parcels of land on Sydney's Northern Beaches.

Please consider these comments carefully as this proposal presents many problems that far outweigh any 'supposed' commercial, industry or residential benefits.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 18:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the proposal on the basis that:-

- Our native bush and needs to be preserved for this and future generations;
- there will be a significant wildlife and ecological impact - much more severe than any theoretical report predicts!!
- this area is a recreational bushwalking area for the community and it cannot be lost;
- this will contribute to the impact of deforestation and impact the local environmental temperature change due to additional roads, concrete and fossil fuel emissions - during construction and use;

- we already have significant development in the Frenchs Forest Precinct and this would merely add to the congestion and change this suburb into a more congested and noise polluted area of Sydney.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 16:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103 Mona Vale

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

17 March 2022

RE: Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and Northern Beaches Aboriginal land Development Delivery Plan  
An amendment is proposed to include 6 sites in State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019.

Dear NSW Govt and all Planning and Statutory Authorities,

I would like to adamantly object to any and all of the sites which contain existing bush, flora and Fauna to being developed.

I request that there is an alternative solution provided for the Original Custodians to be economically compensated without the development of this land which hold important habitats and sacred sites.

It is unimaginable that with atrocities of the destruction of vitally important bushland and cultural sites could even be considered in the face of climate change and mass extinctions.

There MUST BE ANOTHER WAY than to develop bushland to achieve the outcomes that make this considered.  
DO NOT DEVELOP THIS BUSHLAND, find an alternative.

The bush in Sydney is our lungs and home for so many sentient beings. It must be brought back to full glory through care and true custodianship.

Thank you

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 15:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

SAVE THE NORTHERN BEACHES BUSHLAND

I write to sincerely ask you to please seriously consider and research the idea of developing the bushland off Ralston Avenue Belrose – Site number 9. I list below, in no particular order, my reasons why I am objecting to this commercial development.

1. Please consider all the wildlife, birds etc that will be affected.
2. Could the NSW Government purchase the land from the Aboriginal people and then re-instate the land back as National Park?
3. If this commercial development goes ahead the natural, uninhabited area of

trees, animals and birds will be gone for good. Cannot be replaced. Surely in this world today we ALL should be doing everything we can to save as much of nature as we can. Unbelievable in a world where so many humans are trying to protect nature that the NSW Government is considering building in such a pristine beautiful part off Ralston Avenue Belrose – Site number 9!! Please please consider our children, grandchildren etc. Please consider the world they will be living in in years to come.

4. And with all these terrible national disasters of fire and flood again I express how incredulous it is that the NSW Government is considering agreeing to building in an area that has suffered with bushfires. I have seen that side of the valley burn all the way along. A terrifying sight. Why would building be allowed when there is the threat of bushfires. That side of the valley does burn!!! I know that if it is commercial buildings there are lesser rules about building in areas that are prone to bushfires. What a rule!!! Bushfires burn any time – day or night. Yes commercial areas would be mostly vacated at night – bushfires burn during the day too!!

5. If the commercial development does go ahead, it should all be on the north side of the ground, where there is commercial/rubbish dump/Optus etc area already. Ralston Ave and roads adjoining are suburban roads where children ride bicycles, play and a primary school is situated. Is the NSW Government planning to widen these roads? What, buy up houses in order or eliminate all the nature strips to widen these roads? On the North side there aren't the same concerns of family life, there are already trucks and cars visiting the rubbish dump etc.

6. Please consider the noise pollution that will affect hundreds of homes/families. The noise will be on families' doorsteps and will also bounce across the valley (noise always carries great distances across valleys) We chose to live in Belrose because of the peace and quiet which we love. We also chose to purchase our homes with glorious bush views – we will loose those views and the peace and quiet and because of these losses, our lives will be affected and the value of our properties will drop..

Thank you for reading my letter and I beg you to please do the right thing..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 14:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hello,

I live in Paxton Street and greatly object to the proposals and how they will change the area including the impact on local flora and fauna, traffic in the area and general development which is unwanted.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 14:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This submission is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Rd)

I wish to object to the development proposal to destroy a significant part of the rapidly shrinking remaining native bushland that Frenchs Forest is named and known for. This bushland gives the area its identity and is one of the major reasons residents have chosen to live here.

In recent years Frenchs Forest has lost large amounts of bushland to the Northern Beaches Hospital and the government works staging area on the opposite side of Wakehurst Parkway and there are further plans for the unpopular and constantly changing "Frenchs Forest Precinct". This development proposal would be an excellent opportunity for government and council to redress some of the damage done to the suburb and environment by either blocking the proposal or buying the land outright and preserving it for environmentally responsible recreational use.

Approval of Site 5 would mean further encroachment on and destruction of an area of amazingly diverse and rich native fauna and flora. Anyone who has walked in the area during September and October will confirm the amazing display of native flowers unlike anywhere else in the local area. This abundance is due to the wetland nature of the exact areas proposed for development.

This abundance of native flora (and relatively few introduced weeds) attracts a huge number and variety of native fauna to the area. At dawn and dusk the bush shakes with life and the local community are out enjoying the last remaining bushland within a short walk of their homes. People actually talk to each other when meeting on the trails and get to know their fellow residents in a way that doesn't happen on the streets. These residents love Site

5 and would be devastated by the destruction to native flora and fauna habitat that development would bring.

The proposed development is another selfish opportunistic cash grab by developers, in a city seemingly ruled by them, at the expense of the environment and rapidly dwindling native flora and fauna. In the case of Site 5, as there is obviously no connection to country for the Aboriginal owners of the land, the State Government and Northern Beaches Council should see this as an opportunity to preserve the land for those that do have a connection to it. Demonstrate a commitment to the wishes of local residents and more importantly to fighting global warming. Block the proposed development or purchase and preserve the land. Once it's gone.....

  
Frenchs Forest resident

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2088

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please don't alter this land.. The beauty of the area is the bushland and the wildlife. Why are people trying to build on indigenous land anyway. Hasn't there been enough of that? The traffic is bad enough on the Northern Beaches already.. This will just make things worse and there's no infrastructure to support it. Please stop.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 13:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Council

I am completely opposed to this proposal.

The Northern Beaches needs green space and it is being slowly but steadily eroded. If this proposal goes through then it won't be so slowly! This proposal will see the end to hugely significant areas of green space on the Northern Beaches.

Please consider the amenity of the area. Do we really want the Northern Beaches to be like the Eastern Suburbs.

I understand that the spiritual attachment to this land was important at the time it was handed over and now worth nothing more than profit so please find alternative ways to provide the economic benefit that is sought..

I urge you to save our green space for the amenity of the Northern Beaches and the lives of the plants and animals that depend on it.

We don't want more infrastructure to service this new development, we prefer the housing targets to be met in the current footprint as already planned.

Please save this land from development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 13:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Dear Council

I am completely opposed to this proposal. The amenity of the North Beaches is underpinned by the green space that we and a multitude of flora and fauna benefit from. Once it's gone the Northern Beaches will not be a nice place for anyone to live and the flora and fauna will be further endangered and reduced in number. Once it's gone, it's gone

Housing targets can be met for the LGA within the current footprint and using the

existing infrastructure.

I understood this land was given due to its spiritual significance and the ongoing attachment to it. Developing the land and selling it off for profit makes a mockery of the whole thing. If it's purely economic benefit can't the state government buy the land back and preserve it as green space or swap for land more appropriate for development. The area has already suffered heavily at the hands of the disastrous 10/50 rules and now what precious little green space there is left in the area is being proposed to be developed.

Please find other ways to provide the economic benefit if that is what this comes down to and save our green space!!!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 13:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on proposals to amend the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 to include parcels of land on Sydney's Northern Beaches.

I support local community groups who are opposing the amendments which may lead to developments on unspoilt bushland. These concerns were reported in a 16 March 2022 Manly Observer report as follows:

“... limited infrastructure in place to cater to thousands of new residents; threats to wildlife from an increase in domestic cats and vehicular traffic; damage to native habitat from pollution run-off; fire safety risks due to limited escape routes, an electrical substation, overhead powerlines and plans to build atop a ridge (which are significantly more at risk from advancing bushfires); the loss of bush trails for cyclists and walkers; and the vulnerability of Aboriginal heritage sites.”

A previous proposal from the Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council to develop land at Ralston Avenue was previously rejected by the Northern Beaches Council in 2018. The Council’s records state:

“10.4 PLANNING PROPOSAL RALSTON AVENUE AND DRAFT VOLUNTARY PLANNING AGREEMENT 211/17 RESOLVED Cr Harrison / Cr Heins

That Council:

A. Reject the Planning Proposal lodged for Lot 1 in DP 1139826, Ralston Avenue Belrose, and recommend the Department of Planning and Environment do not make a Local Environment Plan to enable the development for the following reasons:

a. It has no strategic merit due to inconsistencies with directions, aims and priorities to protect the environment and increase resilience to natural hazards in A Plan for Growing Sydney and the Greater Sydney Commission’s Revised draft North District Plan and Draft Greater Sydney Region Plan (October 2017).

b. It has no site-specific merit due to impacts on biodiversity and threatened species, the adjoining National Park, bushfire risk, the proximity of the Sydney East Substation and financial arrangements for infrastructure provision.

c. It is inconsistent with the specific aims of State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP) No 19 - Bushland in Urban Areas and Council is not satisfied that the proposal will result in significant environmental, economic or social benefits that outweigh the value of the bushland. d. It is inconsistent with SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 as it has not addressed likely impacts on an electricity transmission network and associated concerns of an electricity supply authority (i.e. TransGrid) for development immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.

e. It is inconsistent with s117 Ministerial Direction: 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones, as it would change current planning standards which protect the environment by restricting residential development to 1 dwelling per 20 hectares.

f. It is inconsistent with s117 Ministerial Direction: 6.3 Site Specific Provisions, as site specific provisions may be required to prohibit certain uses from the proposed R2 Low Density Residential Zone and E3 Environmental Management Zones.

g. It is inconsistent with s117 Ministerial Direction: 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney, by undermining the achievement of its planning principles; directions; and priorities. Especially those that encourage a risk-based approach to strategic planning through halting development in high risk areas.

h. The Rural Fire Service do not support the proposal and find it inconsistent with S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, as it would place inappropriate development in a hazardous area and would not achieve the primary objectives to protect life, property and the environment and encourage the sound management of bush fire prone areas.

i. The proposal fails to address issues associated with access to the site and evacuation from the site in the event of a bushfire, including the risk associated with arcing to ground from the 330kva power lines and disruption of planned evacuation routes.

k. The site has not been identified as having future development potential in either Stage 1 or 2 of the Oxford Falls Belrose North Strategic Review (Strategic Review).

l. The Office of Environmental Heritage and National Parks and Wildlife Service do not support the proposal due to impacts on biodiversity and threatened species and the adjoining National Park.

m. It is unlikely to comply with Ausgrid's conditions of consent relating to bushfire evacuation.

n. It would result in unacceptable risks to life, property and the environment which would outweigh any potential benefits of the proposed development.

o. The majority of public submissions do not support the proposal.

B. Reject the draft Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA) that accompanies the above Planning Proposal, for the following reasons:

a. The draft VPA does not deliver a demonstrable public benefit.

b. The Biodiversity Certification agreement cannot be included as a public benefit.

c. The community facility contribution is insufficient to support the additional increase in population.

d. There is insufficient detail on the proposed Aboriginal services and MLALC land management proposals that could be funded outside a VPA process. e. Most of the proposed public benefits would benefit the future occupants rather than the wider community and could alternatively be required as conditions of future consent. f. The proposed park is in an unsuitable location and would place an unreasonable maintenance burden to Council due to bushfire management requirements.

g. The National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) does not support the proposed increased access to Garigal National Park as consultation has not occurred and the associated impacts have not been addressed.

h. Council has received many submissions from the community who do not consider the proposed public benefits adequately compensate for the loss of bushland.”

I believe nothing has changed to enable a case now to be made to develop land at Ralston Avenue.

In general terms I am against permitting further development on the Northern Beaches in an area where the natural environment is already under significant threat.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 13:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Today I made a submission in relation to the proposed development at the Lizard Rock Morgan Road site, and I am now submitting my comments in relation to all six sites in the development plans by MLALC.

Many of the arguments against development in relation to the Morgan Road site apply to the other sites identified in the proposals. All sites are previously undeveloped land, which is unsuitable for development. The bushland in this area of the Northern Beaches is even more valuable now than it was considered to be in earlier times. As we enter the third decade of this century, there must, in my



view, be a very high onus upon those proposing developments, to demonstrate that they are in the best interests of the whole community. These developments patently are not. They would remove even more valuable bushland from our area, the home to so many native fauna and flora which are pressured almost beyond survival. The wildlife in this area cannot be expected to keep existing and thriving in smaller and smaller isolated pockets, threatened as they are by human activity, domestic animals and more extreme bushfires, floods and droughts.

These areas provided respite to local inhabitants during Covid lockdowns, and remain precious areas for recreation and contemplation. Some are important sources of clean water which flow to Middle Harbour and Narrabeen Lagoon. The trees store carbon and provide oxygen; this area is one of the few remaining "lungs" of Sydney.

There are very good reasons why these areas have not been developed before: they are unsuitable for so many reasons. Fire prone, flood prone, lacking infrastructure and contributing to an already poor traffic environment, these developments are inconsistent with all of the objectives of good housing planning.

The Northern Beaches LGA has far better options for accommodating the growth required by the NSW Government.

In conclusion, I rely on the more detailed arguments in my earlier submission.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 12:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Clause 9 (I)(d) of the aboriginal Lands State Environment Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable Econo, social and environmental factors

The DDP exhibited and preprint NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - no details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and propose funding arrangements , including necessary upgrades of Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the

Lizard Rock site. To identify the extend of road upgrades required, a traffic assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during bushfire emery.

Social

With development of the site being key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people, the DDP fails to define “cultist” and how this is assured following comply of the development

Environmental-the lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads And developable lots. This will require cleaning all ground cover vegetation and most trees within development foot print, destroying its biodiversity values in the process that is why I am against the development! In addition to the above the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planni g and Assessment Act 1979. The act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development” and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species and native Anita d plants, ecological communities and their habitats “ that’s why I am against this development!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 12:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Avalon Beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This whole area is already over developed and infrastructure already struggles with population, I was under the impression that Aboriginal land was protected but now its being developed????

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 12:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose, N.S.W. 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom It May Concern,

This proposed development on BUSHLAND at Ralston Avenue, Belrose, is once again threatening our beautiful peaceful bushland homes.

Having moved into ████████████████████ in 2002 after 'only tentatively looking to relocate and downsize from Davidson, 2085'- and on viewing just the lower level and patio with a view to die for, I knew this was to be my "forever home".

The price was far greater than in my range because of the location, but I would never find another house with all I ever dreamed of.

Over the years, the threat of us losing why we moved and paid for the privilege,

has surfaced time and time again, and despite so many valid reasons why proposed plans should not go ahead ,greedy developers will not give up. What if they were living in the areas where demolition of our park and bushlands and the reasons why we love Frenchs FOREST and surrounds?

Of course the value of our property would fall considerably and how many years would the building of this development take whilst we suffer the insufferable noise not to mention our wonderful local native animals?

Yours Sincerely

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 11:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I write to strongly object to the proposal for a 450 house development on MLAIC land in the Morgan Road bushland.

I have lived very close to this area for almost 30 years. I moved into this area because I am a keen bushwalker and appreciate the amenity of living so close to this beautiful bush environment. Since my retirement, I work in bushcare with the Northern Beaches Council and the bush habitat is precious to me and to my mental health.

During the Covid lockdowns, many people in the local area gained relief from the stress of lockdowns by spending time on the paths in the area. My family and I often walk the Slippery Dip Trail, adjoining the areas slated for development. Any building in this area would be totally inappropriate, but especially a proposal of this scale. There are presently only 51 private houses in Oxford Falls, so this would be a nine-fold increase! There is absolutely no infrastructure of any kind to support this development. Even the local Federal member, Jason Falinski, has recognised that fact and expressed his disagreement with the proposal.

I am aware of aboriginal rock carvings very close to this area, and if a proper inspection was carried out, there could realistically be several more within the 71 hectare bushland site. The bushland of the Forest area of the Northern Beaches provides valuable habitat for native birds and animals, including threatened species and is an area of high biodiversity value and highly erodible soils. This area is one of the few remaining "lungs" of the metropolitan area. A great deal of bush habitat has already just been lost to the Northern Beaches Hospital development, to the great detriment of native fauna and flora. Sydney cannot afford to lose any more bushland to developers, and I am aware that the Council has already identified that the housing targets for this LGA can be met within the current urban footprint with the necessary infrastructure already in place. This site is close to high

voltage power lines (undesirable) and not at all close to public transport (also undesirable). The adjoining Telstra satellite facility would be at risk of light and electronic pollution if the proposal went ahead.

Worst of all, Morgan Road is highly prone to flooding and will become more so with climate change causing more extreme flood events. Bushfire risk is also a factor, with both emergency situations requiring adequate exit routes for residents and ingress routes for emergency responders, which do not exist in this area. A planned burn about five years ago got out of control and burnt the whole area proposed for development. These situations will become more frequent in the future.

The Warriewood sewage treatment plant is already under high stress due to the vast urban development in Warriewood over recent years. This development will exacerbate that problem.

Finally, with no available public transport, the residents would be dependent on car travel, compounding the already severe traffic problems on Morgan Road, which was never designed to carry anything like this traffic, and also increasing the overall carbon footprint of the Council area.

There are so many reasons not to undertake this ill-planned development. Like every other resident I have spoken to, many of whom are vocally protesting this plan, I sincerely hope the proposal is rejected, for the sake of our environment and for the sake of future generations.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 11:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly 2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am objecting to this on the grounds of over development. There has been so much destruction of green space and wildlife habitat on the northern Beaches recently, it just keeps going on and on. It has to stop, we can't keep destroying habitat and making species extinct.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 11:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 10:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Belrose 2085

Please provide your view on the project

**I object to it**

Submission

We, ████████████████████ are the direct residential neighbours to the proposed development and object the development for the following reasons:

1. Bushfire
2. Infrastructure and Congestion
3. Noise
4. Desired future character (DFC)
5. Protection of existing flora

The proposed development is prohibited. Consent for the development is sought under the Warringah Council Local Environmental Plan (WLEP 2000)

**1. Bushfire**

This is in a bushfire area with little escape routes out for residents and fire trucks to access alike. We have learnt so much with recent fires and where not to place people

**2. Infrastructure and Congestion**

Forest Way and Morgan Road has delayed traffic with kilometres long queues to get through traffic lights at peak hours. Adding thousands of residents will only enhance this. You just cant add a development like this without providing the correct infrastructure.

**3. Noise - Clause 43 of the WLEP 2000 relates to noise and states the following:**

Development is not to result in noise emission which would unreasonably diminish the amenity of the area and is not to result in noise intrusion which would be unreasonably to the occupants.

**4. Desired future character (DFC) – Statement as follows:**

There will be no new development on ridgetops or in places that will disrupt the skyline when viewed from Narrabeen lagoon and the Wakehurst Parkway.

The natural landscape including landforms and vegetation will be protected and where possible, enhanced. Buildings will be located and grouped in areas that will minimize disturbance of vegetation and landforms whether as a result of the buildings themselves or the associated works including access roads and services.

**5. Protection of existing flora – Clause 58 of WLEP 2000:**

Removing thousands and thousand of trees is unbelievable to think Council will allow such devastation and death to Flora and Fauna is unimaginable and I can easily compare this to what is going on in Europe right now.

The Council must refuse the application given the proposal is for a prohibited form of development having regard to the land use table at Appendix B of the WLEP 2000. Beyond that, character, environmental impacts and suite suitable issues as raised within this submission warrant refusal of the development on merit.

Regards

[Redacted Signature]

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground

cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

Please do not cut down and destroy the trees and surrounding areas, which are over a hundred years old. Doing this will kill the native animals and plants, including various species of birds, wallabies, snakes, lizards, possums and more. Our natural biodiversity will be forever lost and you will be responsible. Please do not destroy the land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 08:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████████

Last name

██████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2086

Please provide your view on the project

**I object to it**

Submission

**There are tracks that locals like to go through with their pets and their family. Other developments are already happening such as the Frenchs Forest Precinct. This will add noise pollution to the locals and disturb them.**

I agree to the above statement

**Yes**

Submitted on Thu, 17/03/2022 - 06:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Aboriginal land development on the Northern Beaches !!!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

**Name****First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

**Info****Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Don't believe the area can handle that kind of population growth.... Traffic etc...

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 21:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 21:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

3058

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Haven't we taken enough!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 21:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The impact to the native flora, fauna and any residents (bushfires) is of grave concern.  
I live on Corymbia Cct.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 19:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I want to preserve the bushland and the animals that inhabit it

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 19:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please Don't do that , for the sake of our children and planet

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 19:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I disagree with the proposal

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 19:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This area is absolutely beautiful and used by many living in the area to enjoy bush walks and sunset picnics.. Developing this area will take away from the community built around the tracks and nature that brought it together.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

Kondurū

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground

cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

Please do not cut down and destroy the trees and surrounding areas, which are over a hundred years old. Doing this will kill the native animals and plants, including various species of birds, wallabies, snakes, lizards, possums and more. Our natural biodiversity will be forever lost and you will be responsible. Please do not destroy the land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 19:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Clifton Gardens, 2088

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am disturbed by a number of aspects of this proposal. I should explain that I am an regular visitor to the area because of its strong attraction to me as a bush-walker.

1. The proposed development is on publicly accessible bushland, which should be preserved in a city that is under constant pressure to house more people. Housing people in designated development areas - as is, I believe, Northern Beaches Council policy - seems to be a much more appropriate planning imperative than forcing this suburbia upon such rarely extant bushland. I believe that the development would threaten 13 species of flora or fauna that are registered as endangered. And as local Federal MP Falinski has pointed out, another 1000 cars on the 'rural' Morgan Road would be just such a reflection of imposed suburbia.
2. The stated reasons for this land become Aboriginal Land include the conservation of 'sensitive' land, the provision of community facilities and the opportunity to offer education programs about Aboriginal cultural heritage. As I am aware of rock carvings in this area as well as the famous Lizard Rock with its 20,000 of cultural heritage, I find it hard not to conclude that a bid for 450 houses is well beyond the carrying capacity of this site. For it is reduced to 52 hectares by the section to be preserved as parkland, and further reduced by the stated intention to maintain its rocky and treed landscapes.
3. How does all this help Aboriginal people "protect Aboriginal cultural heritage" under the 1983 Act? Was this formerly Crown land really "not needed for an essential public purpose" - ie allowing Sydney to retain its essential lungs? And how will the MLALC ensure that the 1395 people who identify as Aboriginal in the Northern Beaches Council area according to the 2016 Census will be the beneficiaries of this massive development profit? For they are surely the people intended by the Act to benefit. You only have to read the recent book by Gai-mariagal man

Prof Dennis Foley to discover his claims the MLALC ignores people with a North Shore ancestry.

4. Surely the equitable solution that allows Aboriginal people to fulfill the potential economic benefits of exploiting land while retaining both its Indigenous cultural values and its environmental biodiversity values would be for the MLALC to lease the Morgan Road land to NPWS as a welcome extension of the Garigal National Park.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 18:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer, 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

I have been doing regular bush regen near this area for many years, and I am very sad that we are even contemplating developing in this iconic and accessible bush area. It is this accessibility which makes this iconic bush available for education, health, environmental and historic purposes.

During COVID this was a balm for my mental health, as it no doubt was for those many walkers/dog walkers/bike riders/horse riders who used and continue to use this bush on a regular basis.

I support the Friends of Narrabeen Lagoon which has put in a detailed submission against the destruction of the natural bush land around Lizard Rock.

I want my grandchildren and all others to receive the benefits of being in and around this natural bush for years to come

I don't want this area built up to become like any urban area such as Chatswood, Western Sydney, where it is hard to even find a tree.

Let us protect what we have in our iconic bushland, flora and fauna for everyone to see and enjoy and obtain it's health benefits.

Don't get me started on what the increase in traffic and it's damaging effects will have.

Let us protect our sensitive environmental heritage sites and retain this Metropolitan rural area.

I so much enjoy seeing and learning the names of various bush flowers. Sometimes these are only visible for very short periods. There is so much to see when I really look into, and take time to observe nature as she comes and goes. A young python slithered across my path recently and then entwined itself around a nearby small tree - amazing! Unfortunately I have seen the bodies of a number of wallabies over the years killed on the roadway as it is now. With more traffic we can expect this to be a regular and very sad sight.

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 17:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Objection due to impact on native bushland and Wildlife . I Believe in preservation of local bush lands for ecological reasons and for the my children and grandchildren and for the Benefit of the local community .

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 16:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is aboriginal land and should never be built on

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 16:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It's an unnecessary overdevelopment on First Nations country

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 16:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Waratah West NSW 2298

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am writing to oppose the proposed residential development at the Lizard Rock site, Morgan Road, Belrose, for the following reasons:

1. The proposed site is predominantly on a ridgetop. Clearing for development particularly in the northern Sydney area to date has predominantly occurred on ridgetop areas, which provide unique habitat for many threatened species. Too much ridgetop habitat has been cleared in the Sydney area compared to the slopes and gullies.

The bushland is high quality with rich biodiversity including records of threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, eastern pygmy-possum, Rosenberg's goanna, glossy black cockatoo, Tetratheca glandulosa and Grevillea caleyi. Healthy stands of the ROTAP species Angophora crassifolia and Boronia serrulata will also be removed.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be cleared and minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

2. High fire danger in the area. The last planned burn off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

Known increasing intensity of weather events will increase the effects of hot weather and consequently fire

danger.

3. Increased effects of wet weather: increased flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, let alone from an additional 450 houses.

4. Visual pollution from afar and vantage point destruction, as Lizard Rock is a hilltop location.

5. Public transport connection (bus stop) is mostly well over 1km away and there is not even one footpath to walk to it.

6. The State Government is asked to pay for infrastructure and there is not even a sewer connection for any existing properties.

7. The strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan' from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development and this is the document all agreed to follow through with. The site is isolated and not adjacent to development. It is surrounded by bushland.

The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 16:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Build up in existing urbanized areas. We don't need to clear more land so that every Jack and Jill can have a backyard! Turn this area into a permanent reserve full stop.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 15:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I understand that there could be economic benefits for the area however it could represent a significant threat to the environment. I'm against it

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 15:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

avalon beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this land being used for housing and development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 15:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Mona Vale

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

How can you justify clearing the land and putting houses on it considering who the owner is supposed to be?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

Please do not cut down and destroy the trees and surrounding areas, which are over a hundred years old. Doing this will kill the native animals and plants, including various species of birds, wallabies, snakes, lizards, possums and more. Our natural biodiversity will be forever lost and you will be responsible. Please do not destroy the land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 14:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly 2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There are no grounds for allowing this land to be built on. We need more public spaces and retention of environmental areas not less. I also doubt any financial benefits flow evenly to indigenous people from any such sales however I remain resolutely opposed to such egregious developments.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 14:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 14:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

Please provide your view on the project

**I object to it**

Submission

**N/A**

I agree to the above statement

**Yes**

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2469

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Total wrong and obnoxious land grab...

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Pittwater Natural Heritage Association would like to make the following comments on the proposal to amend State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 to allow potential development of bushland sites in the catchment of Narrabeen Lagoon on the Northern Beaches.

We understand the Metropolitan Aboriginal Council's desire for funds to enable them to undertake economic, social and cultural work for the benefit of their community. However, we believe that raising funds by developing land in the Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment is a bad idea as much of the land that the State Government has proposed for inclusion in the SEPP is unsuitable for urban development.

We are particularly concerned about the parcel of land around Lizard Rock, Morgan Road, Belrose.

The Lizard Rock land has significant environmental values:

- Significant aboriginal sites
- Scenic value of bushland and ridgetops
- Species of plants and animals found in this area are on the NSW and /or Federal Threatened Species list

Constraints to urban development include:

- High bushfire risk, which is increasing with the changing climate.
- Pollution threat from Increased urban runoff into local creeks and Narrabeen Lagoon
- The land has been zoned as a Metropolitan Rural Area, not suitable for urban development

Further, Northern Beaches Council has stated that the land is not needed to meet Northern Beaches housing targets as they can be met using existing urban land which has necessary infrastructure in place.

In spite of the above, The DPIE intends that this SEPP will override local strategic plans including the relevant Local Environment Plan, which has been developed through investigations, consultation and community input. In our opinion, if the SEPP is put in place, it will further erode the community's confidence in the State Government's ability to make good planning decisions.

PNHA supports the suggested solution proposed by Friends of Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment, that the Aboriginal owned land be leased back by the State Government for use as a national park, thereby providing the MALC with an income while protecting the land from urban development.

  
Chair

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The Northern beaches is already full.. destroying more precious bush land to cram even more residents in is not an option. Road and transport is at capacity. Our wildlife has no where else to go. Stop this madness.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object most strongly to the proposal to carry out what appears to be a major housing development at Lizard Rock. This is an area of unspoilt bushland and should be preserved as such. Apart from the obvious major consideration of overloading an already inadequate infrastructure owing to the very limited connectivity between the Northern Beaches and the rest of Sydney, this appalling plan would necessarily involve chopping down hundreds, if not thousands of trees. As a resident subject to hefty fines for removing any tree which threatens my own property, I fail to understand how the government and local council can even contemplate what would amount to an arrant environmental crime.

Northern Beaches Council has spent a long time coming up with a development plan for Frenchs Forest, finally deciding on restricting zoning changes to the close vicinity of the hospital, and allowing 20 years before considering any expansion of this. I thought this to be eminently sensible, even though I would like to see a much reduced version of the hospital precinct development itself. How on earth could they come up with this plan at Lizard Rock which flies in the face of anything that could remotely be called planning? To call this Aboriginal Land Development when it involves substantial medium density housing in the middle of pristine bushland is an insult to all people who appreciate our unique Australian natural beauty.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident of 40 years witnessed many misshapes & loss of life of young residents. The streets are narrow & a school occupies major crossings. Road structure & elevation doesn't allow proper view of traffic plus no traffic lights @ Forestway. If more residents to use area, it needs new exit roads to both Monavale rd & Forestway first Plus major upgrade to the amenities

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 13:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Avalon Beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is a gross misuse of land for development purposes in an area they can not sustain or support this level of development.

This land was reserved from a cultural perspective and is a key green corridor separating other densely populated and developed areas.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 12:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

16 Mar 2022

Confidential Submission

On: Draft Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan (DDP)

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to raise my concerns with this Development Proposal

- 1) no adequate independent review or assessment of the draft DDP
- 2) inappropriate development of areas highly exposed to bush fires
- 3) no detailed studies of environmental impacts on threatened species and watercourses

4) no supporting infrastructure

4) no detailed urban design studies

5) no consideration on how these areas coordinate with Northern Beaches

Council's LEP

Council has requested that environmental issues & statutory compliance be addressed before adopting this DDP & I strongly support Council in its request.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Cromer NSW 2099

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 11:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is an inappropriate use of what should be public access land.

I object on the principle that access to the sites has been denied due to cultural and environmental sensitivity in the past. If this is true, then the proposed use violates that sensitivity.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

Please do not cut down and destroy the trees and surrounding areas, which are over a hundred years old. Doing this will kill the native animals and plants, including various species of birds, wallabies, snakes, lizards, possums and more. Our natural biodiversity will be forever lost and you will be responsible. Please do not destroy the land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 11:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is embarrassing and hypocritical using the Aboriginal card to sneak in this purely commercial development, destroying the last bits of nature , many nature walks, the reason many of us moved in to this Area, not mentioning one of the best bike trails built in to use the natural features with minimal impact on the environment. I strongly object this development and will alert all other from whom this has been carefully hidden until last day possible to object!

Not mentioning the removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna. Lack of supporting infrastructure to manage such a large scope of development which will hugely affect residents in these areas!!!! There will no nature left, everything is just about money!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 10:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Avalon NSW 2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support the projects for all the reasons others have stated.  
The scale is astounding.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 10:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have lived in Davidson and now Belrose my whole life, and with the increased development of housing there has never been any upgrade of road infrastructure to support more people and thereby more cars ! During the long process which was the northern beaches hospital it became near impossible for me to get to work in Brookvale due to traffic , congestion and inadequate planning for the amount of people that already use this road network ! Even now are certain periods of the day Forestway and surrounds are congested and challenging ! The flooding of Wakehurst parkway and Morgan road , as we have just seen also creates and has always created road blockages and traffic nightmares ! Imagine adding another 450 homes with say 4 people per home -1800 people to this already struggling single lane road network , that even when not flooding is not workable ! This is extremely bad urban planning and management and is extremely unsustainable !

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 10:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Freshwater 2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

With respect, I object to this proposal so we can protect the native bushland that we each enjoy so much for our walks, culture and native species.

Key objections are:

- \* Increased bushfire hazard risks to the local area, homes and life.
- \* It will create the removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna.
- \* There is a lack of supporting infrastructure of the large scope of the development.
- \* There is an increased risk to the desecration of Indigenous cultural heritage with the sites close to many engravings estimated up to 20,000 years old.
- \* natural disasters are likely to increase the more we destroy nature.. trees, rocks that maintain integrity & prevent mud slides etc. Let alone providing us with oxygen and absorbing carbon dioxide, plus added benefits of mental health support that nature is so vital for.

I support the alternative approach suggested by Save Manly Dam Bushland which is to protect all of these sites as part of Garigal National Park linking Hawkesbury to the Harbour as places for undeveloped native bushland for eternity.

Many thanks for your serious consideration of all these points & the long term view.

██████████

Concerned caring local citizen & Mum

**I agree to the above statement**  
Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 09:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This will destroy natural wildlife and bush areas for current and future generations. We also do not have the road or public transport infrastructure to support it. Lower and Upper Forestway and adjoining Warringah Roads are heavily congested - the upgrades did nothing to improve the traffic - it's simply rejigged it. As residents, we oppose this development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 09:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The DDP exhibited and prepared does not adequately set out these three factors:

- 1) There are no economic details in regards to infrastructure, costs or servicing.
- 2) The DDP fails to define "cultural use" by Aboriginal people. How is this assured following completion of the development?
- 3) Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads with Morgan Road being the only "escape route". Considerable earthmoving will need to be carried out due to the steepness of the site. All vegetation, ground cover and most trees will need to be removed destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 09:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

- \* Increased bushfire hazard risks to the local area, homes and life.
- \* It will create the removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna.
- \* There is a lack of supporting infrastructure of the large scope of the development.
- \* There is an increased risk to the desecration of Indigenous cultural heritage with the sites close to many engravings estimated up to 20,000 years old.

I support the alternative approach suggested by Save Manly Dam Bushland which is to protect all of these sites as part of Garigal National Park linking Hawkesbury to the Harbour as places for undeveloped native bushland for eternity.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 07:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to site 5 development off Wearden. This is pristine bushland, used by walkers and others in the community. This area holds an abundance of fauna and flora and this development would be detrimental to the French's Forest area

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/03/2022 - 07:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to any development in the Belrose bush catchment area for the following reasons.

- threaten species live within the proposed development area of lizard rock and Belrose catchment, Powerful owls have been seen and are heard very often. Development would severely impact on all species in area.
- Long nose bandicoots have been seen in the Belrose area off Morgan street
- Monitor lizard have been seen in the prolapsed development area.
- The entire Belrose area is replete with rare flora and fauna, some of which is currently protected in NSW.
- The land is in a bushfire prone area
- The land is only accessible via 2 roads with the Oxford falls area prone to flooding and closure
- There is no infrastructure to adequately service further development in the Morgan street to Oxford falls area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 23:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I really don't want aboriginal land developed

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please don't let this go ahead, find another way to buy MLALC out of this situation.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 22:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Naremburn

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 22:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly 2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. These are sacred sites with great importance to myself and also the traditional owners of Australia.
2. I walk these site regularly and use them for connection to nature and wellbeing.
3. These site currently are used for ceremony and community connection
4. The rich history of Australia is important and should not be buried for future generations
5. These sites are home to vast amount of animals and plant life.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 22:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need to protect our natural environment. Surely the events of the last few years and more closely the last few weeks, support this need. Destroying more of our natural environment for more housing to home more people will further impact these rare events as they become part of the norm. It's time our focus moves from financial to one of care.

I can't sit back and watch more destruction unfold, More people suffer as a result of the damage we keep doing. It needs to stop.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 22:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Narrabeen

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to development\

71 hectares of pristine bushland that if developed will never be returned

Flora and Fauna lost

flood and bush fire management not addressed

Traffic Management to morgan road /wakehurst parkway

Catchment zone for Narrabeen Lagoon

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 20:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The crayon is still drying on this woefully inadequate proposal, clearly drafted by a kindergarten child. Saving the environment will not be achieved by building 450 homes on an environmentally sensitive site. The sheer incredulity of trying to develop land under the guise of promoting aboriginal tourism of the site is ludicrous.

This proposal belongs in the bin.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 20:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

I feel it will impact negatively on the environment its ecosystems and will cause irreversible change to a our bushland heritage. There will be loss of rare and endangered native wildlife and flora, as well as Indigenous ceremonial sites and carvings. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to the precious bushland, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in

these areas. This beautiful habitat cannot be restored nor replaced if recreational and housing developments of this kind and scale is allowed to take place.

The theme for NAIDOC week this year was Heal Country, this proposal to my mind is far from healing country, it is destroying traditional country by bulldozing the natural land and environment and all that has existed since long before European settlement.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas purchased by the Federal Government and be protected as National Parklands for future generations and the indigenous custodians to interpret and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) consult widely to do what is right for this precious bushland and foster outcomes that take care of country here in these bushlands, so that they exist now and well into the future, without selling it off for building developments to take place. May the MLALC be empowered to continue their ceremonies for keeping alive their ancient culture, strengthening into a long standing indigenous nation, awakening spirit and receiving healing from the land and that they take pride in being the wisdom keepers of this land that was given back to them.

Regards,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 19:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a frequent walker of the trails this area I make the following comments.

Our native bush land is worth preserving for future generations.

The wildlife and ecological impact would be significant.

I often come across other bush walkers from my local community.

Deforestation being replaced by concrete jungle.

The end result would compound the other significant developments in the community.

(My attached photo is from Site 5)

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 18:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Against disrupting bushland

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 18:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am submitting my objection to the clearing of bushland on the northern beaches for property development. The bushland is what makes the northern beaches so special. Clearing of the land and building on indigenous sites will only beget bigger development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 16:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am strongly opposed to the project.

I have lived in the Belrose area for most of my life. The appeal of the area is the bush surrounds and these have been slowly diminished over the years.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency access during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values ", the DDP fails to define "cultural use".

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.  
This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority, The Independent Planning Commission, due to the major risk of bushfire, environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with crumbly soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

I request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 21:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this backwards thinking. This area of bush land is one of the last strong holds left on the northern beaches with its abundance of flora and fauna.

The biodiversity and cultural heritage far out ways the need for housing and development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes





Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 16:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

15th March, 2022.

This a submission in relation to Site 5 ( Corymbia Circuit / Wearden Road..).

I strongly object to any development because I am concerned about the following points:-

1. Bush Fire safety and restricted exit areas..
2. Loss of native wildlife and endured species in the area.
3. Aboriginal artwork and sites would be lost forever.
4. Ecological impact on the area.
5. Increased congestion with little to no infrastructure increases.

6. Also, increased population with little to no local transport.

7. Noise pollution

8. Traffic Volumes

9. Fire hazard

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 16:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

15th March, 2022.

This a submission in relation to Site 5 ( Corymbia Circuit / Wearden Road)..

I strongly object to any development because I am concerned about the following points:-

1. Bush Fire safety and restricted exit areas..
2. Loss of native wildlife and endured species in the area.
3. Aboriginal artwork and sites would lost for ever.

4. Ecological impact on the area.
5. Increased congestion with little to no infrastructure increases.
6. Also, increased population with little to none local transport.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 15:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Bayview

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident and tax and rates payer of the northern beaches i strongly object to the destruction for native bushland for the purpose of housing developments. The Ingleside allotment and rezoning is more than enough.

The additional pressure on native flora and fauna and the additional impact on existing and planned infrastructure will be too high a price to pay.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will adversely impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.
4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreational facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.
5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.
6. The value and saleability of our, and other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.
7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source & eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk, & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our back garden to eat our strawberry leaves, leaving the stalks

to re-grow their leaves for his next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits our neighbours' back yards. A beautiful sight. His magnificence just warms your heart

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Terribly concerned to see a pathway that would lead to the land at Lizard Rock being divided up and sold off. This is not vacant land sitting waiting for development- it's beautiful bush land and a home for many creatures including wallabies. It is bushfire prone land and also the crossways for many waterways down to Narrabeen Lagoon. The environmental impact is significant.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

I support and object to parts of this proposal. I live near the proposed site and the potential development of this area concerns our local community for many reasons.

I support the development of land to support the objectives of Aboriginal People to achieve economic self-determination by utilising the developable land to facilitate tangible economic, social and cultural prosperity for MLALC members and the Aboriginal community. If their wish is to sell the land, then who am I to object to it as it is their land to do with it what they wish?

My concerns are:

Will the land be exploited vs. used and maintained well?

Will the appropriate infrastructure be built to support the development? If so, this additional infrastructure must be balanced with the natural surroundings

Has and will the Aboriginal community continue to have a voice in how their land is used?

Has enough land been assessed to be included as a conservation area rather than being developed? The map indicates only small areas of Lizard Rock set for conservation

I do not support the building of residential properties in this development. How does this support the broader community and the Aboriginal goals? I imagine this would increase their economic benefit, however, if the site is to be developed, perhaps best to leverage tourism, cultural education, and conservation as the primary levers instead of residential housing. There are many other areas that could be used for additional housing that do not require clearing of beautiful bushland.

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will adversely impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.
4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreational facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.
5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.
6. The value and saleability of our, and other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.
7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source & eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk, & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our back garden to eat our strawberry leaves, leaving the stalks

to re-grow their leaves for his next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits our neighbours' back yards. A beautiful sight. His magnificence just warms your heart

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will adversely impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.

4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreational facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.

5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.

6. The value and saleability of our, and other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.

7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source & eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk, & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our back garden to eat our strawberry leaves, leaving the stalks to re-grow their leaves for his next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits our neighbours' back yards. A beautiful sight. His magnificence just warms your heart

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will adversely impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.

4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreational facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.

5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.

6. The value and saleability of our, and other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.

7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source & eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk, & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our back garden to eat our strawberry leaves, leaving the stalks to re-grow their leaves for his next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits our neighbours' back yards. A beautiful sight. His magnificence just warms your heart

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will adversely impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.

4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreational facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.

5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.

6. The value and saleability of our, and other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.

7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source & eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk, & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our back garden to eat our strawberry leaves, leaving the stalks to re-grow their leaves for his next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits our neighbours' back yards. A beautiful sight. His magnificence just warms your heart

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The development does don't positively contribute to the area.

This development plan does not address the housing type needs of the area, nor does it provide any benefits to the impacted communities.

The obvious flaws are location/accessibility, environmental impacts and the challenges of building in a flame zone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 14:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The development does don't positively contribute to the area.

This development plan does not address the housing type needs of the area, nor does it provide any benefits to the impacted communities.

The obvious flaws are location/accessibility, environmental impacts and the challenges of building in a flame zone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 13:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Bayview NSW 2104

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need to stop opening up land for development and financial gain. The massive destruction we have already done to the area through development is enough. You can't put a value on natural green space for recreation and the flora and fauna it supports.

I totally object to clearing of this land for housing.

Regards

██████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 12:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Liverpool nsw

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I'm totally against due to the sacred sites which are in the development and they are precious to the traditional owners the guringai tribe. Plus I'm against it because of all the guns and flora that are on this site which some of they are very rare once it's gone it's gone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 23:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a NB resident and a birdwatcher with very strong interest in preserving the natural bushland habitat of Sydney, I very firmly object to the inclusion of any lands within the Northern Beaches LGA in the NSW Government ALSEPP/PSEPP. I believe it will impact negatively on the Northern Beaches and its residents in many ways. It will impact on the various threatened species that rely on this bushland for survival. I have personally witnessed both Powerful Owls, Glossy-black Cockatoos in the area.

I acknowledge MALC stands to benefit financially through these developments but that does not at all follow that it will benefit the local Aboriginal people. It will

also degrade their land, rather than preserve it.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora.. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I believe no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.



Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increased runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

IN ADDITION:

- The DDP exhibited by the Department is inaccurate and incomplete.
- The proposed development:
  - o Is inconsistent with the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979,
  - o Threatens endangered species of flora and fauna, and damages strategic

biodiversity corridors.

- o Is unnecessary to achieve NSW Government housing goals,
- o Risks the very cultural heritage that the Aboriginal Land Rights Act intended to protect.

- The DDP does not adequately deal with infrastructure issues such as roads, bridges, electricity transmission, water, sewage, and drainage.

- There is no evidence that DPIE have sought the views of authorities such as the Rural Fire Service, NSW Fire and Rescue, or utilities such as TransGrid, Ausgrid or Sydney Water.

- Northern Beaches Council has prepared a draft report that objects to the development in unmistakable terms.

- The Planning Department did not provide enough time to exhibit the DDP including for the community to see Northern Beaches Council's final report.

- The Minister and the Planning Department have not responded to written requests to extend the notification period.

- The legislation applicable to the SEPP was changed midway through the notification period.

- The DDP was published without legible maps. This was not corrected until midway through the notification period.

The Minister cannot reasonably approve the DDA in accordance with the Objects of the Act and given the improper notification of the DDP.

Destruction of this bushland cannot be undone, and I believe would be a very big mistake. I am shocked it is being considered after the council has unequivocally rejected it already and I implore all those who have the power to block this to do so. For the our future.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

For starters it is not there land to develop they are not traditional owners of this country.

This land has a lot of sacred aboriginal sites on it and the land around it is part of a song line to Narrabeenlake. My people looked after this country for many thousands of years . It hurts to see what you want to do with it . Leave it bush . Make it part of Garigal national park .

Laurie bimson traditional owner of this country

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 12:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Duffys Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to the MLALC proposal to develop six sites in the Northern Beaches Council area. Council's local housing strategy meets the need to accommodate approx 12000 homes by 2036 within existing urban areas. There is no need to develop by clearing beautiful native vegetation which is used by the community for recreation and much needed downtime. Clearing these areas will massively and adversely impact on the Northern Beaches Council's urban tree canopy and the cumulative negative environmental impact of the development will be massive and detrimental.

I have read Council's draft submission and note and agree with the following: the

DDP has not addressed process issues previously raised by Council, nor does it address statutory requirements of ALSEPP.

As a long time resident of the area, I know that the infrastructure that the development of the Lizard Rock area on Morgan Road Belrose would require does not exist. For example, the roads are in a poor state, pot holed, with no kerbs or gutters, sewer is non existent in the Morgan Road area, public transport is poor at best, who will provide, and pay for, the much needed infrastructure for these proposed developments ??

What will happen to the beautiful, varied and in some cases threatened species of flora and fauna that exist within these beautiful untouched areas ? The destruction of bushland areas and threatened flora such as Grevillea Caleyi and the habitat of wallabies, reptiles, birds and insects cannot be allowed.

The Ralston proposal was knocked back because of bushfire issues and Council along with the local residents fought hard for the safety of all. As proven, this development should not be allowed, yet here we are a couple of years down the track fighting the same old battle.

I hope that my comments will be taken in to account and thank you for the opportunity to make a submission.

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.
4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreation facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.
5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.
6. The value and saleability of our home & numerous other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.
7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source and eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our garden to eat my strawberry leaves, leaving

the stalks to re-grow their leaves for the next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits my neighbours' back yards. An incredibly & truly beautiful sight. His/Their magnificence just warms your heart.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.
4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any



development or recreation facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes,  
as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.

5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.

6. The value and saleability of our home & numerous other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.

7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source and eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our garden to eat my strawberry leaves, leaving the stalks to re-grow their leaves for the next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits my neighbours' back yards. An incredibly & truly beautiful sight. His/Their magnificence just warms your heart.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.
4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreation facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.
5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.
6. The value and saleability of our home & numerous other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.
7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source and eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our garden to eat my strawberry leaves, leaving

the stalks to re-grow their leaves for the next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits my neighbours' back yards. An incredibly & truly beautiful sight. His/Their magnificence just warms your heart.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1. The bushfire evacuation risk, as assessed by the NSW Rural Fire Service in 2013, still exists.
2. Development will impact the flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park.
3. This beautiful bushland is one of the few easily accessible, level walking areas around.
4. Noise carries clearly from the ridge to a wide surrounding area and any development or recreation facility will affect the peaceful ambience of our homes, as well as the native animals in the surrounding National Park.
5. Added traffic from the developed area will put unreasonable stress on our suburban roads and infrastructure.
6. The value and saleability of our home & numerous other homes will be negatively affected by the destruction of a serene bushland view and disturbed ambience.
7. Destruction of habitat of native animals will destroy their food source and eradicate the beauty of wallabies bounding up our footpaths on dusk & the beautiful black kangaroo visiting our garden to eat my strawberry leaves, leaving

the stalks to re-grow their leaves for the next meal on his frequent returns. He also visits my neighbours' back yards. An incredibly & truly beautiful sight. His/Their magnificence just warms your heart.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly VALE

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The plan to build on the areas outlined is outrageous. The land was set aside not to be developed on.

Northern Beaches Council is not behind on housing, the numbers are fine. We do not require these vast swathes of land to be developed purely for profit.

A reliable source has confirmed that private investigations are being undertaken to follow the money trail on this one, which will be interesting to understand

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I refer to the attached draft report from Northern Beaches Council.

The Department must extend the period for public consultation for at least a further six months to 21 September 2022.

This is because of the serious Process and Statutory Compliance deficiencies identified by Northern Beaches Council in particular (ref. p4 of the Council's draft report):

1. The draft DDP does not adequately address the statutory requirements of the ALSEPP, in particular, having appropriate regard to the Greater Sydney Regional Plan.
2. The draft DDP inaccurately and selectively suggests compliance with Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement and Local Housing Strategy. The adopted Local Housing Strategy states that development in existing non-urban areas is not required to meet Council's housing targets and is not consistent with good planning principles as established by the Government through its own strategic planning framework.
3. There has been no proper independent review or assessment of the draft DDP.
4. DPE is conflicted in its role as both a collaborator with MLALC in the preparation of the DDP and as the determining authority for the DDP/SEPP amendment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe that aborigines should have all the help they need but through government help not at the expense of our wonderful wild life and incredible flora. I am sure the aboriginal people if they knew would not want this to happen. There is also nowhere near enough infrastructure, schools, transport etc available for the current population let alone more people. Do not destroy our beautiful northern beaches.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 11:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Seaforth 2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development of all six sites.

I note the council and community has previously rejected applications to develop some of this land. I support the original objections and say strongly that those original development assessments to decline the development should not be overridden.

I further object as the proposal includes no provision for additional schools particularly high schools, , fire services, ambulance, police, shopping centers and how the new residences will work in with these extra infrastructure requirements.

This development will place too much stress on already stretched public high school capacity in the catchment area.

Most importantly the development is in a fire prone area. While we have not had a major bush fire on the Northern Beaches for at least 21 years eventually we will get another major bush fire and these developments are right in worst areas to be affected. If the development progresses the developer should be required to provide a permanent indemnity to the purchasers of the properties for bush fire protection(insurance) and provide major funding for fire services (RFS). The community should no have to bear the cost of the rebuild of fire destroyed properties and the cost of protecting the properties in the event of a fire when it is totally foreseeable outcome.

Finally I cannot understand how this development could go ahead as the destruction of native habitat , trees and

scrub, when we know there is climate change and we are all trying to reduce our climate change footprint yet this development will see a major loss of flora and fauna in the heart of the Northern Beaches. It seems total out of step with our responsibilities as a council and State (State of NSW) to protect the environment and stop climate change. Having more homes will cause more heat and roads and homes will cause more water run off to end up in the flood zone at Narrabeen, making floods worse.

Yours sincerely



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 10:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2250

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to this development as it fails to protect the environment. Locals I speak to don't want it and even Aboriginal people I know (Traditional custodians) strongly object to it.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Northern Beaches resident, and regular user of the natural bushland, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been

noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over the years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing “for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!” - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location

and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 10:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I refer specifically to the area around Lizard rock and Morgan road in Belrose. This is an appalling proposal. As a recreational user of the Lizard Rock area I have been repeatedly harassed and told that this land is sacred and I am not to be there, to have the MLALC turn around and wish to develop it is absolute hypocrisy..

Apart from the obvious hypocrisy, the site is unsuitable for the type of development proposed, the road infrastructure is not capable of supporting that many houses and is frequently subject to flooding. The area is also extremely bushfire prone and a natural wildlife corridor.

In no way shape or form should this development be allowed to go ahead.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 09:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I wholeheartedly object to any building, DA, on Morgan Rd Belrose, and Ralston Ave Belrose.

I do so on the grounds that Morgan Rd contains "Natural Bushland" that I walk regularly and slowly these wonderful landscapes of natural habitat for native flora and fauna are being eaten away by development. I thoroughly oppose any development on either site.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 09:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the rezoning of land near Calool Crescent, Belrose because of the community impacts including local traffic, visual amenity and noise.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 09:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this proposal - especially the Ralston Avenue development - on several grounds, mainly:

- environmental impact to local fauna and flora
- traffic impacts (noise, volumes) through suburban streets and infrastructure during development and when the premises are occupied
- removal of a highly enjoyable recreation area - biking and walking

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 09:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

These areas are prime bushland areas enjoyed by families and people of all ages. This action only serves to make this area in accessible whilst destroying ecosystems.

Build houses upwards, not over more land and keep what we have that is still green, this way.

It is complicated by the outcomes for the indigenous owners of the land, who deserver access to beautiful natural spaces.

Rather than housing, could these plots of land be decreed as first nations parks and places of significant value? Demonstrate and learn land cultivation and harvesting techniques in this area and be best practice in land use in the area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 08:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2775

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

To Whom it May Concern,

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas for thousands of years, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 08:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 06:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the development of this native bushland.

The area has only one access road for emergency services (bush fires, road accidents).

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 05:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We don't need anymore houses on the northern beaches. All you need to do is look at the existing development within Sydney to see that what we are doing is wrong.

Build up other areas within Australia not just over populate one area.

There are several empty offices right now, no need for more and you should start looking at maybe converting some of those empty office blocks into houses rather than taking up more natural land and making that look shit.

Having natural land is a good thing for the environment and the people who live within the area.

Don't take away the natural beauty the northern beaches has left.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 15/03/2022 - 01:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Killarney Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

### **Submission**

Of the sites being proposed for sale, I think several sites are inappropriate for any use other than bush land - specifically the Corymbia Circuit block that has a 50m drop over a very short distance.

I have huge concerns about the cost of infrastructure that other residents will have to pay for the development of the Lizard Rock site as I am unaware of any water and sewerage infrastructure in that part of Belrose/Oxford Falls.

Ralston Road looks as exposed to bushfire risks as the Ingelside development that

was blocked. I would suggest that this land only makes sense to be an industrial estate like near Bunnings Belrose.

The needs for both Optus and Telstra's satellite stations to remain in radio isolated locations has stopped the developments in the valley next to Corymbia Cres in the past and for the same reasons, should stop any developments other than for agriculture or park land for the Lizard Rock and Corymbia sites.

I fully support the opening up & protection of the Lizard Rock's rock carvings - this is the sort of cultural development that should be within the mandates of the National Parks.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2066

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I take my kids for bushwalks in that area as its such a beautiful display of native bushland. We don't need any more urban sprawl and development. There will be nothing left for future generations if we don't protect it now.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 23:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 22:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have lived within this This area since I was born.

This area is so special to me and maybe people who live near me.

I don't have a gym membership and can't afford one which means I use this area for my exercise i bike ride, walk, run, I take horses though the bush land for there exercise too.

This area also allows me to meditate and ground my self in the quite of the bush land.

New structures and noise to create them will affect many animals and their homes and will be a stress to everyone living in the area.

PLEASE DONT DO THIS, This feels very unfair and sad and selfish.

It's does it makes sense as we have enough areas to find all the equipment we need to live.

We do not need more shops and waste in such a unique area. It will be beautiful NO MORE.

You will never get this land back and it's very disrespectful to bribe natives into sacrificing magical land.

Be careful when playing with sacred areas.

Not a place I would build.

I DO NOT ACCEPT THIS

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 22:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

What a missed opportunity there is here for some sort of eco tourism that could provide ongoing employment and money into the Aboriginal community and protect the bushland.

Instead a greedy few will line their pockets. Keep giving and they will keep taking.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 22:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The proposed development of 450 houses at Lizard Rock is excessive beyond belief.

It is in an area that is extremely vulnerable to bush fires and flooding . The increasingly serious weather events of the past few years provide a clear indication of what we can expect in future years - rising temperatures, storms and rain events.

It will put many people in the front line of future worsening climatic changes.

It will results in the unnecessary destruction of flora and fauna

It is in an area that is poorly serviced by roads and public transport. It will add hundreds of additional cars onto the local road network - which is already under stress in the morning and evening rush hour, and is soon to be put under severe stress through the thousands of additional homes and businesses already earmarked for the Frenchs Forest hospital precinct.

It will put local services, which are already stretched - schools, sporting facilities etc under even further pressure - especially in the light of the abovementioned Frenchs Forest precinct.

We live in a vast land - but continue to cram people into Sydney like chickens in a battery farm. Surely it would make more sense to focus resources into developments in regional areas where there is room to grow - and local services can easily be expanded accordingly,

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 21:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Elanora Heights, 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use".

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 21:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

avalon beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan.

I have walked extensively this area and find the diversity of utmost importance to our culture, our wellbeing and species wellbeing into the future. This State of NSW has a bad record in developing land - and we all know it... The proposed plan on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) is greed simple with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and

Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Sincerely 

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 19:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

It would be desirable for the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) to be supported to take care of country in these bushlands, to ensure they exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and be reinstated as local custodians of these lands as a national park protected from any future development.

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 19:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Clause 9(1) (d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

**Economic** - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

**Social** - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

**Environmental** - The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

"facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats"

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 18:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am very much against the use of this land for these purposes

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 17:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This development absolutely should not move forward. It is insulting to the traditional custodians of the land to develop it and build. Leave the beautiful land alone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

■

**Last name**

■

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

■

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Elanora Heights 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment should not have any further development, in clouding this one for the following reasons:

- 1 Increased sedimentation in the Narrabeen Lagoon system
2. Increased hard surfaces coupled with heavier rains means increased flooding down stream of the development.
3. This development will increase the number of cars which increases congestion and greenhouse gases
4. The development will remove habitat for, and displace, many native animals, some of which are endangered
5. The development will not provide a solution to the increased need for low cost community housing
6. The overall impact of this development, through removal of native vegetation, emissions related to building materials and during construction will have a significant negative affect on greenhouse gas emissions.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 16:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have lived in the Davidson and Frenchs Forest communities for my 43 years on the planet. I am a professional firefighter and once a Volunteer firefighter and have witnessed first hand the changes in our climate since I started my firefighting journey in 1996. I have seen it all. As a first responder attending devastating fires, floods and severe storms across 3 decades. These events are getting worse and more severe and the proof is in the record books for all to see. The period 2019 to 2022 has seen the most devastating bushfires, storms and floods that has ever been seen.

Over population and over development creates more pressure on the environment which is destroying the biodiversity that has evolved for millions of years.

The untouched bushland is irreplaceable and it needs to be left alone for the generations that follow to enjoy as I did and for the benefit of the planet as whole.

All these sites that have been earmarked for development under the control of the Aboriginal land council within the Northern Beaches council area should be stopped for all time. The planning act needs to change so as it cannot be revisited when the next developer comes along in a few years time.

All the areas sit within and directly adjacent to National parks. They are full of Aboriginal sacred sites, endangered flora and fauna and are places where I have spent time over the years enjoying the natural bushland in our own backyard. These area can never be replaced or rebuilt if this development goes ahead.

The community is tired of fighting and seeing no action something has to change.

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 16:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Mona Vale 2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am opposed to the proposal to develop a residential suburb on land around Lizard Rock, Belrose. This land is natural bushland which is the habitat of many significant species of native plants and animals. It is bushfire prone, and with the projected increase in global warming will become more so over time. It is just not suitable for urban development, and that's why it is currently classified as a Metropolitan Rural Area.

There must be a better way of providing funding to the MALC without ruining more of our natural environment and increasing the pollution of our waterways, especially Narrabeen Lagoon.

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 14:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I vehemently object this development.. These bush land blocks are threatened, they are used by our community and have great environmental worth to aboriginal and other community members.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 11:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Mona Vale

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Lizard Rock site becoming a development for 450 houses for the following reasons:

This land has been set aside and protected for high quality bushland in the Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment precinct and is home to a wonderfully diverse range of flora and fauna, including endangered species and the magnificent Waratah.

This corridor of land is a potential flood zone and a fire hazard in times of

drought. Hard surfaces will only add to extra water run off onto corresponding roadways and catchments. There is no linking infrastructure for commuter transport and the closest bus stop is a kilometre away.

This corridor of prime bushland needs to be protected, not developed.

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 11:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

In general, we support this proposed amendment.

I suggest the addition of "educational uses" possibly specifying in particular "primary and secondary schools" to be added to the list of potential uses so that the possibility of the development of a school at Morgan Rose Belrose (Lizard Rock) is not precluded by omission. The current wording for potential uses is: Low to medium density residential, environmental, and cultural heritage conservation, community centre and recreation uses.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 10:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

frenchs forest2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposal.

I don't believe that any group including the aboriginals should have access to a tool such as this SEPP that allows local councils and their people to be bypassed.

These particular lands form part of an invaluable wildlife corridor in northern Sydney. They should be permanently preserved for all Australians present and in the future, as part of the national park network.

I have been resident in the "Forest " area since 1961 and the areas in question have been in continuous use by local people for bushwalking, cycling and observing nature. The local people love these lands and take particular enjoyment when they visit.

The areas in question contain many rare and endangered plant and animal species as well as some locally rare/unusual populations. Included are iconic species such as the Koala. Also found are Powerful Owls, Feather Gliders, and Bandicoots all endangered. There is also a very unusual wombat population adjoining the Ralston avenue site. The areas are rich in flora including 5 species of boronia, numerous ground orchid species and a rare albinistic form of flag iris Patersonia sp (photo available on request).

I am shocked and dismayed that the government is even considering such large scale removal of natural bushland within the largest city in Australia. Climate change is upon us and to a large extent this is driven by the removal of natural forests and bushlands. Australia already has a bad record in this regard and it needs to be stopped, particularly within the boundaries of Sydney. More fires, floods and extreme weather events will surely

follow if the government does not change course. Our bushlands provide clean air for us and also act as a heat sink during hot weather..

The lands in question have been previously considered for development, and have been found to be unsuitable due to fire risk and proximity to sensitive installations including the Wyatt avenue power station and the Telstra Oxford falls facility.

Development in the Narrabeen lake catchment such as the Lizard Rock proposal will lead to more stormwater run off and exacerbate flooding at Narrabeen and on the Wakehurst Parkway, as well as increasing the frequency of such events.

There is inadequate infrastructure at the proposed sites; power, sewage, water, stormwater and roads. The costs associated with providing these services should not be borne by the general community under any circumstances.

The proposal is not in the broader public interest and should be rejected..

sincerely



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 10:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

21 Malbara Crescent, French Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

In regard to the development of these sites, I believe that it should not go ahead. Though it is clear in the information given in this website and video that it would greatly benefit the Aboriginal land council economically, I see some major ethical and conservational flaws to this plan.

On the conservational standpoint, these sites, being part of the portion of bushland around my area, are clearly hotspots of unique biodiversity not seen anywhere else in the world with it being prime habitat for the Swamp wallaby and other common native species but also threatened ones such as the powerful owl,

Angophora crassifolia, Boronia serrulata and the native rose. This important and spatially exclusive type of habitat is extremely important to be conserved in order for future generations to admire. Without these sites younger generations in the area will miss out on appreciating the sheer ecological complexity of their neighboring bushland and after scraping and bulldozing the life and natural uses of this land damage cannot be undone or brought back to the natural state in which it has been left for the last hundreds of thousands of years.

After studying Geography, it is known that the Sydney area is prized for its suburban lifestyle as well as proximity to wilderness and bushland in even in urbanized areas. However, over the years I have seen a trend of these last remnants of wilderness being reduced to concrete. The development of this bushland is evidently impinging on the ethical and aesthetic factors of the area. To me, the phrase Aboriginal land rights means that land, formally belonging to the Aboriginal people, is conserved due to the priceless heritage value it has in keeping the Aboriginal culture and way of life alive in the memories of not only the Aboriginal people but also reminding us settlers what this country was before settlement. If this is not what the Aboriginal Land Council is prioritizing then it is definitely not clear to the public what their purpose is in the community.

Thank you for taking my point of view into consideration.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 09:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Avalon Beach NSW 2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It is an absolute tragedy to lose this beautiful and irreplaceable bushland in an area of urban density. Our family would like to see govt and council purchase this land to preserve the natural asset and allow the Land Council to have funds for their needs.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 09:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I oppose the proposed DDP for the following reasons. In the Narrabeen Lagoon catchment (where most of this land is located) There are over 430 registered Aboriginal sites – important landscapes and places of ceremonial significance. The area is replete with rare flora and fauna, some of which are not currently protected in NSW National Parks. There are at least 26 types of native vegetation communities (including 13 registered as endangered) and 8 that are not found in existing National Parks.

Current infrastructure including roads, public transport and parkland is already

stretched from the pressures of existing population levels and this development would exacerbate these problems.

The DDP should be rejected.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 08:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This development should not go ahead until the suburb of Belrose has reliable electricity power, Morgan road and Oxford Falls Road are made flood free, traffic control lights are erected at Forest Way and Ralston Avenue and a full flood mitigation study is undertaken to determine the effects of siltation and run off into the ecologically fragile Narrabeen Lakes. Development means run off, sewerage overflow and we have just seen the results of flooding with the rain bomb that hit Sydney recently

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Vale NSW 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object because this area is a pristine area used for public recreation by a number of users and should not be sold for development. Once built upon, it cannot be changed so one should keep this as a recreational area enjoyed by so many.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 14/03/2022 - 08:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need the aboriginal land sites to stay untouched. These sites are sacred. I think there has to be an element of spaciousness not taken over with greed and destruction. This just seems to be about how to make a dollar. Think about the destruction of wildlife, the destruction of the vegetation. All these factors are about living things. When you develop you deconstruct living things. We need more consideration for this. Think with your hearts not your heads on this one

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 23:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2080

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I grew up in this area and have always used this bush land often for recreation. These bush lands are home to many endangered native flora and fauna including Koalas, Powerful Owls, Rock Wallabies and Pygmy Possums. There has been much destruction of local bush land in this area over the past 30-40 years. This bush land must be preserved for the endangered native flora and fauna that grows and resides in this sensitive environment and for future generations. Once it is destroyed, it cannot be brought back. Please don't allow this development to go ahead, it will leave many local people devastated.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 21:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 21:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport 2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 21:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Current road infrastructure on weardon Road isn't adequate for development in this area.

Will destroy bushland, local flora and fauna.

Bushfire risk.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 20:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is a disgraceful overdevelopment of an area that is low on infrastructure and public transport. This land was deemed significant and important and was handed to the MALC and now they see fit to sell it off for a profit- totally against what the returning of aboriginal land was about

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 20:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The proposal of housing at lizard rock is devastating. This is an area that I have grown up in and have been able to learn and discover so much about our local environment, plants and animals. It has also been a special place to take a walk and be surrounded by nature.

Sydney's iconic beauty lies here, the sandstone rocky outcrops with ancient Angophora trees twisting their branches, swamp wallabies, black cockatoos feasting on casuarinas, a huge range of native flora with beautiful displays of wattle and Waratahs.

Housing does not need to go in a area of pristine bush land especially when there is such challenges with infrastructure and access. The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

Lizard rock is a steep and challenging site for development. Morgan Road already cannot handle the traffic and there are no pedestrian options available. The area can easily be affected by flooding and can also be at risk of fires.

Save lizard rock for the people, the plants and animal and for Australia. This type of development does not need to go in places of such iconic and natural beauty.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 19:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2137

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental

Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 19:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with “celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”.

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant

bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 18:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please please please don't take away our beautiful bush land. I walk in there at least 4 times aweek with my young boys. I live up n Wearden rd, and I would hate to see what this will do for a lovely quiet and safe streets.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The northern beaches, as a whole is over developed. We do not have the infrastructure to continue to develop the area.

Should the traditional land holders of these areas and First Nations people actually wish to build on these areas for their own personal purposes, I'm all for it (as long as it aligns with current building regulations).

If the land is being sold to development companies that are purely for monetary gains, then I am - as many residents are - against the proposal.

Our bush, our animals and our area need to stay as complete eco systems. We only have to look at the disasters around the state after the rain to understand that the practice of over developing on lands and changing the landscape is detrimental long term.

Stop with the over development of the Beaches.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 18:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

My submission is in relation to the proposed clearing of bushland on Ralston Ave Belrose. (MLALC Potential Dev Area - 9 (Ralston Avenue))

I find it difficult to comprehend that Aboriginal land comprising rare Sydney forestry adjoining a national park, is proposed to be cleared for the sake of short term revenue benefits. This forested area is important to all Australians and in particular Indigenous Australians and yet this area could be subjected to forest clearance and massive earthworks. The bushland is more sacred than that.

Garigal National Park adjoins and is downslope on three boundaries of this proposed development. As a consequence of this setting, the National Park will be at significant risk of urban runoff, pollution, weed propagation and general degradation.

The forested ridgeline on which the development is proposed, is significantly exposed to westerly winds and bush fires. This same ridgeline has been subjected to several bush fires in the past 20 years. One bush fire required enormous effort by a number of fire crews to save the only house that exists to the east of the proposed development, adjacent to the existing electrical sub station. Any development to the west of this house (as per the proposed development) would be at even greater risk of being impacted by bush fires.

Road access to this proposed development is along narrow suburban roads with housing and primary schools fronting the roads, which presents a significant safety concern.

The people of the Forest area highly value the bushland, recreational space and the forest vistas which is why we

have chosen to live in this beautiful part of Sydney. Denigration of this amenity will impact the local people and their future generations. Therefore, this proposed development should not proceed and the bush land preserved forever.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 18:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental

Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 18:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The strategy for building more free standing homes and destroying rare bush land is environmental vandalism. No wonder there are no koalas in frenchs forest. The government should be looking to develop new housing on existing sites that's are already disturbed. I bush walk in that area and it feels like another world away.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 18:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats"

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 18:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Chatswood West 2067

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the 450 housing proposal at the Lizard Rock site along Morgan Rd on the following grounds.

1. It is best quality bushland with rich biodiversity including red-crowned toadlet, powerful owl and Boronia serrulata. Trees such as Angophora costata now in abundance will be removed and Swamp Wallabies, which the RMS has gone to some lengths to protect, will be eliminated from the area..
2. There is high fire danger in that area and the trending increase of severe weather events will increase the potential flooding of lower-lying areas if further housing introduced.
3. Additional housing in this area has not been allocated to this area by the State Govt's strategic guide North District Plan. The site is isolated and not adjacent to existing development or public transport.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 17:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use".

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 17:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The strategic guidance of the State government, the 'North District Plan 'from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development. The site is isolated and not adjacent to development. It is surrounded by bushland.

The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

The area is quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red-crowned toadlet, powerful owl, and healthy stands of Angophora crassifolia and Boronia serrulata, the native rose will be exterminated.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be exterminated and thus minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes a mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

High fire danger in that area. The last planned burn-off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes was severely burned.

Known increasing intensity of weather events which will 1) increase the effects of hot weather: fire danger and 2) increase the effects of wet weather: increased flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood

stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, let alone from an additional 450 houses.

Visual pollution from afar and vintage point destruction, as Lizard Rock is a hilltop location.

The State Government is asked to pay for infrastructure and there is not even a sewer connection for any existing properties.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 17:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Randwick

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This development goes against values of Indigenous communities to protect, and care for remaining parts of Country which have not been lost to substantial urban development. There are alternative revenues which could be set up without flattening and developing a greenfield site.

There are large volumes of both vulnerable and threatened flora and fauna located in 'development' areas and there seems to be little regard by MLALC about these more than human kin including understanding that the culturally sensitive area should be larger than the small area which they illustrated in one drawing. I'd suggest that if MLALC want to develop a parcel of land that they own, to use an already developed site rather than continuing to harm Country and value monetary outcomes rather than the caring for more than human communities which we are tasked to care for and protect. I also think that this development goes against Caring for Country draft framework.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 17:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Mona Vale, Sydney 2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposal as we are continually losing green spaces in our city and country and across the world. Many people in the Northern Beaches and from Sydney enjoy these spaces and taking away the spaces for the benefit of a few hundred people is not a good outcome. Many more people will be negatively impacted than are positively impacted and that is not a good result for the broader community. Only a handful of people will benefit vs the many that are negatively impacted. Also, infrastructure around these areas is already under pressure, with heavy traffic and busy facilities and shops and amenities already. Adding more houses and population to a place that is already stretched is not acceptable.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 16:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hello,

we are proud residents of Belrose very concerned about the planned developments at the Lizard Rock and Ralston Avenue sites.

We genuinely respect the true nature of the Land Rights Act, however believe that massive deforestation and housing developments have pure economical reasons and are therefore contrary to the Acts original intentions..

Therefore, we clearly oppose the Site Development plans in Belrose and urge the authorities to reconsider keeping the virgin bushland areas protected.

Regards,

████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development. Whilst not providing engineer reports I believe the general impact this will have on fauna and flora can not be understated. The environmental impact will be significant. Secondly, the traffic impact on the local area will be problematic to say the least.

I hope this can be reconsidered and remain bushland. We have very little bushland left on the northern beaches and this sets precedent for much more bushland to be gone in the future.

Sincerely

██████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 16:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to all of this precious bushland being used for the developement of industrial and residential sites. This land is home to loads of flora and fauna and native animals.

At a time when climate change is playing such a big role in our environment we shouldnt be getting rid of more trees and bush which is really just for financial gain.

A lot of this bush is a corridor for wildlife at a time when their habitat is fast disappearing.

I also dont think that this isdy is even know by many people as not widely advertised.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 12:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Want to keep bush land as is

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 11:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narraweena 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe the area should be preserved as natural bush land, with recreational access but not to be used for residential development .

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 11:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### Submission

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental

Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 09:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Mt Barker 5251

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The proposition in principle is flawed. I cannot even understand why governments are still considering these propositions which decimate the natural environment. It is being driven by finance and development and nothing more. Sadly based on flawed policies from some time ago and a desire by some for development at any cost.. The high amount of homes is terrible and the permanent damage caused cannot be undone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 09:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please please do not press the like button on this post until you have lodged your objection with the Department.

To lodge your objection:

- Copy the text below. Amend it if you want.
- Go to: <https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/northern-beaches-aboriginal-land-development-delivery-plan>
- Click 'I object ...'
- Click 'Make a Submission'
- Complete the name etc (you can be anonymous) and paste the text in the submission box.

Thanks for taking the most important step 😊

\*\*\*\* Copy this section \*\*\*\*

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.



Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats"

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 08:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development of our bush areas in and around frenchs forest. The bush is home to lots of fauna and wildlife and is enjoyed by people in the local area.

The further demolishment of bush and trees is bad for our climate and we should be planting more trees rather than taking them down.

It is also shocking that the area could be marked for some industrial development.

Please leave our bush alone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 08:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to further development of bush land because of the impact on native wildlife and fauna. Where will these species go? They will just die. Stop destruction of the environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 13/03/2022 - 06:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development proposal. Maintaining the natural habitat on the northern beaches is increasingly important. Urbanisation has damaged enough of the natural bush lands and the northern beaches are already over populated.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 22:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Warriewood NSW 2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Object completely

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 20:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is totally unacceptable use for land obtained for cultural reasons. If the only use the MALC has for it is to develop it, then it should be returned to the community and preserved as a green corridor. This is similar to the unsuitable and rejected proposal for Ralston avenue. If they wish to build a cultural centre then so be it, but 450 homes is not required to do that, nor is it a suitable option under any circumstances.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 19:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may



“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 18:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am strongly opposed to any development of bushland that will fell trees & destroy the habitat of native animals. We desperately need to be planting more trees & protecting our natural environments to capture carbon & help address climate change. Land clearing will destroy the natural environment. I am concerned for vulnerable animal species that live in the area such as glossy black cockatoos, heath monitors, square-tailed kites, eastern bent-wing bats, grey-headed flying foxes, yellow bellied sheath tail bats and Pygmy possums.

I strongly support preserving the natural & cultural heritage of this land via it becoming an extension of the Garigal National Park and the State Government making annual lease payments to the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) or purchasing the land from the MLALC to protect it as a National Park whilst also delivering economic outcomes to the Aboriginal community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 17:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Site 5, Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Road

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 16:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It would definately be a shame to see this amazing land go to waste in such a way.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 15:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

No! No! No! This land is beautiful and should not be developed on.

We need to preserve our native bush land.

My family of 3 young boys and countless families from our local Wakehurst school use this land for cycling and bush walking on a daily basis.

We cannot keep destroying the environment and land through deforestation.

The wildlife in this area is stunning, there are huge goannas, wallabies and thousands of birds and millions of other animals that will be destroyed and displaced.

Our future generations need this land to enjoy and play. Nature is vital and important.

Frenchs forest is already having significant developments. LEAVE NATURE AREAS ALONE!!!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 14:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This should be blocked. It is totally inappropriate development and the Government needs to reconsider its attitude to this scheme. It is overdevelopment in what is beautiful environment. The impact on the local community will be major and is on top of the redevelopment of Frenchs Forest. It is unnecessary and unwanted at any cost.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 14:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2206

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to these areas being stripped bare

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 12:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Narrabeen 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.



In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 11:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Castle Cove 2069

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In short, in this time when climate change will be demanding a review of our use of energy, use of resources and the care-taking of our bushland - we should not be considering large scale projects such as this. The flora and fauna in these areas will be negatively and irreversibly affected. This looks on the surface to be a greedy grab for the money by the custodians of this land. I urge you to be sensitive to the current environmental issues. These are important to your constituents - and to the planet.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan (DDP) of all sites proposed on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands via State Environmental Planning Policy.

Belrose and surrounding areas pride itself on its open space and bush surroundings. We have seen development in and around the area to create the NB Hospital and in doing so have lost the "country" feel to the area we bought into 10 years ago. The infrastructure of the area does not cater for the volume of the new homes. We have just seen this in the recent floods, roads closed, limited access to a fancy hospital built in the wrong place. The roads are falling apart, no transport in and out, grid locked traffic and now to add to this!

I don't have the fancy legislations and the jargon to application all corporate and fancy, all I can say is that the area offers and escape from the hassle and busel of the Beaches and the city, let it be. Our families and kids need a space not only to retreat to but to learn about what the land has to offer, what it feels like to take a stroll through the bushlands, see the Wallabies and amazing fauna and flora on our door step.. We bought our properties in the area for premium ( and yes the council benefits from this) and we will be paying this off for the rest of our lives because we needed that bush / country feel.

These pockets of land should be preserved not only for their beauty but for their heritage too. This can't be reversed.. once the homes are in Belrose and the Forest become another suburb filled with its tiny boxed homes all looking the same in its tiny straight lines.

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 11:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

dee why 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Huge impact on traffic at both entrances, Morgan Rd and Wakehurst Parkway. May require traffic lights.  
Increasing the slow journey in peak hour. Impacting access to Northern Beaches Hospital

Environmentally negative. Tributary of Narrabeen Lagoon. Increase risk of pollution from runoff.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 10:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

French's Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades surrounding Corymbia Circuit for emergency egress from potential bushfires. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Corymbia Circuit site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats"

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 10:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the

costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 10:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Have we not taken away enough land? From our First Nations people, the flora and fauna that inhabit this land?

There are enough people living in this area, more will put pressure on our already busy roads and surrounding environment.

Leave the bush alone, stop taking and start giving. This is our community, listen to the people.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 09:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2048

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Horrified by the proposed use of land that should be preserved and protected

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats"

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 09:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the

costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 09:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To those blessed with the responsibility to make wise and thoughtful, long-term planning decision,

I understand that your job is complex and that there will be many things you weigh up in this decision.

I am writing to plead with you to prioritise the protection of Australian native flora and fauna - an irreplaceable gift from above that wonderfully blesses all who live and visit this beautiful LGA.

People need natural bush land to thrive. Please prioritise Australian native plants and animals over building material - once our national treasures (indigenous flora and fauna) are destroyed, they are gone forever - surely this is not something you would like to be responsible for.

I strongly object to destroying bush land around Lizard Rock for housing and to the completely unnecessary destruction of the irreplaceable habitat for indigenous flora and fauna for industrial buildings near Ralston Ave (this makes no sense at all).

However, the site on Forest Way near Ace Landscapes, go for it - there is nothing left to lose there only good things to be gained for the community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 09:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 08:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental



Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 08:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I CATEGORICALLY OBJECT TO THIS DEVELOPMENT OR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF THE GARIGAL NATIONAL PARK.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have grown up in the area and now own a property in Frenchs Forest with my wife and children. As a kid we used to spend time walking and riding the trails and playing at the Cascades, and I now take my family down there to walk and ride weekly. The area is full of Wallabies, Birds and other wildlife and to impact that would be horrible. It's not the right area for more housing, we need these bush areas to preserve the flora, fauna and the history of the area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 12/03/2022 - 08:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Please leave this bushland as it is. Bit by bit we literally chip away trees and other flora and wipe out the fauna living in such habitats.

In the bushfire summer of 2019-2020 we lost countless millions (billions?) of trees and other flora, our carbon dioxide absorbers.

We need as many trees as possible on our planet. Every tree is precious. We should be planting more trees to combat climate change, not felling them.

This planned development will benefit mainly developers whose greed is known to all. Just how financially well did the aboriginal owners do from the last deal?? Still ripping them off since settlement time. Disgraceful.

Who will pay the bill for the massive infrastructure needed for a paltry 450 homes? Taxed workers of the State again?? Spending other people's (workers) money like drunken sailors and with gay abandon.

This unnecessary decimation of bushland is not needed. Please leave it as it is.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 22:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support this submission in any way. Do not use this area for residential properties or any other developments. The bush land should be left as it is for the residents to enjoy. It's important for the environment; it's important for the people of the northeast beaches and it's important for the native flora and fauna that it remains as it is. Do not over develop our beautiful area!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 15:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The surrounding bush land in the Oxford Falls area is a rarity and must be preserved. The Aboriginal carvings and history are fully respected and many people come to visit them. But to destroy hundreds of thousands of acres of this natural bush to build houses is a crime and totally unnecessary.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 15:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Curl Curl 2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This proposal would lead to the building of 450 houses on a patch of pristine bushland. I cannot understand why houses are needed in this area which has poor access to public transport. While bushland will be unnecessarily destroyed, surrounding bush will remain, creating a fire risk. I understand the proposal runs contrary to the existing planning rules for the area - they should not be changed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 14:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Collaroy Plateau

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Such a beautiful part of the northern beaches, no private owner would be allowed to have that level of vegetation removal and i don't see why the owners of this land are allowed an exception. Ridiculous, bet you'll earn a pretty penny though!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 11:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am writing in regard to MLALC Potential Dev Area 5 (Corymbia Circuit), I wish to strongly object to the Potential Dev Area 5 (Corymbia Circuit).

I live with my family in this locality (Niangala Place) and I object as strongly as is required to have this proposal rejected for the following reasons:

- Residents in the locality have already been greatly impacted over recent years with immense construction and development surrounding the new Northern Beaches Hospital and all the surrounding roads. There is yet more development planned for that area, destroying more green areas, and impacting everyone living in this area.
- The detrimental effect to the natural habitat of flora and fauna, which will in turn have a devastating effect on the environment. This increases the risk of adverse weather conditions, that we are increasingly experiencing in NSW.
- The risk of bush fire to any new residence.
- The loss to the local community of what in this stressful world is a beautiful, restorative, peaceful and calm area. People of all ages enjoy this space in many different ways. Youngsters gain an immense amount of pleasure, exercise and a real appreciation and love of our amazing and unique Australian bushland from activities like biking, running and walking in this area. For anyone who has been fortunate to stop and spend any time there, if you take the time you will find it has a unique meditative quality, that has been priceless to the mental health of residents, particularly in the trying times of the past couple of years. This is something to be protected, treasure

and cherished - NOT destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 11:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I TOTALLY OBJECT TO ANY FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE GARIGAL NATIONAL PARK, FOR EVERY CONCEIVABLE REASON. IT MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO PROCEED.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 11/03/2022 - 11:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am writing in regard to MLALC Potential Dev Area 5 (Corymbia Circuit), I wish to strongly object to the Potential Dev Area 5 (Corymbia Circuit).

I live with my family in this locality (Niangala Place) and I object as strongly as is required to have this proposal rejected for the following reasons:

- Residents in the locality have already been greatly impacted over recent years with immense construction and development surrounding the new Northern Beaches Hospital and all the surrounding roads. There is yet more development planned for that area, destroying more green areas, and impacting everyone living in this area.
- The detrimental effect to the natural habitat of flora and fauna, which will in turn have a devastating effect on the environment. This increases the risk of adverse weather conditions, that we are increasingly experiencing in NSW.
- The risk of bush fire to any new residence.
- The loss to the local community of what in this stressful world is a beautiful, restorative, peaceful and calm area. People of all ages enjoy this space in many different ways. Youngsters gain an immense amount of pleasure, exercise and a real appreciation and love of our amazing and unique Australian bushland from activities like biking, running and walking in this area. For anyone who has been fortunate to stop and spend any time there, if you take the time you will find it has a unique meditative quality, that has been priceless to the mental health of residents, particularly in the trying times of the past couple of years. This is something to be protected, treasure

and cherished - NOT destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 23:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please leave this sacred land alone.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 21:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This would be a complete travesty if you went ahead. This bushland should be shared by all and should be looked after not ripped apart for more building. We are already living in the throws of what occurs when we do not respect nature, not only would this destroy habitats of mammals and bird life you would ruin the beautiful amenity of the area. This should be celebrated not destroyed and I cannot believe there is such a disregard.

There is little infrastructure to support this, it will drive more people on to the roads. How about you start looking at a train line before you go destroying more



perfectly beautiful bushland - you should be ashamed..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support the Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and Northern Beaches Aboriginal land Development Delivery Plan.

The land included in the amendment includes cultural and natural heritage which is both significant to the local area and people who live and recreate in it. There are engraving sites that are important and relate to other sites in the area, These should be protected through state govt acquisition and used to expand the surrounding national park and state reserves. This makes sense from a cultural heritage preservation perspective as well as a recreation (bushwalking etc) perspective.

There are also endangered species of plants and animals listed as present on the sites, particularly around Lizard Rock. These are part of wildlife corridors and there should be no more habitat loss in the area.

From a practical perspective access in and out of the area is dependent on one road. This road floods frequently. There is also bush fire risk.

Please buy this land from MLAC and add it to Garrigal NP.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I live in Frenchs Forest and regularly mountain bike on tracks which have been built over the past three years off of Weardon Road and Corymbia Circuit.

The area has come to be known as 'The Belrose Bowl'. I have seen hundreds of people enjoying this beautiful area of bush over the past few years. Many residents have experienced this gem of a small bush area due to Covid and exploring more.

There are very few sanctioned mountain bike trails (legally rideable) in the Northern Beaches, with many tracks residing in National Parks. The Belrose Bowl encompasses 8 different land owners, both private and corporate. The Aboriginal Land Council has claimed recent Crown land which has been made available. I know the Land Council has the right to claim this land as private land.

Council has made available locations like Bear Creek which caters to a specific type of mountain biking which focuses on jumps and pump tracks which can be contained within a small footprint.

The Belrose Bowl represents cross-country and/or All Mountain biking trails which covers a much wider footprint and therefore is difficult for local councils to support.

With the possibility of housing going into the sites off Weardon Rd and Corymbia Ct, trails and access to trails in the Belrose Bowl will be affected. Housing for very few will be at the cost of thousands who have walked, run, and cycled in this little piece of bush land. There are trails which have been created which focus on younger riders. There are no other trails like these in the Northern Beaches.

These small pockets of bush, which are not on National Park land are quite rare. The Belrose Bowl is accessible to local residents and has become well known to the wider Northern Beaches community also. I support the Aboriginal Land Council to acquire land to support their many needs. I also believe these parcels of land in question, although benefiting the needs of the Land Council will have an adverse effect on the community and take away natural history and recreational opportunities which cannot be replaced in the Northern Beaches. Please do not allow development in this area to go ahead. Thanks.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 20:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This cannot go ahead for the following reasons-

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and

Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

I have lived in this area for many years (47) and do not want the heritage and beauty of the bush land destroyed. The areas of concern are part of significant catchments which any disruption to the ridge lines will cause erosion/ pollution and serious damage which will jeopardize the sustainability for future generations.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 19:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I live next to the Garigal National Park and I'm so sad and disappointed to see a project like this on the table. In particular the proposal for RALSTON AVENUE, BELROSE.

This proposal is out of character for this location and destroys native plants and affects the wild life in this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 19:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our Native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations

Recreational bushwalking

Environmental impacts from deforestation

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 18:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Freshwater 2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with “celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values ”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”.

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 18:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hello,

I am writing to say that I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values ", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West.

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the highest planning State Government authority, the Independent Planning

Commission, due to the major risk of bushfire, environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the public interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours sincerely,

■

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 17:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with “celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”.

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant

bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 15:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

BELROSE/2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Seriously, just how much additional traffic does the council think Ralston avenue can handle? Does every empty bushland area have to be developed? If the land must be used, how about the council clean up that area and turn it into a recreational area for families and kids. As I observed during COVID lockdowns and post lockdowns, there are a lot more families now making use of that area...why build light industry in a residential area..really?? I despair of the short term "let's make a lot of money" attitude....instead of enhancing what could be a lovely bit of native bushland.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 13:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Loss of habitat for vulnerable flora and fauna.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 12:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

freshwater 2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am amazed by the unthinking attitude of this proposal.

In this century has nothing been learned about the value of our natural assets in the face of climate change.

The strategic guide of the State government, the 'North District Plan' from the Greater Sydney Commission has not allocated this area for residential development and this is the document all agreed to follow through with. The site is isolated and not adjacent to development. It is surrounded by bushland.

The additional housing is not needed as is documented in the Northern Beaches Housing Strategy.

It is best quality bushland with rich biodiversity including threatened species like the red crowned toadlet, powerful owl, barking owl and a healthy stand of Angophora crassifolia will be exterminated.

Core habitat or breeding grounds for swamp wallabies will be exterminated and minimise the survival chances of this iconic species. This makes mockery of the efforts by RMS to protect this species when installing wallaby proof fencing along the Wakehurst Parkway as the State's first road retrofitting project.

High fire danger in that area. The last planned burn off about 5 years ago, which was meant to be localised to the area between Wesley Gardens and Snake creek, got out of control and the whole area down to the Telstra dishes got burned. Exactly the area proposed under the DDP.

Known increasing intensity of weather events which will 1) increase the effects of hot weather: fire danger and 2)

increase the effects of wet weather: increased flooding of lower lying drainage areas.

Exchanging water absorbing bushland with hard surfaces would increase the flood potential of already flood stressed areas: 1) Oxford Falls Road West, 2) Wakehurst Parkway and 3) Narrabeen Lagoon surrounds.

Oxford Falls Road West is frequently subject to flooding which requires all traffic from Oxford Falls Road West and Morgan Road enter and exit towards Forest Way. This intersection is already unable to cope with high traffic demands at peak times, leave alone from an additional 450 houses..

Visual pollution from afar and vintage point destruction, as Lizard Rock is a hilltop location.

Public transport connection ( bus stop) is mostly well over 1km away and there is not even one footpath to walk to it.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 10:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose nsw 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Absolutely crazy proposal, this will mean the devastation of one of the last pristine pieces of bushland on the northern beaches.

It is home to a rare colony of black swamp wallabies only found in this area amongst other natives such as echidnas, black cockatoo and the like, also it is an important corridor for these animals to access Garigal national park fringes.

Consideration must be taken as in a bushfire situation (which has occurred many times previously) that there is only one road in and out of this area, and albeit a slow way out with a very dangerous T intersection on the junction at Oxford Falls Rd west and Wakehurst Parkway which has no traffic lights etc to speak of and is

regularly closed due to flooding, and a set of traffic lights onto forestway at the western end.

In an age where global warming is our biggest planetary issue and with only small pockets of rare undamaged virgin bushland left on the Northern Beaches to house the few animals we have, let common sense, not a grab for growth and cash prevail,

Reject this absurd proposal,

Yours faithfully,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am a resident in Belrose and I have only just found out about these developments. I have not received any notification regarding the planned developments.

Not happy!

These developments will have a devastating impact on the native wildlife which is not acceptable . Our native wildlife needs to be protected and should be the main priority when considering any type of development.

We do not have the roads to be able to support these developments and there doesn't seem to be a clear plan on this.

The way these developments have been presented to local community is not acceptable and down right sneaky.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 09:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

ALLAMBIE HEIGHTS

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

### **Submission**

OUR ORIGINAL INHABITANTS DESERVE TOTALLY TO RETAIN THEIR LAND. THE ORIGINAL SPIRIT HERE IS EVERYTHING, AND .. WILL REMAIN SACRED ..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 10/03/2022 - 08:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Forestville

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern

I oppose the inclusion of the land at Site 9 Ralston Avenue in the State Environmental Planning Policy (Aboriginal Lands) 2019 for the following reasons.

Site 9 Ralston Avenue is located on a ridgeline plateau at the end of Ralston Avenue, Belrose and is surrounded by conservation lands, which have ecological value and currently provide an important link with the surrounding Garigal National Park. It contains significant areas of breeding and foraging habitat for threatened flora and fauna species.

The Ecological Survey and Offset Analysis provided by Travers Bushfire and Ecology, outlines that the landscape has conservation value and that there are eight identified threatened species that will be affected by potential development - especially their ability to forage and breed.

The eight threatened fauna species that have been recorded within and immediately surrounding the area include:

Giant Burrowing Frog  
Red-crowned Toadlet  
Rosenberg's Goanna  
Powerful Owl  
Little Lorikeet



Grey-headed Flying fox  
Little Bentwing-bat  
and Eastern Bentwing-bat

There are also two threatened flora species including *Tetratheca Glandulosa* - 101 plants and *Grevillea Caleyi* - six plants.

It is also considered that the area has the potential for the following additional threatened fauna species to occur within the area:

Southern Brown Bandicoot  
Eastern Pygmy Possum  
Spotted-tail Quoll  
New Holland Mouse

In addition, all the recorded frog species in the proposed development area can be directly impacted by stormwater drainage caused by development and the management of such stormwater.

Stormwater drainage created because of development is also likely to cause increased risk of weed invasion and affect the current habitat for the identified threatened species.

Further, excessive runoff and erosion is a potentially serious problem when ridge tops and steep hillsides are disturbed and when impervious surfaces such as roofs and driveways are added.

The Assessment report by GYDE Consultants, under the heading 'Biodiversity – Flora', details the constraints on the plateau with endangered and threatened species, and suggests that proposed development may be extended to the west over the steep slopes into the proposed conservation area.

Without a clear indication of any proposal at this stage and the sensitive ecological traits of this site it is inappropriate to place this site in the SEPP.

The area proposed for development is on a plateau area that has distinctive flora and fauna. To suggest that the remainder of the site, which is on steep slopes and in a valley, could be used as an offset is not acceptable. The threatened and endangered species on the plateau are different to the flora and fauna remainder of the site.

The Independent Planning Commission in 2019 recommended (for a previous Planning Proposal in 2014 by the MLALC) that the site should not be developed for the following reasons:

The site is too difficult to defend from Bush Fires,  
Threatened and endangered species need to be protected  
The adjoining large Transgrid electricity sub-station objections

As the proposed development is on the plateau area with the same footprint as the previous Planning Proposal, these constraints will apply to any proposed development on this site.

The risk of bushfire, the protection of life, property, and the environment from bush fire hazards.

According to the Rural Fire Service (September 23, 2016), proposed development at Site 9 Ralston Avenue Belrose is "not consistent with s. 117(2) Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as it does not achieve the primary objective to protect life, property and the environment and the NSW RFS considers that it should not proceed in its current form."

The NSW Rural Fire Service wrote to Northern Beaches Council in June 2013, February 2015, June 2015, July 2015, and September 2016 "with concerns as to whether future development could meet the provisions of Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2006 (PBP)."

According to the RFS, proposed development "fails to demonstrate that (the) future development can comply with PBP.

The NSW RFS stated that the proposed development "fails to demonstrate how the rezoning will:

- not increase the risk to life from bush fires;
- not place inappropriate development in areas exposed to unacceptable bush fire hazard;
- ensure that appropriate bush fire protection measures can be afforded to properties at risk;
- minimise negative impacts on the surrounding environment;
- ensure that provision is made for adequate evacuation for the community; and
- ensure that development is capable of complying with PBP.

The Ralston Avenue site is, according to RFS correspondence with Northern Beaches Council (September 23,

2016) "mapped as bush fire prone and is located on an isolated peninsular which maximises the perimeter of land interfacing the bush fire hazard. It also has steep down slopes with the potential to be impacted by bush fire, as has occurred in 1971, 1980, 1988 and 2002."

According to the RFS, "the perimeter road (Wyatt Avenue and Ralston Avenue) is likely to be cut off in several places during a bush fire, endangering both residents and emergency workers."

The Gyde Strategic Assessment document suggests that the Ralston Avenue site is suitable for employment, recreation, and urban services (such as warehousing and/or data centres). However, such premises are still greatly at risk of bush fire and substantial loss of life, particularly employees working in these premises.

Council's Guiding Principles to protect Native flora and fauna:

The Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement Towards 2040 which is supported by the Greater Sydney Commission, is now forming the basis for the preparation of the new LEP to determining zonings over the whole Northern Beaches LGA, including Site 9 Ralston Ave.

Priority 4 Protected Metropolitan Rural Area in the Statement identifies Site 9 Ralston Avenue as part of the 'future MRA investigations area' where the precautionary principle will apply until the zonings are established on this area.

The precautionary principle means that where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage to the community's ecological, social, or economic systems, a lack of complete scientific evidence should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to prevent environmental degradation. In some circumstances this will mean actions will need to be taken to prevent damage even when it is not certain that damage will occur.

The precautionary principle should be implemented by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment and the Department's consultants in considering if this site should be placed in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands<sup>[1]</sup> Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social, and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these two factors as follows.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Ralston Avenue for emergency egress from potential bushfires.

To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

In summary, I request that this site, site Site 9 Ralston Avenue, Belrose, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Thank you for your time

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 21:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road

upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with “celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 19:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

Anonymous

**Last name**

Anonymous

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

MI object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out the three factors.

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

With development of the site being the key goal of the MLALC, along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use".

The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West.

The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant

bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing ALL ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

This is unacceptable to the local community for this proposal.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 17:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Re: Ralston Avenue Belrose

I oppose all development that threatens our precious bushland on the Northern beaches.

The Ralston avenue site in particular should not be included in the MLALC's plan due to the bushfire evacuation risk identified by the NSW RFS in 2013. I am not aware of any changes that would merit development now.

The proposed development of a commercial warehousing/data centre space in this quiet suburban area is audacious and inappropriate. The stress of increased and heavy vehicles on quiet suburban roads is frankly dangerous and inconsiderate to the families with young children and elderly people that live in the area.

Noise on this ridge carries clearly around the valley and increased commercial, residential or recreational activity will destroy the tranquility of the National Park, as well as disturbing the native fauna.

Please don't allow this beautiful bushland area to be destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 14:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

We need to protect what very little bush land we have left in the area. This will decimate thousands of animals and their habitats many are endangered species. There are needs to be protected for animals to use, and the trails are to be enjoyed by walkers, horse riders and bike riders. This will change the northern beaches into a concrete jungle. There is absolutely no infrastructure to support the development. There will be more traffic and accidents which will in turn over run the hospitals and emergency services. Completely oppose the idea



Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 14:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Avalon 2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 12:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 12:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Re: Ralson Avenue Belrose

I object to any commercial or residential development on our dwindling bushland.

The proposed development plan for Ralston Avenue is particularly disturbing given the rejection by Council in 2013 due to evacuation risk concerns raised by the Rural Fire Service - these still exist.

Other concerns include:

The impact on flora and fauna of the Garigal National Park

Increased noise that carries across a wide area in the valley, disturbing the peaceful ambience of the area

The stress of added traffic on our suburban roads

The value decrease on some homes that will have their serene bushland view replaced by an ugly commercial site

The loss of a beautiful bushland area that is easily accessible and a comfortable level walking area.

Please don't allow this DPP to damage the Ralston Avenue Belrose bushland.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 12:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to development of the bushland area in the DDP because it's important to preserve this wild area for wildlife, and for future generations to enjoy as a wild bushland area..

Frenchs Forest and Belrose are characterised by beautiful bushland areas, which are home to many wild animals. People live in this area because it is less developed than other areas of Sydney, and has a natural feel. Residents enjoy walking in the bushland areas and spending time in green, undeveloped areas.

If the area is developed, it will take away that wild character of the suburb. Development would be detrimental to the wildlife.

At a time when climate change is becoming an increasingly pressing issue, we should not be engaging in deforestation, but rather preserving green areas.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 12:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Monavale

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 12:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades

to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 12:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

### **First name**

M

### **Last name**

[REDACTED]

### **I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

### **Email**

[REDACTED]

### **Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

### **Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades

to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 11:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I would like to submit my objection to the proposed redevelopment of the Lizard Rock, Ralston Avenue and Ingleside areas.

CROWN LAND - according to google Crown Land is land owned and managed by the NSW Government. When and why did these areas, which were Crown Land, become aboriginal Land. It was told to me by Andrew Humpherson some years ago that if we wanted to change the use of any Crown Land for any reason, even an animal sanctuary, it had to be gazetted and the aboriginal land council would immediately land on it and the NSW Government was then obliged to hand it over. WHY ???

It is very disappointing to think that the area was once Crown Land and has now been handed over to the aboriginals who will destroy the environment. Considering they are meant to look after the land it does seem appalling that they only want to destroy it, and the flora and fauna living there, for money. The aboriginal communities are handed a great deal of money from the government for our so-called past indiscretions and how long do we have to keep bowing to their whims. I hear many times how they love the land and all its inhabitants, meaning all the plants and animals which call these areas their homes, and they are prepared to mow them down without a second thought. So basically there is little caring for the land.

The NSW government should take a long look at the divide there is becoming with aboriginals and the rest of the population. As Crown Land it belongs to everyone and it should not be handed over. We need to leave some bushland for future generations. The Aboriginal Land Council take the land and destroy it. These bushland areas are home to many plants and animals and why must we destroy it constantly.



So much for their catch-cry "we look after the land". So far I am not seeing any of that. Just more selfish greed and lack of consideration for the environment they say they love and want to protect. They are a joke.

I will be very disappointed in the NSW Government if they allow this land to be destroyed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 09:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 09:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narraweena

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with "celebration of Aboriginal cultural, heritage and values", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity boarding Garigal National Park West. The Ralston Ave proposed development site has habitats and numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest Planning State Government Authority. The Independent Planning Commission, Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

Yours Sincerely



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 09/03/2022 - 08:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 20:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Allambie Heights 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The proposal includes residential housing which is completely objectionable given the current protected bushland and culturally significant status of the sites. If the proposal were to include walking tracks (similar to Manly Dam) only then this would be in interests of the community. We have decimated so many wildlife parks and protected bushlands in the interests of 'progress'. Have we not learned from the impacts of climate change; we need to preserve our natural bushland not replace it with residential and commercial buildings.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 20:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose this development as it will negatively impact the resilience and biodiversity of our local bushlands, home to many critically endangered flora and fauna species. This DA will cause habitat loss, impact migration and connectivity of species between bushlands and cause unnecessary degradation to sensitive ecological communities. This DA is not sustainable and provides no ecological benefits to what is an already a stressed environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 20:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Curl Curl

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

- While I respect the need for Aboriginal people to govern their own land and make decisions to empower their communities, developing land seems counterintuitive to this purpose. I am not Indigenous and cannot speak on behalf of Aboriginal people, but in my understanding, the natural environment is very important to Aboriginal people, so developing more of this does not seem to align with my understanding of their values. I support the granting of land to Aboriginal people for environmental and cultural purposes, but for development doesn't make sense to me. If Aboriginal people were to be granted land for development, surely this could be land that has already been developed (and that they could redevelop), while leaving other land in a natural state for Aboriginal cultural and environmental purposes? I'd like to see some Indigenous perspectives on this.

- As an ecologist, I have seen the extent of damage humans have done and continue to do to ecosystems, and I oppose new developments. We have already put more strain on our earth than it can handle - and we are losing important ecosystem functions like flood mitigation, and we are on our way to causing the 5th mass extinction of species (see Living Planet Report 2020). What we need right now is to contain new developments within our existing footprint - by building up more than out and through efficient planning. Rather than developing more land, we need to work towards returning some of our developed land to a more natural state through ecosystem rehabilitation. This will be essential to the ongoing wellbeing of not only the environment and animals, but also human society.

- As a well-off, developed nation and state, it's shocking how much land clearing still goes on in Australia, and especially in NSW. Australia is the only developed nation listed as a deforestation front by the World Wildlife Fund, and our rates of deforestation have tripled in the past decade! This is not acceptable - Australia needs to be leading the way in terms of environmental action, and we are doing the opposite of that.

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer NSW 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This is extremely disappointing they claim that this land holds sacred historical carvings it should not be allowed to be destroyed

It should be made national park

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 17:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the

costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 16:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

I note that Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows:

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency. This process also needs to take into account current deliberations over flood mitigation work on Wakehurst Parkway.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development. The DDP also lacks consideration of the impacts on recreational land use in adjacent areas, which are popular for walking, horse riding and mountain biking.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process and posing considerable risk to water quality for Narrabeen Lagoon, along with siltation of the Lagoon and tributary creeks.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”, which it does not do.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 13:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

R

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.



In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 13:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

J

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Sydney

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary for emergency egress from potential bushfires, To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Ralston Ave site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Garigal National Park. Ralston Ave proposal are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

The whole of the site maintains essential wildlife corridors adjoining Garigal National Park West

Realisation of the DDP will result in Industrial, lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats".

MLALC has had this area investigated for their planning application in 2014 the current proposal is the same footprint. This was rejected by the Highest planning State Government Authority. Independent Planning Commission. Due to the major risk of bushfire, Environment site biodiversity and the Transgrid substation and high tension wires.

The proposed development area of this site is on a plateau area with unique biodiversity systems, threatened and endangered species and rock formations with friable soils.

This Development Proposal is not in the Public Interest as shown in the details of this submission.

We request that this site, site 9 Ralston, not be included in the SEPP Aboriginal Lands.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narraweena

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Whilst I think extra housing in our area would possibly help, the costing would likely exceed the budgets of those people who need this housing. Rich property investors would likely be the only winners here. The cost of losing natural bushland is not worth it, especially considering its only likely to help the rich get richer. This is not a good solution. Clamping down on who is able to invest in residential property would be a better idea. Our local roads and infrastructure are already at maximum capacity, please don't go adding to this.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2105

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it may Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of the 6 sites on the Northern beaches Aboriginal lands proposed via the SEPP.

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and indigenous sites.

This proposed development plan will create long term devastation to these bushlands, habitat and indigenous sites that exist in these areas which can never be replaced.

Regards

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

We are losing the 'Forest' in 'Frenchs Forest' with proposals such as this.

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing 'cultural use by Aboriginal people', the DDP fails to define 'cultural use', and how this is assured following completion of the development.

If the land was set aside for the local Aboriginal people for 'cultural use', why are they now selling it?

The Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have to date shown they do not have a good track record of cultural use on land they have been granted in the Northern Beaches Council area, with the sale of various virgin bush sites for razing & then building on them. Where is the 'cultural' aspect with these sites? Should they not be incorporated within neighbouring National Parks, reserves, etc. to preserve the dwindling native flora & fauna for which they include in their culture & family?

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. The sites, including Lizard Rock, and Ralston Ave, and others, are habitats for numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) on the sites and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Avalon beach

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

What an utter desecration of this land and its vulnerable fauna.

There is no protection for our native bush land.

Another disgraceful development

I object utterly.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 11:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The 1 road in - to Forest Way (you cannot include Oxford falls Rd from Wakehurst Parkway as a true access road) - is unacceptable for such a large development. AND the bushfire exposure is way too high.

This is why similar developments in Terrey Hills/Duffys Forest were not approved.

The council/Govt would also be leaving themselves open for possible class action law suits in the event of a bushfire etc that impacted the land area involved.

Have you not learnt anything from bushfires/floods - not every parcel of land is suitable for housing development !

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades



to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental

Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We do not need more development on our beautiful bush land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental

Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the

costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 10:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats"

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2021

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and

Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There are numerous reasons this development shouldn't go ahead. Living here my entire life has allowed me to appreciate the positive impact the land holds. To be able to go for a long quiet walk when struggling mentally has been a godsend for me, providing the peace of mind to keep going. Knowing the wildlife would have their habitat erased doesn't sit right either. In this day and age you'd assume the importance of a natural environment would be obvious. For the climate, the wildlife, and the people. If this goes ahead what will be glaringly obvious is just how self destructive and greedy some can be.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I vehemently object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows:

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground

cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process. Once done, this is irreplaceable for future generations.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2060

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

This land was always intended to be left untouched and protected from development. It contains threatened species of both flora and fauna as well as sacred sites which, once touched by a bulldozer, can never ever be replaced.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social

and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows:

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

I hope common sense prevails and this proposal is rejected.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP. Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors. The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

**Economic** - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

**Social** - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing “cultural use by Aboriginal people”, the DDP fails to define “cultural use”, and how this is assured following completion of the development.

**Environmental** – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of



threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Due to environmental impact I feel this greatly impeaches this area. It plays a very important green belt to the area.

I'm very confused as it was stated that mountain biking would play a undesirable impact and we were abused and threatened by the Land Owners, now to have a mass development put up. Appal

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Queenscliff

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring

significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring

significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic

No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site.

To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social

With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

## Environmental

The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park.

Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots.

This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may “facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 09:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 08:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Neutral Bay 2089

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our family object to the use of bush land for this type of development and the short notice and lack of consultation. We note that in the recent elections there was a lack of conversation about this and councillors position on this subject.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 08:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 08:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of



threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 08:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

St Ives NSW 2075

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may "facilitate ecological sustainable development", and "to protect the environment, including the conservation of

threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 08/03/2022 - 07:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Minister including land in the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land DDP in the SEPP.

Clause 9(1)(d) of the Aboriginal Lands State Environmental Planning Policy requires a Development Delivery Plan (DDP) to set out applicable economic, social and environmental factors.

The DDP exhibited and prepared by NSW Department of Planning and Environment does not adequately set out these three factors as follows.

Economic - No details are provided of infrastructure servicing requirements, the costs of these and proposed funding arrangements, including necessary upgrades to Morgan Road for emergency egress from potential bushfires, and new bridge crossings required over two local creeks between Wakehurst Parkway and the Lizard Rock site. To identify the extent of road upgrades required, a Traffic Assessment is necessary, including consideration of emergency egress during a bushfire emergency.

Social - With development of the site being the key goal along with ongoing "cultural use by Aboriginal people", the DDP fails to define "cultural use", and how this is assured following completion of the development.

Environmental – The Lizard Rock site forms part of a significant biodiversity corridor extending east to Narrabeen Lake and west to Garigal National Park. Numerous threatened species (both flora and fauna) have been found on the site and in the surrounds.

Realisation of the DDP will result in up to 450 residential lots and roads, with the steepness of the site requiring significant bulk earthworks to provide compliant roads and developable lots. This will require clearing all ground cover vegetation and most trees within the development footprint, destroying its biodiversity values in the process.

In addition to the above, the proposed DDP is not consistent with the Objects of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The Act requires consideration of how future development of the site may

“facilitate ecological sustainable development”, and “to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats”

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 07/03/2022 - 21:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is aboriginal land where native animals live. You can't build units will destroy the area!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 07/03/2022 - 14:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport 2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear council

It is concerning what ways are used to secure and destroy more bushland for the benefit of a few .

The nature that surrounds us is a great asset and should not be touched.

Bushland must bw protected against rezoning and the greed of developers and their friends.

Using aboriginal ownership status as a loophole to build is shameful and transparent.

Please keep our bushland native and build

Better wildlife corridors across major routes such as Mona Vale Road and Wakehurst highway. Do something your next generation can be proud of you..

Regards,

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 22:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Balgowlah, 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The planned Oxford Falls development seems out of scale in comparison to other development in the area, pushing the supporting infrastructure too hard and overly detrimental to the natural environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 20:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am against all developments which can harm the wildlife. You have to consider the value of our precious animals living in our bushland, why would you take their home away and destroy more and more of our planet. We already have taken away too much from our bushland! Leave it as it is! People are unbelievably greedy and ungrateful for God's creation! Shame!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 18:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is a ludicrous development, 450 houses is akin to a large sub division with no thought given to native habitat, and this is something we're seeing too much of unfortunately on the Northern Beaches. If you don't see the problem, the residents do. This is just a back entry by developers to decimate completely all the beautiful bushland over nothing but greed. Once this bushland is gone it is gone forever, so are the koalas (now an endangered species) plus many other native animals. Together with all the other builds you are determined to have in places like Frenchs Forest, Mona Vale and Ingleside, this is ridiculous unthought out development for the sheer sake of it. Stop it now before the NB is ruined forever. As it stands, the NB is looking very tacky at the moment, potholes everywhere, rubbish and detritus alongside all roads, poor condition of roads overall, traffic chaos, medium strips overgrown with weeds, maintenance contractors nowhere to be seen, and this all before we had the excessive rain we've had recently. This is not on, be brave and refuse it and incidentally, listen for once to the residents.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 17:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah heights 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is an area of high environmental sensitivity. It is a wildlife corridor, drains to the Narrabeen catchment, contains significant biodiversity of threatened flora and fauna. This is not an area suitable for housing. Particularly 400+ homes.

This area should remain as bushland and be protected for future generations.

This area is not required to satisfy state housing targets for the northern beaches.

SEPP should not override local planning by Council.

This feels like an opportunistic developer grab at the expense of future generations.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The bush land proposed must be preserved.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 17:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

### Submission

I strongly oppose this proposal and feel appalled that all our work to protect this crucial area of habitat and biodiversity is now under threat. I was a committee member of Red Hill Preservation Society when we lobbied to protect this bushland, supported by local members of parliament and councillors.

National Parks Association, Narrabeen Lagoon Committee, Red Hill Preservation Society and other groups worked tirelessly to lobby for environmental protection for the catchment of Narrabeen Lagoon. Garigal National Park was finally declared over the north east section of the catchment but has not been extended to cover the bushland that now belongs mostly to Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC).

The proposed Aboriginal Lands SEPP states:

"The proposed amendment to the SEPP will align with current Government policy and will contribute to the delivery of housing supply and diversity and employment targets set out in the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan."

However, those high-level plans designate this same area as a Metropolitan Rural Area NOT a suburban housing area.

Northern Beaches Council has identified where the housing targets for this LGA can be met without needing to disturb the Lizard Rock area.

The Strategic Plans designate the Lizard Rock area in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment as a Metropolitan Rural Area not suitable for urban development.

For the State Government to over-ride all those high-level plans in addition to over-riding the local LEP makes a mockery of the supposedly democratic processes in which so many of us have participated, believing that this will protect our sensitive environmental heritage areas, while providing housing in more suitable areas.

Any proposal for lands within the "Deferred Areas" needs to be assessed under the LEP 2000 in which the Lizard Rock area was part of the B2 area.

It is not appropriate to decide any major proposal for this area before the issue of the Deferred Lands has been resolved.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 16:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Destroying native bushland to appease a group of natives.

Truly abhorrent

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 12:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I think there is plenty of area in Bantry bay hilmer st that could be developed that is not destroying bush land and Aboriginal sites!!!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 10:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this unnecessary development which will be permanently detrimental to the local area.

It's disappointing that the same submission keeps being rolled around - rejected and submitted again. Stop this once and for all

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 04:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

## Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

## Email

\_\_\_\_\_

## Suburb/Town &amp; Postcode

Allambie Hts 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

## Submission

I strongly oppose all of these developments. Do not destroy any more of our precious bush land. That land is sacred and should not be destroyed or touched at all. Shame on you for even suggesting it be developed. The bush is important to the people of the northern beaches, it's not for sale!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 06/03/2022 - 00:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2075

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Once bush is turned into housing estates it will never be undone. Decisions made now will impact this beautiful untouched natural habitat for decades to come. We do not need not more housing estates on bush land - absolutely no no no no no! I can't believe this is even up for debate.

What makes this even more incredible is MLALC complained when people wanted to use this land for recreation siting damage to the environment - articles published in Manly Daily! - and now they want to destroy it. What an untrustworthy organization they are.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 05/03/2022 - 18:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

EPPING

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

This development is greed and hypocrisy at its worst!

The MLALC is ignoring the traditional owners, and showing no care for the cultural and environmental impacts of this development!

It is purely and simply a money grab, and will destroy Lizard Rock and its surrounds. There is no care for our already threatened wildlife - this development will destroy valuable and irreplaceable habitat. Ironical when we are being told that the First Nations people are caring custodians!

There has been a lack of true community consultation, and no thought to the impact of any fires in a bush area. There is a large number of elderly residents in two care facilities close by, and lives will be lost if there is a fire as it will be impossible to evacuate everyone if this development goes ahead.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 05/03/2022 - 17:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our bushland is extremely important for our future. The local community use these areas for recreation. Given the troubling times the serenity found when walking, jogging or cycling in these areas is a necessity warding off mental health issues. Save our bushland for the generations that follow us.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 05/03/2022 - 17:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the residential development of aboriginal land in the Northern Beaches Area and particularly the Site 5 Area. This area has a wealth of wildflowers and should be kept for future generations. There has been an increased number of people walking in and enjoying our beautiful bush. Further deforestation and the building of roads will increase temperatures and accelerate climate change. A small sample of the wildflowers seen off Corymbia Circuit in 2021

/Users/anne/Library/Containers/com.apple.mail/Data/Library/Mail  
Downloads/7D68A66D-4FEE-48B0-BA11-  
40435A8B727F/IMG\_8236.jpeg/Users/anne/Library/Containers/com.apple.mail/Data/Library/Mail  
Downloads/5C932D96-F758-4BFC-B700-  
094862825449/IMG\_8436.jpeg/Users/anne/Library/Containers/com.apple.mail/Data/Library/Mail  
Downloads/3AEC71B6-78B2-4FFF-9539-  
78190D4665A1/IMG\_8437.jpeg/Users/anne/Library/Containers/com.apple.mail/Data/Library/Mail  
Downloads/5A47265D-42DD-4C12-8623-  
1465360CD7CF/IMG\_7650.jpeg/Users/anne/Library/Containers/com.apple.mail/Data/Library/Mail  
Downloads/B5B36604-3369-478F-BC48-526DE1B23AFA/IMG\_8097.jpeg

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 05/03/2022 - 15:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of the six sites on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands proposed via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.



Submitted on Sat, 05/03/2022 - 15:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of the six sites on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands proposed via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 22:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to Aboriginal Land and the natural environment being developed, when our native habitats, fauna and flora are already in decline, I feel they should be protected. There is no benefit to developing this land, other than lining the pockets of a minority group of people.

The designated area is not near public amenities or public transport and its development and land clearing will just contribute to the destruction of our precious native environment. The idea that it will become a tourist attraction AFTER development is absurd. I have not talked to any local residents so far who

are in agreement with this development.  
Please reconsider. Thank you for your time.  
Kind Regards

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 19:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 13:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern,

The proposal seems at odds with conservation / environmental considerations noting bush fire and flood prone issues amongst others.

The Northern Beaches requires a more diverse strategic planning document for all members of the community given topography and geographic constraints, which need to be resolved, so the wider community benefits from any residential development proposals which are so desperately needed, more particularly given lack of stock for the younger members of the whole community.

Yours sincerely,

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Regards

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 10:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Warriewood

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the development of the six Aboriginal Lands sites on the Northern Beaches.

The damage to the environment and ecosystems of the area will be irreversible. The area will suffer from the removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and precious Indigenous sites.

The proposed development is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exist in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The most appropriate outcome would be for the Federal Government to buy the lands from the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council for the protection of Aboriginal land for the elders and youth, past, present and future.

Regards

████████████████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 08:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of the six sites on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands proposed via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is

a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

We have one chance at this, for future generations, for our climate. Let's not destroy rare precious bushland!

Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 08:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Vale, 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly disagree with the concept of having a different development review process just because it's classed as aboriginal lands. Race should not determine what approval processes are needed to assess if a development is suitable or not - the same construction and environmental controls should be put in place either way.

Additionally, these lands were given to the MLALC on the basis of significant cultural heritage and some are pristine lands adjacent to national park. The idea of development is contradictory to the intent of the initial custodianship, and the local environment and whole community will be worse off as a result. I therefore strongly disagree with the development of these lands as well, regardless of who owns them.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 07:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

To Whom it May Concern

I strongly object to this Development Delivery Plan of the six sites on the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Lands proposed via State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP).

Due to its impact on the environment and ecosystems of the area and irreversible

damage this will have, with removal of threatened and endangered native wildlife, flora and fauna and Indigenous sites. This proposed development plan is a short-term gain for long-term devastation to these precious bushlands, habitat and Indigenous sites that exists in these areas, which can never be replaced.

The last theme for NAIDOC week was Heal Country and what is being proposed is far from healing country, it is devastating country and taking away from the natural land and environment and all that exists in that environment.

The best proposal or outcome would be if Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) have these areas bought by Federal Government and be turned into National Parks for protection from now and well into the future and maintain the natural environment as it exists.

May the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Lands Council (MLALC) get the right support to get the right outcome to take care of country here in these bushlands, to exist now and well into the future, without development taking place and taking care of these sites and local custodians of these lands.

Kindest regards



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 04/03/2022 - 06:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the Ralston road site, as it is an improper site for development. This is due to the following;

It would create huge visual pollution for the people of Davidson and Belrose.

The location is immediately next to a National park which is not managed or funded to handle this increase of development on its boarder. Weeds, domestic pets and erosion would damage the area.

Aboriginal Cultural use of ridge lines and associated rock platforms. I would have imagined the local community would want to preserve these locations. Has archeological site survey occurred?

Furthermore, Development here would create a nightmare for firefighters . Ridge top homes are extremely difficult to defend and should not be built in these remote spots. Firefighters would be trying to protect and distance them selves from important electricity infrastructure whilst defending homes in a dead end road, with fire runs for every possible direction except the one, your only escape. Did we not learn anything from Ash Wednesday or the black summer?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 20:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposal. Protecting native bush land and traditional land sites should be prioritised.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I'm a resident from Terrey Hills, and I strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas.

This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife.



During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers.

As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora.

Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in

the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 19:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Our native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations

Wildlife and ecological impact

Recreational bushwalking for the local community

Deforestation and its impact on environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete

Significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new

"Frenchs Forest Precinct" , adding to congestion and noise pollution

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 17:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am objecting to the current development proposed for Narrabeen lagoon as I have for all similar developments around Ingelside etc.

We are currently going through a weather event which has seen the Wakehurst Parkway closed more often than not over the last couple of weeks. Our roads are choked due to the current overdevelopment on the Northern Beaches eg. Dee Why high rise, so any further developments will make living in the area unbearable.

The surrounding streets around the Narrabeen lake have been flooded and the beaches are getting hammered by high tides causing more erosion.

Climate change plus over development of our bushland means our natural habitat is already compromised leading to native species being wiped out.

Please stop raping the environment for money making ventures!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 14:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I would like to object to the proposed development. Due to the destruction of native vegetation and habitat for wildlife. There is very little remaining protected areas left on the northern beaches already.

In addition, the increase in traffic and development will case greater pollution to the local catchment.

Also, I have concerns regarding erosion due to the clearing of land and the development.

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 14:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Against Site 5!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 11:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Diminishing already fractured wildlife corridors a way that is of no benefit to anyone besides parties seeking to profit of these transactions. Green spaces are a large part of Northern beaches heritage and tangle value. Higher density projects should be prioritised as apposed to the promotion of urban sprawl. Less people will have access to affordable housing in areas which basic amenities do not currently exist.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 03/03/2022 - 09:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Manly Vale 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

THESE HIGH CONSERVATION VALUE LANDS MUST BE PROTECTED WITH JUST RECOMPENSE TO THE MLALC.

IN 1992 much of this land was identified as worthy of inclusion in an extension of Garigal National Park to realise the vision of a "Hawkesbury to Harbour" conservation area. Since then the region has been fully mapped and most of these lands (and other privately owned components) deemed suitable for the fulfilment of this proposal. The MLALC themselves proposed the establishment of a Gai-mariagal Aboriginal-owned National Park that would include all the Lizard Rock area and surrounds. Unfortunately negotiations fell through (due to government tardiness in providing funding) and the plan was not realised. Surely the time is NOW to revisit this excellent concept and protect a vitally important area of biodiversity and cultural heritage.

There are over 430 registered Aboriginal sites in the Lizard Rock area alone (part of the Narrabeen Lagoon catchment) which are indicative of important landscapes and areas of ceremonial significance. There are also many threatened and rare flora and fauna, some of which are not currently protected in NSW National Parks. There are at least 26 types of native vegetation communities including 13 registered as endangered and 8 that are not found in existing National Parks.

In NSW there are high-level strategic plans developed through community consultation processes. Those strategic plans are adhered to in the preparation of the local government strategic plans and their resultant Local Environment Plans.

Surely the Government can't now use SEPPs (such as Senior's Living and now this Aboriginal Lands SEPP) to over-ride those same Strategic Plans.



(The Strategic Plans designate the Lizard Rock area in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment as a Metropolitan Rural Area NOT suitable for urban development.

Of course the MLALC should receive just return for their land but to turn such ecological important areas into housing or industrial estates would be a tragedy. There are much better ways to resolve this (such as leasing the lands).

It just needs a bit of lateral thinking from government for a change and an end to the mentality of covering everything in concrete.

Australia is already in a biodiversity crisis:- <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/may/15/australias-biodiversity-at-breaking-point-a-picture-essay>

This government has earned a shocking reputation for driving iconic creatures (such as koalas to extinction). In fact we shamefully lead the world in losing species. When will government instrumentalities step up to protect unique places such as this? (koalas have actually been recorded in the area in recent times).

Hopefully the MLALC will also join other First Nations Peoples in promoting the importance of "Caring For Country" and leading the way in conserving irreplaceable ecology.

Incidentally the land in "Site 2" off Aquatic Drive (adjoining Manly Warringah War Memorial Park) contains Duffys Forest vegetation IS in a riparian zone and is of the highest conservation value. Selling this off for development would also be criminal.

It would be great if our local Federal and State Members, Northern Beaches Council, the MLALC, relevant government ministers and community could join forces to resolve this issue and formulate a way to find positive conservation outcomes that would provide just returns to the MLALC..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 22:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

French Forest, Sydney, NSW 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to Aboriginal Land and the natural environment being developed, when our native habitats, fauna and flora are already in decline, I feel they should be protected. There is no benefit to developing this land, other than lining the pockets of a minority group of people.

The designated area is not near public amenities or public transport and its development and land clearing will just contribute to the destruction of our precious native environment. The idea that it will become a tourist attraction AFTER development is absurd. I have not talked to any local residents so far who are in agreement with this development.

Please reconsider. Thank you for your time.

Kind Regards,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 20:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Development will lead to loss of vital habitat important in sustaining native fauna and flora species, with some already in steep declines.

Natural areas and undeveloped spaces are vital to the identity of the northern beaches as well as Australia as a whole.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 18:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2026

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

I fully support this proposal

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 17:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I don't support the over development of this area

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 22:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a resident and near neighbour of the "Lizard Rock" lands, I strongly object to its development as a residential ghetto.

The land is pristine bushland, arguably with protected species. It is not cleared land that is being re-purposed.

I strongly support the NSW or Federal Govt purchasing the land to allow MLALC and other parties funding AND the construction of a dedicated visitors' centre to share the heritage of this site.

The heritage site about which this property is owned will not survive in the future if the land is developed as a residential ghetto. The calibre of the public is such, that, if the site is widely known-of, without huge and ugly barriers to protect it, it will be destroyed. by that public.. The sites adjacent to Wakehurst Parkway are testimony to this.

The land will NEVER be affordable, for anyone other than millionaires, or multimillionaires. With the lack of infrastructure and general services in the area, greater development would be required, and associated run-off into the Narrabeen is a great risk.

I strongly object to the application as it is, and support the NSW or Federal government, purchasing and preserving it, much like the Wollomi Pine location and park.

Its anonymity is keeping it safe.

As a business owner involved with indigenous businesses and aboriginal people themselves, I am all too aware of the need of funding for their many needed projects. I think the governments should step-up and contribute to both their needs in the broader spectrum and by preserving a local area that is quietly protecting a site that needs protection.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee Why

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In short letting this land be turned into this many houses and bulldozing all that valuable bush land is a dead set crime to the community on the whole.

I must also highlight the utter hypocrisy displayed by the Aboriginal Land Council in this submission.

Ten plus years ago they kicked up a stink to remove recreational user groups from the area, mainly the mountain bike community.

This was fed to us on the basis of environmental protection and threatened species preservation.

What a total feed of crap.

Take a look at yourselves you absolute bunch hypocrites.

The transport infrastructure on the Northern Beaches is not up to current traffic loads already particularly in this particular area.

Adding the proposed number of additional houses in this area only further compounds the problem.

Any planning body that lets this go ahead is clearly on the gravy train.

This needs to be stopped in its tracks fast.

To the powers that be, moving forward it's time to act with some actual authenticity in this process.

I will be watching.

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 16:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2040

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

**Submission**

Australia has a wide land I think develop  
It and use it as a good.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 16:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land should not be developed

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 15:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narraweena 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe the area that is being used should remain untouched. The already fragile ecosystem that exists should not be damaged further for the development of homes. This proposal is greedy and short sighted as the native land is going to be turned into property development where the chances of Aboriginal buyers of the land will be slim to none. I am 23 and all of my peers do not see this as an acceptable plan for the Aboriginal community on the northern beaches, and an even further insult to our environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 15:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Stop ruining our beautiful environment!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 15:12

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill,2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the building of infrastructure on indigenous land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 14:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

You keep destroying the beautiful parts of our suburbs!! I have lived all my 21 years in Belrose and there had been so much unnecessary developments in the area. The land you are looking to develop is land that is important to the Indigenous Australians who not only live in the area but from every part of the country. Please stop destroying our beautiful nature to put identical houses in a row..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 12:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a local resident I strongly object to the submission on the grounds of our native bushland I worth preserving. Also our wildlife & ecological impact.

Significant building developments have already been approved & planned I Frenchs Forest adding to congestion & increased traffic.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 12:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We need the natural bush landscape, don't ruin it more we last 3 billion animals last year we can afford to loose anymore

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 12:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am against the development of these lands.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 12:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am emailing as I strongly object to the proposal which is totally out of keeping and character with the area and also the Aboriginal philosophy and advice given by their community members in relation to the sites in Oxford Falls Valley. The community restricted access to these sites due to their advised Heritage nature and now want to put in place 430 houses.

They have installed signage asking the local population/visitors to report any issues or vandalism, yet now want to bulldoze the sites for the financial benefit and for an overseas developer.

We are amazed the NSW Government appears to be acquiescing

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I totally object to the urbanisation of bush land which should be used by the local residents and people of NSW. Northern Beaches Council can meet their obligation to provide housing within existing urban areas that already have infrastructure in place.

I also object to the destruction of our beautiful bush habitat for fauna and flora including some endangered species. The potential for pollution of the Narrabeen lagoon is unacceptable.

The aboriginal sacred sites must be kept not turned into housing estates.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 11:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to to this. It is Indigenous Australian's land and their decision not the governments. Shall they wish to support it, I have no problem. Please put forward a vote only available to Indigenous Australian's and whether they support or object to it. It is no one else's say. It is their land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 10:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Sydney

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As an owner of property within close proximity to Lizard rock site

The site proposed is incapable of providing 450 home sites ,it sits in a valley of extreme depths & very few appropriate lots

My understanding of the original intent of these lands was to protect the heritage of local sites ,not use it as a commercial grab for money

surrounding sites are rural in nature not stacked on housing so the amenity of the area will be seriously effected

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 09:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I believe this land should be kept as bush land as it provides a vibrant and unique ecosystem for native flora and fauna. These areas do not need development and the infrastructure supporting these areas (Morgan road/forestway, etc) are not set up or equipped to handle an influx of traffic and heavy machinery which would come with this development.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN.

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 09:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The bush lands surrounding these suburbs are a key part of what makes them special. They provide space for outdoor exercise, meditation and provide a level of beauty that can't be built. Furthermore, increasing the capacity of people held in these suburbs will hurt traffic conditions even more and slowly ruin the northern beaches. Please stop.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 09:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We don't need more housing. The national park should be conserved and protected.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 09:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is not good for our natural environment don't do it

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 09:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narraweena 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to it

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 09:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2110

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The undeveloped area of natural bush land should remain so. Prevent urban sprawl and build apartments elsewhere or allow people to move in to new areas. Stop destroying more bush land for large inefficient housing

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 08:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Glebe 2037

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please ignore my previous submission, I pressed the wrong button. I STRONGLY object this decision it is unfair and disgusting on the governments end

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 08:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Glebe 2037

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

### **Submission**

I think it's completely unbelievable what they want to do to that beautiful land.  
It's unfair and unjust

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Balgowlah

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have chosen to live in the Northern Beaches rather than another area of Sydney due to the unique characteristics of this area, namely the abundance of natural beauty, both in the beaches / oceans and the bush land which attracts and acts as a sanctuary for flora and fauna. As a resident of the Northern Beaches, I consider myself lucky to live in an area that has these characteristics, which cannot be built or 'man made' but can certainly be diminished or destroyed. As a renter who wants to own their own home, I do understand the need to develop in appropriate areas and ways. But, I do not understand or support the use of bush land and greenfield sites to enable development. These areas are an increasingly rare and finite resource in metro areas and should be protected to ensure we maintain the green space for all to enjoy and benefit from. Please consider rejecting the proposal to develop and destroy areas of bush land. Please ensure that what residents truly value about the area in which they live is preserved and protected for now and for future generations.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 08:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Oppose site 5 due to the lack of road infrastructure and local amenities

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 08:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Road and local infrastructure not suitable to more housing. As well destruction of local forests

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 08:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Against the proposition

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 08:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 07:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Unnecessary.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 07:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen 2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I wholehearted disagree with building houses in aboriginal lands and in this area as we need bush land for wildlife and hiking & bike riding

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 06:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This should NOT be approved in my area. We have beautiful bush land here and it should not be destroyed to build apartments and homes at the gain of our greedy money hungry government. Our suburbs are so enticing due to the nature around here. If you ruin this what is our suburbs names 'Frenchs FOREST' and 'BelROSE' going to mean anymore. Seriously think about what you are doing here. We have enough homes but sadly not enough bushland anymore and this is exactly why.

How do you expect us to survive and BREATHE if you knock down all our trees?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 06:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Leave the bush! We live in such a beautiful nature filled area and if you tear out the bush you aren't just ruining habitat but ruining what make belrosw and the Northern beaches special. Please leave the bush

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 05:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

French's Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Don't need to keep destroying bush. these areas should stay untouched they are all already developed enough.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 02/03/2022 - 01:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Don't destroy Indigenous lands

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I, a local Terrey Hills resident, strongly oppose the development of Lizard Rock and all 9 proposed MLALC areas. This area is incredibly biodiverse and is invaluable habitat to our local flora and fauna. Several endangered species including powerful owls, red crowned toadlet, barking owl, heath monitors and glossy black cockatoos reside here and there have been local documented sightings of koalas and wombats by wildlife professionals. It is imperative that we protect all remaining habitat for our precious wildlife. During the development of Northern Beaches Hospital there was increased roadkill due to wildlife being

pushed out of habitat and it's been noted that many female wallabies have been found killed due to traffic, which means it is very likely there is a local breeding colony of swamp wallabies. This area is also an important release site used by wildlife carers. As a local who goes bushwalking in this area daily I can attest to the incredible biodiversity of this area. There has been extensive bush regeneration work done by volunteers (myself included) over years, this bushland is extremely healthy native flora. Protected flora species including *Angophora crassifolia* are found in this area and it is extremely important that we protect this remaining habitat. This area is remnant bushland and a wildlife corridor, we have seen a considerable reduction in biodiversity in the Northern Beaches and greater Sydney Basin, therefore it is of utmost importance that we protect and conserve all remaining habitat.

I am aware that no cultural and heritage assessment has been undertaken, this is absolutely essential. This local area contains many sacred sites and rock carvings that are tens of thousands of years old. It is absolutely critical that we protect these important sites. The incredibly sacred Moon Rock is located very close to the proposed site, Moon Rock has been granted the highest level of protection by the Heritage Minister and must therefore be adequately considered before any development. It is very likely that the proposed site contains uncovered culturally significant sites, it is extremely irresponsible to disturb this area in case such sites are destroyed or impacted by development.

Northern Beaches does not require any additional housing "for the record, we don't need additional housing. Council is already meeting the new housing targets set by the State Government and we certainly don't need to destroy pristine bushland to meet those targets!" - direct quote from email exchange with Northern Beaches Deputy Mayor Candy Bingham. I would also like to acknowledge that the proposed development of any MLALC land directly conflicts with the priorities and actions of the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan and the Northern Beaches Council's Local Strategic Planning Statement, Towards 2040 and Local Housing Strategy. These existing plans and strategies have not been considered or addressed in this proposal.

Oxford Falls Rd West and Morgan Rd are currently already not dealing with the traffic as there is often and extremely long queue of cars turning to Forest Way and Wakehurst Parkway during peak hour traffic, the wait time can be extremely long. Adding over 450 additional housing to this area is not appropriate for these roads. Additionally, Oxford Falls Rd West is frequently subject to flooding causing major disruptions to traffic, this would further exacerbate existing traffic issues in the area.

Furthermore, the Telstra satellite facility in Oxford Falls borders the proposed

development site, the satellite site was specifically chosen for its isolated location and would be impacted by the light and electronic pollution of major development. Additionally, high voltage power lines run through the proposed development sites and would therefore need to be relocated, this would be extremely expensive.

There has been no appropriate environmental impacts assessment conducted for the proposed development sites. The site has significant bushfire risks which have not been addressed, these bushfire risks are predicted to increase with climate change as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle intensifies resulting in more intense El Niño related droughts and heatwaves. Additionally, intensified La Niña periods is predicted to cause increased frequency and intensity of flooding, this is likely to directly impact areas of the proposed sites as well and indirectly impacting the greater catchment as development will result in increase runoff due to impervious surface.

Furthermore, I am deeply concerned that this process has not consulted with the Traditional custodians of this land, the Guringai people, my understanding is that the MLALC does not have the authority to speak on behalf of other nations regarding decisions for their land.

I strongly oppose not only the development of Lizard Rock but ALL development of native bushland areas on the Northern Beaches of Sydney including all proposed development of MLALC land. All MLALC must be protected and conserved as valuable bushland and habitat and acknowledged for the important services it provides our community. The preservation of these lands offers opportunities for carbon credits by maintaining the carbon storage of this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 23:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to the proposed development plan. On grounds of environmental impact - not only the destruction of habitat, and forested land and the impact on already struggling wildlife, but also to the increase in suburban temperature, due to the extra concrete/pavements, the extra strain on infrastructure (including drainage, roads, increased traffic and immissions).

The land was handed to the Aboriginal community on the grounds it was "Sacred Land" used by their ancestors - this premise should be upheld! - It doesn't seem so sacred when you develop it.

Extracting the "Economic benefits of the Land" translates to "its all about the

money!". If that is the case, I would much rather pay extra taxes to contribute to the Aboriginal Council, rather than have this land developed.

It is used for recreational bushwalking, it makes the northern beaches greener and more environmentally friendly and should be preserved.

Thank you and regards



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 23:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Allambie Heights, 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to clearing bushland here..

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 22:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is sacred land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 22:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land is sacred.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 22:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2103

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

-

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 22:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I do not believe that introducing more people and housing to that area of land will be beneficial. More people = more air/light/noise/visual pollution and also creates more congestion on our roads (which apparently you were meant to fix with that ugly overpass at Forestway which will become obsolete if more people are introduced...). It's destroying habitats of thousands of animals, wiping out essential flora that we sort of (definitely) need to breath, and destroying beautiful bush land and filling it with (I'm assuming based on recent development sites) blocks upon blocks of concrete blocks. If you want to help kill me and our future generations by striping the earth of more life, go ahead!

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 22:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson, 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I do not believe that introducing more people and housing to that area of land will be beneficial. More people = more air/light/noise/visual pollution and also creates more congestion on our roads (which apparently you were meant to fix with that ugly overpass at Forestway which will become obsolete if more people are introduced...). It's destroying habitats of thousands of animals, wiping out essential flora that we sort of (definitely) need to breath, and destroying beautiful bush land and filling it with (I'm assuming based on recent development sites) blocks upon blocks of concrete blocks. If you want to help kill me and our future generations by striping the earth of more life, go ahead!

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 22:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2094

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please don't build on this beautiful bush land. It is important to me and a special place for indigenous people in the area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Allambie Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Do not degrade our bushland any further. The environment around the northern beaches is what makes it so special. Do not cheapen it by destroying ecosystems and habitats to make way for more unaffordable housing.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Leave the land alone

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 20:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hi I'm a Wearden Road resident. I strongly object to the current development proposal in the Frenchs Forest and Belrose area for the following reasons.

- Destruction of native bushland that is enjoyed by many local residents and visitors pursuing bush walking, cycling, trail running etc
- Increased potential bushfire risk
- Increased potential soil erosion and flash flooding risk, recently experienced in other built up areas e.g. Manly Dam, Manly Vale, Dee Why etc
- Destruction of Aboriginal Heritage sites
- Increased pollution and vehicle traffic
- Inadequate infrastructure that currently exists and currently proposed.

One of the many reasons people move to this area in the first place is the bushland and nature.

One of the benefits of the Covid Pandemic was to see many people enjoy and continue to enjoy our beautiful environment. No doubt helping us all cope with our own mental health a little better.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We have seen in the past what building on aboriginal land does and what impact it has on its people. I am against this!!!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Don't

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Don't

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please don't destroy the beautiful bushland.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 21:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Absolutely terrible idea, road will be way busier and the northern beaches will lose its natural/ rural charm making it more like the city and built up so prices of places will go down. Will be getting rid of so many bush walks and animals habitats.

I really hope this doesn't get approved

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Please leave our precious aboriginal land alone

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Leave our bush land not everything needs to be about money By the time we know it there won't be any bush land left

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Killarney heights 2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Closed down amazing mountain bike trails because it was bad for the environment, the irony.... Let the fauna and flora live!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills, 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I live in Terrey Hills and when I look at how Sydney has changed over the past 20 years and how mental illness has increased I see a direct correlation between congestion and not enough nature. As we destroy our beautiful bushland in order for the man to make money, people are suffering. The cost is our mental health and it's sad to see builds like this being projected. I simply ask you, how much do you value life? Is it more or less than how much you value making money? God be with you.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Disrespectful to aboriginal lands, will ruin the ecosystem & wildlife.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2064

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

My concern with the development proposal are numerous.

Firstly the impact on the environment in the areas proposed will be significant. There are rare flora growing in the bushland where these developments are proposed which will be lost.

Secondly the impact of building housing and industrial properties in these areas will affect the areas where development is not being proposed by changing the topography. This will have an impact to the flora and fauna in the area. Some of

the proposed development are significant easements which will affect water flow and erosion in the area.

And finally there is a further loss of bushland that people use as recreation.

While I feel that the MALC have a fair point that these lands were given to them as an investment, maybe there should be an alternate proposition put forward by the government to protect these priceless bushland and support the MALC's plans to support their community financially.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer, 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I wholeheartedly object to this proposal due to the harmful impact it would have on native bushland, which is home to a wide range of flora and fauna and also exists as a resource for all of the community to enjoy.

My family and I regularly walk around the bushland sites covered by this SEPP in Belrose, Frenchs Forest and Cromer, as do many other local people and visitors - it is a space where people can enjoy fresh air, nature and walk with friends or family. Not just for walking but there are also mountain biking tracks in these areas, used by a wide range of people.

The Lizard Rock site is surrounded by bushland, has beautiful views over bushland towards Frenchs Forest, the ocean and Garigal National Park, and was the subject of a previous proposal to be included in Garigal National Park.

In terms of wildlife it is a known wallaby habitat, is home to a threatened Angophora species, and other important plant species can be found here (A. crassifolia is abundant on the hilltop and the native rose, Boronia serrulata is present hidden behind some huge rock boulders).

In addition, the development of so many homes close to bushland would place make them a high fire risk. The Rural Fire Service has warned about the risks of residential development close to bushland:

<https://www.smh.com.au/national/nsw/leafy-suburbs-put-1-million-homes-at-risk-from-fires-and-embers-20140118-311fm.html>. Allowing the development at Lizard Rock, and the other proposed developments in the SEPP would be negligent at best. At worst it could be a catastrophic decision which endangers or even costs lives.

The local housing strategy accommodates all housing needs and the land in question was intended in that strategy to be left as bushland, not to be developed for housing. Nor have any other strategic planning documents proposed housing for this land.

I acknowledge the terrible wrongdoings to aboriginal people in the past and the need to ensure current Aboriginal communities and people have the right to economic self-determination.

But we all also have a collective responsibility to look after the valuable and beautiful local environment for our community and our future generations. The need to right past wrongs should not come at the price of native bushland, which provides important wildlife habitats and much-loved recreational opportunities for all people living in the area or visiting it.

Once this bushland is built on, it will be lost forever and the damage to local ecosystems will be irreversible.

Please protect all of the bushland mentioned in this SEPP, including Lizard Rock, and refuse the development proposals.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Freshwater

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I believe no other developments should take place here. Our beaches are already populated and our environment needs to be preserved.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 20:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is so silly

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The bush land is what makes these areas great. I oppose these development proposals.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Leave the bush a bush, don't need more people

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Leave the bush a bush, don't need more people

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Frenches forest and belrose are nice.. Very nice. Why?, because of the amazing bush. The development of these area would be a big mistake.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Forestville

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our area is unique for it's beautiful bush land, proposing to destroy so much of it is so sad. Taking away so much beauty, and so much bush land. Not only that but overpopulating our small suburbs.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2102

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

All 6 sites have a deep place in my heart, even not fully built on, taken away piece by piece would be hard watch

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The idea of mass development in one of Sydney's last remaining bush areas is insanity. The commercial development of the land shows the land is of zero cultural worth to the indefinite Indigenous community. There is simply no infrastructure to handle this. Wakehurst parkway is closed on heavy rain and the other surrounding roads are gridlocked. There is simply no positive to this proposal with the exception of huge commercial gain for a small minority of people at the expense of the entire Northern beaches community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to it

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Area is already too crowded and this beautiful land needs to be preserved as it's some of the only untouched land on the northern beaches, we need to keep it that way no one around here wants to see lego house built on such beautiful land it's rank

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this development as it is removing a large amount of bush land and will have a negative impact on wildlife, traffic and air quality.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2106

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly disagree with this proposition and believe that this land should be left as nature reserve. These areas are beautiful and are home to various species of wildlife. The funding for these projects should still benefit the indigenous owners of this land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I OBJECT

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Belrose and the surrounding area does not have the infrastructure to support the citizens living in then as it stands currently, let alone adding more to it. Not only that, but the destruction of the bush land will be devastating and ultimately take away from the appeal of Belrose and forest. We will not longer be the quiet, bush dwelling town we are know for, but just another overdeveloped town with too many people living in it.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Morgan Rd/Oxford Falls Road is one of the only roads in Sydney that makes you feel like you are not in Sydney. It's a beautiful peaceful area, and deserves to be left as is, without development and adding any traffic. Where are the animals going to go?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

TERREY HILLS

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

i find it greedy and appalling that more of our precious Sydney bushland is being proposed to be developed in the name of financial gain. Not only is this bushland home to an enormous array of flora and fauna, but the community cherishes these areas and are used by a massive portion of the community daily. It would be a tragedy to lose these beautiful spaces.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am against the further development and destruction of aboriginal land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 19:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

No more building development in our beautiful bushland. Humans are destroying biodiversity and bushland that locals enjoy so much. I have enjoyed walking through the bush belong Morgan Rd and on Ralston Avenue. It breaks my heart to think that the land could be destroyed and turned into housing all for the purpose of money.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development on the grounds that the infrastructure of the roads and Morgan Rod cannot support additional traffic. Thousands of wild life will lose homes for the convenience of thoroughfare.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 18:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Don't fuck the land mate

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 18:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Forestville 2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

We have enough houses as it is. Destroying more bushland for industrial areas takes away the point of living in these areas. It will not be belrose nor the northern beaches without our bushland!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 17:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to any proposed development of remaining bushland in the area. I understand that aboriginal people should be compensated for having their land historically taken by white people. However to grant bushland which is then sold for development is criminal in the current climate. Governments need to find an alternative. Refer to the latest IPCC report on the impact of climate change: development of any remaining bushland, loss of trees, increased human footprint are all negligent actions with the information we have at hand now. Governments at all levels need to take immediate action to prevent the loss of a liveable future. In a populated area such as the Northern Beaches, all remaining bushland is incredibly precious not only for its inherent biodiversity and environmental value, but for the mental health and well being of all those who live here and appreciate it. The land sell off at the end of Bantry Bay Road has resulted in an ugly series of enormous houses completely out of keeping with the area and with the surrounding bushland. The view eastward from the Bluff is now an abomination.

We cannot allow further development of our bushland. Council must find ways to meet our housing targets in other ways, for example with the rezoning of already developed land in Frenchs Forest around the hospital.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 17:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development because it is overdevelopment and the infrastructure such as the roads can not cope with more housing in the area. Plus think of the wildlife and the ecological impact. The native bush land is important for future generations and is a natural recreational area that the local community can enjoy.. I also object to people claiming bush land for regeneration and then selling it off for development for financial gain. This goes against everything this area stands for.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 16:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the proposed SEPP amendment and the Northern Beaches Aboriginal Land Development Delivery Plan in respect of Lizard Rock, and Sites 2,4,5,6 and 9. I cannot believe that the government has such low regard for our beautiful bushland that it is prepared to do this. Surely retaining this unique area is essential. I also note that Council has with some care identified areas within the Northern Beaches for development outside of these proposed sites. The Ralston Avenue land has the most beautiful scenic views of the district. Why is the New South Wales Government so intent on redevelopment at the expense of the unique flora and fauna in these areas.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 16:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1. We do not need to lose any more native bush land! It is integral to the survival of our native animals..
2. Losing anymore native forests in Sydney is a terrible prospect! Especially with the ever present dangers caused by climate change! More concrete and tar are the last thing any city needs!
3. The bushland is extremely important for everyone to provide recreational areas for bushwalking etc for our local communities.

4. There are enough planned developments for the 'Frenchs Forest Precinct' which will significantly add to congestion, noise pollution and just pollution without any more.

5. Why on earth would your department want to ruin a beautiful area, that offers cleaner air, decent living conditions and a wonderful place for wildlife and native bush to thrive and for people to have a healthier life than an awful lot of Sydney that has already been defiled and ruined by the New South Wales Government generally against everything the local councils advise after input from their local communities, who are the people who will be most affected.

6. We are going to fight for the right to have a healthy, pleasing environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 14:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I would like to strongly object to any further development of bushland on the Northern Beaches outlined in the Aboriginal Lands SEPP amendment and Northern Beaches Aboriginal land Development Delivery Plan.

For generations, my family has been bushwalking in all the areas earmarked for development in the Plan.

We always comment how lucky we are to have so much natural bushland on our doorstep and pray it is preserved for generations to come. It is with much sadness

and distress that I read about the huge pockets of land being earmarked in this Plan for potential development. These areas are full of native trees and flora, a habitat for our local mammals, reptiles, insects and birds. We must protect their right to survival, acting as their voice against the destruction of their 'homes'.

Tree hollows provide safe 'homes' and nesting sites for native birds like owls, parrots, kookaburras, along with mammals like possums, bats and sugar gliders. Considering it can take 100-150 years for a tree hollow to form, the loss of one tree is one too many. It's not good enough for planning departments to ask developers to replace trees cut down with others - the birds and mammals move on, never to return. Our native wildlife is among the most critically endangered in the world and Australia has, sadly, the highest mammal extinction rates globally. We need to be protecting them, not pushing them into faster demise. This is an opportunity for the Northern Beaches to become the leaders for wildlife protection in Greater Sydney.

Just today (1/3/22), the UN-backed Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reported that we MUST reduce global warming now to prevent a climate catastrophe in the not-too-distant future. We're in the midst of a 500 year flood crisis in Lismore and Ballina (and potentially Sydney if forecasts come to fruition). These are not 'normal' times. This is not just a Northern Beaches problem. Let's preserve our trees and be part of the SOLUTION, not further exacerbate the PROBLEM.

Stop the short term 'grab for cash' by developers and look long term. Please preserve our bushland for future generations. Protect our flora and fauna. Stop over development on the Northern Beaches NOW!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 13:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer 209

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

After all that high-level strategic planning, it is unconscionable that the Government can use SEPPs (such as Senior's Living and now this Aboriginal Lands SEPP) to over-ride those same Strategic Plans.

The Strategic Plans designate the Lizard Rock area in Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment as a Metropolitan Rural Area not suitable for urban development.

The Aboriginal Lands SEPP intends to make certain development on land mapped under the Aboriginal Land SEPP and owned by a LALC regionally significant so that planning decisions would be made by the relevant planning panel rather than the local council.

For the State Government to over-ride all those high-level plans in addition to over-riding the local LEP makes a mockery of the supposedly democratic processes in which so many of us have participated, believing that this will protect our sensitive environmental heritage areas.

It is strange that the Explanation of Intended Effect document States that “The proposed amendment to the SEPP will align with current Government policy and will contribute to the delivery of housing supply and diversity and employment targets set out in the Greater Sydney Region Plan and North District Plan.” when, in fact, those high-level plans designate this same area as a Metropolitan Rural Area NOT a suburban housing area.

NOTE: Northern Beaches Council has identified where the housing targets for this LGA can be met without needing to disturb the Lizard Rock area. The housing targets can be met within the current urban footprint which has the necessary infrastructure already in place.

Faults with this proposal

1. Traditional Aboriginal Owners have not been consulted about this proposal. Given the very rich Aboriginal heritage in this area, it is totally unacceptable to proceed with any of the plans before full consultation with traditional owners and with Aboriginal people who live in the area.
2. Lot 2600 is mapped as part of the proposal but this land has not been granted to MLALC. It has been, in previous plans, marked as being kept for future public requirements. This land is required as an environmental protection asset and should not be granted.
3. Lot 89 is not in MLALC ownership
4. Road reserves are not in MLALC ownership but are included in the proposal.
5. Funding of Infrastructure is proposed to be borne by the Government or Northern Beaches Council. This is totally unacceptable when Northern Beaches Council has identified where housing can be located in other areas of the LGA where the infrastructure is in place
6. Inclusion of medium or high-density housing. The SEPP (Housing) implemented in 2021 which replaces other SEPPs for Seniors Housing and Affordable Rental Housing and 2 other SEPPs, shows that seniors Living does not apply to land identified on the MRA areas. This is a strong indication that intense urban development is not intended to be permitted in an area currently marked as an MRA.
7. Development Costs: Current and future consultant’s investigations and reports

should be part of the development costs and not funded by Local or State Government.

Timing of this proposal:

The LEP for Northern Beaches is a work in progress. Parts of the Northern Beaches are in the “Deferred Areas” for which the previous LEP 2000 has not yet been translated through to the LEP 2011 and are yet to be resolved before the current revision of the LEP can be finalised.

Any proposal for lands within the “Deferred Areas” needs to be assessed under the LEP 2000 in which the Lizard Rock area was part of the B2 area.

It is not appropriate to decide any major proposal for this area before the issue of the Deferred Lands has been resolved.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 01/03/2022 - 12:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The Narrabeen Lagoon catchment is a significant area of bushland with 430 registered aboriginal sites, and rare and endangered flora and fauna. The specific area proposed for suburban development is near Lizard Rock, Morgan Rd. For many reasons this is not suitable for housing, and housing targets in this LGA can be met without more bushland destruction. Aboriginal People cared for the environment and should be compensated for stolen lands. Going back several years there was a proposal for a Gai-mariagal Aboriginal-owned National Park with permanent environmental protection. A lease payment from the Government to MLALC would cover the financial side of the agreement. All parties satisfied!

I'm certain a sensible accommodation can be reached, in order to preserve our constantly depleting wildlife.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 28/02/2022 - 15:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I would like to object to two of the proposed developments.

I object to the "Lizard Rock Morgan Road Belrose" and the "Paxton Street Frenchs Forest" Developments.

In the first instance, the road networks and public transport infrastructure do not have the capacity to cope with major increases of population.

Secondly, these are important areas of bushland, providing native habitat and general aesthetic beauty.

In addition, a significant proportion of the land (which is being considered for these two developments) is steep and not suitable for building - major modifications of the natural environment will be required, in order to provide appropriate foundations for building. The preparatory process required for building will cause a significant waste of resources, when compared to other areas which would be more suitable for building.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I feel that the developments proposed in Ralston Ave and Morgan Rd are too large and there is not enough infrastructure in place to cope with the extra people .They will definitely create congestion on the narrow roads and the loss of the flora and fauna is very distressing.I believe it will change the landscape and atmosphere of our beautiful leafy 'countryish' suburb .

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 28/02/2022 - 06:47

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2112

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

As a Father of 3 Bundjalung Children whom visit the northern beaches and these significant sites often for time away from suburbia and to be one with nature & country I strongly object on their behalf.

This land should remain Natural Country for many future generations of indigenous aboriginals and all Australians to enjoy.

For me to have any reconsideration of my Strong objections there would have to be complete transparency as to how the profits from decimating this land would benefit all within Aboriginal Communities of the area not just Members of land council.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 19:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2092

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Originally, I'm not from Sydney. However, I chose to make the Northern Beaches my home long ago, because of its natural beauty and proximity to both ocean and bush land. If we keep "paving over" these natural sites, we will become just another city. Everyone knows Sydney is a frustrating city to commute around in. Presently, it's worth it. Paving over bush land and adding more people to already choked roads for a cash grab, just compounds the problem. And with frequently less and less bush land, it is becoming no longer "worth it".

Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 15:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

■

**Last name**

■

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

■

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly oppose the Lizard Rock development plans

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 14:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2000

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a comment on this proposal.

I am familiar with the Lizard Rock site, as a hiker (and former mountain biker).

I am aware that the mountain bike trails (although probably created without permission) in this area were demolished as the site was deemed a sacred site by the Aboriginal community.

It seems illogical that the sacred site is now to become a development site, and eventually a suburb, meaning the site will be demolished.

I cannot support the sale and transformation of the sacred site to a suburb of houses, and feel it is a decision made for monetary gain rather than for maintaining environmental and heritage history.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 11:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am fundamentally opposed to all aspects of this development.

As a local aboriginal man this is a misrepresentation of aboriginal culture and caring for our land. This is just a blatant money grab that will not benefit aboriginal people in the future with adverse environmental and cultural consequences. 912ha of land is far too much land cleared for development.

A community centre and recreation uses does not help protect the environment and is just a justification for the development of shared land into private housing.

The addition of so much residential housing will result in adverse impacts to local communities such as strained traffic impacts and lack of school capacity.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 11:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The bush land around Belrose, Oxford falls, Forestville and Red hill provides wonderful bush walking and bike riding for residents of the northern beaches.

This is the reason we choose to live here - for the bush land and nature. Please do not release land that will be sold to developers to knock down trees and fill With hard surfaces, densely populated housing estates such as in Warriewood. This is a travesty and smells like a money grab.

The quantity of land proposed for release is staggering, (912 h) with essentially new suburbs created.

At the very least reduce the amount of land fir development, in particular the Ralston Ave and belrose areas.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 27/02/2022 - 06:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Department of Planning and Environment if MLALC need funding to manage the land why isn't government doing this in junction with National parks? Why wasn't a caveat put on the handover of this land to keep as open space?

We all have a responsibility to our land. Another solution to The MLAC funding is needed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 20:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Noooo! This is pristine bushland with natural flora and fauna, great for walks, meditation and a place to connect with nature. It would be sinful to develop this beautiful area! Fouling the bush, and run offs going all the way to Narrabeen! Once they start building... developers will not stop until the whole area is destroyed!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 18:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations. The wildlife and ecological impact this project may create may effect the recreational bushwalking for the local community. Deforestation and its impact on the environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete. Significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new "Frenchs Forest Precinct", adding to the congestion and noise pollution.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee why

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I would like to understand why this particular bushland needs to be developed? I do understand that it belongs to the aboriginal land council and it's their right as owners to develop it, however...this bushland is precious and many animals last available habitat. It seems to me to go against best environmental practice and what I thought the aboriginal land council supported?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 18:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations. The wildlife and ecological impact this project may create may effect the recreational bushwalking for the local community. Deforestation and its impact on the environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete. Significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new "Frenchs Forest Precinct", adding to the congestion and noise pollution.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 18:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Davidson 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations. The wildlife and ecological impact this project may create may effect the recreational bushwalking for the local community. Deforestation and its impact on the environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete. Significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new "Frenchs Forest Precinct", adding to the congestion and noise pollution.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes





Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 16:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Loss of amenity, traffic volumes, deforestation and it impacts on the environment, significant buildings development additionally congestion and noise pollution

Thank you for your consideration to the above points

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 15:41

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am shocked that these lands are even being considered for development. I am completely opposed to any development of these lands, in any form. The Northern Beaches of Sydney is one of the most developed, traffic-congested localities in the state, and the idea that even more development is being considered goes against everything that I love about this area. I grew up on the Northern Beaches, and I have lived here for more than 40 years. The bushland and green space is a major factor that encouraged me to raise my own family here.. We are regular users of the walking tracks, bikeriding tracks, sports ovals and beaches. During the most recent lockdown in 2021, we utilised the bushland around Lizard Rock and Ralston Ave for walking, and Paxton Ave for exploring, regularly. This was a way that we could improve our mental health during lockdown, and we continue to use these undeveloped areas of bushland now. My children were often to be found playing down in the bush at the end of Paxton St, climbing trees, jumping off rocks, and generally spending time in the beautiful bushland that is so close to our home. I spent many hours walking along the tracks from Narrabeen to Belrose, in the vicinity of Lizard Rock, and from Ralston Ave down to Davidson Park.

I am opposed to any further development on the Northern Beaches, having lived through the construction of the Northern Beaches Hospital and the surrounding roads. To even consider developing these areas of pristine bushland makes me so frustrated and sad that my children and grandchildren will not have the same opportunities to enjoy this area in the future. There must be an end to this constant development, as the whole area is becoming a concrete, traffic-congested jungle, as developers move in, make their money, and then leave again. There is no longer any forest in Frenchs Forest! We need to consider that with the effects of climate change, these green belts are more important than ever before. Over-development is creating heat islands, and this beautiful bushland can never be replaced once it's gone.

I am opposed to any form of development in any of the six areas being assessed.

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 15:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development proposal for SITE 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Rd)

I think it's disgusting to consider deforestation especially noting how important trees are to helping the world limit the damage of climate change. Your proposal here will mean you are willing to add further damage to the environment

Your proposal will destroy our native bushland and our kids will not be able to experience the wonder bushwalks and wildlife present in this location

Look there is already significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new Frenchs Forest precinct - your proposal here will add further to the congestion, noise pollution and also additional damage to wider environment adding to climate change

I strongly oppose to your proposal for SITE 5

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 15:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object to this development in relation to SITE 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Road) as what the past 2 years of living with COVID has taught us is that Working From Home is here to stay - as a resident of Frenchs Forest and of Wearden Road i know first hand how important it is to preserving our native bushland not only for those of us struggling with mental health who cherish the ability to go for a bushwalk in the affected areas, but also preserving for future generations of local Wearden Road kids

Let's not forget the poor wildlife and ecological impact

It's interesting how the whole world recognises Climate Change is a real thing,

however this local government wants to make more money by cutting down trees and creating more pollution via the additional roads, concrete and congestion in this area. How do you justify this to kids growing up in this area that you are contributing to the deterioration of the environment?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 15:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development in relation to SITE 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Road) as what the past 2 years of living with COVID has taught us is that Working From Home is here to stay - as a resident of Frenchs Forest and of Wearden Road i know first hand how important it is to preserving our native bushland not only for those of us struggling with mental health who cherish the ability to go for a bushwalk in the affected areas, but also preserving for future generations of local Wearden Road kids

Let's not forget the poor wildlife and ecological impact

It's interesting how the whole world recognises Climate Change is a real thing, however this local government wants to make more money by cutting down trees and creating more pollution via the additional roads, concrete and congestion in this area. How do you justify this to kids growing up in this area that you are contributing to the deterioration of the environment?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 12:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose the proposed submission to build 450 homes on what is currently pristine and virgin bushland.

Not only will this development destroy or impact the existence of 10,000's of native marsupials, mammals, amphibians, insects and birds, it will also require the destruction of 1,000,000's of native trees, fungi, mosses, flowers and bushes.

In addition 450 homes, plus roads, plus other hard surfaces will funnel rain water into runoff areas that can't even handle the existing volumes. Flooding on the Wakehurst Parkway will increase because of this development.

In addition car volumes along the narrow windy Oxford Fall Rd and the restricted egress to main thoroughfares will cause traffic congestion.

Regards

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sat, 26/02/2022 - 07:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ingleside

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Are we not happy until we drive every one of our native animals, birds, marsupials and flora to extinction! When is enough enough!! Do we have to cover every square inch of our beautiful land with concrete slabs! Leave some greenery for our children. If you want to live like China then go to Beijing to live. I chose to live on the beautiful northern beaches because it is exactly that. Don't ruin it for us! I travel to work through the western suburbs including Horsley Park and there is so much vacant land with far more convenience and amenities than we Will ever have on the northern beaches. Billion of dollars are being spent out west on the new airport, man made beaches, M7 freeway, rail lines, parks and lifestyle facilities. Lifestyle out west is convenient and comfortable. The roads are wide and fast unlike our limited roads and Spit Bridge. When it rains heavily the Wakehurst parkway is flooded. The road into oxford falls is quite often closed. And you want to bring thousands more people up here to add more angst and congestion to our way of life as well as new residence. We are a family of 12 adults and grandchildren and all live on the northern beaches. For the council's sake I hope you make the right decision.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There is not enough green area in our city.

This seems like far too many new houses and vehicles into our bush land.

I am concerned that removing bush land and adding hard surfaces will further impact the flood / water management in the lagoons

The koalas need native vegetation so they can return

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 23:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am opposing development of those sites

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 19:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

### **Submission**

I am in support of the land being preserved in it's natural state

Much of this designated land was originally mooted, by the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, to become an extension to Garigal National Park (linking the Hawkesbury to the Harbour). The state government then appeared unwilling to allocate the required funding for that vision to be realised.

This could now be a wonderful opportunity to revisit that proposal and conserve natural and cultural heritage. Alternatively, irreplaceable landscapes could be lost to concrete forever.

The proposal would provide permanent environmental protection for a large area

of over 1000ha of Narrabeen Lagoon Catchment and annual lease payments to MLALC from the Government for the lands owned by them (or potential land purchase)

There are also two parcels of land adjoining Manly Warringah War Memorial Park (abutting Aquatic Drive) proposed for potential development. One is rare Duffys Forest vegetation on riparian land and forms an important bushland corridor (these factors have not been identified in the planning documents).

We need to protect our fragile ecosystems

thank you

██████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 14:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

As a resident of Frenchs Forest, I am dismayed to hear of the proposed development of the Morgan Road, Paxton road and Wearden Road sites.

These are the only remaining bushland areas in our once beautiful and green suburb, which has already suffered added congestion and noise pollution from recent developments such as the Northern Beaches Hospital and other commercial developments e.g. Dan Murphy and Bunnings.

I am wondering, have the added traffic burdens been considered, as a result of the above, and the addition of many new homes?

A very detrimental impact for all the resident families living here not to mention the environmental impact and further loss of wildlife.

Has the impact on local schools been considered? Not that long ago Beacon Hill High School was demolished, which was a very shortsighted and stupid decision!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 14:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The development will kill native bush land and we need to preserve it. It will have detestation effect on wildlife.

There is trail for bush walking that will be removed.

There is no room for any Industrial area and roads are too small and congested already.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 13:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this development

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 13:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

████

Last name

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this proposed development

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 11:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1/Impact on local traffic as roads are already too narrow, have dangerous, blind, bends especially when cars are parked on both sides of the street

2/Established tracks would be lost for recreational bushwalking for the local community

3/Our native bushland & wildlife is worth preserving. Kangaroos numbers are limited, wallabys, bandicoots & other wildlife populations will be affected. At least one wallaby has been hit by a car in Corymbia Circuit.

4/Significant building developments are already planned in Frenchs Forest-the new Frenchs Forest Precinct- adding to congestion & noise pollution

5/Deforestation & impact on environmental temperatures change due to additional roads & concrete

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 10:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1/Impact on local traffic as roads are already too narrow, have dangerous, blind, bends especially when cars are parked on both sides of the street

2/Established tracks would be lost for recreational bushwalking for the local community

3/Our native bushland & wildlife is worth preserving. Kangaroos numbers are limited, wallabys, bandicoots & other wildlife populations will be affected. At least one wallaby has been hit by a car in Corymbia Circuit.

4/Significant building developments are already planned in Frenchs Forest-the new Frenchs Forest Precinct-adding to congestion & noise pollution

5/Deforestation & impact on environmental temperatures change due to additional roads & concrete

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 25/02/2022 - 10:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

1/Impact on local traffic as roads are already too narrow, have dangerous, blind, bends especially when cars are parked on both sides of the street

2/Established tracks would be lost for recreational bushwalking for the local community

3/Our native bushland & wildlife is worth preserving. Kangaroos numbers are limited, wallabys, bandicoots & other wildlife populations will be affected. At least one wallaby has been hit by a car in Corymbia Circuit.

4/Significant building developments are already planned in Frenchs Forest-the new Frenchs Forest Precinct-adding to congestion & noise pollution

5/Deforestation & impact on environmental temperatures change due to additional roads & concrete

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 22:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

First of all the community needs more time to respond.

Secondly, we need more information about the MLALC. Who exactly is benefiting, how will profits be distributed and how will they be invested.

Thirdly, the proposed areas are home to a large range of flora and fauna which is to be preserved for future generations. Powerful Owls, wombats, bandicoots, wallabies and potentially even koalas live in the area. Some of the species are endangered and require protection, not destruction of habitat.

The proposal needs to be rejected or relocated.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

North Balgowlah NSW 2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support this due to the environmental importance of the area, plus the over-population of an area which is unable to sustain such in terms of egress, additional traffic, transport links, not to mention risk of bushfire.

While I can see the MLALC's right to sell this land, to lose this land to development would be a tragedy. What about the right of other Australians to enjoy Sydney's bushland? Can there not somehow be a compromise reached between the desire to keep this land as bush and the MLALC's desire to raise funds for the good of Aboriginal communities? Allowing development on this land would be an extremely dangerous precedent to set and we may as well resign ourselves to a fully urbanised Northern Beaches if this development happens.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 18:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

- Roads can't handle extra traffic.
- Residents want peace and tranquility.
- Please protect the forest, once it's gone, it's gone forever.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 16:07

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Freshwater

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Whilst I whole heartedly believe in indigenous people being fully respected and respected and indeed be in charge of their own destiny I really feel that allowing more housing - suburbia is a a great mistake.

Human nature needs bush and space as does the environment.

I would have though that the indigenous peoples would have preservation of the environment at the very top of the agenda.

I most strongly object to more housing estates

Yours

██████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 15:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is a gross example of exploitation of natural land for economic gain. Not only do these proposed areas impose on the natural beauty of my area, but it also poses a threat to the cultural and physical integrity of the surrounding suburbs. This is a beautiful area rich in cultural history and natural beauty. Residential developments here will hurt the local ecosphere and will be a gross eyesore to the local community who are proud of the suburb they call home.. Land clearing will pose a severe detriment to the local ecosystem. More houses will pose a significant impact to the already insane traffic on the main roads on Forestway/Chatswood areas. Please rethink this development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 12:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Queenscliff, 2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

This looks like another round of Aboriginal claims that are on sale to developers. The Aboriginies get the money, the developer gets their money, the council get their rates and the community get another suburb choked with people and no infrastructure. And all under the banner of helping the aboriginal community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 10:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am very concerned about the proposed development off Morgan Road (Lizard Rock area)

This is a very large area proposed for redevelopment involving considerable loss of bushland which will be detrimental to the environment of the area.

The bushland is a popular area for bushwalking and bike riding and home to a wide range of native species of animals and plants.

A large number of new homes is proposed.

This will put great strain on local amenities

Where will the all the extra children go to school?

How will already choked Forest Way and Mona Vale Rd cope with a large amount of extra traffic?

How will Morgan Road cope with the extra traffic that will inevitably come from the Wakehurst Parkway via Oxford Falls? The road at Oxford Falls is already a major problem during wet weather.

I strongly believe that this proposed development is much too large for existing facilities in the area and will put undue stress on the surrounding suburbs.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 24/02/2022 - 10:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I am objecting to the proposed development of site 5 (Corymbia Crescent/Wearden Rd)

This area of natural bushland is a popular location for bushwalking and bike riding.

It is also home to a number of wildlife species such as wallabies, owls and others.

Development will place an added traffic burden on narrow Wearden Road and adjoining Dundilla Road which have become a busy rat run for motorists avoiding Forest Way.

Frenchs Forest is already under pressure from the building of the Northern Beaches Hospital, road construction and the planned new "Frenchs Forest Precinct" which will have further congestion effects.

Bushland has already been lost due to the above. We do not need added congestion and noise pollution.

More deforestation, environmental damage, roads and concrete in this area will be a markedly backward step and have a detrimental impact on the suburb

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 23:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is in relation to area 5 - I object as I am concerned about the environmental impact from deforestation and creating additional hard surfaces with associated negative impact on environmental temperature rise, noise pollution, emissions and congestion as well as preservation of nature and wildlife for our and future generations

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 21:40

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2749

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Tribal Land protection is paramount.

Housing must not be placed on Tribal land.

I object to the proposed plans for ALL Sites.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 21:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

My objections are in relation to site 5 Corymbia Cct/ Wearden Road. This plan will be detrimental to our native bushland and the beautiful wildlife that exists there. There will be a negative ecological impact. The area is used recreationally by bush walkers, dog walkers and cyclists. Deforestation and additional concrete will interfere with the environmental balance and may lead to flooding.. This plan will cause congestion and noise pollution in our currently peaceful neighbourhood. The roads of Corymbia Cct and Wearden are only for light local traffic not for heavy industrial vehicles. Heavier traffic may pose a danger to our pets and children who can at present play out in our lovely peaceful neighbourhood. We want it to stay that way.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 21:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I completely object to any development in this area, it is completely unsuitable.  
When will we stop bulldozing bush to accommodate more humans.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### Submission Type

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

Yes

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

Frenchs Forest 2086

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

### Submission

The proposed residential development and deforestation of the Lizard rock site on the Northern Beaches is tragic, but consistent with the unprecedented development and "land clearing" allowed by the NSW coalition government (contrary to their professed "net zero" targets or legislation). The continuation of the coalition's environmentally destructive housing development and farming policies and practices are not simply not sustainable long term (Melbourne Sustainable Society Institute, 2015, p. iv; Wood & Grivas, 2020). The appropriate question to ask in these circumstances is not whether the Lizard Rock site should be sold to developers (if it is not to be turned into a state or national park, the preferred course of action). Rather, the appropriate question to ask is why NSW SEPP and local Northern Beaches council building, planning and agricultural requirements are not mandating the preservation of 15-20% of old growth forests for all new developments or agricultural use across the board (not just at Lizard Rock). Indeed, the continual expansion of deforestation, euphemistically termed "land clearing" is both driving global warming and our capacity to mitigate its effects (FAO, 2019). In New South Wales alone, agricultural land occupies eighty-one percent of the state (grazing land alone occupying forty-four percent) (Department of Agriculture, Land and Water, 2018). While land termed "conservation and natural environments" occupy only fourteen percent (Department of Agriculture, Land and Water, 2018). Yet the situation is getting progressively worse, with New South Wales land clearing approvals increasing thirteen-fold since the New South Wales Coalition government relaxed laws in 2016 (Morton, 2020). Moreover, deforestation approvals "jumped more than 70% after the rules covering land clearing changed at the start of 2019, rising from 25, 457 ha in the final quarter in 2018 to 43,553 ha in the first three months of the new year [2019]" (Morton, 2020). Yet as the population continues to expand, periods of extreme heat and disasters more frequent due global warming, the supply of both arable farming land and cooler residential land offerings are becoming increasingly scarce.

The reality is that in order to limit the tragic biodiversity loss encouraged by the current coalition land clearing

approvals, both private residential land and agricultural land should be required to retain 20% of land as old growth forest and wildlife corridors. Further, development of already degraded land should be required to replant natives in a manner with sustainable ecosystem recovery (not just plopping a few eucalyptus in a row and dusting off one's hands) Aside from slowing biodiversity loss, such policies would prevent the creation of suburb-wide heat islands in Western Sydney (and visible in the recent developments in the Warriewood are of the Northern Beaches (where large houses are packed several metres apart without a tree in sight). The widespread negative commentary concerning the rights of the native title owners to sell the part of their land holding is grossly misplaced. Rather, the sale and use of the Lizard Rock should rather provide an opportunity to re-evaluate the ongoing and widespread misuse of both public and private land, with a particular focus on agricultural land and environmental degradation outside the line of sight of Sydneysiders.

Sadly, even employing the use of restrictive covenants (requiring 20% of this to be developed sensitive environmental land to be retained as old growth/ wildlife corridors) will not ensure the preservation of local biodiversity.

" Councils in NSW may be able to over-ride covenants so that the covenants become of "no effect" if they have appropriate provisions in their Local Environmental Plans (LEPs). This has been tested in the High Court (Coshott's Case), where the Court found that both Bathurst Regional Council and Woollahra Council have the ability to nullify covenants because their LEPs contain a provision to the effect that no-one can create a restriction which fetters or restrains what council would otherwise approve as a lawful development. In such areas it is then possible to "cure" a breach of covenant by applying for a Council Building Certificate and when developing land a covenant can be ignored provided that Council approves the development. This has been the cause of a great deal of consternation with local developers who see their "protective restrictions" being pushed aside by the local council. Some other Council LEPs also have provisions which may enable the Council to grant Development Consent with conditions that modify or overrule private restrictive covenants. Whether or not this is possible in a particular local government area would require close examination of its LEP. " (from Restrictive Covenants - Property and Commercial - elringtons lawyers <https://elringtons.com.au/2011/09/restrictive-covenants/>) Rather, the reduction of land clearing rates, biodiversity loss, creation of heat islands, and loss of carbon sinks can only occur with appropriate legislative redress of the the current LNP and Northern Beaches Council development instruments ensuring preservation of at least 20% of all old growth forest on all public and private land developments (irrespective of whether they are agricultural or residential in nature).

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 20:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

My submission is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Rd).

My reasons are:

Our native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations

Wildlife and ecological impact

Recreational bushwalking for the local community

Deforestation and its impact on environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete

Significant building developments already planned in French Forest to create the new "Frenchs Forest Precinct", adding to congestion and noise pollution



Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 20:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is in relation to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Rd).

My reasons are:

Our native bushland is worth preserving for ours and future generations.

Wildlife and ecological impact.

Recreational bushwalking for the local community.

Deforestation and its impact on environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete.

Significant building developments already planned in French Forest to create the new "Frenchs Forest Precinct", adding to congestion and noise pollution

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 20:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

development of bush land in corymbia circuit

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 18:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Frenchs forest has had already major developments which has impacted wildlife, traffic congestion and our native bush land . We need to continue to preserve what is left for our future generations. The plan of site 5 also does not have adequate areas for traffic flow and the local street impacts with traffic would see significant impacts not only to current residents but also to the the public at large and create hazards to the local community. Significant building has taken place and is taking place in the Frenchs forest precinct already which will also create further traffic congestion and noise pollution

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 13:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

### **Submission**

If the proposed development proceeds I suggest it is imperative that a bridge be installed at the Middle Creek near the Wakehurst Parkway which will save time and money as the NSW Police will no longer be required to close and open the road and that traffic lights be installed at the intersection of Wakehurst Parkway and Oxford Falls Road, an intersection that currently urgently requires traffic lights as there can often be 25+ cars queued waiting to enter the Wakehurst Parkway which at times may take 15 minutes waiting, which in turn causes some motorists to take dangerous risks due to the frustration of waiting.

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 12:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I oppose this development on many fronts. I am a local in the area and have real concerns on how this will affect the area. There will be a considerable loss of amenity - the bushland proposed to be built in is a beautiful place to walk and spend time in nature with the children - for free, unstructured play in a place that doesn't need to be driven to. The bushland in this area is very steep and rocky and seems vastly unsuitable for development. We concerns for bushfire safety in this area and adding more cars and traffic to an area which already gets congested at times.

This is a part of Sydney which has not been overdeveloped and the stands of

bushland have been key to this. Considering the steep nature of the terrain, it seems a very unsuitable place to build on and remain safe with concerns of bushfire in future.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 12:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the submission to SITE 5 (CORYMBIA CIRCUIT/WEARDEN ROAD)

Our native Bushland is worth preserving for our future generations and for us.

The ecological impact to wildlife in the area of which there is an abundance will be disastrous. There are too many dead animals on the road already. Snakes, possums, water dragons to name a few live in the area.

There are significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new Frenchs Forest precinct which will add more congestion and more noise pollution and will be detrimental to Wildlife once again.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 11:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I object due to the following for SITE 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Wearden Rd)

- Our native bushland should be preserved and not developed for financial gain
- Wildlife and ecological impact. I walk in this area and the amount of wildlife is substantial and all will be lost
- Other significant developments in the area, adding to noise pollution and congestion





environmental heat due to roads, concrete etc.

5. The devaluation and loss of environment and serenity of very large number of existing properties.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 10:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Ingleside

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

There are currently approx. 51 homes in all of Oxford Falls and these are owned by beautiful nature lovers who have bought in the area because of its beauty and you want to add another 450 homes!!! The area will become a crowded concrete jungle like you have done to Warriewood. I drive through Warriewood quite often to go to flower power and I shake my head in discuss each time at what this has become. We moved from a crowded concrete jungle at Strathfield with 7 neighbours to beautiful Ingleside because of strathfield's heavily density and pollution to make a healthier lifestyle for my 5 children, their partners and 6 grandchildren. Who have all purchases homes on the northern beaches and have

enrolled their children to attend Oxford Falls Grammar. The council would complain if we wanted to cut down one tree and here you are wanting to cut down thousands and ruin the habitat for thousands of animals and plant life. I won't even own a cat for fear it would catch and destroy the beautiful and vast bird life, small mammals and marsupials that happily live and breed on the northern beaches. What are you doing to the beautiful northern beaches!!! Another concrete jungle on the northern beaches will destroy the current beauty. People are buying homes to live on the northern beaches because of it's beauty and uniqueness. A uniqueness not found anywhere else in Sydney. We already pay the price of travel on the roads to and from the city and airport to work etc. The street we live on is not even kerb and guttered and without town water and sewerage etc but we are happy to pay this price to have the trees, nature and fresh air that is currently at our doorstep. I beg you not not ruin the northern beaches!!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 09:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Having read the documents provided and become aware of the complexities of the proposed development I request that the notification period be extended til the end of May at the very least.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 09:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am making this submission as an initial statement requesting that the community be granted additional time to submit objections. As a resident of Oxford Falls I moved to the area specifically for the surrounding bush land and nature. I would like to see that preserved. The area is unique - close to the city and yet having the joy and serenity of bush land. The wild life is extraordinary- we have bilbies, water dragons, possums and owls in our garden and I often see wallabies in the bush. The development proposed would risk all of this. Whilst I respect Aboriginal Land Rights I request that the State purchases the land from the owners as an extension of the National Park. I will make a further submission once I have completed additional research.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 08:36

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The plans for Site 5 are not detailed enough. We oppose this development strongly. We live in a beautiful bush land area and the destruction will take serious effects on so many. The wallabies, birds, etc. The traffic will be horrendous, noise and the value of our homes, which is our pride and joy will be taken from us. This is very disturbing to us and is causing great concern. We strongly object to this and we certainly need to have all information regarding this proposed development delivered to us. Please advise us of meetings so we can attend.

████████████████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 08:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development of Site 5. This development will be detrimental to our community and beautiful native wildlife that exists here. Including several bush walks our community takes on weekends in these areas with beautiful landmarks and native wildlife habitats.

Frenchs Forest is already under significant building developments already planned, "Frenchs Forest Precinct", adding to congestion and noise pollution.

Why destroy the homes of thousands of habitats of native flora and fauna. This is only adding to the impact developments like these are having on our ecological footprint and climate changes.

Find somewhere else to build developments that doesn't sacrifice the homes and habitats of those without a voice!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 08:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In order for constituents to provide detailed submissions regarding inappropriate developments, it would be useful to be given more time in order to do so. Would appreciate more time being provided, at least 10 weeks would be more acceptable.

Thank you.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 23/02/2022 - 06:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Cromer 2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The bushfire risk around those sites are immense . I lived through the 93/94 fires and cannot understand why such sites are even considered for population.. Leave the remaining Northern beaches Buschland as is - rather work on protecting wildlife and people from future fires 🙏

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 19:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I oppose to this proposal and asking for preservation for this natural environment to exist for now and into the future for all generations to enjoy for recreation, health and well-being and environmental protection of natural habitat.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 19:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This site is being developed for the wrong reasons. It would be a disaster to have it turned into housing, the area can't support it and there would be destruction of a brilliant playground for outdoor enthusiasts.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 19:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The impact to my property value and the impact to my sight lines will be significant. I live directly across from the proposed sites and object strongly to ruining the natural outlook.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

████

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2352

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land belongs to my people "the GuriNgai" we have walked, protected and cared for this land for over 25000 years this is not for a corrupt land council to make decisions on!

We the blood custodians on behalf of my great grandfather King Bungaree oppose this desecration of sacred sites and carvings and impact on wildlife we share this land with.

████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 18:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Our native bushland needs to be preserved. The suburb we live in is called French's Forest. We don't want any more bushland taken away. Also we don't need more roads adding to our already congested car travel in this area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 18:37

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

frenchs forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object this submission in regards to Site 5 (corymbia Circuit/wearden rd).

The following reasons specify why...

- Our native bushland is worth preserving for our and future generations
- severe negative wildlife and ecological impact
- significantly impacting dirt bike riding tracks and bushwalking tracks for locals
- deforestation
- significant building developments planned in Frenchs forest (frenchs forest precinct) and northern beaches hospital already adding congestion and noise pollution to the quite suburb

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 18:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to Site 5 (Corymbia Circuit/Weardon Rd)

We need to leave the bushland alone. It is worth preserving. There would be major ecological impact. It would impact the animals and bushland. The area would no longer be used for recreational purposes. There would be more roads, concrete and buildings adding to the congestion and noise pollution. The road capacity and congestion is already bad and with the proposed development it would be disastrous for the area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 16:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills, 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support this proposal. Loss of natural environment, local wildlife and aboriginal heritage is too high a cost for the MLALC to achieve "economic... prosperity". One of the reasons that the northern beaches is a jewel of Sydney is bushland like Lizard Rock. This area should be assimilated into the national park or other protected area for the benefit of future generations.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 13:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

You can not add more development without improving the Wearden road / Forest Way intersection..

There needs to be a turning lane made through the ambulance station car park in accordance with the proposed re-development of the Belrose Park in the parks for people program. See [dpie.nsw.gov.au/belrose](http://dpie.nsw.gov.au/belrose).

It's a no brainer, and should have been done when Peppercorn Ridge was developed.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 13:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2088

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to this planned development on the grounds of:

- Wild life and ecological impact
- impact to bush walking
- deforestation and impact to climate change
- added congestion

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 13:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

[REDACTED]

Last name

[REDACTED]

I would like my submission to remain confidential

No

## Info

Email

[REDACTED]

Suburb/Town & Postcode

2086

Please provide your view on the project

I object to it

**Submission**

Please preserve this beautiful bush land. I completely oppose this proposed development.

I agree to the above statement

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 12:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is the largest abuse of process seen in years and has been covertly arranged by the NSW Government.

Lizard Rock area needs to be protected as was envisaged when the Aboriginal Claim was made. The local use of the area was restricted due to its heritage clamped by this group and now it's proposed to put in a housing estate backed by overseas developers.

The area is fringed by the base stations which require 2-3 Km's of clear undeveloped area, this was noted by the former Government when pointing out the area lacks infrastructure.

The area is bush fire prone.

Traffic, since the completion of the hospital site at French's Forest has increased immeasurably on Morgan Road - a 3 tonne weigh restricted road resulting in peak hour queues at Forestway and Wakehurst Parkway. The imposition of a large housing estate will create massive issues for the roadway plus there are no services such as sewer, water or refuse..

It is a totally inappropriate development for the area and should not be countenanced.

I am shocked that Government could be even considering such an appalling proposition

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 09:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In relation to developing the bushland off Corymbia Circuit & Wearden Road (site 5), I do not support given the native bushland and wildlife & ecological impact that this development would have. It provides recreational bushwalking for the local community and I strongly believe the fact there are significant existing building developments already planned for the Frenchs Forest Precinct means this additional development will have an unnecessary negative impact in terms of congestion and noise pollution. Those developments are more than sufficient regarding providing for additional residential living and we need to protect the site 5 bushland.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 09:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

22 February 2022

Dear NSW Dept of Planning, Industry and Environment

I am writing in objection to the proposed development of six bushland sites of Belrose-Cromer areas.

I have grown up in this area and lived in the area all my life. As a child having these natural bushland areas to play and spend time with friends and bike ride, offering natural recreational activities was so important in my youth for health and wellbeing.

I oppose to this whole proposed development due to the irreversible destruction it will cause to natural wildlife, habitat, flora, fauna, and environment that exists and can never be recovered once interfered with. The long-term impact this will have for such a short-term gain for developers.

All developers need education in the environment and natural landscapes and the longer-term preservation of land, environment and natural habitat which will last much longer for many lifetimes than any development made. Especially when these areas are unnecessarily being proposed to be developed and there is a housing strategy by council that does not include touching these natural bushland areas.

Being such thick bushland the fire hazards that exist can destroy any development made and makes it also impractical for a development to be planned in this area so unnecessarily. Nature has a way to find its own balance and being interfered with constantly is not the answer and fires and other natural disasters will occur and



have occurred due to this very interference. So many lessons still to be learned if they have not already been from existing developments that have taken place and the devastating impact this has made on the natural environment.

These lands and environment hold natural lore's running through them all which we do not understand as non-Aboriginal people, but Aboriginal people understand this and the ramifications for the natural environment in going ahead with such projects. I do hope the MLALC, and Aboriginal people see this is not a solution or answer for any development to take place or go ahead in any way.

The devastating repercussions cannot ever be rectified or recovered if this ever gets through. Trying to buy off land by purchasing another patch, it does not work like that at all. There are sustainable ways to develop within existing infrastructure areas and developers need to learn and understand this way better than destroying more of the environment and starting from scratch again. It just does not make sense when there are solutions to not devastate and interfere with more natural bushland areas. This is not and never will be the answer and major repercussions will be held for all those pushing such a development and the ongoing effect and impact it will have on the environment and community at large.

Short-term development solutions for long-term devastation, we all should know better, as we are now living in 2022 educated with a greater understanding of environmental significance and importance, it does not make sense. There are overseas countries way ahead of us in how they approach environment, sustainability and development. To find a better answer in this proposition consult with those making a positive environmental impact not a detrimental one and who know better, for ongoing sustainability of land and environment not destroying it.

I completely and totally object for any of these sites to be developed at all. Work with what housing infrastructure that is in place not interfering with massive untouched natural bushland areas, it is very sad and backward thinking for sustainability and the environment in how developers are even proposing such a development.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 19:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Elanora Heights

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The Wakehurst parkway is already dangerous and insufficient for the amount of traffic using it and it can not cope with weather events let alone more local traffic.

Recreational bush areas in the area are used by many groups and taking away green space only pushes our growing population into smaller restricted areas to bike and hike.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 09:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Church Point, 2105

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I strongly object to this development, and any developments which threaten to destroy bushland and natural habitats. The fact that we think we have a right to the land, to turn it into yet another development when beautiful, natural areas are already so diminished, is sickening.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 07:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

### **Submission**

My concern is to the environmental impact of the proposed development and the loss of habitat.. Im concern that medium density housing which i understand can include apartments could be inappropriate for the area around the lizard rock site and would rather see low density housing and community spaces if development goes ahead on this site. It would be devastating to see in this location the kind of housing estate found in sydney's western suburbs where huge houses are jammed onto tiny sites with no retention of the tree canopy, huge vehicle pressure due to a lack double of off street parking and a lack of parkland. Development is inevitable but it can surely be done with care and respect for out suburbs

beautiful bushscape. Further, The number of sites in this location has to bear in mind fire risk with morgan road being the only escape route and a potential bottle neck/hazard for people in an emergency.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Tue, 22/02/2022 - 06:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am oppose to this proposal and am asking for preservation for this natural environment to exist for now and into the future for all generations to enjoy for recreation, health and well-being and environmental protection of natural habitat.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 21:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I'm am thoroughly against this proposal. The reason people spend millions of dollars to live in this area is so that they have access to the peaceful nature and beautiful bushland which surrounds us. Implementing buildings and encroaching on wildlife is an appalling idea, destroying the natural beauty that surrounds us and will devalue the area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 21:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

In relation to site 5 (Corymbia Circuit)

1. Our native bush land must be preserved for ours & future generations
2. Consider the huge wildlife & ecological impact
3. The land provides amazing recreational bushwalking for the local community
4. Consider the impact of even more deforestation and its impact on environmental temperature change due to additional roads & concrete.
5. There are too many significant building developments already planned in Frenchs Forest to create the new "precinct" all adding to congestion & noise pollution
6. Bushfire risks ...& downing even more trees to try to prevent them

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 20:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I couldn't object to this any more.. Having recently sighted a recently declared highly endangered iconic Australian animal on the edge of the road through the area at dusk I feel permitting this kind of development would be highly irresponsible and hugely hypocritical. There are many other areas suited to development. This is proposal is motivated by pure greed and is absolutely not in the public interest, let alone that of the wildlife that we have a responsibility to preserve. Developing on this kind of land would be to take away the very reason why many people live in this area. Destroy it and you diminish the appeal, all in the interests of a few people who will get more rich. It's stupid.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 18:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Objection to building on bush land. Let nature prevail in these areas.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 18:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2097

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

[https://shared-drupal-s3fs.s3.ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/master-test/fapub\\_pdf/DDP+February+2022.pdf](https://shared-drupal-s3fs.s3.ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/master-test/fapub_pdf/DDP+February+2022.pdf)

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 17:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Native bush land, fauna, flora destroyed.

Wildlife impact

Recreational bush walking destroyed.

Deforestation and it's impact on environmental temperature change due to additional roads and concrete.

Road and traffic volume horrendous

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 17:09

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Why on Earth would you destroy more forests?

Why aren't you worried about conserving what's left of our forest? Wildlife have been in danger for years and you are contributing to that. Species are becoming endangered. The traffic congestion is horrible enough and you'll also be adding to that as well as the pollution.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 16:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am opposed to building on this bush land which I believed to be protected from any building.

The impact on the wildlife in our area and walking paths which are a right of way and we use daily would be huge.

There are currently 9 planned developments in the area all involving cutting down swathes of bush land it is getting out of hand. The impact on our local area traffic congestion and overwhelming schools and health care.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 16:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I am opposed to building on this bush land which I believed to be protected from any building.

The impact on the wildlife in our area and walking paths which are a right of way and we use daily would be huge.

There are currently 9 planned developments in the area all involving cutting down swathes of bush land it is getting out of hand. The impact on our local area traffic congestion and overwhelming schools and health care.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 15:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the proposal strongly. Native bush land needs to be protected. Concerned for local wildlife including wallabies, goannas, snakes and birds. Already have significant building developments planned for Frenchs Forest so that further development as proposed will increase water drainage to Narrabeen, flooding of Wakehurst Parkway and increase traffic congestion and noise pollution detracting from the amenity of the area and the well-being of existing residents of the area.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 14:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████████

Last name

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the development. Our area is already overpopulated with an inadequate infrastructure.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 13:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

These areas are used for recreational purposes and the proposed development would materially effect the communities use of these areas as natural bush land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 13:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land should not be developed. This land was given back to aboriginal council to be preserved in its natural state for many generations to come. Not only putting 450 homes into that area would clog the surrounding roads and would be a bush fire hazard.

This land should be bought back by the council or state so that current and future generations can enjoy this special place.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 12:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2093

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This should remain undeveloped natural bush land

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 11:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Hello,

My submission is for site 5, Corymbia cct and Wearden rd, Frenchs Forest,

I am heavily opposed to the potential development of these areas,

These bushland areas offer a large amount of cover for native animals, along with undisturbed ancient subtropical rainforest,

The local community relies on these areas for outdoor recreation activities such as bushwalking and bike riding, Without them it would drastically impact the lives of everyone in the surrounding community.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

**I agree to the above statement**



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:56

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear sir/madam

I am writing to voice my concerns over the proposed development of land owned by the MALC in the Northern Beaches. I am concerned about:

1. Impacts on native species and their habitats. These sites are contiguous with Garigal National Park and other bushland reserves. Together these areas represent the key biodiversity reserves for the mid Northern Beaches, and provide a key corridor between the coast and Ku-ring-gai National Park. Roadkill is a major cause of wildlife loss in these areas.
2. Impacts on water quality in the local creeks and Narrabeen Lagoon.
3. Impacts on bushfire risk to the existing and proposed future population, specifically the capacity of the road network to allow effective evacuation.
4. Impacts on traffic. Some of the key roads are impassable in wet weather. At many of the sites there will be only one road in/out of the proposed development area. These roads are already gridlocked at peak and school drop off/pick up times.
5. The thin edge of the wedge. There are many individual and consortium land owners in Oxford Falls that seek to redone their environmental zoning to residential zoning, and then cash in.



I am primarily opposed to the large scale development at Lizard Rock and Ralston Avenue.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/02/2022 - 09:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Newport

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This land was deemed sacred by the aboriginal land council,now it is not.

This land was to be deemed a part of country,a part of one's own self.Now,it is to be turned into suburbia.

As a human being,I feel a closeness to this land and we must not allow another part of this great country to be turned into picket fences and manicured grass.

Will reason and logic prevail?

I doubt it.

Money and greed will prevail yet again.

Yours sincerely

██████████

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/02/2022 - 16:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

██████████

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

Whilst I appreciate and acknowledge the local lands council have a right to profit from their land, this land is now used and valued by the general community. What makes our area so special is the Bush land. We respect it and we will keep it for the generations to come. The areas are havens for wildlife and plants. Particularly during covid, families have discovered the beauty of these areas. They are also areas we can take our dogs as they are not allowed in National parks. They are sacred lands. They are still sacred. We do not want more houses and units.

Submitted on Sun, 20/02/2022 - 16:34

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I vehemently object to this proposal. The MLALC were bequeathed this land to care for it as at the time it was considered culturally significant. If the the land is not culturally significant then the MLALC should return it to Crown Land for all Australian's to enjoy. Selling it or leasing it for profit stinks and is very very grubby to say the least.

Regards

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/02/2022 - 14:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

The proposed submission would result in the loss of bush which it is irreplaceable. In this day and age, we really know better than to entertain development of this kind, know if the impacts on the environment, animals and future generations. No amount of money will be able to replace this.

Transport in the area is insufficient. The NSW government favours roads and cars for this region, not heavy infrastructure - which is needed for the numbers considered here.

Further, the purpose for which this land was granted to the Aboriginal Land Council was not what it is being used for now. This undermines the intent, and future support for any similar grants.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/02/2022 - 08:42

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narara

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

In what ways will local Aboriginal community be involved in the development process?

Will language of Local Country be incorporated into the development process and maintenance of local culture and heritage?

Will some housing lots be offered as low cost for local Aboriginal community?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sun, 20/02/2022 - 07:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2101

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Dear Sir/Madam

The development of land in Australia needs to stop.

We need to instead increase the density of existing housing.

The land must be cared for and preserved so as to protect the flora and fauna.

If we don't change and stop developing land the environment will continue to be damaged and our very existence will be under even greater threat than it already is.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Sat, 19/02/2022 - 20:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

It feels like a terrible idea because the infrastructure doesn't exist to support it. The block in question is steep and not appropriate for development. It feels like they are just looking to make a land trade and cash out. Having worked with land councils before I am very concerned that this this move isn't actually supported by the aboriginal people the land council is supposed to be representing. So a trade may be the best option- and if so- please ensure the land can then be used recreationally by horse-riders, hikers and cyclists.

Submitted on Sat, 19/02/2022 - 19:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

I have lived in the area for almost 70 years and enjoyed the natural environment. I believe that the land at Ralston Ave would better serve the community if it were added to the Garigal National park, possibly by means of a land swap with land of similar economic return. As a resident of Elm Ave for 44 years I feel it would be inappropriate to have commercial development in this land. Residential development may be preferable

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Sat, 19/02/2022 - 11:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## **Info**

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I support it

### **Submission**

It is great that the First Nations owners of the land are able to develop their land. I think the development should be externally scrutinised only to the extent to ensure it is sensitive to the surrounds, which I understand the owners are already d sensitive to.

The facilities which will be used for tourism are a very welcome opportunity for First Nations to educate visitors about aboriginal land, culture, language, and customs, all conduct by First Nations owners themselves.

Go for it

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/02/2022 - 18:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2096

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I think that the bushland we have should be preserved from further development. This is to protect the biodiversity within the area and not add additional stress to our environment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

First name

██████

Last name

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

Email

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Terrey Hills 2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Destruction of bush and wildlife

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/02/2022 - 16:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2084

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Encroaching into pristine bush land again and destroying essential habitat areas. It has to stop, it's all gone too far !

The NB is already overpopulated and the roads choked with traffic. This development along with the others planned for the future In Mona Vale, French's Forest, and Ingleside will be the final nail in the coffin for NB as it will end up being one big concrete jungle and the natural habitat destroyed totally. Such a pity. 😞

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/02/2022 - 15:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Narrabeen

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to any further development of our precious bushland. The little we have left must be cherished. The area proposed for development is home for a number of threatened species and it is clear that proposed housing will be incompatible with their protection. The area is extra special because it is part of the Narrabeen lagoon catchment and so the effects of bushland clearing will be felt well off-site throughout the catchment.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Fri, 18/02/2022 - 09:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

The government can't keep doing this. What about the poor wildlife who's houses are being taken from them? We came to live in this beautiful area because of its beautiful bush land area where we can go exploring and make life long memories. This is disrespectful to indigenous people as this is their land. I understand this would be financially a positive step for the government however, I think it will just push people further and further away from siding with the government in future decisions

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Fri, 18/02/2022 - 09:24

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

There's a lack of trust that the land council cannot currently maintain their holdings as-is. If the purpose of this is to promote local tourism, put up signage at historic and culturally significant landmarks then that is certainly a wonderful idea and I'm surprised it hasn't happened yet.

The idea to build 450+ residential lots on this land is just insanity, given the already stretched infrastructure such as roads, shops and community facilities on the Northern Beaches, which already cannot adequately cover the population as is. I appreciate it represents money in Council revenue, but it should be about more than that. We all know the land will just be developed under the cultural guise and then sold off when you can't maintain it anymore.

Signpost the landmarks and run walking tours, even put in a cultural centre as they're all great things - but don't 'develop' the land and delude yourselves and the community into thinking it's a positive thing, when it will just create major issues and be left to dilapidate by an organisation who already have a horrific track record with their property maintenance.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 23:10

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

It's just a disgrace that you would be considering this plan. Firstly these are aboriginal sites and developing this land will destroy these parts of history for everyone. Negligence like this while only increase the neglect people have for aboriginal culture and art. These areas instead of bulldozed for some nice cheeky cash which I know you guys love. Instead I propose they're handed over to national Park as the current organisation has no care for the assets on this land. The area should be turned into a national park where people can see and learn about aboriginal culture. A recreational park for the community that also seeks to protect and preserve the dwindling remains of bush we have left in the area. This development project is a terrible idea and I am disgusted our sick community leaders would think this is a good idea. This proposal is so out of touch with the communities needs.. Do the community a favour and instead of talking to the profiteers talk to the people who will live there.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 21:02

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

████

**Last name**

████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Queenscliff 2039

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I moved to the Northern Beaches about 2 years ago from the Inner West.

We are so lucky here on the beaches to have all this great bushland in and around the Garigal.

The peace and nature that we can all share right on our doorstep is so special - coming from the inner city I am acutely aware how important this is to mental health and wellbeing.

These areas of bush owned by the MLALC should be protected - and made available for recreation of all residents.

They contain amazing wildlife, incredible rock formations, and an escape from the suburbia that surrounds.

The MLALC have stated they need this development for funding - they have previously stated how important these areas are to history and culture - so the bushland areas should be purchased back by the government and protected as crown land.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 17:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2095

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I have lived and owned property in the Northern Beaches for the last 16 years. I spend a lot of time in the areas being developed, partly for commuting through, but also recreational bush walking and biking in this area most weekends. These areas by MLALC own statement and environmentally important with many threatened species. They should be preserved for environmental reasons. From an infrastructure perspective Morgan Road in particular is not suitable for supporting increased housing. Even if the ford was changed and the bridge widened to two lanes it is a windy dangerous road where increases to traffic would like cause increased accidents, From the perspective of Narrabeen lagoon, this development on the Oxford Falls side would almost certainly increase storm water run off and pollution to a key environmental area around Narrabeen lagoon. Lastly, the whole area is hilly and has fire hazard risks. This is an entirely unsuitable proposal that should not go ahead.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 15:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2107

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

This is an absolute farce and all about making money so much for so called "Aboriginal land" being part of Aboriginal heritage. This is the development and destruction of "our" heritage too. What happened to the large parcel of "Aboriginal" land that was previously sold at Terrey Hills? Our native fauna and flora need a voice in this.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 14:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am submitting on behalf of my organisation

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Oxford Falls

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

In the DDP Lizard rock Site description there is mention of 2 crown land lots.

"Future land, subject to Land Claim, has also been identified for inclusion in the project. This land comprises two additional parcels identified as Lots 89 and 2600 in DP 752038. MLALC does not own this land but has an interest in the determination of the Land Claims affecting Lots 89 and 2600 and proposes to close and purchase the unformed Crown roads under the Crown Lands process"

Both these lots see to be already included in all the site maps.

Any reason why they are included when they are not part of the MLALC holdings ?

My main concern is Lot 89 in DP752038. This lot is in close proximity to the Telstra Satellite Earth Station and provides a buffer zone from any RF interference. If this lot were developed then it could cause radio interference to our sensitive satellite receiving antennas as the distance to the antennas would be 200-300m. We need to carry out testing to confirm any possibility of RF interference from any development at Lizard Rock.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 14:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

F

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I wish to strongly object to the residential development of site 5 (Corymbia Circuit, Frenchs Forest.) This area is unsuitable for further residential development for many reasons.. There is a lack of public infrastructure to support any more dwelling in this area - no public transport, narrow roads, very limited foot paths and a high bush fire risk. This area remains an important bush habitat for the population of swamp wallabies, echidnas, brush tail and ring tail possums and many other species that reside here along with an abundance of bird life. Northern Beaches Council's plan for future housing includes rezoning to allow medium density residential development around a new Town Centre and along a corridor either side of Forest Way. This development should not be allowed to encroach further into existing housing areas that border much needed bushland. The NSW government/ NBC should be protecting these areas, not allowing greedy developers to make money from Native Title land which they have done in other areas of NSW. A better option would be to buy back the land and preserve it, and focus on developing land that is already set aside by the council for housing development.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 18:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I do not support the DPIE's proposal for the land in the northern beaches

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 14:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

My submissions only relates to the proposed area on Corymbia Circuit (site 5). I strongly object to any proposed development (other than biodiversity offset areas) in areas 5A and 5B due to the following reasons:

- There are many beautiful walking tracks, rocky areas to sit and relax and views both east and west that would be directly impacted by the development. This would reduce the options for recreation and enjoying the natural beauty from view points/lookouts for me and my family
- There are also some excellent mountain biking tracks that would directly impacted by areas 5A and 5B that would reduce the ability for local recreation for me and my children
- The exit roads via weardon road are currently too narrow and do not need more traffic
- The southern exit road via Carnarvon drive has a dangerous steep bend that does not need additional traffic

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 13:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

### **Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## **Name**

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## **Info**

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

FRENCHS FOREST, 2086, NSW

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

### **Submission**

1. The current areas proposed have poor existing infrastructure. Particularly transit. With minimal bus access it will require additional car usage on exiting over stretched road systems.
2. We were told this area is high risk for bush fire & already has poor access - meaning if there was a fire all current land owners would have difficulty & congestion trying to escape. Surely additional residences would add to these risks?
3. There is already poor water management in the area - how would further development impact flooding & drainage?

4. How do any proposed developments help protect local flora & fauna?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 12:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Belrose 2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

To whom it may concern,

The development of Lizard Rock Belrose.

Why do I object?

There are several main concerns that would need to be addressed and these concerns are issues that as a resident of Morgan Rd I have faced.

1. Infrastructure. Roads. The Morgan Rd/Oxford Falls Rd connecting Forest Way and Wakehurst parkway. Trying to turn right onto Wakehurst Parkway in the morning between 7:30 and 8:30am and 5 and 6pm is a nightmare.

Some days I can be sitting out that intersection for 10 to 15mins. I think a roundabout would need to be considered. The onw way bridge where connecting Morgan Road and Oxford Falls Road would also needed to be upgraded to a two way bridge to handle the extra traffic and for safety to avoid crashes.

2. Infrastructure. Phone services and NBN. What a disgrace. I live on Morgan Road and I am unable to have a land line or cable service. NBN deemed the area to be Satellite only. NBN Satellite services are expensive and limited capacity on 40GB per month. We have to use mobile services for our internet and phone services.

3. Infrastructure. Sewerage. We only have a septic system and we were informed that we were unable to tap into the existing sewerage system due to our location.

4. Flora and Fauna. What a great location we have at Lizard rock. We have an extensive wildlife in the area.

Where I live just opposite the planned proposal we have rock wallabies in our yard every day, the bird life is teaming as well as extensive reptile population. Thankfully, I have only seen the odd skippy killed recently, however, during the construction of the Warringah Road upgrades, the traffic through this road increased and we saw a significant increase in road kill (wallabies, snakes, lizards and ducks at the bridge. I fear this will happen again.

Finally, I strongly disagree with the submission that the site is a heavily disturbed nature site, including weed infestation and vandalism. The only Vandalism I have seen on the site proposed was in 2016 when Aboriginal Land Council used excavators to destroy the mountain bike tracks on the east side of Morgan road. I have lived in the area for 7 years and have never seen or reported any vandalism. The site is not heavily disturbed and there is no more weeds there then what we see on Five Mile Creek Trail and Slippery Dip Trial. Seriously, excessive weeds are a validate reason to develop.

Why would add more housing to the Northern Beaches when the Government has just approved the Frenches Forest East Development. I believe that was Medium to High density.

It looks like it is a money grab from the Aboriginal Land Council to sell the most prime land and largest areas first and at no thought to the current Flora and Fauna, existing zoning and the rural feel of this area in a all to developed Sydney.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Thu, 17/02/2022 - 09:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2085

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I and the local community often walk though this area and see a abundance of wild life some which are endangered I have been told.(frogs, bandicoots, lace monitors,echidnds,swap wallaby ,bird life finches, kites ,black cockatoos,egales,bush turkeys, lyrebirds ECT.....

This would be a tragedy to loose this beautiful untouched part of nature, I have personally see and have photo proof of these animals.

Also as a resident I believe the traffic impact on our peaceful community would be overwhelming on our local infrastructure,shops,parking etc, especially if these homes are allowed to have granny flats attached.

This could mean thousands more cars in our small community !!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/02/2022 - 22:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2159

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

Morgan Road is totally inappropriate for residential development. It will destroy significant tracts of Bushland and impact on water quality of Narrabeen Lakes. A significant recreational facility with water quality second to none in a urban environment. In NSW

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes



Submitted on Wed, 16/02/2022 - 19:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

████████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Frenchs Forest, 2086

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I live on Paxton street and we do not want development that is proposed a few houses down from our house in our area. We purposely bought our house on a dead end street to avoid high traffic volumes/through traffic so our children can play safely & so we could enjoy a peaceful neighbourhood. We also bought our house because of the leafy outlook to see rows of houses will diminish the value & enjoyment of our property. Not to mention the disruption, noise pollution the ongoing construction would cause given we are so close to the proposed site on Paxton st & Cliff point place. Stop chopping down the forest in Frenchs Forest!

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/02/2022 - 12:11

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

██

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Glebe 2037

**Please provide your view on the project**

I am just providing comments

**Submission**

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback for this AL SEPP amendment.

Firstly, without detailed particulars about exactly what is proposed on the various lands, it is difficult to make judgment on what effects the development(s) may have.

I partake in active and passive recreation in this area and nearby National Parks and am keen to have these natural areas preserved and, where possible, enhanced for the public's use into the future.

I have read through the provided documents and offer the following comments, mainly pertinent to the Lizard Rock site which appears to be the "highest priority":

1. The potential development(s) seem to go against the first two of the MLALC CLBB goals.

Goal 1 - Healing and Wellbeing. Destroying bushland will do the opposite.

Goal 2 - Promote, protect and preserve culture and heritage. Destroying bushland will do the opposite.

2. The ALR Act 1987 states that "... land is of spiritual, social, cultural...importance." We have been taught over the years how important country is, and this SEPP amendment seems to go against that lesson.

3. One of the Minister's Planning Principles relates to biodiversity and conservation, both of which would be reduced under any development plan.

4. The proposal goes against Planning Priority N17 - Protecting and enhancing scenic and cultural landscapes.

5. Table 1 on p19 of the DDP shows that Site 1 has no biodiversity potential. This seems to be an oversight.

6. The bushfire risk for any development means that much greater clearing would be required around any buildings, thus increasing bushland loss.

7. Dot point 6 on p21 of the DDP states that "Lizard Rock...preserving all natural space and culturally significant Aboriginal artefacts;" Destroying bushland will not preserve all natural space.

If this SEPP Amendment is passed, I look forward to reviewing any further documentation on this and other MLALC lands.

Regards,



**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/02/2022 - 10:17

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Beacon Hill 2100

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I object to the rezoning of local bushland for development unless this development is done in an environmentally responsible way with a light touch that preserves as much of the bushland as possible. Why not do something completely different to the current project home developments and have architecturally designed small homes that blend into the bushland?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/02/2022 - 07:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

[REDACTED]

**Last name**

[REDACTED]

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

[REDACTED]

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

Dee Why

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I question this proposal, especially in light of the fact that large parts of these areas have been deemed sacred by the MLALC, limiting access to the broader population for recreational use, e.g. mountain bikers. It is very disappointing to see that money, once again, throws out land conservation principles, both from a heritage, as well as an environmental point of view. Last but no least, isn't this land considered high risk with regards to bush fires?

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Wed, 16/02/2022 - 06:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

No

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2099

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I strongly object to the ALC developing on our precious bushland of the northern Beaches.

This is a short sighted money grab.

We need to preserve our natural environment.

Only today we had the highest CO2 parts per million. Every tree is required set undo the damage we have done to the earth.

I strongly oppose any development on this land.

Murray.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes

Submitted on Mon, 21/03/2022 - 23:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

**Submission Type**

I am making a personal submission

## Name

**First name**

██████

**Last name**

██████

**I would like my submission to remain confidential**

Yes

## Info

**Email**

████████████████████

**Suburb/Town & Postcode**

2087

**Please provide your view on the project**

I object to it

**Submission**

I would like to request that you reconsider the inclusion of these 6 sites in the SEPP. It's my understanding from listening to local communities, that the vast majority of people who live in the area are vehemently opposed to this.

I don't believe this decision has taken into account a broad enough sample of views on the DDP from the local population. For a decision that will have long lasting consequences for local families and the community, this should not be made lightly. It will be signalling the end of recreational enjoyment and the potential destruction of this sacred bush land if it is targeted for residential development.

Capitalising on culturally and socially significant land is short term thinking. How will this impact communities in a more distant timeframe? The potential revenue generated will be insignificant for Aboriginal communities in the longer term. Once this decision is made though, it can't be undone and will result in those future generations having gained nothing and lost this piece of culturally significant land.

I appreciate you taking this statement into consideration.

**I agree to the above statement**

Yes